DOCUMENT RESUME

ABSTRACT
        Writing teachers notice how students who succeed with their
written projects often do so after they have moved to a kind of anger either
with themselves or the project, with external stimuli, or with a general
sense of injustice. They are stimulated by the emotion to creative problem
solving, and as an effect, they may succeed at eliminating the perceived
problem or injustice and overcoming the sense of injury. This type of
expression of anger might lead to anti-social features in the
writing--disrespect, unconventional language, threatening statements,
manifestations of hate, severe sarcasm and contempt for the rights of others,
and contempt for the status quo. Anger, however, can channel a writer's
thoughts to creative problem solving with socially acceptable language
choices in the writing. In all cases, a response to the emotion driving the
written response seems called for, and writing instructors may need to
understand and consider boundaries for their roles in each of these
situations that reveal a rhetorical nature of anger. A survey of 28 teachers
in the 1998 summer institute of the PA Writing Project elicited
identification of anger as a constructive starting point in their own
personal lives and as civically responsible individuals. Pro-active
manifestations of anger relate to how learners solve problems they define,
remove doubts they have identified, or pursue other types of inquiry related
to active learning processes. (Contains 24 references.) (NKA)

Writing Invention : Sometimes an Anti-Social Act, or the Relationship of
Anger and the Impulse to Write

Panel C.3 Theorizing Emotion and Writing

Presented at the 1999 Conference of College Composition and
Communication at the Atlanta Hilton
Atlanta, Georgia

March 25, 1999

Gail S. Corso, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Communication Arts
Neumann College
Aston, PA 19014-1298

gcorso@neumann.edu

This paper presented in an earlier draft at the Conference of College

Composition and Communication in Atlanta, Georgia on March 25, 1999

has been revised after the Columbine High School tragedy in Littleton,

Colorado where outraged students had written about their anger in public

places, such as in the school yearbook and in the educational environment.

It reaffirms my original thesis that teachers and perhaps administrators,

and more specifically, those who teach writing or integrate language arts

into the curriculum at all levels of instruction, need to understand and

respond appropriately to anger in its various manifestations. Anger as

feminists have claimed is a healthy stimulus to creative thinking and pro-

active problem solving; in its most negative manifestation, however, it can

wreak havoc. Those who teach writing need to understand the rhetorical

aspects of anger as potentially constructive or destructive invention

processes. June 21, 1999

We, as instructors of writing notice how students who succeed with

their written projects, often do so after they have moved to a kind of anger

either with themselves or the project, with external stimuli, or with a

general sense of injustice. They are stimulated by the emotion to creative

problem solving, and as an effect, they may succeed at eliminating the

perceived problem or injustice and overcoming the sense of injury. Other

students, however, may become so consumed by their outrage or anger at a

perceived.injury or injustice, that their problem solving abilities are

limited by their overriding emotional responses, and their writing falters in

one way or another. These falterings may be perceived as a kind of

"writer's block," or one kind of manifestation or effect of what has been

termed "writing apprehension," (Daly and Miller, 1975; Faigley, Daly,

and Witte, 1981). They might experience a "flood of emotions" that works

toward obfuscating meaning. Yet another kind of response to emotion may

cause a kind of paralysis of action in writing; the writer becomes absorbed

in ruminative thought. Unlike focused freewriting, daydreaming, engaging

in stream of consciousness thought, letting an idea incubate for a period of

time, Martin and Tesser identify another mode of thinking characterized by

anticipation, regret, basking, worry, and emotionally charged current

concerns. Rather than solving a problem, the writer engaged in

rumination, may examine solutions, yet neglects to move toward a goal.

Emotions fuel such ruminative thinking either precipitating a timely

response, or for those who respond negatively to situations, instigating "out

of flow" activity for writers, a kind of magnified emotional state that

could include being angry.

Anger may surface in other ways in students' responses to

assignments; they might write about anti-social solutions to situations, or

they might privilege the unconventional. Often perceived negatively, this

type of expression of anger might also lead to anti-social features in the

writing--disrespect, unconventional language, threatening statements,

manfestations of hate, severe sarcasm and contempt for the rights of others,

contempt for the status quo. Anger, however, can channel a writer's

thoughts to creative problem solving with socially acceptable language

choices in the writing In all cases, a response to the emotion driving the

written response seems called for, and instructors of writing may need to

understand and consider boundaries for their roles in each of these

situations that reveal a rhetorical nature of anger.

