DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 438 072 PS 028 273

AUTHOR Moore, Kristin Anderson, Comp.; Papillo, Angela Romano,

Comp.; Williams, Stephanie, Comp.; Jager, Justin, Comp.;

Jones, Fanette, Comp.

TITLE Teen Birth Rate. CTS Facts at a Glance.
INSTITUTION Child Trends, Inc., Washington, DC.
SPONS AGENCY Mott (C.S.) Foundation, Flint, MI.

PUB DATE 1999-12-00

NOTE 8p.; For the 1996 report on teen birth rate, see ED 396 055.

AVAILABLE FROM Child Trends, 4301 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 100,

Washington, DC 20008. Tel: 202-362-5580. For full text:

http://www.childtrends.org/faag99.cfm.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Abortions; *Adolescents; Births to Single Women;

Contraception; *Early Parenthood; Pregnancy; Sexuality; *Social Indicators; State Norms; Tables (Data); Youth

Problems

IDENTIFIERS Sexually Transmitted Diseases

ABSTRACT

This fact sheet presents several data tables related to teen pregnancy, birth rates, abortion, contraceptive use, and sexually transmitted diseases. The data reveal that during the 1990s, rates of teen childbearing have declined, returning to the levels reached in the mid-1980s. Declines come from a lower proportion of teens having sex and a greater use of contraception, rather than from increased abortions. The decline in the teen birth rate has been largest among younger teens, black teens, and teens who have already had a baby. Specifically, data indicate that since 1991 the birth rate has declined 21 percent among adolescents ages 15-17, compared to 13 percent among older teens ages 18-19. Also, the birth rate per 1,000 females ages 15-19 has declined 26 percent among blacks, compared with 19 percent among non-Hispanic whites and 12 percent among Hispanics. The rate of second births has declined 21 percent among teens who have already had a baby, compared with 10 percent among childless teens. The rate has declined 21 percent among married teens, compared with 6 percent among unmarried teens. Nevertheless, rates among married teens and among teens who have had a first birth are much higher than among other teens. Variation across the states continues to be enormous. Although rates have declined in all states, four still have rates of 70 births or higher per 1,000 females aged 15-19: Arizona, Arkansas, Mississippi, and Texas. (EV)



Teen Birth Rate CTS Facts at a Glance

Kristin Anderson Moore, comp. Angela Romano Papillo, comp. Stephanie Williams, comp. Justin Jager, comp. Fanette Jones, comp.

Published by:

Child Trends December 1999 BEST COPY AVAILABLE

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C. A. Emig

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



TSFACTS AT A GLANCE

December 1999

TEEN BIRTH RATE. Preliminary data for 1998 from the National Center for Health Statistics show that the teen birth rate has declined steadily since the early 1990s. The 1998 rate of 51.1 births per 1,000 females 15-19 is nearly 18 percent lower than the 1991 rate of 62.1. This rate is also very near the 1986 record low rate of 50.2. Declines have been seen in all adolescent age groups. The rate of 30.4 births per 1,000 females 15-17 in 1998 is a record low.

Teen Birth Rate (Births per 1,000 Females Ages 15-19, 15-17, and 18-19)

| Ages: | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1986 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 15-19 | 89.1 | 68.3 | 53.0 | 50.2 | 59.9 | 62.1 | 60.7 | 59.6 | 58.9 | 56.8 | 54.4 | 52.3 | 51.1 |
| 15-17 | 43.9 | 38.8 | 32.5 | 30.5 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.6 | 36.0 | 33.8 | 32.1 | 30.4 |
| 18-19 | 166.7 | 114.7 | 82.1 | 79.6 | 88.6 | 94.4 | 94.5 | 92.1 | 91.5 | 89.1 | 86.0 | 83.6 | 82.0 |

NUMBER OF BIRTHS TO TEENS. In 1998, there were 494,456 births to teens in the United States. This represents a 7 percent decline in the number of teen births since 1991, compared with an 18 percent decline in the rate since 1991. The smaller decline in the number compared with the rate is due to an increase in the number of teen females in the 1990s. In fact, despite a decrease in the teen birth rate, the total number of births to teens increased slightly between 1997 and 1998, reflecting an increase in the number of births to females ages 18 and 19.

Number of Births to Females Under Age 20

| Ages: | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1986 | 19 90 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1 99 8 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Under 15 | 6,780 | 11,752 | 10,169 | 10,176 | 11,657 | 12,014 | 12,220 | 12,554 | 12,901 | 12,242 | 11,148 | 10,121 | 9,481 |
| 15-17 | 182,408 | 223,590 | 198,222 | 168,572 | 183,327 | 188,226 | 187,549 | 190,535 | 195,169 | 192,508 | 185,721 | 180,154 | 173,252 |
| 18-19 | 404,558 | 421,118 | 353,939 | 293,333 | 338,499 | 331,351 | 317,866 | 310,558 | 310,319 | 307,365 | 305,856 | 303,066 | 311,724 |
| Under 20 | 593,746 | 656,460 | 562,330 | 472,081 | 533,591 | 531,591 | 517,635 | 513,647 | 518,389 | 512,115 | 502,725 | 493,341 | 494,456 |

BIRTH RATES BY MARITAL STATUS. The marital birth rate of teens declined 23 percent between 1990 and 1997, to 323 births per 1,000 married teens. The non-marital birth rate peaked in 1994 and then declined 9 percent by 1997, to 42 births per 1,000 unmarried teens. In 1998, 79 percent of teen births occurred outside of marriage.

Young women between the ages of 20-24 have the highest rates of non-marital births. Specifically, the rate was 71 births per 1,000 unmarried women 20-24 in 1997. This rate has remained fairly stable over the last several years. Births to cohabiting couples are classified as non-marital; however, analyses of the National Survey of Families and Households indicate that, among all couples having a non-marital birth, 40 percent are living together.

Marital and Non-marital Birth Rate (Births per 1,000 Females)

| Rate by Marital Status and Age: | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Marital, ages 15-19 | 444 | 350 | 420 | 410 | 398 | 388 | 351 | 362 | 344 | 323 | |
| Non-marital, ages 15-19 | 22 | 28 | 43 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 44 | 43 | 42 | |
| Non-marital, ages 20-24 | 38 | 41 | 65 | 68 | 69 | 69 | 72 | 70 | 71 | 71 | |
| Non-marital, ages 15-44 | 26 | 29 | 44 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 47 | 15 | 45 | 4.4 | 4.4 |

BIRTHS BY BIRTH ORDER. Twenty-two percent of teen births were repeat births in 1998. This proportion has declined from a peak of 25 percent in the early 1990s. Also, the rate of second births declined by approximately 21 percent between 1991 and 1997 (to 173.7 births per 1000 females who have had a first birth). The rate of first teen births declined by 10 percent between 1991 and 1997 (to 44.7 births per 1000 childless females).

