

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 431 812

TM 029 932

AUTHOR Busier, Holly-Lynn; Pigeon, Yvette  
TITLE Re-Examining the Nature of Researcher-Participant Relationships in Qualitative Research.  
PUB DATE 1999-04-00  
NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Montreal, Quebec, Canada, April 19-23, 1999).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Adults; Cooperative Learning; Doctoral Dissertations; Eating Disorders; \*Educational Research; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; \*Qualitative Research; \*Research Methodology  
IDENTIFIERS \*Researcher Subject Relationship

ABSTRACT

A qualitative research conversation needs to include a critical examination of a study's relational dimension. Excerpts are presented from two doctoral dissertations that discuss the nature of the researcher-participant relationships formed through the studies. The first dissertation, "Beyond the Yellow Brick Road: Educational Portraits of Anorexic Women" by H. Busier (1997), explored the educational experiences of anorexic women to understand the roles of schools in the development and maintenance of anorexia nervosa in some women. The other dissertation, "Among Adults: An Exploration of Adult Student Learning Groups" by Y. Pigeon (1999), observed adult learning groups communicating and constructing stories about their collaborative learning experiences. In each paper, the nature of the researcher-participant relationships formed is essential to the study. (Contains 51 references.) (SLD)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

# Re-Examining the Nature of Researcher-Participant Relationships in Qualitative Research

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Holly-Lynn  
Busier

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Holly-Lynn Busier  
Yvette Pigeon

This paper is prepared for the:  
Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association in Montreal Canada  
April 1999

## Re-Examining the Nature of Researcher-Participant Relationships in Qualitative Research

Holly-Lynn Busier, Ed.D.  
Yvette Pigeon, Ed.D.  
University of Vermont

Through reading, reflecting upon, and engaging in dialogue around ethnographies written by Bateson (1989), Behar (1993), Linden (1993), Myerhoff (1979), and Rose (1989), as well as by examining our teaching and research experience, we developed an acute awareness of the critical role relationship plays during data collection and the process of re-presenting researcher-participant relationships in an "...authentic, but ethical manner" (Busier, 1997, p. 45). As we conceptualized our research methodologies for our doctoral dissertations, we searched for qualitative research literature that might guide and define the role of relationship in research situations. What we found focused primarily on issues around developing rapport, identifying informants, and the ethics of reporting (i.e., the use of pseudonyms, issues of confidentiality, and conditions of participation). While Flinders (1992) addresses relational ethics and suggests three main components of researcher-participant relationships: collaboration, avoidance of imposition, and confirmation, his discussion does not adequately examine the nature and role of the egalitarian researcher-participant relationships which are often formed through dialogue and extensive interviewing in studies using qualitative research methods. We were concerned that without careful consideration of the complexities and intimacy inherent in the profound relationships potentially created through the research experience, our approaches might not adequately/appropriately respond to issues of reciprocity, avoidance of harm, equity, and responsibility to community all of which are important considerations when engaging in qualitative research.

Though some within the realm of qualitative research (see, for example, Denzin, 1994; Glesne & Peshkin, 1992; Josselson, 1996; Lincoln & Denzin, 1994; Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Miles & Huberman, 1994; Punch, 1994; Tierney & Lincoln, 1997; Van Maanen, 1988; Wolcott, 1994) have begun to address relational issues such as those of power, the role of researcher, and the importance of attending to a multiplicity of voices, there was still a gap. In an effort to bridge this gap in our own studies, we took a transdisciplinary approach in that we examined relational literature (Gilligan, Lyons & Hanmer, 1989; Goldberger, Tarule, Clinchy & Belenky, 1996; Jordon, Kaplan, Miller, Stiver & Surrey, 1991; Josselson, 1992), philosophical literature on caring (Mayeroff, 1971; Noddings, 1984, 1992, 1995), and feminist research literature (Behar, 1996; Behar & Gordon, 1995; Cole, 1995; Fine, 1994; Lather, 1994; Maguire, 1987; Olesen, 1994; Reinharz, 1992; Richardson, 1994, 1997; Sandelowski, 1994; Spender, 1985; Usher, 1996), in order to inform our research designs and approaches. Our pursuit of a deeper understanding of relationship's role in research was further enhanced by our ongoing reflexive dialogue before and during our separate studies.

