### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 436 489 SP 038 880

AUTHOR Ganser, Tom

TITLE Areas of Advice Seeking among Beginning Teachers in a

Mentoring Program.

PUB DATE 1999-10-14

NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Midwestern Educational Research Association (Chicago, IL,

October 13-16, 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Beginning Teacher Induction; \*Beginning Teachers; College

School Cooperation; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher

Education; \*Mentors

IDENTIFIERS University of Wisconsin Whitewater

### ABSTRACT

This study examined advice-seeking by beginning teachers. Researchers surveyed 128 beginning teachers and their mentors who were participants in the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater's Beginning Teacher Assistance Program, a university-based program that offers support to small-and medium-sized local school districts. Beginning teachers were asked to predict how often they would turn to their mentors for advice or help in 18 areas. Mentors were asked to predict how often their proteges would seek advice or help in the same 18 areas. Data analysis indicated that beginning teachers and their mentors generally overestimated how often they would come together to seek or give advice or help regarding the 18 areas represented in the survey. Help with policies and procedures and general support and encouragement remained prominent areas over the course of a year's program. The focus of mentoring tended to default to the areas of information about policy and procedures and support and encouragement. (SM)



# SP038880

# Areas of Advice Seeking Among Beginning Teachers in a Mentoring Program

### Tom Ganser

## University of Wisconsin-Whitewater

Prepared for presentation at the 1999 Annual Meeting of the Midwestern Educational

Research Association

Session T.1510.SE

October 14, 1999

Chicago, Illinois

Comments regarding this paper are welcomed by the author.

Telephone:

262-472-1123

Fax:

262-472-5716

E-mail:

gansert@mail.uww.edu

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as

- received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Interest in mentoring programs for beginning teachers, including experienced teachers new to district, has never been higher (Fideler & Hazelkorn, 1999; National Foundation for the Improvement of Education, 1999; Scherer, 1999). Formal induction programs have grown very rapidly during the past twenty-five years (Darling-Hammond & Sclan, 1996). This is likely to continue due to several trends that result in an increase in the number of beginning teachers entering the field, including increasing enrollment in schools, a wave of teacher retirements, and state and federal programs and policies that aim to reduce class size.

There is considerable variation in the structure of mentoring programs but the most common feature is promoting a professional relationship with a veteran teacher serving as a mentor and a new teacher. There is also considerable variability in the extent to which mentors and their protégés are provided with guidance in developing a working knowledge of their roles and responsibilities as mentors and protégés. At the safe time, it is safe to assume that in almost every case, new teachers will seek advice from their mentor on a wide variety of issues, ranging from school policies and procedures to suggestions for professional development activities. It is also reasonable to suppose that new teachers and mentors both have ideas about what the advice-seeking topics are likely to be.

This study is based on surveys of 128 participants in the University of Wisconsin-Whitewater *Beginning Teacher Assistance Program*, a university-based program that has been offered as a service to small to medium sized school districts in the area. It has existed since 1974 and is one of the longest continuously operating program of its type in the United States. More specifically, this study is based on surveys completed by



program participants between 1992-93 and 1998-99 in September and in April.

Additional information about the respondents is displayed in Table One.

On the September survey, beginning teachers were asked to predict how often they would turn to their mentor for advice or help in 18 different areas, and the mentors were asked to predict how often their protégés would seek their advice or help in the same 18 areas. Respondents were asked to indicate their prediction using a likert-type scale:

- 1 = Seldom or never
- 2 = Occasionally
- 3 =Neutral or no opinion
- 4 = Quite frequently
- 5 = Very often, on a regular basis.

On the April survey, respondents were presented with the same 18 items.

Beginning teachers were asked to indicate how often they turned to their mentor for advice or help in the 18 areas, and mentors were asked to indicate how often their protégé came to them for advice or help in the 18 areas. Results of the September survey are displayed in Table Two and results of the April survey are displayed in Table Three.

Tables Two and Three presents the mean ratings, the rank order for the 18 areas from high (=1) to low (=18), and the rank order correlation. Table Four displays the differences between the ratings (April rating minus September rating) for the 18 areas.

As indicated in Table Two, the mean rating on the September survey for all 18 areas is higher for the beginning teachers (3.86) than it is for the mentors (3.64). This suggests that the beginning teachers predicted they would seek advice from their mentors



to a greater extent than their mentors predicted their advice would be sought. However, the difference is not statistically significant. The two areas with the greatest means for both beginning teachers and mentors were (6) Finding out about policies and procedures (ranked one) and (13) General support and encouragement (ranked two). The area with the lowest mean was (16) Involvement in extracurricular activities (ranked eighteen). Two areas revealed statistically significant differences between the beginning teachers means and those of the mentors, (4) Motivating students (p < .05) and (14) Evaluation procedure for contract renewal (p < .01). In both cases, the beginning teachers predicted they would seek help in those areas more often than the mentors did. The correlation between the rankings for the areas is moderately positive, at 0.79.