Percentage of Teen Births that are Repeat Births (Second Births or Higher Order Births)

1993

1994

1995

1996


1997

1998

| N5-19 | 21% | 23% | 25% | 25% | 25% | 24% | 22% | 21% | 22% | 22% | 22% |
|-------|------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|-------|------|------|
| (| | First | Birth Rat | e (Births | per 1,00 | 0 Childle | ss Femal | es Ages 15 | 5-19) | | |
| Age | 1980 | 1986 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| 15-19 | 45 | 42 | 48 | 50 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 47 | 45 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

1992

| Second | Diriir Ka | ie (piriris | per 1,00 | JO Femal | es Ages | 19-17 (4) | по паче п | aa a rirst | piuu) | |
|--------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| 1980 | 1986 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| 188 | 1 9 3 | 218 | 221 | 217 | 204 | 190 | 178 | 174 | 174 | |



1990

1991

1980

1986

Sponsored by: The Charles Stewart Mott Foundation, Flint, Michigan




TEEN BIRTH RATES BY RACE/ETHNICITY. Teen birth rates have been declining in each major race/ethnicity group. The birth rate for black adolescent females declined 26 percent between 1991 and 1998, and the rate among non-Hispanic white teens declined by 19 percent. Though the birth rate for Hispanic adolescent females was stable between 1991 and 1995, the rate declined about 12 percent from 107 in 1995 to 94 in 1998.

| | Teen Birth Rate | (Births per | 1,000 Females Ages | 15-19) by Race/Ethnicity |
|--|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
|--|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|


| Race/Ethnicity: | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
|---------------------|-------------|------|------|------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------|
| Hispanics | 10 0 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 108 | 107 | 102 | 9 7 | 94 |
| Blacks | 113 | 116 | 112 | 109 | 1 0 5 | 9 6 | 9 1 | 88 | 85 |
| Non-Hispanic Whites | 43 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 36 | 35 |

TEEN **PREGNANCY** RATES. BIRTH RATES. AND ABORTION RATES. The teen pregnancy rate, birth rate, and abortion rate have all declined over the past several years. Thus, the decline in the birth rate is not due to an increase in abortion. According to the Alan Guttmacher Institute, the teen pregnancy rate declined by approximately 16 percent between 1991 and 1996. The abortion rate declined by 22 percent between 1991 and 1996. Both pregnancy rates and abortion rates increased substantially in the 1970s and 1980s, prior to declining. Data from the National Center for Health Statistics indicate pregnancy rates declined in the 1990s across race/ethnicity groups, including non-Hispanic white, black and Hispanic teenagers.

Pregnancy Rate and Abortion Rate for Females Ages 15 to 19, 1972-1996, and Birth Rate, 1972-1998



Trends in Contraceptive Use at First Sex and Most Recent Sex Among Females ages 15-19



TEEN CONTRACEPTIVE USE AT FIRST AND MOST RECENT SEX. Data from the National Survey of Family Growth show different trends in contraceptive use at first and most recent sex among teens. There has been a dramatic increase in the percentage of adolescent females who report using any contraceptive method at first sex, from 48 percent in 1982 to 76 percent in 1995. However, there has been a decline in contraceptive use at most recent sex among sexually active teen females (those who had sex in the last 3 months). The proportion of sexually active teen females who used contraception at most recent sex declined from 77 percent in 1988 to 69 percent in 1995. Other analyses indicate that non-Hispanic black teen females were the only racial/ethnic group that did not show a decline in contraceptive use at most recent sex.

SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS (STIs) reported to CDC. Adolescents and young adults are at high risk for contracting STIs because, over time, many have multiple sex partners and unprotected intercourse. Rates of chlamydia have remained constant over the last two years among adolescent males and females, and adolescent gonorrhea rates have declined over the last four years. In 1997, among all women, females ages 15-19 had the highest rates of chlamydia (2044) and gonorrhea (718) per 100,000 females. Male rates are higher at ages 20 to 24 than among teens.

Rates of Sexually Transmitted Infections (per 100,000 population), 1997

| | Ch | lamydia Rates | | | Gonorrhea Rates | |
|-------|-------|---------------|--------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| Ages: | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| 1Ŏ-14 | 64 | 6 | 125 | 31 | 8 | 54 |
| 15-19 | 1126 | 266 | 2044 | 530 | 354 | 718 |
| 20-24 | 985 | 373 | 1634 | 544 | 533 | 555 |
| | | | | ه ا | | |



TABLE 1: BIRTHS TO MOTHERS UNDER AGE 20 IN 1997 BY AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY, PERCENT OF TEEN BIRTHS TO UNMARRIED MOTHERS, PERCENT OF TEENS WHO ARE CURRENTLY SEXUALLY ACTIVE, AND PERCENT OF TEEN BIRTHS THAT ARE REPEAT BIRTHS (SECOND OR HIGHER ORDER BIRTHS)