Because it is our belief that one cannot conduct qualitative research without forming some intimate relationships, our purpose is to share experiences and reflections from our qualitative studies, *Beyond the Yellow Brick Road: Educational Portraits of Anorexic Women* (Busier, 1997) and *Among Adults: An Exploration of Adult Learning Groups* (Pigeon, 1999), in order to illuminate the complex reciprocal nature of the researcher-participant relationship and other relational issues. Because we subscribe to the view that "...the knowledge that emerges from [any] study, although it is imprinted with [the researcher's] own understanding, [is] also jointly created" (Garrett, 1998, p. 22), we believe that a qualitative research conversation needs to include a critical examination of a study's relational dimension. In order to begin this dialogue, we wish to share the following excerpts from our dissertations which discuss the nature of the researcher-participant relationships formed through our studies, and also invite others to engage in a critical dialogue around relational issues which emerge in qualitative research studies.

### Intimate Stranger

The purpose of my study, *Beyond the Yellow Brick Road: Educational Portraits of Anorexic Women*, was to explore the educational experiences of anorexic women in order to understand how schools as social institutions may play a role in the development and/or maintenance of anorexia nervosa in some women. Because my sense it that "[u]nderstanding involves intimacy and equality between self and [other]..." (Belenky, Clinchy, Goldberger, & Tarule, 1986, p. 101), I envisioned the creation of portraits (Lawrence-Lightfoot, 1983, 1994) as a collaborative effort. Self-disclosure was integral to my study and the rich conversations that emerged from multiple, in-depth, open-ended interviews resulted in "a true dialogue" Bristow & Esper quoted in Reinharz, 1992, p. 33), which "built connections and avoided 'alienation of the researcher from the researched'" (James quoted in Reinharz, 1992, p. 33).

Like many feminist researchers, I believe that "people who consent to talk openly about their lives deserve the right to ask the researcher potentially personal questions as well" (Thompson, 1994, p. 22) thus, I entered my four research participants' lives as an "intimate stranger" (Busier, 1997, p. 43). Because of my own experiences with anorexia, I responded to their stories

...in a way that I believe heightened their trust and therefore the integrity of the interviews. Had I approached the interview as an objective observer, I would have been betraying myself and the women who share[d] their life stories with me. (Thompson, 1994, p. 23)

Two of my research participants expressed the sentiment that had I not been "up front" about being anorexic, they would have felt uncomfortable around making the private, public. I sensed that there was a certain safety; a kind of comfort in sharing an oftentimes secretive and painful part of one's life with someone whose experiences resonate with and, therefore, serve to validate your own. Like Lawrence-Lightfoot (1994), I became the "companion on the journey, bringing my own story to the encounter, making possible an interpretive collaboration" (p. 12) as I attempted to re-present my research participants' experiences in an authentic, but ethical manner.

As I approached writing each portrait, I reflected upon how I might "...achieve a comfortable balance between revealing too much or not enough about [my research participants' stories] to enable readers to understand [my] work and to share in [my] discovery" (Busier, Clark, Esch, Glesne, Pigeon & Tarule, 1997, p. 167). Thus, throughout my study, I embraced an ethic of care which "...may not be universalizable or quantifiable" (Donovan & Adams, 1996, p. 15) but rather is "...rooted in receptivity, relatedness, and responsiveness" (Noddings, 1984, p. 2). Each portrait, then, reflects the contention that "There is always more to our stories than we can ever tell, but there is also more to most of our research stories than we customarily reveal" (Wolcott, 1994, p. 422).

#### Witness

In the study, *Among Adults: An Exploration of Adult Student Learning Groups*, a modified focus group interview or "co-story" (Pigeon, 1996) approach was used to observe how adult learning groups communicated and constructed stories about their collaborative learning experience. This collaborative narrative revealed not only the learning group's chronology of growth, but its characteristics, relationships, and norms as members dialogued and negotiated the creation of their co-story. The co-story method offered a unique way to initiate researcher-participant rapport. Its approach places the researcher in a "one down" (Michrina & Richards, 1996) position when trying to obtain an understanding of the story from the participants' perspective. I entered the relationship with my participants as witness of what was important to them, not as an interviewer controlling the group's interaction. And as I spent extended amounts of time with each group, I found that a relationship of trust was built, and that the power dynamic between us became somewhat symmetrical.