Before understanding appropriate responses to manifestations of

anger, it might be helpful for instructors of writing to understand the guise

of this emotion. Gorkin in "The Four Faces of Anger" defines anger as a

"state of heightened activation or arousal of the autonomic nervous system

... that is fueled by our cognitive interpretations" (par. 3). In a more

tangible understanding of anger, it can be understood as related to the four

following situations:

1. As a response to an injustice, an abuse or threat to a "rule of

conduct, a cherished belief or instrumental goal," in relation to

self or a group or group member connected to self

2. As a response to an injury, a perceived psychological or

physical act of disrespect, rejection, or disregard

3. As a response to invasion, when one's "identity and/or

psychological integrity are being threatened," or one's

"freedom, autonomy, boundary and personal space are

perceived to be constricted, disrupted or violated"

4. As an <u>intentional</u> response to perceived <u>injustices, injuries</u>

<u>and invasions,</u> one is determined and resolved to "reflexively

and/or purposefully...challenge the status quo" (Gorkin

paragraph 3).

Anger in relation to the writer's purpose shapes the content and expression

of the text and its form across the range of written discourse, as either

imaginative, informative, argumentative or problem/solution, or variations

or combinations of each. These choices relate to how the writer constructs

himself/herself in relation to the audience of readers and the text that is to

be constructed; this social relationship has been termed the "ethos" of the

writer. It is a negotiated space that the writer imagines. It has been

described in literature as a social-cognitive problem solving process

(Flower 1994), and as the "felt sense" or affective domain related to the

writing process (Brand 1991, Perl, 1980).

It has been argued that many students respond to what they are

learning by acting in socially accepted ways with anger as one

manifestation that the culture or its subcultures may expect. Gender, race,

class and ethnicity shape writers' perceptions of who they are. Kenneth

Gergen in <u>The Saturated Self</u> contends that emotions, including anger, are

perhaps socially constructed or "cultural performances," a term that James

Averill coined; emotions are more like genres or stylistic responses.

Gergen contends that "we are not driven by forces bottled up within us;

rather we perform emotions much as we would act a part on the stage"

(165). Emotional responses assumed by our student writers, the varying

ethos that they construct, may be perceived as genres that they have

internalized, or as extant culturally-recognized responses.

Anger as a manifestation of the writer's ethos across the range of

possible behaviors or manifestations in texts can be either purposeful or

spontaneous, constructive or destructive. Because of the complexity of the

affective range of invention, should those who teach writing continue to de-

emphasize the effects of emotions on the quality of written products, and

on the states of writers before, during and after they have written their

essays? How do we begin to understand types of destructive expressions of

anger? What are some possible negative effects of these destructive

expressions for different types of writers? What do some psychological

studies reveal about expressions of anger that allegedly fuel the emotion

rather than resolve the conflict or unease? If instructors see expressions of

anger in students' essays, then how do instructors begin to understand the

ranges to the expressed anger, and when necessary, secure assistance from

support services?

Those that teach writing can at least acknowledge that student writers

if and when they try to analyze why they are angry do not remain passive

to the situation as they communicate their memories, recommendations,

responses to perceived injustices, positions toward injuries, their stories to

an empathic audience of writing instructors (Fox, 1993; Heard, 1995;

Moffett and Wagner,1992; Murray, 1994; Rico, 1983,1994; Wolpow and

Askov, 1998). Gergen reminds us of the contemporary human condition in

a postmodern world. He explains that the Cartesian belief, "Cogito ergo

sum" has been replaced by "Communicamus ergo sum," situating

communication, conversation, interaction, dialogue at the center of

knowledge making (242).

Instructors that have situated written and spoken dialogue with

students in response to students' anger accept the emotion as one of many

starting points for expression. This position requires trust that the

instructor will not abuse the rights of the student in this power relation.