| | | NUMBER OF O MOTHER | | Total | MOTHERS (| OF BIRTHS TO JNDER AGE 20 Hispanic | 1 | PERCENT OF TEEN BIRTHS TO UNMARRIED | PERCENT OF TEENS WHO ARE CURRENTLY | PERCENT OF TEEN BIRTHS THAT ARE |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--|--------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| STATE | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 20 | White | Black | Hispanic | MOTHERS | SEXUALLY ACTIVE** | REPEAT BIRTHS |
| ALABAMA | 269 | 4033 | 6428 | 10730 | 5399 | 5110 | 152 | 72% | NA | 23% |
| ALASKA | 19 | 370 | 729 | 1118 | 545 | 68 | 75 | 77% | NA | 19% |
| ARIZONA | ⁻ 221 | 4295 | 6740 | 11256 | 3844 | 493 | 5886 | 80% | NA | 23% |
| ARKANSAS | 185 | 2437 | 4398 | 7020 | 4314 | 2359 | 271 | 69% | 44% | 24% |
| CALIFORNIA | 1257 | 23096 | 36859 | 61212 | 12535 | 6484 | 38667 | 75% | NWT | 22% |
| COLORADO | 103 | 2519 | 4126 | 6748 | 3200 | 485 | 2875 | 73% | NWT | 19% |
| CONNECTICUT | 83 | 1344 | 2154 | 3581 | 1207 | 888 | 1277 | 89% | 33% | 20% |
| DELAWARE | 35 | 524 | 819 | 1378 | 621 | 640 | 94 | 90% | NWT | 21% |
| D.C. | .52 | 476 | 708 | 1236 | 27 | 1089 | 93 | 96% | 53% | 31% |
| FLORIDA | 603 | 9449 | 15719 | 25771 | 11224 | 9544 | 4730 | 80% | NWT | 23% |
| GEORGIA | 473 | 7091 | 10756 | 18320 | 7880 | 9098 | 1087 | 77% | NA | 24% |
| HAWAII | 24 | 582 | 1151 | 1757 | 189 | 45 | 397 | 82% | 26% | 1.7% |
| IDAHO | 35 | 742 | 1588 | 2365 | 1769 | 16 | 473 | 58% | NA | 20% |
| ILLINOIS | 464 | 8642 | 13559 | 22665 | 8046 | 9114 | 5307 | 85% | NA | 24% |
| INDIANA | 170 | 4138 | 7439 | 11747 | 8793 | 2233 | 617 | ·81% | NA | 20% |
| IOWA | 40 | 1333 | 2555 | 3928 | 3249 | 280 | 243 | 81% | 33% | 18% |
| KANSAS | 70 | 1655 | 3103 | 4828 | 3290 | 731 | 675 | 75% | NA nov | 21% |
| KENTUCKY LOUISIANA | 148 | 3008 | 5516 | 8672 | 7286 | 1208 | 122 | 64% | 39% | 21% |
| MAINE | 296 7 | 4499 407 | 7464 971 | 12259 1385 | 4922 1255 | 7042 6 | 163 16 | 84% 84% | NA 36% | 23% 16% |
| MARYLAND | 203 | 2755 | 4247 | 7205 | 2678 | 4015 | 334 | 90% | NA | 20% |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 103 | 2107 | 3698 | 5908 | 2931 | 905 | 1809 | 90% 90% | 31% | 18% |
| MICHIGAN | 278 | 5396 | 9987 | 15661 | 8235 | 5236 | 994 | 86% | 34% | 22% |
| MINNESOTA | 93 | 1918 | 3665 | 5676 | 3622 | 741 | 509 | 86% | NA | 18% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 270 | 3390 | 4917 | 8577 | 3057 | 5414 | 42 | 81% | 52% | 25% |
| MISSOURI | 166 | 3559 | 6536 | 10261 | 7154 | 2687 | 297 | 79% | 37% | 20% |
| MONTANA | 17 | 428 | 883 | 1328 | 887 | 5 | 63 | 78% | 32% | 15% |
| NEBRASKA | 50 | 829 | 1568 | 2447 | 1618 | 333 | 333 | 81% | NA NA | 19% |
| NEVADA | 77 | 1374 | 2185 | 3636 | 1628 | 481 | 1283 | 76% | 34% | 22% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 5 | 338 | 773 | 1116 | 991 | 12 | 35 | 87% | NWT | 14% |
| NEW JERSEY | 199 | 3233 | 5410 | 8842 | 2175 | 3577 | 2952 | 90% | NWT | 21% |
| NEW MEXICO | 93 | 1873 | 2833 | 4799 | 1123 | 101 | 2993 | 81% | NA | 20% |
| NEW YORK | 420 | 8081 | 14116 | 22617 | 6234 | 7031 | 7378 | 87% | 29% | 19% |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 327 | 5581 | 9451 | 15359 | 7453 | 6169 | 1228 | 76% | NWT | 22% |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 10 | 219 | 532 | 761 | 527 | 8 | 29 | 83% | NWT | 15% |
| OHIO | 372 | 6935 | 12959 | 20266 | 13784 | 5548 | 663 | 85% | 34% | 21% |
| OKLAHOMA | 143 | 2867 | 5191 | 8201 | 5154 | 1210 | 616 | 69% | NA | 22% |
| OREGON | 105 | 1890 | 3466 | 5461 | 3829 | 200 | 1139 | 74% | NA | 19% |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 306 | 5264 | 9427 | 14997 | 8509 | 4581 | 1617 | 90% | NA | 21% |
| RHODE ISLAND | 25 | 512 | 801 | 1338 | 598 | 138 | 370 | 89% | 31% | 20% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 203 17 | 3238 401 | 5103 | 8544 | 3829 | 4464 | 180 | 81% | 42% | 21% |
| SOUTH DAKOTA TENNESSEE | 253 | 4280 | 823 7609 | 1241 | 798 7422 | 15 | 29 | 85% 73% | 29% | 16% |
| TEXAS | 1193 | 21104 | 31624 | 12142 53921 | 7622 15601 | 4136 9081 | 289 28599 | 73% 67% | NWT | 22% 24% |
| UTAH | 56 | 1443 | 3060 | 4559 | 3470 | 54 | 825 | 61% | NA NA | 24% 19% |
| VERMONT | 7 | 153 | 398 | 558 | 521 | 2 | 2 | 84% | 31% | 14% |
| VIRGINIA | 237 | 3456 | 6394 | 10087 | 5027 | 4284 | 641 | 79% | NA | 20% |
| WASHINGTON | 142 | 2967 | 5454 | 8563 | 5456 | 553 | 1712 | 76% | NA NA | 19% |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 26 | 1081 | 2187 | 3294 | 3086 | 193 | 10 | 70% | 40% | 19% |
| WISCONSIN | 163 | 2544 | 4389 | 7096 | 4167 | 1837 | 653 | 85% | 29% | 20% |
| WYOMING | 8 | 298 | 598 | 904 | 738 | 12 | 111 | 71% | 31% | 16% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. TOTAL in 1997 | 10121 | 180154 | 303066 | 493341 | 222097 | 129956 | 120955 | 78% | 35% | 22% |
| U.S. TOTAL in 1998 | 9481 | 173252 | 311724 | 494456 | 221437 | 131156* | 124176 | 79% | NA | 22% |

