

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 433 859

JC 980 356

AUTHOR Tollefson, Terrence A.
TITLE Oregon Community Colleges Respond to Property Tax Rollbacks.
PUB DATE 1997-08-00
NOTE 14p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Community Colleges; *Educational Finance; Enrollment;
*Finance Reform; Financial Problems; Financial Support;
Governance; Governing Boards; *Government School
Relationship; *State Aid; State Boards of Education; *Tax
Allocation
IDENTIFIERS *Oregon Community College System

ABSTRACT

This document contains an historical overview of the Oregon community college system, including its overall mission and student enrollment information for the 1989-94 academic years. The paper describes how Oregon community colleges enjoyed strong state and local tax support until the beginning of a recession in the early 1980's. Declines in the state's share of funding began to occur, followed by the enactment of two constitutional amendments--Measure 5 in 1990, and Measure 47 in 1996. Measure 5 is summarized, and its negative financial effects are outlined. The effects of Measure 47 also are described, as are possible forms of relief for education. Oregon community college governance and coordination are discussed, with reference to the Office of Community College Services Administrative Agency, the State Board of Education, and local boards specific to each college. College ranking information is provided, as well as a financial support summary for the 1976-93 fiscal years by local and state resources. State appropriations are listed by fiscal year. A higher education fact file is included. (Contains 22 references) (AS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Oregon Community Colleges Respond to Property Tax Rollbacks

Terrence A. Tollefson

East Tennessee State University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Terrence A. Tollefson

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Oregon Community Colleges Respond to Property Tax Rollbacks

Terrence A. Tollefson
August, 1997

Oregon community colleges enjoyed strong state and local tax support until a long recession began in the early 1980s. Declines in the state share of funding started first, (Holland, in Tollefson & Fountain, 1992), followed by two constitutional amendments enacted by statewide referenda, first Measure 5 in 1990 and then Measure 47 in 1996. The impact of Measure 5 was to restrict severely the local property taxes (Lausberg, 1995). The impact of Measure 47 is yet to be determined, as it did not take effect until July 1, 1997 (*Voter's Pamphlet, Vol. 1.1, 1996*).

Historical Overview

A 1949 legislative act authorized local school districts in Oregon to form extension centers offering college-level work. Three such centers were established, but only Central Oregon Community College in Bend has survived. In 1959, the legislature enacted a bill permitting the establishment of independent community college districts, but the accompanying appropriation was so small that no such districts were formed. Under more flexible 1961 legislation, with larger appropriations, 13 community college districts were established by 1971.

Mission

Since its statutory inception in 1961, the overall mission of the Oregon Community College System has been comprehensive. The colleges are authorized to offer college transfer and vocational-technical programs leading to associate degrees, short-term occupational programs, academic guidance and counseling, and continuing education for adults (Kreider, 1997). The Oregon Community Colleges also provide small business development centers and educational programs in

corrections institutions. (Holland, in Tollefson & Fountain, 1992). Several recent legislative acts have extended the mission of the Oregon Community Colleges. Workforce 2000, Oregon Shines and the 1991 Oregon Educational Act for the 21st Century (House Bill 3565) collectively support the community college role to facilitate the achievement of a goal to make Oregon's workforce equal to the best in the world. In 1994, Robert Reich, then U.S. Secretary of Labor, cited the Oregon Community Colleges as "leading the nation" in that regard. (Kreider, 1995).

Fall enrollments in recent years were reported as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Fall Enrollment</u> |
|-------------|------------------------|
| 1989 | 74,536 |
| 1990 | 76,827 |
| 1991 | 79,282 |
| 1992 | 80,048 |
| 1993 | 80,239 |
| 1994 | 78,376 |

Sources: *Almanac*, 1991-96, *Chronicle of Higher Education*.

With the possible exception of 1994, the Oregon community colleges' fall headcount enrollment does not appear to have been negatively affected by Measure 5.

Governance and Coordination

The State Board of Education meets at least once each month in a separate session to consider community college matters. Its administrative agency is the Office of Community College Services, whose chancellor is appointed by the state board. The state board is authorized to approve degree programs, establish statewide policies and procedures for financial and student accounting, develop

system-wide requests for budgets and legislation, and approve community college construction projects.

The State Board of Education has seven members, one from each of the state's five Congressional districts and two from the state at large. Members are appointed for four-year terms by the governor and must be confirmed by the state senate. The State Board of Education, in its statutory capacity as the coordinating board for community colleges, was authorized to appoint the Commissioner of the Office of Community College Services.