The study's inception and actualization was inspired by numerous relationships formed and fostered throughout the inquiry. It became evident to me that the research existed within and was dependent upon a relational field consisting of various relationships. Upon beginning this inquiry, I did not fully appreciate Spradely's (1970) statement that "...the foundation for all ethnography lies in the complex relationship between the researcher and his [her] informants" (p. 7). Through trusting researcher-participant relationships, interviews became dialogues, writing was shared and critically analyzed, and more detailed stories were told.

Reciprocity was found throughout the study's relational web. Through observations and interviews, I began to develop a deep appreciation the role research methods played in creating learning situations and opportunities for developing stronger participant relationships. The nature of the relationships I formed with groups and their individual members played an important role in determining the quality of rapport and the ability to negotiate perspectives. The intensity of the relationship or degree of group membership—peripheral, active, or complete, and "intimate relationships" (see Busier et al., 1997) within each participant group was palpable and clearly influenced what members described about their groups (Adler & Adler, 1987). Trusting and respectful relationships enabled participants to openly share personal and emerging perceptions of their group learning experience. Through "private" or intimate exchange, participants and I were able to examine and verify our perceptions through dialogue. Trust and understanding in these open

researcher-participant relationships was critical, as I often had access to sensitive information that did not always pertain directly to the study. I needed to filter what information related to the study and what was shared in confidence. The degree of intimacy shared with each group varied, as did my role within each group, as it was never as simple as being a disconnected interviewer or observer. The relational act of honest and reciprocal dialogue represents the most direct way in which I came to know participants, and to understand adult student learning groups.

e-mail addresses:

[hbusic@zoo.uvm.edu](mailto:hbusic@zoo.uvm.edu)

[vpigcon@zoo.uvm.edu](mailto:vpigcon@zoo.uvm.edu)

## References

- Adler, P. A. & Adler, P. (1987). *Membership roles in field research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Bateson, M.C. (1989). *Composing a life*. New York, NY: Plume.
- Behar, R. (1993). *Translated woman: Crossing the border with Esperanza's story*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Behar, R. (1996). *The vulnerable observer: Anthropology that breaks your heart*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Behar, R., & Gordon, D.A. (Eds.). (1992). *Woman writing culture*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Belenky, M.F., Clinchy, B.M., Goldberger, N.R., & Tarule, J.M. (1986). *Women's ways of knowing: The development of self, voice, and mind*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Busier, H. (1997). *Beyond the yellow brick road: Educational portraits of anorexic women*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT.
- Busier, H., Clark, K.A., Esch, R.A., Glesne, C., & Tarule, J. M. (1997). Intimacy in research. *Qualitative Studies in Education*, 10(2), 165-170.
- Cole, S. (1995). Ruth Landes and the early ethnography of race and gender. In R. Behar & D.A. Gordon (Eds.), *Women writing culture* (pp. 166-185). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Denzin, N. (1994). The art and politics of interpretation. In N. K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 500-515). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Donovan, J. & C.J. Adams (Eds.) (1996). *Beyond animal rights: A feminist caring ethic for the treatment of animals*. New York, NY: Continuum.
- Fine, M. (1994). Working the hyphens: Reinventing self and other in qualitative research. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 70-82). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Flinders, D. (1992). In search of ethical guidance: Constructing a basis for dialogue. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 5 (2), 101-115.
- Garrett, C. (1998). *Beyond anorexia: Narrative, spirituality and recovery*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Gilligan, C., Lyons, N.P., & Hanmer, T.J. (Eds.) (1989). *Making connections: The relational worlds of adolescent girls at Emma Willard School*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Goldberger, N.R., Tarule, J.M., Clinchy, M.F., & Belenky, B.M. (Eds.) (1986). *Knowledge, power, and change: Essays inspired by women's ways of knowing*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Glesne, C., & Peshkin, A. (1992). *Becoming qualitative researchers: An introduction*. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Jordon, J., Kaplan, A., Miller, J., Stiver, I., Surrey, J. (Eds.). (1991). *Women's growth in connection*. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Josselson, R. (1992). *The space between us: Exploring the dimensions of human relationships*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.