Also, based upon a belief that venting emotion initiates analysis and

problem solving, the instructor who engages in dialogue with the student

who has vented anger is committed to affect and emotion as shaping

cognition or understanding. The instructor understands the impulse to

write as coming "from within the writer as a result of the writer's

perceptions of a particular situation" (Hillocks 85) Through the students'

perceptual, conceptual or emotional sense of being wronged or the desire

to solve a problem, the instructor understands the role of coach to assist the

the student writers who have been challenged enough to communicate their thoughts in writing.

Moffett and Wagner justify their emphasis on the affective range of expression to counteract what they describe as forms of anger in middle school and high school environments: "destructive rebellion, alienation from school, dropping out, and crime." They envision the classroom that allows for communication where voices are heard, "as a place where feelings and energy can be shaped and handled instead of a place where these forces must be stifled" (92). To situate the affective response of the writer alongside the conceptual, instructors of writing and teachers that incorporate language arts into their curriculum need to understand what Gorkin terms the four faces of anger, and they need to consider ethical behavior in response to the rhetoric of anger. Instructors as professionals need to exercise wisdom to deal effectively with each manifestation of this defamed emotion of anger, recognizing that each student has feelings. Also, as historians of emotion explain, there are collective emotional standards of society or a culture that we need to be aware of (Stearns and Lewis), and instructors of writing need to explore the rhetorical aspects of anger as an invention process.

There appears to be a distinction among terms for dealing with the affective range of the composing process; however, emotions refer to intense conditions where the person is stimulated or aroused to action for a

short period of time. These emotions can be positive or negative, such as

grief, joy, fear, and anger. Writers can respond to these emotions through

physical responses, such as fatigue, shortness of breath, redness of face, or

they respond cognitively by thinking critically about the topic and their

feelings about it, or they ruminate, or engage in a disruptive response.

Attitudes have been distinguished from emotion; attitude is understood as

the writer's long term responses to the emotion(s) and its effects. The

attitude is a learned state. Some psychologists agree that emotion, too, is

like a genre, a learned behavior, for it is a socially constructed response to

a situation.

During the 50's in England, writers protesting vigorously against

social injustice were termed "angry young men." Other descriptions of

writers characterize them as experiencing "angst" understood as "dread,

panic, anxiety." These associations with "anger" and "anguish" indicate

connections or attitudes the culture shows toward aspects of the creative

process. Studies about creative writers have shown the relationship of

writers' feelings to written expression (Csikszentmihalyi, Stearns and

Lewis,Wyer). Composition theorists like Brand and Perl try to show how

emotional arousal affects a writer's intellectual processes. Activities to

stimulate creative expression are echoed in the belief many expressivists or

instructors of creative writing hold, that "Much powerful writing comes

from anger" (Heard 66).

Instructors know if students are moved by their emotions to take

control of their inquiry, often they communicate ideas with power,

confidence and integrity. Given this freedom to voice an authentic

response, students could represent various "incarnations" of anger as

Tavris explains--either handsome or ugly, righteous, vindictive or

dangerous. Paul Griffiths in What Emotions Really Are defines anger as a

"disposition to all sorts of behaviors and mental state changes" (249). When

students are encouraged to write in their authentic voice, a variety of

possibilities is invited.

How can instructors of writing begin to understand the ethical

principles for expressions of anger? "Polarity" as a philosphical concept

Rico argues in "Push/Pull: Creative Tension" is "the father of creative

tension... that literature, religion, art, and science have been obsessed with

for centuries" (210). Anger or a kind of dissonance can shape how the

writer thinks about a topic, influencing expressions of thoughts. Anger can

be destructive when its "expression defensively projects and rigidly

fortifies one's vulnerable identity and boundary by intending to threaten or

violate another's integrity and appropriate boundary (whether the intention

is conscious or not)" (Gorkin par. 5). It is this kind of expression that

complicates the role of instructor, and confuses institutions about how to

pro-actively respond. Nehring in Popular Music, Gender, and Post

Modernism argues strongly that the analysis of the causes of emotion

should not be "a means to some therapeutic removal of ostensibly negative

feelings" (xvii). The view to suppress anger, he contends, is wrongfully

linked to a psychotherapeutic understanding that we need to "control and

suppress anger" because "emotion [is] a physical force [that] overwhelm[s]

reason" (111). Rather than suppress the anger, the writing instructor

following this more feminist view and postmodern view of emotional

expression could facilitate conversations with the student about dealing with

the issue, and when the student persists with anger or does not move to

resolution, the instructor needs to identify when to secure assistance from

campus support services. It becomes clear that the tensions that emotions

make visible create complicated roles for instructors. Those that might

embrace all emotional responses perceive teaching as much more than

transmission of knowledge and communication of what is known as factual

information. Femininst philosophers have extended this belief of

cognitivism in philosophy and social constructivism in psychology.