Table Three reveals that the mean rating for the April survey for all 18 items is higher for the mentors (3.26) than it is for the beginning teachers (3.16). In other words, the mentors slightly overestimated how often the beginning teachers came to them for advice in comparison to the estimate of the beginning teachers. Although there is no statistical difference between the means, the grand mean for the 18 areas is considerably less when compared to the September survey, dropping from 3.86 to 3.16 for the mentors, and from 3.64 to 3.26 for the beginning teachers. The two areas with the greatest means are (6) Finding out about policies and procedures and (13) General support and encouragement, as was the pattern in the September survey. The two areas with the lowest means are (17) Involvement in extracurricular activities and (18) Specific instructional techniques and methods. There were no significant differences in the means for any of the area and the correlation between the rankings for the areas was positive at 0.87.



Table Four reveals that for the beginning teachers, there was a drop in the means for all 18 areas, ranging from -1.07 for (14) Motivating students to -0.28 for (1) Communicating with colleagues, when April ratings are compared to September ratings, suggesting that beginning teachers overrated in September how often they would come to their mentor for advice. For the mentors, the same pattern of a drop in means, ranging from -0.70 for (17) Other aspects of the job to -0.13 for (15) Personal concerns and matters was true for all areas except area (7) Planning, organizing, and managing time and work, where there was a slight increase for the mentors' rating. For areas (4), (5), (7), (14), and (16) the difference between the mentors' ratings and the beginning teachers' rating was significantly different at the p < .05 level and for areas (10) and (11) it was significantly different at the p < .01 level. The correlation between the rank order of the 18 areas (with rank one representing the greatest drop in means) was moderately positive at 0.65.

The results of this study suggest that beginning teachers and mentors generally overestimated how often they would come together to seek or give advice or help regarding the 18 areas represented in the survey. At the same time, help with policies and procedures, and general support and encouragement appear to remain prominent areas over the course of a year's program. Knowing that the resources available in the schools in support of day-to-day mentoring activities tend to be scant, it is not surprising that the focus of mentoring, as revealed in this study, tends to "default" to the areas of information about policy and procedures, and support and encouragement, which come very naturally to mentors and their protégés. In addition, the sometimes significant



differences between mentors' and beginning teachers' teaching assignment make an emphasis on teaching and instructional matters less likely.



Table One Respondent characteristics

		BEGI	NNING TEACHERS	MENT	ΓORS
		N	Percent	N	Percent
Gende	r				
	Female	41	64.1	45	70.3
	Male	23	35.9	19	29.7
Age R	ange				
Ũ	24 or fewer years	32	50.8	0	0
	25 to 34 years	26	41.3	16	26.7
	35 to 44years	5	7.9	16	26.7
	45 to 54 years	0	0	25	41.7
	55 or more years	0	0	3	5.0
Grade	Level				
	Elementary	20	31.3	19	29.7
	Middle School	19	29.7	21	32.8
	High School	22	34.4	21	32.8
	Two levels	3	4.7	3	4.7
Туре					
- <b>J</b> F -	Regular Education	58	92.1	59	93.7
	Special Education	5	7.9	4	6.3
Teach	ing Experience				
	0 years	48	75.0	0	0
	up to 5 years	14	21.9	6	10.2
	6 to 14 years	1	1.6	23	39.0
	15 to 24 years	1	1.6	22	37.3
	25 or more years	0	0	8	13.3



Table	Table Two September survey	BEGII	BEGINNING TEACHERS	TEAC	HERS	MEN	MENTORS			
	Variable	Z	Mean	SD	Rank	Z	Mean	SD	Rank	
-:	Communicating with colleagues	63	3.79	0.95	11	54	3.69	0.99	∞	
2.	Assessing students and evaluating student work	52	3.62	0.89	14	53	3.34	1.00	13.5	
3.	Dealing with individual student differences	63	3.92	0.97	∞	53	3.92	0.85	3.5	
4.	Motivating students	63	3.95	0.99	9	53	3.58	0.93	11	*
5.	Finding out what is expected of beginning teacher	62	4.24	98.0	3	52	3.92	0.88	3.5	
9.	Finding out about policies and procedures	62	4.50	0.67	1	53	4.45	0.75	_	
7.	Planning, organizing, and managing time and work	62	3.42	1.08	15	53	3.25	1.04	16	
∞.	Managing the classroom and discipline strategies	62	3.92	86.0	∞	53	3.74	0.84	9	
9.	Obtaining instructional resources and materials	62	3.77	1.12	12	53	3.91	1.11	2	
10.	Communicating with parents, parent teacher conf	62	3.92	0.91	∞	53	3.72	0.89	7	
11.	Short-term and long-term planning	62	3.39	1.05	16	53	3.21	0.99	17	
12.	Specific instructional techniques and methods	61	3.38	1.05	17	. 53	3.34	96.0	13.5	
13.	General support and encouragement	62	4.42	0.78	2	53	4.40	0.74	7	
14.	Evaluation procedure for contract renewal, etc.	62	4.13	1.08	4	53	3.60	0.91	10	* *
15.	Personal concerns and matters	62	3.66	1.13	13	53	3.38	1.16	12	
16.	Involvement in extracurricular activities, etc.	62	3.29	1.09	18	52	2.96	1.25	18	
17.	Other aspects of the job (role of union, etc.)	62	4.00	1.01	5	53	3.68	0.87	6	
18.	Continuing professional development	62	3.85	1.07	10	53	3.32	1.07	15	
	Grand mean for September survey	63	3.86	09.0		54	3.64	0.56		
	* p < .05									