^{*}The total number of births in 1998 for black females under age 20 includes Hispanic black females.

NWT = Unweighted data, which are not representative, are the only data available.

NA = Not Available

Source/Notes:

Birth data are unpublished data provided by Stephanie Ventura of the National Center for Health Statistics, Department of Health and Human Services.

Sexual activity data are for in-school teens in grades 9-12 from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 1997 (collected by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention).





^{**}Students (in grades 9-12) self report of sexual intercourse during the 3 months preceding the 1997 survey.

TABLE 2: BIRTH RATES FOR FEMALES 15-19 IN 1970, 1980, 1985, 1990-1997, AND FEMALES 15-17 AND 18-19 IN 1997; AND PREGNANCY AND ABORTION RATES FOR FEMALES 15-19, 1996, BY STATE

| | | | | | ates (Bir | • | ,0 0 0) | | 10 (12) | | | Birth | Rates | Pregnancy Rates | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| STATE | 197 0 | 1980 | 1985 | | emales / | | | 1004 | 1005 | 100/ | 1007 | 19 | _ | Age 15-19 | Ages 15-19 | Ending in |
| ALABAMA | 89 | 68 | 64 | 1990 71 | 1991 74 | 1 99 2 73 | 1 993 71 | 1 994 72 | 1 995 70 | 1 99 6 69 | 19 97 67 | Age 15-17 | Age 18-19 | 1996 | 1996 | Abortion |
| ALASKA | 87 | 64 | 56 | 65 | 65 | 73 64 | 57 | 72 55 | | | | 43 | 100 | 106 | 21 | 20% |
| ARIZONA | 7.7 | | 67 | 76 | 81 | 82 | 80 | 79 | 50 75 | 46 | 45 | 25 | 74 | 75 | 18 | 24% |
| ARKANSAS | 91 | 66 75 | | | | | | | | 74 75 | 70 | 44 | 111 | 118 | 27 | . 23% |
| CALIFORNIA | 65 | | 73 50 | 80 | 80 | 76 | 74 | 76 | 74 | 75 42 | 73 | 43 | 119 | 108 | 16 | 15% |
| COLORADO | | 53 | 53 | 71 55 | 75 50 | 74 | 73 55 | 71 | 68 | 63 | 57 | 36 | 91 | 125 | 45 | 36% |
| | 64 | 50 | 48 | 55 | 58 | 58 | 55 20 | 54 | 51 | 50 | 48 | 30 | 77 50 | 90 | 28 | 31% |
| CONNECTICUT | 43 | 31 | 31 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 36 | 23 | 58 | 86 | 37 | 43% |
| DELAWARE | 72 05 | 51 50 | 51 | 55 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 57 | 57 | 56 | 37 | 83 | 95 | 24 | 25% |
| FLORIDA | 85 | 59 | 58 | 69 | 69 | 66 | 65 | 64 | 62 | 59 | 58 | 35 - | . 94 | 115 | 40 | 35% |
| GEORGIA | 98 | 72 | 68 | 76 | 76 | 75 | 73 | 72 | 71 | 68 | 67 | 44 | 103 | 109 | 25 | 23% |
| HAWAII | 60 | 51 | 48 | 61 | 59 | 54 | 53 | 54 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 25 | 70 | 101 | 39 | 39% |
| IDAHO | 65 | 60 | 47 | 51 | 54 | 52 | 51 | 47 | 49 | 47 | 43 | 23 | 73 | 70 | 12 | 17% |
| ILLINOIS | 66 | 56 | 51 | 63 | 65 | 64 | 63 | 63 | 60 | 57 | 55 | 34 | 88 | 106 | 34 | 32% |
| INDIANA | 73 | 58 | 52 | 59 | 61 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 56 | 54 | 32 | 88 | 88 | 19 | 22% |
| IOWA | 52 | 43 | 35 | 41 | 43 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 36 | 20 | 60 | 58 | 12 | 21% |
| KANSAS | 61 | 57 | 52 | 56 | 55 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 52 | 50 | 49 | 28 | 82 | 79 | 18 | 23% |
| KENTUCKY | 86 | 72 | 63 | 68 | 69 | 65 | 64 | 65 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 35 | 95 | 89 | 14 | 16% |
| LOUISIANA | 85 | 76 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 77 | 76 | 75 | 70 | 67 | 66 | 42 | 101 | 97 | 15 | 15% |
| MAINE | 65 | 47 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 40 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 31 | 32 | 15 | 58 | 57 | 18 | 32% |
| MARYLAND | 68 | 43 | 46 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 46 | 44 | 28 | 69 | 106 | 46 | 43% |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 38 | 28 | 29 | 35 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 19 | 51 | 79 | 37 | 47% |
| MICHIGAN | 66 | 45 | 43 | 59 | 59 | 57 | 53 | 52 | 49 | 47 | 44 | 25 | 72 | 87 | 29 | 33% |
| MINNESOTA | 42 | 35 | 31 | 36 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 18 | 55 | 56 | 16 | 29% |
| MISSISSIPPI | 102 | 84 | 76 | 81 | 86 | 84 | 83 | 83 | 81 | 76 | 74 | 50 | 109 | 108 | 16 | 15% |
| MISSOURI | 71 | 58 | 54 | 63 | 65 | 63 | 60 | 59 | 56 | 54 | 52 | 30 | 86 | 86 | 19 | 22% |
| MONTANA | 58 | 49 | 44 | 48 | 47 | 46 | 46 | 41 | 42 | 39 | 38 | 20 | 65 | 65 | 17 | 26% |
| NEBRASKA | 52 | 45 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 43 | 38 | 39 | 37 | 21 | 62 | 62 | 14 | 23% |
| NEVADA | 90 | 59 | 55 | 73 | 75 | 71 | 73 | 74 | 73 | 70 | 68 | 42 | 109 | 140 | 51 | 36% |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 55 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 14 | 53 | 57 | 20 | 35% |
| NEW JERSEY | 48 | 35 | 34 | 41 | 42 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 35 | 35 | 21 | 57 | 97 | 50 | 52% |
| NEW MEXICO | 77 | 72 | 73 | 78 | 80 | 80 | 81 | 77 | 74 | 71 | 68 | 44 | 106 | 110 | 22 | 20% |
| NEW YORK | 49 | 35 | 36 | 44 | 46 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 44 | 42 | 39 | 23 | 62 | 108 | 53 | 49% |
| NORTH CAROLINA | . 86 | 58 | 57 | 68 | 71 | 70 | 67 | 66 | 64 | 64 | 61 | 38 | 97 | 105 | 26 | 25% |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 43 | 42 | 36 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 14 | 55 | 50 | 10 | 20% |
| OHIO | 63 | 53 | 50 | 58 | 61 | 58 | 57 | 55 | 53 | 50 | 50 | 29 | 83 | 81 | 18 | 22% |
| OKLAHOMA | 81 | 75 | 69 | 67 | 72 | 70 | 69 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 37 | 107 | 90 | 13 | 14% |
| OREGON | 56 | 51 | 43 | 55 | 55 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 47 | 27 | 78 | 90 | 26 | 29% |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 52 | 41 | 40 | 45 | 47 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 39 | 37 | 22 | | | | |
| RHODE ISLAND | 45 | 33 | 36 | 44 | 45 | 48 | 50 | 48 | 43 | 43 | 43 | | 61 | 70 | 20 | 29% |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | 65 | 63 | 71 | 73 | 70 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 63 | | 28 | 66 | 87 | 32 | 37% |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 50 | 53 | 46 | 47 | 73 48 | 48 | 44 | | | | 61 | 40 | 93 | 98 | 20 | 20% |
| TENNESSEE | 87 | 64 | 61 | | | | | 43 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 22 | 66 | 59 | 10 | 17% |
| | | | | 72 75 | 75 70 | 71 70 | 70 70 | 71 | 68 | 66 | 65 | 39 | 104 | 100 | 18 | 18% |
| TEXAS | 84 | 74 | 72 50 | 75 | 79 | 79 | 78 | 78 | 76 | 74 | 72 | 47 | 110 | 113 | 23 | 20% |
| UTAH | 54 | 65 | 50 | 49 | 48 | 46 | .45 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 43 | 24 | 68 | 60 | 8 | 13% |
| VERMONT | 53 | 40 | 36 | 34 | 39 | 36 | 35 | 33 | 29 | 30 | 27 | 12 | 51 | 60 | 22 | 37% |
| VIRGINIA | 72 50 | 48 | 46 | 53 | 54 | 52 | 50 | 51 | 49 | 46 | 44 | 26 | 71 | 87 | 30 | 34% |
| WASHINGTON | 58 | 47 | 45 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 50 | 48 | 48 | 45 | 43 | 25 | 71 | 85 | 29 | 34% |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 72 | 68 | 54 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 53 | 50 | 49 | 28 | 80 | 73 | 11 | 15% |
| WISCONSIN | 44 | 40 | 39 | 43 | 44 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 21 | 59 | 61 | 15 | 25% |
| WYOMING | 69 | 79 | 59 | 56 | 54 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 47 | 44 | 43 | 23 | 76 | 74 | 20 | 27% |
| U.S. TOTAL | 66 | 53 | 51 | 60 | 62 | 61 | 60 | 59 | 57 | 54 | 52 | 32 | 84 | 97 | 29 | 30% |

Saurces/Nates: The pregnancy rate is the sum of the birth rate, abartian rate, and an estimated miscarriage rate (which is not shown here). The 1985 birth rates were calculated by Child Trends. Denominators use the latest revised data from the U.S. Bureau of the Census, Papulatian Estimates Branch. These revisians affect birth rates in same states. Papulatian denominators for D.C. and less papulated states are small and therefore same instability in rates can accur. Birth rates for 1970, 1980, and 1990 are published by the National Center for Health Statistics, Department of Health and Human Services. The 1970 rate represents the average for 1969-1971. Birth rates for 1990-1994: Ventura, S.J., Clarke, S.C., and Mathews, T.J. (1996) Recent declines in teenage birth rates in the United States: Variations by state, 1990-1994. National Center for Health Statistics. Birth rates for 1995: Ventura, S.J., Curtin, S.C. and Mathews, T.J. (1998). Teenage births in the United States: National and State trends. National Center for Health Statistics. Birth rates for 1995: Ventura, S.J., Mathews, T.J., and Curtin, S.C. (1998). Declines in teenage birth rates, 1991-1997: National and State patterns. National Center for Health Statistics. Birth rates for 1997: Ventura, S.J., Mathews, T.J., and Curtin, S.C. (1999). Declines in teenage birth rates, 1991-98: Update of National and State Trends. National Center for Health Statistics. Pregnancy and Abartian data: Teenage Pregnancy: Overall trends and State-by-State Informatian. (1999). Alan Guttmacher Institute: www.agi-usa.arg