Emotions contribute significantly to thought or reason, and in many

instances, emotion is inseparably "a matter of the body and mind, of

physical response and articulated judgment" (Behrings XVII).

Tavris in <u>Anger: The Misunderstood Emotion</u> explains the value of

integrating anger into our processes, for she argues, "there is a one-to-one

correspondence between feeling angry, and knowing why, because the

'knowing why' is a social convention that follows cultural rules" (20).

Often college writing instructors value tolerance for problem solving and

for anger against social injustice, to a certain extent. Anger that moves

others toward acceptance of solutions to irritating problems that affect

those in the culture may be approved or sanctioned within the college

context, yet society disavows other expressions of anger when they fall into

unethical ranges, "for cold, premeditated revenge, for violence for profit,

for hatred at injustice, for anger at arrogance" (78). The fear of

stimulating this latter range of more aggressive or violent expressions, or

disruptions to conventional mores continues to characterize our

understandings of all types of anger.

Occasionally, students might be moved to the more aggressive

expression of their anger, and their essays might assume an ugly, righteous,

vindictive tone. These manifestations of anger represent another approach

to anger for thinking about a topic and then expressing it, which is less

socially sanctioned by the culture of an institution. The effects of

expression of these types of anger, however, ought to stimulate discussions

among those of us that teach writing. How do students discover ideas about

topics related to social issues or memories that initiate their emotional

responses, including anger? While many of us may feel comfortable

talking to our students about their writing, including their use of language,

information, and details that might fit hostile or aggressive responses, we

need to identify ethical communication processes and acknowledge the need

for psychological support services in certain cases.

In Invention as a Social Act, LeFevre argues that invention has been

a "socially influenced" and a "socially constituted" process. The expression

of the writer's ideas through the "ethos" that the writer constructs "appears

in that socially created space, in the 'between,' the point of intersection

between speaker or writer and listener or reader" (46). She proposes a

continuum of social perspectives on invention that reveals the degree of

social interaction of the writer with "others" showing internal dialogues,

collaborative processes, and collective understandings. Where does the

anti-social or less sanctioned manifestation of anger fit into this scheme of

the invention processes for an individual writer or a group of writers

collectively or individually outraged or vilified? How can we begin to

understand anger in its varying degrees as one of the impulses for writers

to begin their inquiry, especially when anger is perceived as one of what

Alison Jaggar terms the "outlaw emotions"? The singular view of anger in

its extreme manifestations suppresses rhetorical understandings of this

emotion in relation to creativity and inventive ways of thinking about

topics.

Instructors of writing would acknowledge that sometimes the

student writer's thoughts are written one way as a journal entry, yet these

similar thoughts may be constructed another way for a real audience of

readers in a more socially acceptable or recognizable genre. The anger in

less formal writing becomes a vehicle for initiating dialogue, dialectical

reasoning, polarity, serving as a catalyst for solving problems on the

personal or social level. Results from several studies about anger and its

expression begin to reveal conflicting information, however, about the

effects of venting anger. Venting may be a powerful activity, in and of

itself, as long as it follows ethical boundaries; venting anger, and more

importantly, moving to a cognitive understanding of it, or facilitating

resolution of conflict seems to be more desirable for its constructive

outcomes. Communication seems essential to effect cognitive growth, and

effective feedback is essential for facilitating instruction. Tavris overviews

the results of one psychological study that shows a negative effect about

communicating anger. She explains the confounding effect of anger

fueling unresolved anger: "When people have been denigrated and

criticized, giving them the opportunity to express their resentment

generally makes them angrier" (141). She does not consider the

complexity of getting people beyond the humiliation of offense--the time

and effort required. Because of conflicting information about the effects

of expressing anger, it is easy for the composition instructor to

misunderstand, perhaps even misapply, or even ignore the writer's anger,

and even worse, to abandon opportunities to constructively work with it

due to the limitations imposed by time.

