DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 431 273 EC 307 255

AUTHOR Porlier, Pascale; Saint-Laurent, Lise; Page, Pierre

TITLE Social Contexts of Secondary Classrooms and Their Effect on

Social Competence and Social Adjustment of Students with

Learning Disabilities.

PUB DATE 1999-04-00

NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American

Educational Research Association (Montreal, Quebec, Canada,

April 19-23, 1999).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Class Organization; *Classroom Environment; Foreign

Countries; High Schools; *Inclusive Schools; *Interpersonal

Competence; *Learning Disabilities; Peer Acceptance;
*Special Classes; *Student Attitudes; Teacher Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS *Quebec

ABSTRACT

This study compared the perceptions of 227 ninth- and tenth-grade students with learning disabilities in regular and special secondary classrooms. Students' perceptions of their classroom environment and their social competence were assessed. Results showed that students with learning disabilities perceived regular classes as being better organized and more task-oriented than special classes. Students with learning disabilities in inclusive classes had the same judgment about the classroom environment as regular students. Contrary to expectations, students with learning disabilities in special classes did not perceive of themselves as being less socially competent than the students in regular classes. The study also found that students with learning disabilities in special classes were perceived as being more socially competent by their teachers and were accepted more by their peers than students with learning disabilities in regular classes. Contains 15 references. (Author/CR)

* from the original document.



Social Contexts of Secondary Classrooms and their Effect on Social Competence and Social

Adjustment of Students with Learning Disabilities

Pascale Porlier, Lise Saint-Laurent, and Pierre Pagé Université Laval Québec

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

originating it.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy. PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOUR

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Communication presented at 1999 AERA Annual Meetting, Montreal, Canada



Abstract

This study compared the perceptions of students with learning disabilities (LD) in regular and special secondary classrooms. Participants in the study were 227 ninth- and tenth-grade LD students. Students' perceptions of their classroom environment and their social competence were assessed. Results showed that regular classes were perceived by LD students as better organized and more task-oriented than special classes. LD students in inclusive classes had the same judgment about the classroom environment as regular students. Contrary to expectations, LD students in special classes did not perceive themselves as less socially competent than LD students in regular classes. LD students in special classes were perceived as more socially competent by their teachers and were accepted more by their peers that LD students in regular classes. The implications of the results are discussed.



Social Contexts of Secondary Classrooms and their Effect on Social Competence and Social

Adjustment of Students with Learning Disabilities

The present study investigated the social context of regular and special secondary classroom environments and their effect on LD students' social competence and social adjustment. More specifically, the study focused on the students' perceptions of their classroom environment and their social relationships with peers.

It is well known that social experiences with peers and significant adults (parents or teachers) have an influence on a student's personal development. Social competence deficits during childhood and adolescence may not only have a negative impact on interpersonal relationships in adulthood, but may also reduce the quality and the number of learning experiences to which students are exposed in the school setting (Furnham, 1986). This suggests that a deficit in social competence has harmful effect on learning experiences. Several studies (Bender & Wall, 1994; Bryan, 1997; Kavale & Forness, 1996; Gresham & McMillan, 1997; Sabornie, 1994) have shown that the majority of LD students have social competence deficits and that these problems may result in low academic achievement, dropping out of school as well as social and personal adjustment problems (Parker & Asher, 1987).

It was suggested that regular classes would benefit the social and cognitive development of special students more than special classes (Guralnick, Connor, Hammond, Gottman & Kinnish, 1995; Fryxell & Kennedy, 1995). Similarly, special services would have a negative influence on the social functioning of special students (Guterman, 1995; Meadows, Nell, Scott, & Parker, 1994; Schneider & Leroux, 1994). The regular classes would provide special students with a classroom environment that was more appropriate and stimulating than the special class environment (Saint-Laurent, & Boisclair, 1995; Skrtic, Sailor, & Gee, 1996).

The movement toward school integration is consistent with certain postulates of ecological and developmental perspectives on the quality of school settings. According to these perspectives, a social context that is composed of regular students favors the learning of social behavior, since the presence of regular students provides social models that are more appropriate for special



students than those of the special class context. Thus, a stimulating and rich environment should have a positive effect on special students' social interactions and personal adjustment, although the presence of these students in the regular class is not a guarantee that they will be more accepted by their peers (Merrel & Merz, 1992).

