

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 434 528

FL 026 007

AUTHOR Lyons, Caryl; Bolton, Sandra
TITLE Using Rater Comments in Large-Scale Writing Assessment.
PUB DATE 1999-03-11
NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (33rd, New York, NY, March 1999). An earlier version of this article appeared in the Summer 1999 issue of "MidTESOL Matters - A Publication of Mid-America Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages."
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *English (Second Language); Evaluation Criteria; *Evaluation Methods; Foreign Countries; *Language Tests; North American English; *Rating Scales; Scoring; Secondary Education; *Writing Evaluation
IDENTIFIERS *American College Testing Program; Japanese People

ABSTRACT

The paper describes the system of rater comments used in an American College Testing (ACT) Program English language test designed for use with Japanese secondary school students learning English as a foreign language. The writing portion of the test consists of two short essays, each scored by two native speakers of North American English, using a six-point rubric. In addition to giving the essay points, the first reader of each essay may select four comments from a standardized list concerning the essay's fluency and development, organization, vocabulary, and language elements. Mechanics and spelling are not addressed. Raters are trained to look for meaning in the work and to see past mechanical problems in the writing. Rater comments are to provide (1) praise and (2) suggestions for improvement. Examples of comments in each area are included. Advantages of this approach include the time saved by teachers in providing a comment by number, and the ease with which standardized comments can be understood by students. Contains one reference. (MSE)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Caryl Lyons

Using Rater Comments in Large-Scale Writing Assessment

Caryl Lyons and Sandra Bolton, ACT, Inc.

Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages

New York City, March 11, 1999, 8:30 a.m.

Session #4619

What are Rater Comments?

Rater Comments are comments about writing that raters can choose from a list of available comments. The purpose of these Rater Comments is to give students more information on the results of their writing assessment than simply numerical scores. The Comments are not given to justify the student scores but rather are designed to give additional narrative feedback to students that they and their teachers can use to build student confidence and to improve writing skills in the classroom.

For the past two years, ACT has been working on a contract with the Benesse Corporation of Japan to produce an English language test to be used for Japanese secondary students who are learning English as a Foreign Language. The purpose of the Benesse English Test is to test English—basically American English—in an authentic and communicative way. The Benesse test contains sections on listening, reading, and writing. The listening portion is based on authentic American speech patterns, and the reading portion is based on authentic reading materials. The direct writing assessment asks the students to write two short essays. Students have 10 to 12 minutes to write each essay. Since all three sections of the test are designed to fit into two class periods in Japanese junior and senior high schools, the writing section must be completed in a total of 20 or 25 minutes, depending on which level of test a student is taking.

Each essay is scored by two native speakers of American English using a 6-point rubric. Scoring is holistic but based on the traits listed within the rubric, namely fluency or development, organization, vocabulary, and language elements. In addition to giving a score of 1 to 6 points, the first rater of each essay gives it four comments selected from the list of comments.

What are the underlying assumptions?

Rater Comments have been used previously for several of ACT's other writing assessments such as the 8th and 10th grade assessments and the portfolio assessment, though the list was modified and added to for this EFL writing assessment. Some of the main assumptions that underlie the Rater Comments are:

1. Raters try to convey perceptions of achievement and areas needing improvement specific to each paper. When giving comments to a

ED 434 528

FL 026007

paper, Raters are asked to think of what they would like to say to a student if they were able to have a one-to-one conference with that student—what they might say about the strengths of the writing and what they might say that would help the student revise this paper or improve the next one.

2. The tone of the response is supportive and facilitative. We want to build the student's confidence, not undermine it.
3. Responses are as explicit as possible and are related to information shared in the classroom as much as possible.
4. Raters are of necessity responding to "final" drafts, but, with their choice of comments, they can encourage revision and different approaches and behaviors in future work. On the Benesse test, for example, students will get their papers back with these comments so they can be used for revision purposes in the classroom
5. Raters use four comments for each paper. They are asked to use at least one positive and one improvement comment. The other two comments are left to raters' discretion. We've learned through feedback on other projects that all students, no matter how experienced, need positive encouragement on their writing. Then, too, even the best student writers want to be shown how they can improve, and, of course, the less experienced writers need suggestions for improvement.

How are Rater Comments used?

The Rater Comments come from the same four areas that are measured by the rubric: fluency and development, organization, vocabulary, and language elements. Notice that mechanics and spelling are not included among these comments. The rubric considers these things only to the extent that they interfere with meaning—to the extent that the errors are global rather than local errors, to use terms used in *TheGooficon* by Burt and Kiparsky.