TABLE 3. BIRTHS TO TEENAGE MOTHERS IN LARGE CITIES, 1997, AND PERCENT OF ALL BIRTHS THAT OCCURRED TO TEENS IN 1997 AND 1991

| CITY | Total Under 20 | 17 and | Ages 18-19 | Of all Teen Births for City, % that are Repeat Births | Births t | ber of o Teens Age 20 Black | Number of Births to Unmarried Teen Mothers Under Age 20 | Of all Births to Mothers Under Age 20, Percent | Of all Bi % to Mothe Under Ac 1991 | ers |
|--|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------|
| AKRON, OH | 613 | Younger 227 | 386 | 24% | 280 | 319 | 552 | Nonmarital 70% | 18% | 17% |
| ALBUQUERQUE, NM | 1176 | 492 | 684 | 17% | 1071 | 39 | 976 | 83% | 14% | 16% |
| AMARILLO, TX | 578 | 222 | 356 | 23% | 515 | 52 | 350 | 61% | 19% | 20% |
| ANAHEIM, CA ANCHORAGE, AK | 756 394 | 295 142 | 461 252 | 27% 19% | 708 237 | 18 47 | 563 296 | 74% 75% | 12% 10% | 11 % 10% |
| ARLINGTON, TX | 590 | 221 | 369 | 24% | 440 | 137 | 455 | 77% | 10% | 11% |
| ATLANTA, GA | 1592 | 776 | 816 | 30% | 209 | 1376 | 1448 | 91% | 21% | 19% |
| AURORA, CO AUSTIN, TX | 480 1487 | 164 618 | 316 869 | 19% 27% | 342 1200 | 121 274 | 313 1080 | 65% 73% | 11% 15% | 11% 14% |
| BAKERSFIELD, CA | 1211 | 527 | 684 | 25% | 1036 | 148 | 999 | 82% | 17% | 18% |
| BALTIMORE, MD | 2225 | 1054 | 1171 | 26% | 304 | 1912 | 2138 | 96% | 21% | 23% |
| BATON ROUGE, LA BIRMINGHAM, AL | 798 926 | 324 366 | 474 560 | 26% 24% | 154 135 | 641 789 | 726 810 | 91% 87% | 15% 21% | 16% 22% |
| BOISE CITY, ID | 926 319 | 90 | 229 | 20% | 307 | 707 5 | 201 | 63% | 11% | 12% |
| BOSTON, MA | 841 | 351 | 490 | 18% | 325 | 480 | 780 | 93% | 11% | 11% |
| BRIDGEPORT, CT | 423 | 176 | 247 | 26% | 246 | 170 | 393 | 93% | 18% | 18% |
| BUFFALO, NY CHARLOTTE, NC | 859 951 | 355 379 | 504 572 | 27% 27% | 357 310 | 491 614 | 795 848 | 93% - 89% | 17% 14% | 18% 11% |
| CHATTANOOGA, TN | 455 | 188 | 267 | 29% | 182 | 272 | 385 | 85% | 23% | 20% |
| CHESAPEAKE, VA | 291 | 113 | 178 | 21% | 136 | 153 | 249 | 86% | 13% | 11% |
| CHICAGO, IL CHULA VISTA, CA | 9280 281 | 4076 93 | 5204 188 | 29% 17% | 3462 238 | 5751 29 | 8272 211 | 89% 75% | 19% | 18% 9% |
| CINCINNATI, OH | 1141 | 443 | 698 | 32% | 325 | 811 | 1077 | 94% | 21% | 20% |
| CLEVELAND, OH | 1949 | 831 | 1118 | 28% | 628 | 1311 | 1837 | 94% | 20% | 21% |
| COLORADO SPRINGS, CO COLUMBUS, GA | 775 524 | 272 218 | 503 306 | 17% 28% | 631 163 | 116 361 | 556 450 | 72% 86% | 12% 21% | 12% 20% |
| COLUMBUS, OH | 1657 | 624 | 1033 | 22% | 858 | 777 | 1487 | 90% | 16% | 15% |
| CORPUS CHRISTI, TX | 978 | 425 | 553 | 30% | 914 | 57 | 782 | 80% | 18% | 20% |
| DALLAS, TX | 3841 | 1637 | 2204 | 29% | 2362 292 | 1450 | 3125 | 81% | 18% | 17% |
| DAYTON, OH DENVER, CO | 633 1471 | 255 643 | 378 828 | 19% 23% | 1198 | 337 208 | 575 1141 | 91% 78% | 22% 16% | 21% 16% |
| DES MOINES, IA | 441 | 181 | 260 | 23% | 341 | 86 | 361 | 82% | 14% | 13% |
| DETROIT, MI | 3344 | 1373 | 1971 | 27% | 388 | 2928 | 3178 | 95% | 24% | 20% |
| DURHAM, NC EL PASO, TX | 353 2140 | 135 906 | 218 1234 | 22% 23% | 99 2080 | 252 49 | 310 1358 | 88% 63% | 14% 16% | 13% 16% |
| FLINT, MI | 658 | 262 | 396 | 31% | 217 | 438 | 622 | 95% | 22% | 23% |
| FORT LAUDERDALE, FL | 557 | 251 | 306 | 30% | 128 | 428 | 507 | 91% | 15% | 15% |
| FORT WAYNE, IN FORT WOR T H, TX | 546 1652 | 200 683 | 346 969 | 22% 28% | 320 1111 | 219 516 | 482 1245 | 88% 75% | 16% 17% | 15% 17% |
| FREMONT, CA | 154 | 52 | 102 | 15% | 118 | 24 | 106 | 69% | 6% | 5% |
| FRESNO, CA | 1716 | 770 | 946 | 27% | 1280 | 185 | 1330 | 78% | 17% | 19% |
| GARDEN GROVE, CA GARLAND, TX | 271 502 | 118 223 | 153 279 | 17% 23% | 234 407 | 4 89 | 200 381 | 74% 76% | 11% 12% | 9% 14% |
| GLENDALE, AZ | 559 | 227 | 332 | 23 <i>%</i> 22% | 500 | 36 | 444 | 79% | 1270 | 13% |
| GLENDALE, CA | 122 | 44 | 78 | 15% | 114 | 2 | 88 | 72% | 7% | 5% |
| GRAND RAPIDS, MI GREENSBORO, NC | 590 358 | 272 151 | 318 207 | 27% 20% | 353 117 | 227 226 | 534 312 | 91% 87% | 16% 14% | 15% 12% |
| HAMPTON, VA | 283 | 107 | 176 | 21% | 106 | 174 | 230 | 81% | 13% | 14% |
| HIALEAH, FL | 243 | 93 | 150 | 17% | 232 | 11 | 168 | 69% | 10% | 10% |
| HONOLULU, HI | 324 | 103 | 221 3752 | 16% | 61 4302 | 15 2113 | 259 4656 | 80% | 7% 16% | 7% 16% |
| HOUSTON, TX HUNTING T ON BEACH, CA | 6485 161 | 2733 63 | 98 | 24% 12% | 150 | 2113 | 107 | 72% 66% | 6% | 6% |
| HUNTSVILLE, AL | 326 | 146 | 180 | 27% | 120 | 204 | 276 | 85% | 15% | 15% |
| INDIANAPOLIS, IN | 2106 | 818 | 1288 | 23% | 1205 | 892 | 1876 | 89% | 16% | 16% 11% |
| IRVING, TX JACKSON, MS | 376 738 | 158 336 | 218 402 | 22% 31% | 330 57 | 38 679 | 266 704 | 71% 95% | 12% 19% | 23% |
| JACKSONVILLE, FL | 1708 | 658 | 1050 | 24% | 783 | 898 | 1402 | 82% | 16% | 15% |
| JERSEY CITY, NJ | 569 | 224 | 345 | 22% | 218 | 327 | 522 | 92% | 15% | 15% |
| KANSAS CITY, KS KANSAS CITY, MO | 573 1180 | 249 486 | 324 694 | 28% 26% | 304 494 | 261 663 | 512 1062 | 89% 90% | 21% 17% | 22% 16% |
| KNOXVILLE, TN | 396 | 153 | 243 | 24% | 266 | 127 | 296 | 75% | 17% | 15% |
| LAKEWOOD, CO | 176 | 80 | 96 | 18% | 167 | 3 | 140 | 80% | 8% | 10% |
| LAREDO, TX LAS VÉGAS, NV | 785 1466 | 366 594 | 419 872 | 25% 21% | 784 1104 | 1 274 | 394 1151 | 50% 79% | 14% | 16% 13% |
| LEXINGTON-FAYETTE, KY | 375 | 162 | 213 | 17% | 235 | 138 | 297 | 79% | 14% | 11% |
| LINCOLN, NE | 299 | 119 | 180 | 16% | 255 | 29 | 254 | 85% | 9% | 10% |
| LITTLE ROCK, AR | 427 | 174 | 253 | 24% | 85 75.4 | 339 | 377 | 88% | 19% | 15% |
| LONG BEACH, CA LOS ANGELES, CA | 1149 8389 | 452 3466 | 697 4923 | 26% 23% | 754 7054 | 278 1196 | 849 6003 | 74% 72% | 13% 13% | 13% 12% |
| LOUISVILLE, KÝ | 1185 | 503 | 682 | 26% | 618 | 552 | 1051 | 89% | 20% | 18% |
| LUBBOCK, TX | 661 | 284 | 377 | 26% | 545 | 112 | 416 | 63% | 19% | 21% |
| MADISON, WI MEMPHIS, TN | 215 2402 | 84 1037 | 131 1365 | 17% 29% | 103 344 | 89 2041 | 184 2244 | 86% 93% | 7% 21% | 8% 21% |
| MESA, AZ | 850 | 302 | 548 | 21% | 791 | 28 | 649 | 76% | 12% | 13% |