Each college has a locally elected governing board of seven members. Local boards are authorized to hire presidents and other personnel, establish budgets and determine educational programs. According to former Commissioner Michael Holland, "The Oregon system is best described as one that vests significant day-to-day governing authority with local boards and broad coordinating responsibility with the state board." (in Tollefson & Fountain, 1992, p.177).

The Oregon community college system was ranked by Garrett (1992) in a nationwide index of state-centralized authority (N=44) as ninth from the bottom, meaning it was ninth from the top in local autonomy. In another study, involving 19 large state community college systems, Fonte (1993) found that Oregon was among the nine states with the lowest degree of state regulation. Fonte ranked Oregon community colleges low in state regulation of budget flexibility, low in budget form, low in expenditure oversight, low in tuition and revenue control, low in the state regulation of local authority, and low in personnel control. The findings of a third study of 41 state community college systems (Ingram & Tollefson, 1996) were that Oregon ranked third in overall local decision-making authority, sixth in academic decision-making authority, twelfth in local personnel decision-making authority, and second in local administrative decision-making authority.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE ³

Funding

In the early years, the legislature provided sufficient funds to support over half of direct instructional costs and more than two-thirds of capital project costs. State support of operating costs gradually declined to about 41 percent, but local tax referenda generally made up the difference. In the recession period from 1979 to 1983, state support was eroded to about 30 percent of operating costs. In response to declining state funding support, the community colleges' response was to initiate a proposal to the 1987 legislature that, when enacted, created the Office of Community College Services. It was organized in 1987 as a separate state agency under the State Board of Education, and the law eliminated all supervisory authority of the state superintendent of education. (Holland, in Tollefson & Fountain, 1992).

In the 1976-77 fiscal year, state appropriations for Oregon community college current expenses totaled \$35,606,000, and the corresponding local financial support was \$24,835,000. The proportional shares for that year were 58.9 percent state and 41.1 percent local. No state funds were appropriated for Oregon community college capital outlay in 1976-77, but a biennial appropriation of \$20,850,000 was made in 1977-78. Local funding for capital outlay was \$9,070,000 in 1976-77 and a then-estimated \$10,000,000 in 1977-78. (Tollefson, Adkins & Buysse, 1980). These figures seem to indicate an approximate 50-50 split between local and state support for community college capital outlay during that period.

Holland (in Tollefson & Fountain, 1992) described the proportional financial support for community college operations as approximately 50 percent from local property taxes, 30 percent from the state and 20 percent from tuition. At that time tuition averaged about \$245 per quarter, or \$735 for a three-quarter year. Holland reported, "State construction funds, when appropriated, pay for 65

percent of project costs. Local sources must be available to provide for remaining project costs" (p.177). Kreider (1997) updated Holland's information as follows:

The state provided approximately 65% of construction costs, with other sources coming from local sources and local taxes. The 1970s and 1980s were void of any substantial state support for construction. However, in 1987 the legislature appropriated \$8.5 million for construction projects for 11 college districts and in 1989 funded \$3 million for Clackamas. No funds were approved for construction by the 1991 or 1993 legislature (p.161).

Since 1990, Oregon's property tax rollbacks and caps on annual increases have variously been described as a "property-tax revolt" (*Chronicle of Higher Education Almanac*, 1991, p.84) and an "artificial depression" (*Change*, 1991, November/December, p.44).

Apparently in response to a recession in the 1980s and led by Bill Sizemore, President of Oregon Taxpayers United, two state constitutional amendments were adopted by statewide referenda. The first, Measure 5, was enacted in November of 1990. (Lausberg, 1995; & Hackstadt, 1997) Measure 5 was summarized (*Ballot, Measure 5, 1990*) as follows:

Amends constitution. Limits 1991-92 property taxes for public schools to \$15, and property taxes for non-school government operations to \$10 per \$1000 of market value. Schools limit gradually decreases to \$5 per \$1000 in 1995 -96 and after. Government operations limit remains same. Limits do not apply to government assessments, service charges, taxes to pay certain government bonds. Assessments, service charges shall not exceed cost of making improvements, providing services. General Fund to replace, until 1996, school funds lost due to school limits (p.1).

Community colleges are considered part of public schools for the purpose of applying Measure 5 (*Chronicle of Higher Education Almanac*, 1993, August 23; Frohnmayer G., [President of the University of Oregon] in Hackstadt, 1996, February 20).

The negative financial effects of Measure 5 have been far greater on Oregon's state universities than on its community colleges, because of the requirement to replace the community colleges' lost property taxes with state funds. The negative effects on state universities have included an 11-percent reduction in state appropriations in the 1991-93 biennium, the elimination of over 90 academic programs and nearly a 150-percent increase in non-resident tuition (*Chronicle of Higher Education Almanac*, 1993, August 25). Tuition at the University of Oregon increased \$1,293 for resident students and \$4,046 for non-resident students from 1990-1991 to 1995-96, the university's general fund appropriation declined by \$52.4 million, and the university has lost approximately 1,500 students in that period. (Rossol, S., 1996, February 22).