Most studies on the social competence of special students and the organization of services have been conducted with preschool and primary level students. Very few data on this subject are available for the secondary level. In the current context, characterized by a high rate of academic failure and dropping out of school, and by various adjustment problems experienced by youths, there is a need to better understand their school life.

The purpose of the present study was to examine whether or not there are differences in the classroom environment of regular classes and special classes for LD students. This study addressed the following questions (1) Did LD students in regular classes perceive their classroom environment more positively than LD students in special classes? (2) Did LD students in regular classes exhibit a higher level of social competence than LD students in special classes?

Method

Subjects

Participants in the study were 227 ninth- and tenth-grade LD students, aged 13 to 15. The students were in 34 ethnically and socioeconomically homogenous classes in 10 different secondary schools in four Quebec City urban and rural school districts. The number of students per school varied from 430 to 1260. Of the 227 students with LD involved in the study, 115 attended a full-time regular class (22 regular classes) while the other 112 attended a full-time special class (12 special classes).

The sample was divided into two groups: (1) LD students in regular classes, and (2) LD students in special classes. The LD students integrated into the regular classes had the same curriculum and academic schedule as regular students, except for an additional weekly 75-minute period of reading or mathematics, taught by the regular language arts teacher. The mean size of regular classes was 25.30 students and that of special classes was 18.67 students.



Measures

Social Skills Rating Scale - Student's Form: a French adaptation of Gresham and Elliott's (1990) Social Skills Rating Scale was used to assess the students' self-report of their social skills.

<u>Classroom Environment Scale</u>: a 30-item Classroom Environment Scale was used to assess the students' perceptions of the classroom in which social interactions and learning occur. The French version combined two instruments: the Classroom Environment Scale (Moos & Trickett, 1974) and the Learning Environment Inventory (Anderson & Walberg, 1969).

Sociometric Measure: Sociometric assessments were also used to compare students. Peer nominations were obtained by asking students to nominate three peers in their class with whom they like to work, go to a party or do a sports activity and three peers in their class with whom they do not like to work, go to a party or do a sports activity. The social preference scores were calculated by using Coie and Dodge's (1983) method.

The Social Skills Rating Scale - Teacher's Form: a French version of Gresham and Elliott's (1990) Social Skills Rating Scale was used to assess the teachers' rating of the social competence and problem behaviors of their students with learning disabilities.

Results

In order to compare LD students in regular classes with LD students in special classes, a MANCOVA was performed on 13 variables related to the Social Skills, Classroom Environment and Sociometric variables. The Placement effect was tested by using Externalizing and Internalizing scale scores as covariables. Results of the MANCOVA are shown in Table 2.

Placement Effect

A significant effect for placement was obtained, \underline{F} (13.,205)=7.75; p<.001. For students' perception variables, results indicated that the two groups were different. Univariate ANOVAS revealed that scores for the two groups were significantly different for Academic Task (\underline{F} (1, 217)=12.05; p<.01), Order/Organization (\underline{F} (1, 217)=9.36; p<.01), Social Preference (\underline{F} (1, 217)=6.64; p<.01), Cooperation/Teacher (\underline{F} (1, 217)=50.77; p<.01), Assertion/Teacher



($\underline{F}(1, 217)=12.15$; $\underline{p}<.01$), and Self-Control/Teacher ($\underline{F}(1, 217)=8.00$; $\underline{p}<.01$). Means (Table 1) revealed that LD students in regular classes perceived the Academic Task as being more difficult ($\underline{m}=3.10$) than those in special classes ($\underline{m}=2.78$). Similarly, LD students in regular classes perceived their class as being better organized and more disciplined ($\underline{m}=2.31$) than students in special classes ($\underline{m}=2.03$). Nevertheless, LD students in regular classes were less accepted by their peers ($\underline{m}=-0.42$) than students in special classes ($\underline{m}=0.13$).

Significant differences were also obtained for teachers' perceptions. Special education teachers perceived their students as having more social skills than did regular teachers. More precisely means presented at table 1 indicated that special education teachers perceived the LD students as being more cooperative with others (m=1.58) than did regular teachers (m=1.19). They also perceived LD students as being more assertive in their social relationships (m=1.03) than those in regular classes (m=0.83). Finally, special education teachers (m=1.31) perceived their students as being more in control of themselves than did regular teachers (m=1.19).