continued on page 6



TABLE 3. BIRTHS TO TEENAGE MOTHERS IN LARGE CITIES, 1997, AND PERCENT OF ALL BIRTHS THAT OCCURRED TO TEENS IN 1997 AND 1991, continued

| CITY W. London J. P. Service B. 19. Barths With Book Under Age 20 Normacried 1991 9197 9197 1458 1458 1459 1459 1459 1459 1459 1459 1459 1459 | | Total | 17 and | Ages | Of all Teen Births for City, % that are Repeat | Births | ber of to Teens Age 20 | Number of Births to Unmarried Teen Mothers | Of all Births to Mothers Under Age 20, Percent | Of all I % f Moth Under A | to ners |
|--|-----------------|-------|--------|---------------|---|--------------|------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|------------|
| MINMARE, PULS, MA MINMARE, PULS, MA MINMARE, PULS, MA MINMARE, MA | | | | <u> 18-19</u> | | <u>White</u> | Black | Under Age 20 | Nonmarital | <u>1991</u> | 1997 |
| MAINHEPOLIS, MIN 876 381 495 26% 303 406 782 89% 14% 15% 15% MORILE, A. 4.01 254 356 27% 14% 303 405 78% 19% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MODBIEL AL 610 2544 356 27% 142 465 330 87% 19% 19% 19% MODBISTO, AL 610 2544 356 27% 142 465 330 87% 19% 19% MODBISTO, AL 610 2545 343 248 536 329 326 366 80% MODBISTO, AL 610 245 289 343 248 536 329 326 366 80% MODBISTO, AL 610 245 289 343 248 536 329 326 80% MODBISTO, AL 610 245 285 29% MODBISTO, AL 610 257 24% MODBISTO, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MODETOL, CA. 632 289 343 24% 538 31 507 80% 15% 17% MONTGOLMER ALL STATES AND | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MORENO VALLEY, CA 400 145 255 199% 252 124 326 82% 17% NASIFYL-DAWDOON TN 1138 473 685 248 560 575 899 89% 16% 16% 14% 15% 16% 14% 15% 15% 16% 14% 15% 15% 16% 14% 15% 15% 15% 16% 14% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 16% 14% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NASHYL-DAVIDSON, TN | | | | | | | | | | 19% | |
| NEWARK, N. 1017 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NEW ORLEANS, L. 1579 626 953 27% 76 1496 1507 95% 23% 22% 170 250 331 78% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NEWFORK NWS, VA NEW KYOK, VY 172 4573 4573 4572 4572 4573 4573 4572 4573 4573 4574 4574 4575 5572 1500 1500 1500 1578 1678 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOMERUS, WA 686 274 412 25% 700 472 557 81% 17% 18% OCEANSIGL, CA 6475 375 500 19% 314 491 625 71% OCEANSIGL, CA 6475 375 500 19% 314 491 625 71% OCEANSIGL, CA 6475 375 500 19% 314 491 625 71% OCEANSIGL, CA 647 128 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 2 | | | | | | 170 | 250 | 331 | 78% | | |
| OAKLAND, CA OAKLAND, CA OAKLAND, CA OCCANSIDE, CA 0402 121 281 278 278 278 278 278 27 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OCEANSIDE, CA. 402 121 281 27% 307 70 246 61% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OKJAHOJA CITY, OK 1237 487 750 24% 820 326 948 77% 19% 16% 16% OMAHA, NE 743 289 454 23% 447 278 649 87% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OMMARIO, CR. 947 178 289 454 23% 447 278 649 87% 13% 13% 13% OMMARIO, CR. 947 178 289 22% 422 33 3 70 79% 14% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ORLANDO, FIL. 941 356 595 28% 517 417 793 84% 16% 15% 15% OXNARDO, A. 538 226 312 23% 503 16 357 66% 15% 15% 15% PANCERN, C. 538 226 312 23% 503 16 357 66% 15% 15% 15% PANCERN, C. 538 226 312 23% 503 16 357 66% 15% 15% 15% PANCERN, C. 1 257 856 143 22% 508 110 357 66% 11% 95% PANCERN, C. 1 257 856 143 22% 508 110 258 120 25% 110 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | | | | | | | | | | 13% | |
| OVERLAND PARK, KS 62 16 46 18% 57 4 42 68% 13- 38 20 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OXNARD, CA 538 226 312 23% 503 16 357 66% 15% 15% 15% 15% PASADENA, CA 227 81 146 20% 158 58 172 76% 11% 9% PASADENA, CA 227 81 146 20% 158 58 172 76% 11% 9% PASADENA, CA 227 81 146 20% 158 58 172 76% 11% 9% PASADENA, CA 227 81 146 20% 158 58 172 76% 11% 9% PASADENA, CA 227 81 148 267 3881 95% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PASADENA, CA 227 81 146 20% 158 58 172 76% 11% 9% PATERSON, N I 559 256 303 23% 282 273 500 89% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PATERSON, NI 559 256 3032 23% 282 273 500 89% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PHOENIK, AZ 3754 1588 2166 25% 3322 288 3078 82% 16% 17% 17% 17% 17% 18% 17% 18% 17% 18% 17% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 17% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18 | | | | -303 | | | | | | | |
| PITSBURGH, PA PARAD, TX PITSBURGH, PA PALNO, TX PISON PARAD, TX POMONA, CA PTS PO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PLAND, TX | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POMONA, CA 475 190 285 26% 413 47 375 79% 15% PORTIAND, OR 765 294 471 19% 541 150 621 81% 12% 11% PROVIDENCE, RI 516 247 269 26% 361 90 462 90% 16% 20% RALEICH, NC 380 159 221 20% 154 218 323 85% 11% 9% RENO, NV 369 126 243 21% 331 16 248 67% 13% 13% 13% RENO, NV 369 126 243 21% 331 16 248 67% 13% 13% 13% RENO, NV 369 126 243 21% 331 16 248 67% 13% 13% 15% REO-HESTER, NY 41 12% 26% 298 439 710 82% 13% 15% SPORMENT, NY 41 12% 12% 26% 298 439 710 82% 13% 15% SPORMENT, NY 41 12% 12% 26% 298 439 710 82% 13% 15% SPORMENT, NY 41 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PORTUNENCE, RI 516 247 269 26% 361 90 462 90% 16% 20% RALEICH, NC 380 159 221 20% 154 218 323 85% 11% 9% RALEICH, NC 380 159 221 20% 154 218 323 85% 11% 13% 13% RICHMOND, VA 612 275 337 25% 89 518 582 95% 17% 19% RICHMOND, VA 612 275 337 25% 89 518 582 95% 17% 19% RICHMOND, VA 612 275 337 25% 89 518 582 95% 17% 19% ROCHESTER, NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 18% ROCHESTER, NY 745 333 412 26% 259 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 18% SACAMENTO, CA 1482 620 862 27% 800 413 1192 80% 14% 14% 17% 18% ST PULL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 1999 1186 97% 23% 21% 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 18% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROVIDENCE, RI 516 247 269 26% 3361 90 462 90% 16% 20% 20% RALEICH, NC 380 159 221 20% 154 218 323 85% 11% 9% RENO, NV 369 126 243 21% 331 16 248 67% 13% 13% 13% RENO, NV 369 126 243 21% 331 16 248 67% 13% 13% 13% RENO, NV 369 126 275 337 25% 89 518 582 95% 17% 19% RIVERSIDE, CA 870 329 541 25% 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 18% ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 18% ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 17% 17% 17% 18% ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 27% 800 413 1192 80% 14% 14% 51 LOUIS, MO 1228 563 665 27% 800 413 1192 80% 14% 14% 51 LOUIS, MO 1228 563 665 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 21% 51 FAUL, MN R. 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN R. 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN R. 722 303 3419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% S1 FETERSBURG, R. 1 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 3AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 18% 3AN BERNARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 13% 3AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 18% 3AN BERNARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 3AN FANARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% 1258 77% 11% 10% 1 | PORTLAND, OR | | | | | | | | | | |
| RENO, NV 369 126 243 21% 331 16 248 67% 13% 13% 13% RICHMOND, VA 612 275 337 25% 89 518 582 95% 17% 19% RIVERSIDE, CA 870 329 541 25% 763 82 714 82% 13% 15% ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 18% ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 17% 17% 58. ACRAMENTO, CA 1482 620 862 27% 800 413 1192 80% 14% 14% 5T LOUIS, MO 1228 563 665 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 21% 5T PAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 5T PETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 17% 5AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2994 64% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDINO, CA 174 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 11% 10% 5AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 4176 2040 26% 3429 307 1401 79% 11% 10% 5AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 4176 2040 26% 3429 309 2994 64% 17% 18% 5AN BERNARDINO, CA 1624 642 982 119% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% 5AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 4176 2040 26% 3429 307 1401 79% 11% 10% 5AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 4176 2040 26% 3429 307 1401 79% 11% 10% 5AN ANTONIO, TX 3756 4176 2040 26% 3429 309 2994 64% 17% 18% 5AN BERNARDINO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 11% 10% 3AN FRANCISCO, CA 1664 642 982 119% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% 3AN FRANCISCO, CA 1664 642 982 119% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% 3ANTA ANN, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 13% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 13% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 13% 13% 3ANTA ANN, CA 150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 13 | | | | | | | | | | 16% | 20% |