The Oregon Taxpayers Union intended that Measure 5 would severely restrict taxes on residential property. The effects of Measure 5 were reduced because market values on single-family residences increased by an average of 49.3 percent by 1993-94, which was much more than the increase for commercial property. That led to the initiative and referendum on Measure 47 in 1996 (Lausberg, C.H., 1995, April).

Measure 47, enacted in November of 1996, amended the state constitution to restrict property taxes to the lesser of 1994-95 levels or 90 percent of 1995-96, and set an annual limit in property tax increases of three percent (*Oregon Daily Emerald*, 1996, November 27). The effects of Measure 47 are still largely unknown, because the ballot summary stated, "Direct revenue losses to each type of local government unit, including local school districts, community colleges, cities, counties, and fire

districts, will depend on legislative action" (*Voter's Pamphlet, Vol. 1, Measures*, 1996, November 5, p.213). Oregon has no sales taxes at either state or local levels. State general fund revenue is derived primarily from state income taxes. The possibility of adding a state sales tax has been discussed (Oregon Daily Emerald Online, 1996, November 26).

Other possible forms of relief for education considered have included the use of state lottery proceeds, which grew from \$84 million in 1990-91 to a projected \$700 million in 1995-97, and the proposed establishment of a permanent state endowment fund for education (Lausberg, C.H., 1995, April).

Oregon's community colleges received state appropriations for operating expenses in recent years as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|---------------|---------------|
| 1990-91 (1) | \$124,228,000 |
| 1992-93 (2) | \$101,321,000 |
| 1993-94 (2) | \$ 90,305,000 |
| 1994-95 (2&3) | \$ 92,130,000 |
| 1995-96 (3) | \$166,289,000 |
| 1996-97 (3) | \$167,289,000 |

Sources:

Honeyman, Wattenbarger & Williamson (1991)

Palmer, J.C., 1995

Hines, E.R., & Higham, J.R., III (1997)

The figures for 1995-96 and 1996 were overstated relative to the amount for 1994-95, because, "...beginning in FY 1995-96, there was a change in the manner in which the funds were appropriated" (Hines & Higham, 1997, p.17).

Community college tuition and fees in Oregon averaged \$1,324 per student in 1994-95, which was approximately 11 percent above the national average of \$1,194. Community college faculty salaries averaged \$40,213, which was about 96 percent of the \$42,101 national average (Tollefson, 1997).

REFERENCES

- Ballot Measure No.5* (1990, November 6). Salem Oregon: Office of the Secretary of State [inferred]
- Hackstadt, S. (1997, March 14). Online:hacks@cs.uoregon.edu
- Fonte, R.W. (1993). The impact of State Financing and Regulation on the local orientation of Community Colleges. *Community College Review*, 21(1), 4-14.
- Frohnmayr, D. (1995, May 6), in It's the worst of times for Oregon higher education. Eugene: *Register Award*, 1995, May 6, transcribed by Hackstadt, S. (1996, February 20). On-line: hacks@cs.uoregon.edu
- Garrett, R.L. (1992). Degree of centralization of governance of state community college systems in the United States, 1990. *Community College Review*, 20(1), 7-13.
- Hines, E.R. & Higham, J.R., III (1997). *State Higher Education Appropriations, 1996-97*. Denver: State Higher Education Executive Officers.
- Honeyman, D., Williamson, J.L. & Wattenbarger, J.C. (1991). *Community College Financing, 1990*. Washington, D.C.: American Association of Community and Junior Colleges.
- Ingram, W.G. & Tollefson, T.A., (1996, March - April). Local autonomy is alive and well: The results of a national study on locations of effective decision-making authority in state community college systems. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 20(2), 133-150.
- Lausberg, C.H. (1995, April). *Oregon School Finance Update*. (Eric Documents Reproduction Service No. ED 384 994).
- The nation (*Almanac*, 1991, August 28). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 38(1), 4-7.
- The nation (*Almanac*, 1992, August 21). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 39(1), 4-7
- The nation (*Almanac*, 1993, August 25). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 40(1), 6-9.
- The nation (*Almanac*, 1994, September 1). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 41(1), 6-9.
- The nation (*Almanac*, 1995, September 1). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 42(1), 6-9.
- The nation (*Almanac*, 1996, September 2). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 43(1), 6-10.
- Oregon (*Almanac*, 1993, August 25). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 40(1), 99.