- Josselson, R. (1996). *Ethics and process in the narrative study of lives*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lather, P. (1994). The validity of angels: Interpretive and textual strategies in researching the lives of women with HIV/AIDS. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 1(1), 41-68.
- Lawrence-Lightfoot, S. (1983). *The good high school: Portraits of character and culture*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Lawrence-Lightfoot, S. (1994). *I've known rivers: Lives of loss and liberation*. New York, NY: Penguin Books.
- Lincoln, Y.S., & Guba, E.G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lincoln, Y.S., & Denzin, N. K. (1994). The fifth moment. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 575-586). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lincoln, Y.S., & Tierney, W.G. (Eds.) (1997). *Representation and the text: Reframing the narrative voice*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Linden, R. (1993). *Making stories, making ourselves: Feminist reflections on the holocaust*. Columbus, OH: Ohio State University Press.
- Maguire, P. (1987). *Doing participatory research: A feminist approach*. Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Mayeroff, M. (1971). *On caring*. New York, NY: Harper & Row.
- Michrina, R., & Richards, C. (1996). *Person to person: Fieldwork, dialogue, and the hermeneutic method*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Miles, M.B., & Huberman, A.M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Myerhoff, B. (1979). *Number our days: Culture and community among elderly Jews in an American ghetto*. New York, NY: Meridian Press.
- Myerhoff, B. (1992). Life history among the elderly: Performance, visibility, and remembering. In B. Myerhoff, M. Kaminsky, D. Metzger, J. Ruby, & V. Tufte, *Remembering lives: The work of ritual, storytelling, and growing older* (pp. 231-247). Ann Arbor, MI: The University of Michigan Press.
- Noddings, N. (1984). *Caring: A feminine approach to ethics & moral education*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Noddings, N. (1992). *The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Noddings, N. (1995). *Philosophy of education*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press. Inc.
- Olesen, V. (1994). Feminisms and models of qualitative research. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 158-174). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pigeon, Y. (1996). *Cohort stories: Exploring the influence of cohorts and peer relationships on adult learning*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation proposal, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT.
- Pigeon, Y. (1999). *Among adults: An exploration of adult student learning groups*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT.



- Punch, M. (1994). Politics and ethics in qualitative research. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 83-97). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Reinharz, S. (1992). *Feminist methods in social research*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, Inc.
- Richardson, L. (1994). Writing: A method of inquiry. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 516-529). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Richardson, L. (1997). *Fields of play: Constructing an academic life*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Rose, M. (1989). *Lives on the boundary*. New York, NY: Penguin Books.
- Sandelowski, M. (1994). The proof is in the pottery: Toward a poetics for qualitative inquiry. In J. Morse (Ed.), *Critical issues in qualitative research methods* (pp. 46-63). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Spender, D. (1985). *For the record: The making and meaning of feminist knowledge*. London, England: The Women's Press.
- Spradley, J. (1970). *You owe yourself a drink: An ethnography of urban nomads*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown and Company.
- Thompson, B.W. (1994). *A hunger so wide and so deep: A multiracial view of women's eating problems*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Wolcott, H.F. (1994). *Transforming qualitative data: Description, analysis, and interpretation*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Usher, P. (1996). Feminist approaches to research. In D. Scott & R. Usher (Eds.), *Understanding educational research*. (pp. 120-142). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Van Maanen, J. (1988). *Tales of the field: On writing ethnography*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.



TM029932

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

AERA

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Form with fields for Title, Author(s), Corporate Source, and Publication Date. Handwritten entries include: Title: Re-Examining the Nature of Researcher-Participant Relationships in Qualitative Research; Author(s): Holly-Lynn Busier, Yvette Pizun; Corporate Source: University of VT.

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

Level 1 permission sticker: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY [Sample] TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

Level 1 checkbox with an 'X' mark

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Level 2A permission sticker: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY [Sample] TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2A

Level 2A checkbox

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Level 2B permission sticker: PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY [Sample] TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2B

Level 2B checkbox

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature and contact information fields. Handwritten entries include: Signature: Holly-Lynn Busier; Organization/Address: University of VT, 453 Waterman Bldg, Burlington, VT 05401; Printed Name/Position/Title: Holly-Lynn Busier, Research Assistant Professor; Telephone: 802-245-3833; E-Mail Address: hbusier@zcom.uvm.edu; Date: 6/1/89



(over)

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: <b>THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION 1129 SHRIVER LAB, CAMPUS DRIVE COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701 Attn: Acquisitions</b>
--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
1100 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: [ericfac@inet.ed.gov](mailto:ericfac@inet.ed.gov)

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>