| RICHMOND, VA 612 275 337 25% 89 518 582 95% 17% 19% RIVERSIDE, CA 870 329 541 25% 763 82 714 82% 13% 15% ROCHESTER, NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 18% ROCHESTER, NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 18% ROCHESTER, NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 18% ROCHESTER, NY A50 12% 563 665 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% A50 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RIVERSIDE, CA 870 329 541 25% 763 82 714 82% 13% 15% ROCHESTER NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 17% 17% ROCHESTER NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 94% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 18% ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 17% 17% SACRAMENTO, CA 1482 620 882 27% 800 413 1192 80% 14% 14% 5T LOUIS, MO 1228 563 665 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 21% 5T PAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% ST PAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% ST PETESSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% SAIT LAKE CITY, UT 631 243 338 21% 557 25 445 71% 12% 11% SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDION, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDION, CA 1744 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN DIEGO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% SAN FAN SINCECO, CA 600 238 342 15% 323 175 440 73% 88 77% 111% 10% SAN FAN SINCECO, CA 1624 642 822 19% 1388 100 1258 77% 111% 10% SAN | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ROCHSTER, NY 745 333 412 26% 298 439 700 54% 17% 18% NCCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 18% SACRAMENTO, CA 1482 620 862 27% 800 413 1192 80% 14% 14% 14% ST CAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 186 97% 23% 21% ST ETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 15% SALT LAKE CITY, UT 631 243 388 21% 567 25 445 71% 12% 11% SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDINO, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDINO, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDINO, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN DIEGO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 11% 10% SAN FRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 7% SANJOSE, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% SANJANANAH, GA 546 233 313 26% 113 429 490 90% 21% 21% SCOTISDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SANJANAH, GA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 81% 65% SRENTER, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 81% 81% SEATILE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 81% 81% 67% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 126 344 351 81% 81% 87 SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 119% 123% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 119% 121% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 119% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 119% 11% 10% 570 570 570 570 570 570 570 570 570 570 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ROCKFORD, IL 451 192 259 21% 276 169 391 87% 17% 17% 17% 576 525 A56 A6MATHO, CA 1482 620 862 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 21% 51 A01015, MO 1228 563 665 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 21% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 51 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 17% 12% 17% 17% 18% 51 FAUL, MN 72 52 445 71% 12% 17% 12% 17% 18% 51 FAUL, MN 72 52 445 71% 12% 17% 12% 17% 51 MN 72 52 445 71% 12% 17% 12% 17% 51 MN 72 52 445 71% 12% 17% 12% 17% 51 MN 72 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 17% 18% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 12% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 12% 12% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 12% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 12% 12% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 12% 12% 51 MN 72 52 52 445 71% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ST LOUIS, MO 1228 563 665 27% 211 999 1186 97% 23% 218 15 FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 5T FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 5T FAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 17% 18% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 11% 17% 18% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 18% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 18% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 18% 17% 18% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 11% 19% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 243 309 2394 64% 17% 18% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 11% 10% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 11% 10% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 11% 10% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 11% 10% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 11% 10% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 57 71% 12% 12% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 542 12% 12% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 81% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 81% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 81% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 81% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 81% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 84% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 84% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 84% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 84% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 243 51 84% 13% 13% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 54 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 174 520 71% 15% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 244 54 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 174 520 71% 15% 15% 5T FETERSBURG, FL 570 344 51 12% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14 | | | | | | | | | 87% | 17% | |
| ST PAUL, MN 722 303 419 29% 305 190 614 85% 13% 15% ST PETERSBUG FIL 570 229 341 23% 242 305 510 89% 188 17% 517 17% 317 12% 111% 12% 12 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ST PETERSBURG, FL 570 229 341 239 341 239 342 305 510 89% 189% 179% SAN LTAKE CITY, UT 631 243 388 21% 567 25 445 717% 128 1198 SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 189% SAN BERNADINO, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 1818 SAN BERNADINO, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 1818 SAN DIEGO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 1118 10% SAN FRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 88% 7% SAN JOSE, CA 1624 642 982 15% 1318 100 1258 77% 1118 10% SANANANAH, GA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 700 69% 144% 13% SCOTISDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 1110 1 112 81% 82 SCOTISDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 1110 1 1112 81% 82 SCOTISDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 1110 1 1112 81% 88% 6% SHERVEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 1381 SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 17% 3218 2218 SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 17% 3218 2218 SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 19% 17A STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 118 10% 118 10% 118 10% 118 10% 118 10% 118 10% 118 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SALT LAKE CITY, UT 631 243 388 21% 557 25 445 71% 12% 11% SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 118% SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 118% SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 11% 10% SAN DIEGO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 77% 11% 10% SAN DIEGO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 7% SAN SAN FRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 77% 11% 10% SAN FRANCISCO, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% SANANAH, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 121% 121% 211% 221% 221% 221% 221% 22 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN ANTONIO, TX 3756 1716 2040 26% 3429 309 2394 64% 17% 18% SAN BERNARDINO, CA 774 348 426 28% 580 181 653 84% 17% 18% SAN DEGO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 11% 10% SAN FRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 7% SAN DEGO, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% SAN TRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 7% SAN JOSE, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% SANTA ANA, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% SCOTTSDALE, AZ 139 556 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SAVANNAH, GA 546 233 313 26% 1113 429 490 90% 21% 21% 201% SCOTTSDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SHEVEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 13% 12% 20% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 544 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% SPRINGFIELD, MA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 13% 15% SPRINGFIELD, MA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TALLAHASSEE, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TALLAHASSEE, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TALLAHASSEE, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TALLAHASSEE, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TALLAHASSEE, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% 17% 19% 17% 10RANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 88% 6% 11% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 111% 10% 11% 10% 111% 10% 11% 10% 111% 10% 11% 10% 111% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 1769 713 1056 22% 1264 307 1401 79% 111% 10% SAN FRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 7% SAN FRANCISCO, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% SANTA ANA, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% SAVANNAH, GA 546 233 313 26% 1113 429 490 90% 21% 21% SCOTTSDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SEATTLE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% SHREVEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 21% 20% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPKINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 5TOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% 17% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TALLAHASSEE, FL 116 503 673 24% 537 24% 136 19 196 81% 10% 11% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 196 81% 17% 15% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 18% 17% TOLEDO, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 18% 17% TOLEDO, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 10% AND ASSEMBLY AND ASSEMB | | | | | | | | | | | 18% |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 600 238 362 15% 323 175 440 73% 8% 77% 111% 10% SAN JOSE, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 111% 10% SAN JOSE, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 111% 10% SAN JAN, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 7 790 69% 14% 13% SAN JAN, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 7 790 69% 14% 13% SAN JAN, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 1 7 790 69% 11% 13% SAN JAN, CA 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SEATILE, WA 139 255 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SEATILE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% SHREVEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 21% 20% SPICK, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 421 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 13% 15% TALAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TALAHASSEE, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 88% 15% 10% 111% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 88% 6% 10% NASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% UNISON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN JOSE, CA 1624 642 982 19% 1358 100 1258 77% 11% 10% SANTA ANA, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% SANTA ANA, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 14% 13% SAVANNAH, GA 546 233 313 26% 110 1 112 81% 6% SCOTTSDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SEATTLE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% 58 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 590 514 581 91% 21% 590 514 581 91% 21% 590 514 581 91% 21% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 590 514 581 91% 21% 20% 514 581 91% 20% 514 581 91% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SANTA ANA, CA 1150 465 685 23% 1107 7 790 69% 11% 13% SAVANNAH, GA 546 233 313 26% 1113 429 490 90% 21% 21% 21% SCOTTSDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SEATTLE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% SEATTLE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% SPRINGEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 21% 20% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGEPIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% 17% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 10% 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAVANNAH, GA 546 233 313 26% 113 429 490 90% 21% 21% 21% SCOTTSDALE, AZ 139 55 84 20% 110 1 112 81% 6% SEATTLE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% SHREVEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 21% 20% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% TALCAMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 397 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 22 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 11% 10% 11% 10% 11% 105 78% 8% 6% 6% 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 18% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16% 16 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SEATILE, WA 436 167 269 18% 207 124 351 81% 8% 6% SHREVEPORT, LA 637 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 21% 20% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% | | | | | | | | | | 21% | |
| SHREVEPORT, LA 537 240 397 26% 120 514 581 91% 21% 20% SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 99% 19% 219% 219% SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% 19% 19% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 138 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 88% 6% TULSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 17% TULSO, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 97% 10% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 117% 16% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 219 260 43 294 998 144 197 86% 19% 19% 19% 1146 115% 1186 115% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 219 260 43 294 998 144 197 168 188 15% 374 374 374 374 374 374 374 374 374 374 | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| SPOKANE, WA 424 139 285 17% 375 24 344 81% 13% 13% SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 84% 13% 15% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPRINGFIELD, MA 491 213 278 26% 365 119 453 92% 19% 21% SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% | SPOKANE, WA | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPRINGFIELD, MO 308 93 215 19% 279 17 220 71% 15% 15% STOCKTON, CA 943 388 555 30% 572 168 774 82% 17% 18% SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% <td< td=""><td>SPRINGFIELD, MA</td><td>491</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.00</td><td>3.004</td><td></td></td<> | SPRINGFIELD, MA | 491 | | | | | | | 0.00 | 3.004 | |
| SYRACUSE, NY 443 210 233 27% 208 224 410 93% 19% 19% TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 8% 6% TULSA, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% <td>SPRINGFIELD, MO</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>71%</td> <td>15%</td> <td>15%</td> | SPRINGFIELD, MO | | | | | | | | 71% | 15% | 15% |
| TACOMA, WA 564 220 344 22% 364 135 473 84% 13% 15% TALLAHASSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 19% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 8% 6% TUCSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% TULSO, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TALLAHAŠSEE, FL 248 80 168 23% 73 172 216 87% - 12% TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 8% 6% TUCSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% TULSA, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% VRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TAMPA, FL 1176 503 673 24% 537 630 1016 86% 18% 17% TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 8% 6% TUCSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% TULSA, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TEMPE, AZ 243 97 146 19% 204 19 196 81% 10% 11% TOLEDO, OH 922 349 573 25% 529 381 864 94% 19% 17% TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 8% 6% TUCSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% TULSA, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TORRANCE, CA 135 54 81 19% 116 11 105 78% 8% 6% TUCSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% WARGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% 14% | | | | | | 204 | 19 | 196 | 81% | | |
| TUCSON, AZ 1380 507 873 22% 1250 67 1103 80% 16% 16% 16% TULSA, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% 16% VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WINSTON-SALEM, NC 430 173 257 23% 200 227 370 86% 18% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TULSA, OK 1012 416 596 31% 575 350 799 79% 16% 16% VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WINSTON-SALEM, NC 430 173 257 23% 200 227 370 86% 18% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 624 208 416 17% 374 221 478 77% 9% 10% WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WINSTON-SALEM, NC 430 173 257 23% 200 227 370 86% 18% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WARREN, MI 162 52 110 15% 148 8 139 86% 9% 9% WASHINGTON, DC 1236 528 708 31% 113 1118 1187 96% 17% 16% WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WINSTON-SALEM, NC 430 173 257 23% 200 227 370 86% 18% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | 221 | | | | |
| WICHITA, KS 931 362 569 22% 696 198 723 78% 14% 15% WINSTON-SALEM, NC 430 173 257 23% 200 227 370 86% 18% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | WARREN, MI | 162 | 52 | 110 | 15% | 148 | 8 | 139 | 86% | 9% | |
| WINSTON-SALEM, NC 430 173 257 23% 200 227 370 86% 18% 15% WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WORCESTER, MA 320 122 198 21% 260 43 294 92% 14% 14% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 12% |
| | | | | | | | 81 | 215 | 87% | 9% | 9% |

Source/Notes: 1997 data are unpublished data provided by Stephanie Ventura of the National Center for Health Statistics, Department of Health and Human Services;
1991 data are from the National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Statistics of the United States, 1991, vol 1, natality. Washington: Public Health Service. 1995; -- = not available.

Compiled by: Kristin Anderson Moore, Ph.D., Angela Romano Papillo, Stephanie Williams, Justin Jager, and Fanette Jones

Child Trends

4301 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 100, Washington, DC 20008 Phone 202/362-5580 Fax 202/362-5533 www.childtrends.org







U.S. Department of Education



Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

NOTICE

REPRODUCTION BASIS

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket) form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.

This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

EFF-089 (9/97)