Discussion

The results reveal that the students in the regular classes had different perceptions of the instruction provided than those in the special classes. LD students perceived regular classes as being more task-oriented than the special classes. This suggests that regular classes offer a greater challenge to LD students than do special classes. These results support previous findings suggesting that regular classes were harder than special classes for LD students (Guterman, 1995; Johnson, Allington, & Afferbach, 1985; Klinder, Vaughn, Schumm, Cohen, & Forgan, 1998; Larrivée, 1989). Results indicated that regular classes were perceived by LD students as being better-organized and more task-oriented than special classes. LD students in inclusive classes had the same perception of the classroom environment as regular students. Contrary to what was expected, LD students in special classes did not perceive themselves as less socially competent than LD students in regular classes. These results may be explained by an unrealistic perception of the social competence of LD students induced by special classes. On the other hand, LD students integrated into regular classes had the same perception of their cooperative, assertion and self-



control skills as regular students, but they perceived themselves as being less empathetic. This dimension seems critical in social functioning because, in regular classes, empathy toward others was significantly associated with a positive peer acceptance. Finally, LD studens in special classes were perceived as more socially competent by their theachers and were more accepted by peers.

Few studies have assessed the effect of the classroom environment on LD students' social competence and social adjustment in a secondary school setting. It is recognized that the classroom environment has an influence on the cognitive and social development of LD students. The results of this study confirm that the characteristics of the classroom environment and the social interactions of LD students cannot be ignored in the services provided. It is suggested that school intervention should focus on this specific social skill, particularly empathy, in order to enhance LD students' social acceptance in regular classes. Furture researches should be carried out in order to better understand the social functioning of LD students in secondary school classrooms. New method of investigation in order to complete the information obtained with sociometric measures and questionnaires on perception. For exemple, the analysis of the social affiliative structure of the class based on the students' observation of cognitive appraisal would allow to acquire a deeper knowledge of the integration of LD students and to better understand the social interactions within classrooms.



References

Bender, W.N., & Wall, M.E. (1994). Social-emotional development of students with learning disabilities. <u>Learning Disabilities Quarterly</u>, 17, 323-3341.

Bryan, T. (1997). Assessing the personal and social status of students with learning disabilities. <u>Learning Disabilities Research and Practice</u>, 12, 63-76.

Furnham, A. (1986). Social skills training with adolescents and young adults. In C.R. Hollin, & P. Irower (Eds.), <u>Handbook of social skill training</u> (Vol. 1, pp. 33-57). Oxford: Pergamon.

Gresham, F.M., & MacMillan, D.L. (1997). Social competence and affective characteristics of students with mild disabilities. Review of Educational Research, 67, 377-415.

Guterman, B.R. (1995). The validity of categorical learning disabilities services: The consumer's view. Exceptional Children, 62, 111-124.

Fryxell, D., & Kennedy, C.H. (1995). Placement along the continuum of services and its impact on students' social relationships. <u>The Journal of the Association for Persons with Severe Handicaps 20</u>, 259-269.

Johnston, P., Allington, R., & Afferbach, P. (1985). The congruence of classroom and remedial reading instruction. <u>The Elementary School Journal</u>, 85, 465-478.

Kavale, K.A., & Forness, S.R. (1996). Social skill deficits and learning disabilities: A meta-analysis. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 29, 226-237.

Klinder, J.K., Vaughn, S., Schumm, J.S., Cohen, P., & Forgan, J. (1998). Inclusion or pull-out: Which do students prefer? <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, <u>31</u>, 148-158.

Larivee, B. (1989). Effective strategies for academically handicapped students in the regular classroom. In R.E. Slavin, N.L. Karweit, & N.A. Madden (Eds), <u>Effective programs for students at risk</u> (pp. 291-319). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Merrell, K.W., & Merz, J.M. (1992). The effect of service delivery model on the social-behavioral competence of learning disabled students. <u>B.C. Journal of Special Education</u>, 16, 82-91.

Parker, J.G., & Asher, S.R. (1987). Peers relations and later personal adjustment: Are low-accepted children at-risk? <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 102, 357-389.

Sabornie, E.J. (1994). Social-affective characteristics in early adolescents identified as learning disabled and nondisabled. <u>Learning Disabilities Quarterly</u>, 17, 269-279.

Saint-Laurent, L., & Boisclair, A. (1995). Conception de l'enseignement-apprentissage à la base du PIER [Conception of teaching- learning based on PIER]. In L. Saint-Laurent, J. Giasson, C. Simard. J-J. Dionne, E. Royer, & collaborators, <u>Programme d'intervention auprès</u>



des élèves à risque. Une nouvelle option pédagogique (pp 3-11) [Intervention program for students at-risk. A new educational alternative]. Montréal: Gaëtan Morin.