After ACT receives the student papers from Japan, the next task is to prepare raters, most of whom are accustomed to working with native speaker writing. Raters learn what to look for (or NOT to look for) when rating papers written in a second language. We give the raters a crash course in ESL/EFL with lots of examples from student writing, prior to actually training them on sets of papers representing the various score points.

The main point of our training is to teach raters how to look for meaning, to try to understand what has been written, even when it is

confusing to them in some way. For example, it is an easy thing to train raters to read words that are spelled with "r"s and "l"s that are reversed, and they easily learn to read "green grass" even though the paper says "green glass," or to see that sometimes Japanese students will write the extra, epenthesized vowel that they often say when pronouncing an English word with a consonant cluster. One of our favorite words is "stores." It looks like the English word "stores," but when you see the context you can tell that it means "stress."

Raters also need to learn that students may not be accustomed to English punctuation and that they may write sentences in a "listing" format rather than in a paragraph format. We mention the difficulties that nearly all speakers and writers of English as a second or foreign language have with verb forms, pronouns, prepositions, and articles. We point out a few specific words that Japanese students may use in their essays, such as the word "shinkansen" which means "bullet train." We discuss how hard it is to use the appropriate tone and register in a language that is not your L1, and we show how "organization" is often evidenced by the use of simple coordinating or subordinating conjunctions or perhaps by simple conjunctive adverbs such as "first," "second," and "third".

With this background, we then introduce raters to actual student papers, practice scoring using the rubric, and then practice giving comments to the papers.

The comments fall under the four categories mentioned before. Within each category are two kinds of comments: *praise* and *suggestions for improvement*. Since regardless of the quality of the paper, the requirement is that at least one of the four comments must be praise and at least one must be a suggestion for improvement, the list of comments includes a variety of comments. This means, for example, that the list must include some praise comments that could be used for a low-level paper with many problems as well as suggestions for improvement that could be given to a high-level paper with few problems.

What do Rater Comments look like?

Below are just a few examples of the kinds of comments that can be used, listed in the four categories mentioned above:

Fluency and Development

Praise

You use clear reasons to support your opinions.

Suggestions for Improvement

Your writing would be more interesting if you added related details about what you see, hear, taste, or smell.

Vocabulary

Praise

The words you use are usually accurate.

Suggestions for Improvement

Greater variety in your word choice would make your writing more interesting.

Organization

Praise

The transitions in your writing show clear connections among ideas.

Suggestions for Improvement

Following your ideas was sometimes difficult.

Language Elements

Praise

Your use of a variety of sentence structures helps make your writing interesting.

Suggestions for Improvement

You might consider combining several ideas into one sentence.

Additional Uses for Rater Comments

We use the Rater Comments in large-scale assessment, but we believe that the practice of giving comments from a list is also adaptable to various kinds of writing response. For example, comments could be useful for writing that is done for university, community college, or IEP placement testing so that a student, as well as the teacher, would receive some feedback from the writing based on what the teachers in the program feel is important. In a classroom setting, a teacher could devise his or her own list of comments based on what that teacher would like to focus on. Or a teacher could develop a comprehensive list of comments but choose a particular group of comments to focus on for a particular type of writing or a particular assignment.

One advantage for the **teacher** is the time-saving factor of writing down a number rather than a prose comment. After working with a list of comments for a while, one begins to know, without looking, which number goes with which comment. An advantage for **students** is that teachers can discuss this focused list of comments with students so that students understand what

each comment means. One size never fits all, but research indicates that ESL students sometimes don't understand the comments that their teachers write in the margins of their papers, or that sometimes they can't read the teacher's handwriting. A list of comments could also be used for peer feedback among students. So using a list of comments to give at least part of the response to ESL/EFL writing in various settings is something to consider.

Reference

Burt, M.K., & Kiparsky, C. (1972). *The Gooficon: A Repair Manual for English*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House Publishers, Inc.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

TESOL 99
FL026007



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Using Rater Comments in Large-Scale Writing Assessment	
Author(s): Caryl Lyons and Sandra Bolton	
TESOL 99 Papers? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No. If No, was this presented elsewhere? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No. Please specify! <i>Published in MidTESOL Matters</i>	Publication Date: 3-11-99 (Presentation) Summer 1999

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1



Level 2A



Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please →

Signature: <i>Caryl Lyons</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Caryl Lyons/Test Development Associate	
Organization/Address: ACT, Inc. 2201 North Dodge Street, Iowa City, IA 52243	Telephone: 319/337-1733	FAX: 319/341-2335
	E-Mail Address: Lyons@act.org	Date: 7-1-99