- Palmer, J.C. (1995). State tax support for community colleges, *Grapevine*, 400, 3241-3245
- State Higher Education Appropriations 1996-97*. Denver: State Higher Education Executive Officers: Author.
- Tollefson, T.A. (1997, May). Oregon higher education fact file (unpublished).
- Tollefson, T.A., Adkins, G.D., & Buysse, J.L. (1990, April). *National Survey of Funding and Accountability of Public Community, Junior, and Technical Colleges, 1976-79: Final Report*. Denver: Colorado State Board for Community Colleges and Occupational Education.
- Understanding Measure 47 (1996, November 27). *Oregon Daily Emerald Online*. Online: <http://darkwing.uoregon.edu>
- Voters' Pamphlet, Vol. I, Measures* (1996, November 5). Salem, Oregon: Office of the Secretary of State.

Higher Education Fact File
Compiled by Terrence A. Tollefson
August, 1997

| | <u>Oregon</u> | <u>U.S.</u> | <u>Oregon % of U.S.</u> |
|---|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Land Area (Sq.Mi.) | 96,003 | 3,563,342 | 2.69% |
| <u>Population 1960</u> | 1,769,000 | 179,323,000 | 0.99% |
| Population, 1995 | 3,141,000 | 262,755,000 | 1.20% |
| <u>Growth Since 1960</u> | 77.5% | 46.53% | 166.69% |
| Undergraduate Enrollment, Fall 1994 | | | |
| Total Higher Education | 144,583 | 12,262,608 | 1.18% |
| Total H.E./Sq.Mi. | 1.51% | 3.44 | 43.90% |
| Total Community College | 78,376 | 5,308,467 | 1.48% |
| Total C.C./Sq.Mi. | 0.54 | 1.49 | 36.24% |
| Total H.E. Per 1,000 Persons | 46.03 | 46.67 | 98.63% |
| Total C.C. Per 1,000 Persons | 24.95 | 20.20 | 123.51% |
| <u>Minority Enrollments, Fall 1994</u> | | | |
| Public Four-Year | 13.05% | 22.04% | 60.03% |
| Community College | 11.07% | 29.01% | 40.02% |
| Average Tuition/Fees | | | |
| Public Four-Year | | | |
| Community College | \$ 1,324 | \$ 1,194 | 110.89% |
| C.C.% of Pub. 4-Year | 43.23% | 44.40% | 97.36% |

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

| | Oregon | U.S. | Oregon % of U.S. |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Number of Institutions, 1994-95 | | | |
| Public Four-Year | 8 | 605 | 1.32% |
| Community College | 13 | 1,036 | 1.25% |
| C.C.% of Total Public | 61.90% | 63.13% | 98.05% |
| Number of C.C. per 1,000 Sq.Mi. | 0.13% | 0.29% | 44.82% |
| <u>Degrees Awarded, 1993-94</u> | | | |
| Associate | 5,876 | 542,449 | 1.10% |
| Bachelor's | 13,272 | 1,169,275 | 1.14% |
| Associate % of Bachelor's | 45.10% | 46.39% | 97.21% |
| <u>Per Capita Income, 1995</u> | | | |
| | \$ 21,736 | \$ 22,788 | 95.38% |
| <u>Average Faculty Salary, 1994-95</u> | | | |
| Public University | \$ 46,776 | \$ 53,444 | 87.52% |
| Community College | \$ 40,213 | \$ 42,101 | 95.52% |
| C.C.% of Public University | 85.97% | 78.78% | 109.13% |
| C.C.% of Per Capita Income | 185.01% | 184.75% | 100.14% |

Sources:

Land area - *Rand McNally Road Atlas, 1997*

1960 population - *Random House Dictionary of the English Language, 1970*

Higher education data - *Chronicle of Higher Education Almanac, 1996*

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Title: Oregon Community Colleges Respond to Property Tax Rollbacks | |
| Author(s): Tollefson, Terry | |
| Corporate Source: | Publication Date: |

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, →

| | |
|---|---|
| Signature: <i>Tennessee A. Tollefson</i> | Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Professor, Ed. Leadership</i> |
| Organization/Address: <i>East Tennessee State University, Box 70550, Johnson City, TN 37614</i> | Telephone: <i>423-439-7617</i> FAX: <i>423-439-7626</i> |
| | E-Mail Address: <i>tollefct@etsu.edu</i> Date: <i>10-6-99</i> |



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

| |
|------------------------|
| Publisher/Distributor: |
| Address: |
| Price: |

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

| |
|----------|
| Name: |
| Address: |

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

| |
|---|
| Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: |
|---|

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>