Nehring in <u>Popular Music, Gender, and Postmodernism</u> explains

how an "outlaw emotion," such as anger, for many people precedes social

criticism. These partially formed feelings provide "the first indication that

something is wrong." The discovery that cynical acquiescence is not the

only possible way to react to the perceived problem might even "provide a

new ray of hope" (123). The product of this creative act has transformative

possibilities that compositionists have written about in other terms

(Hillocks, 1995; Flower, 1994, Moffett and Wagner, 1992; Murray, 1994).

Recently historians of emotion have come to question how certain

emotions like anger have come to be [mis]understood as increasingly

infantile and unacceptable (Stearns and Lewis 5). Standards for emotions

are constructed in terms of the actual incidence and intensity. In other

words, anger as one such emotion is defined by the culture and its norms

for it.

Tavris provides an encompassing definition of anger as a process or as a

transaction, more constructively, "a way of communicating." She excludes

from this discussion the kind of anger that is caused by organic

abnormalities, and supports the view that angry episodes are "social events"

about which our "beliefs...and the interpretations we give to the

experience, are as important to its understanding as anything intrinsic to

the emotion itself" (19). This view about emotions is reflected in the work

of other psychologists (Gergen 1991; Griffiths 1997).

In composition studies, we have begun to understand the social

aspects of discovering ideas for intended audiences. LeFevre in Invention

as a Social Act identifies the social relationships of the writer to the

audience, of the writer engaging in a dialectical tension with the socio-

culture to generate new ideas. She explains that dialectical thinking plays a

part in types of intellectual discovery, even creative thinking. Other types

of oppositional thinking have been identified as contributing toward

meaning making. The relationship of the writer is processed in relation to

others for inventive thinking processes to occur.

Occasionally, students motivated by their initial anger are prevented

from getting beyond the flood of emotion to see how a problem can be

resolved constructively through the process of writing. Or the institutional

contexts might perceive anger as an anti-social emotion contributing to

irrational or unreasonable behavior in response to experience. These

students repress part of themselves in such situations. Yet another view of

emotions and writing contributes to this understanding of a type of

angered response as a manifestation of a mood or personality disorder,

"oppositional defiant disorder" (Wilson, Nathan, O'Leary, and Clark 455).

Manifestations of this type of anger fit patterns of "negativistic, hostile, and

defiant behavior lasting at least six months" in which at least four or more

of the following criteria are present: loses temper, argues with adults,

defies requests or rules, deliberately annoys others, blames others for their

own mistakes, becomes easily annoyed by others, and appears spiteful and

vindictive. This anger causes malfunctioning in social, academic and

occupational functions. It is important for instructors of writing to seek

the assistance of support services when a student writer indicates a variety

of these patterns of behavior over a period of time. While instructors

might feel competent in dealing with all situations, it once again might be

preferable to acknowledge situations that move beyond the roles of ethical

teaching practices and responsibilities.

Each of these understandings of anger reveals what this complicated

emotion is in various situations. Griffiths in What Emotions Really

Are supports a view of a complex reading of anger. Those who experience

anger either assume an expected role of the angered individual, show their

anger in a variety of predictable physiological ways, or begin to

conceptualize how to respond to their sense of injustice, injury, or invasion

through intentional plans. For each category, the type of anger, while

called the same emotion, varies greatly. Each varying expression of anger

that Griffiths explains needs to be understood for its problem solving,

creative, expressive, and therapeutic values. Our culture persists in

upholding a one-sided, negative image of anger rather than carefully

examining its four dimensions as purposeful, spontaneous, constructive,

and destructive. Expressions of each through assertion, hostility, passion,

and rage would assist instructors of writing in understanding broad and

nuanced emotional-behavioral responses of anger as they manifest

themselves in student writing.