Rank Order Correlation = 0.79

တ



Rank Order Correlation = 0.87



12

ıting)	
stember ra	
Sep	
minus	
rating	
pril	
₹.	
Differences	

Table Four

				*	*		*			* *	* *			*		*			
	Rank	===	6	4	13.5	∞	18	10	3	12	16	7	16	9	16	7	-	2	
	SD 1.06	1.32	1.18	1.30	1.18	1.09	1.37	1.21	1.29	1.23	1.30	1.44	0.88	1.12	1.28	1.21	1.28	1.53	
MENTORS	Mean	-0.21	-0.30	-0.51	-0.17	-0.32	90.0	-0.26	-0.62	-0.19	-0.13	-0.64	-0.13	-0.43	-0.13	-0.36	-0.70	-0.47	
MEN	Z 4	47	47	47	46	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	46	47	47	47	
IERS	Rank	12	14	1.5	10	15	13	6	11	9	7.5	1.5	16	3	17	7.5	5	4	
FEACE	SD 1.25	1.32	1.19	1.27	1.40	1.31	1.46	1.36	1.12	1.28	1.46	1.32	1.15	1.34	1.31	1.27	1.39	1.56	
BEGINNING TEACHERS	Mean	-0.60	-0.50	-1.07	-0.72	-0.49	-0.56	-0.73	-0.68	-0.88	-0.86	-1.07	-0.39	-1.05	-0.34	-0.86	-0.96	-1.04	
BEGI	Z 5	50	28						57	57	57	99	99	99	99	<u> </u>	99	99	
	Variable Communicating with colleagues	Assessing students and evaluating student work	Dealing with individual student differences	Motivating students	Finding out what is expected of beginning teacher	Finding out about policies and procedures	Planning, organizing, and managing time and work	Managing the classroom and discipline strategies		Communicating with parents, parent teacher conf	Short-term and long-term planning	Specific instructional techniques and methods	General support and encouragement	Evaluation procedure for contract renewal, etc.	Personal concerns and matters	Involvement in extracurricular activities, etc.	Other aspects of the job (role of union, etc.)	Continuing professional development	* p < .05
	_	: 7	3	4.	5.	9	7.	∞:	6	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	

Rank Order Correlation = 0.65





### References

Darling-Hammond, L., & Sclan, E. M. (1996). Who teaches and why: Dilemmas of building a profession for the twenty-first century. In J. Sikula, T. J. Buttery, & E. Guyton (Eds.), <u>Handbook of research on teacher education</u> (2nd ed.) (pp. 67-101). New York: Macmillan.

Fideler, E. F., & Haselkorn, D. (1999). <u>Learning the ropes: Urban teacher induction programs and practices in the United States.</u> Belmont, MA: Recruiting New Teachers.

National Foundation for the Improvement of Education. (1999). <u>Creating a teacher mentoring program.</u> Washington, D.C.: Author.

Scherer, M. (Ed.) (1999). A better beginning: Supporting and mentoring new teachers. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.





### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

Title: AREAS of ADVICE SEEKING AMONG BEIND A MENTORING PROGRAM	GINNING TEACHERS
Author(s): TOM GANSER	***************************************
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:
	1999

### II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND **DISSEMINATE THIS** MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.\*

Sign hereplease Signature:

mo (gense

Organization/Address:

OFFICE OF FIELD EXPERIENCES - 113 **UW-WHITEWATER** 800 W MAIN ST

WHITEWATER WI 53190-1790

Printed Name/Position/Title:

DIRECTUR, OFFICE

OF FIELD EIRER

TOM GANSER

Telephone: FAX:

D62-472-1128 262-472-5716

E-Mail Address: Date:

ganser+@mail. 11/10/99

Date:



# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

ublisher/Distributor:			•		
ddress:			<u> </u>	. COLUMN DECEMBER OF THE	
rice:				n ation and the state to the state of the st	.pr +45 - <del>\$49</del> \$ -90
V. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIC	GHT/REPRO	DUCTION RIGI	HTS HOL	DER:	
If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone ot					.ddrass
Name:		<del></del>		• 🔨	· .
iame.				•	•
ddress:	<u></u>			<del></del>	
		•			
		·			
				٠	
		_ <del></del>			
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:					
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:					

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100 Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305

> Telephone: 301-258-5500 FAX: 301-948-3695 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