Skrtic, T.M., Sailor, W., & Gee, K. (1996). Voice, collaboration and inclusion. Democratic themes in educational and social reform initiatives. <u>Remedial and Special Education</u>, 17, 142-157.



Table 1

Means and Standard Deviations of Classroom Environment and Social Competence Variables for

Placement Effects and Type of Students

		Regular C	Classroom		Special	Classroom
Measures	Regular students		Students with LD		Students with LD	
	(n=	:410)	(n=115)		(n=112)	
	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>
Classroom Learning						
<u>Environment</u>						
Teacher-Student						
Relations	2.61	0.64	2.64	0.68	2.59	0.53
Student-Student	2.56	0.35	2.65	0.33	2.70	0.32
Relations						
Academic Task	3.14	0.59	3.10	0.57	2.78	0.69
Order/Organization	2.45	0.73	2.30	0.74	2.03	0.54
Social Skills/Student						
Cooperation	1.46	0.34	1.35	0.35	1.38	0.38
Assertion	1.36	0.33	1.38	0.31	1.42	0.32
Empathy	1.44	0.31	1.34	0.29	1.34	0.34
Self-Control	1.09	0.30	1.06	0.32	1.08	0.32
Sociometric						
Preference	0.16	1.61	-0.42	1.56	0.12	1.68
Impact	0.05	1.23	0.17	1.13	0.03	0.86
Social Skills/Teacher						
Cooperation	-	-	1.19	0.48	1.58	0.41
Assertion	-	-	0.83	0.45	1.03	0.51
Self-Control	-	-	1.19	0.37	1.31	0.35
Externalizing Behavior	-	-	0.34	0.43	0.57	0.56
Internalizing Behavior	-		0.75	0.56	0.82	0.39



Table 2

<u>Multivariate and Univariate Analysis of Variance</u>

	LD Regular Classroom	LD Regular Classroom	
	(n=115)	(n=115)	
	Versus	Versus	
	LD Special Classroom	RS Regular Classroom	
	(n=112)	(n=401)	
	Fs Multivariate		
	7.75**	3.61 **	
	Fs Univariate		
Classroom Learning			
Environment			
Teacher-Student Relations	0.27	0.09	
Student-Student Relattions	1.21	9.75**	
Academik Task	12.05**	0.13	
Order/Organization	9.36**	3.25	
Social Skills/Student			
Cooperation	0.18	2.78	
Assertion	0.50	0.35	
Empathy	0.03	6.51**	
Self-Control	0.37	0.05	
<u>Sociometric</u>			
Preference	6.64**	8.19**	
Impact	1.13	0.73	
Social Skills/Teacher			
Cooperation	50.77**	-	
Assertion	12.16**	-	
Self-Control	8.00**	-	

Note. RS: Regular Students



^{* &}lt;u>p</u> <.05; ** <u>p</u><.01



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



(over)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
Title: Social Contexts of Social Competence Learning Disabilit	Secondary Classrooms and and Social Adjustment ics	d Their Effect on of Students with
Author(s): Pascale Porlie	ir Lise Saint-Lawrent	and Pierre Page
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	:	
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re and electronic media, and sold through the ER reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	e timely and significant materials of interest to the educe sources in Education (RIE), are usually made available RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is wing notices is affixed to the document. The eminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the comment of the identified document.	e to users in microfiche, reproduced paper cop s given to the source of each document, and,
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Lavel 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE. AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFIGHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY SOUTH STATEMENT OF THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
	Level 2A	Level 2B
†		1
	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microtiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pen reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be process	
as indicated above. Reproduction for contractors requires permission from the to satisfy information needs of educa-	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persone copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reptors in response to discrete inquiries. Printed Name/Pos	ns other than ERIC employees and its system roduction by libraries and other service agencie
sign here,→ Please FACUTÉ des Sciences de	fasagk.	POR lier . Ph. D/Student
Université Laval	E-Mail Address -	1 See Date 4 05 99
Quebec Canada	y kwal. c	9 (ove



Québec, Canada GIK 744

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:				
Address:		••••••		
e 1 d	•		.1	
Price:				

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:	
Address:	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION,
1129 SHRIVER LAB, CAMPUS DRIVE
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701

Attn: Acquisitions

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

-088 (Rev. 9/97)
PHEVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.