From my experience as a teacher of writing, I have noticed the range

of anger in writing, and I am beginning to understand a rhetoric of anger

that includes language of assertion, hostility, passion, or rage in response to

students' perceptions of injustice, injury or invasion. I have surveyed 28

teachers in the the Summer 1998 Institute of the PA Writing Project who

have identified anger as a constructive starting point in their own personal

lives and as civically-responsible individuals I have begun to wonder why

anger in its constructive forms does not get labeled as such, "anger," but

instead, seems to be denied or suppressed by being labeled "problem

solving," "conflict resolution," or "creative thinking." These pro-active

manifestations of anger relate to how learners solve problems they define,

remove doubts they have identified, or pursue other types of inquiry

related to active learning processes. Yet anger continues to connote

aggressiveness, intolerance, defiance, abrasiveness, even hostility, and

violence.

Anger as a response to the existing environment might constitute

creative solutions to otherwise troublesome situations. How do we define

this anger in composition studies, and when does anger move from an area of creative inquiry, a state of emotive stimulation that precedes higher cognitive thinking for student writers rather than a persistent, problematic oppositional behavior? Tavris asserts that emotions originate in social relations which would include areas of conflict that ought to be examined.

If anger could be understood as more complicated than a shameful negative response to a situation or the environment, then composition instructors might begin to better understand how this emotion figures into invention processes for many writers (Fox, Murray, Wolpow and Askov).

Through this presentation I have attempted to identify a range to anger that writers often engage in to facilitate a flow experience, the environment conducive to creative, effective critical thinking and expression in writing, and yet another kind of expression more disturbing and perhaps disruptive that initiates dialogue that effects feedback processes. If "Communicamus ergo sum" typifies our postmodern condition, then it is important for those who teach writing to understand the full range of the rhetoric of anger to identify boundaries of our professional roles and responsibilities.

Works Cited

An Emotional History of the United States. Edited by Peter N. Stearns and
    Jan Lewis. NY: NYU Press, 1998.

Brand, Alice Glarden. "Defining Our Emotional Life: The Cool End (Plus
    Motivation)." Presence of Mind: Writing and the Domain
    Beyond the Cognitive. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook, 1994.

---. "Defining Our Emotional Life-The Valuative System-A Continuum
    Theory." Presence of Mind: Writing and the Domain Beyond the
    Cognitive. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook, 1994.

Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly. Creativity: Flow and the Psychology of
    Discovery and Invention. NY: HarperCollins, 1996.

Daly, John. A., and Michael D. Miller. "The Empirical Development of an
    Instrument to Measure Writing Apprehension." Research in the
    Teaching of English 9 (1975): 242-49.

Faigley, Lester, John A. Daly, and Stephen P. Witte. "The Role of Writing
    Apprehension in Writing Performance and Competence." Journal of
    Educational Research 75 (Sept./Oct. 1981): 16-21.

Flower, Linda. The Construction of Negotiated Meaning: A Social
    Cognitive Theory of Writing. Urbana, IL: Southern Illinois UP,
    1994.

Fox, Mem. "Notes from the Battlefield: Toward a Theory of Why People
    Write." Radical Reflections, Passionate Opinions on Teaching,
    Learning, and Living. NY: Harcourt Brace, 1993.

Gergen, Kenneth J. The Saturated Self. NY: BasicBooks a division of
    HarperCollins, 1991.

Gorkin, Mark. "The Four Faces of Anger." 26 Nov. 1997.
    <http://www.guestpub.com/TT_Mag/1997_3Sum/Four_Faces.html>
    July 9, 1998.

Griffiths, Paul E. What Emotions Really Are. Chicago, ILL: The
    University of Chicago Press, 1997.

Heard, Georgia. "The Fire Within: Writing from Anger." Writing Toward
    Home: Tales and Lessons to Find Your Way. Portsmouth, NH:
    Heinemann, 1995.

Hillocks, George, Jr. Teching Writing as Reflective Practice. NY:
    Teachers College Press, 1995.

LeFevre, Karen Burke. Invention as a Social Act. Carbondale and
    Edwardsville, ILL: Southern Illinois UP, 1987.

Martin, Leonard L., and Abraham Tesser. "Some Ruminative Thoughts."
    In Ruminative Thoughts: Advances in Social Cognition. Volume IX.
    Edited by Robert S. Wyer, Jr. Mahwah,   NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum
    Associates, 1996, 1-47.

Moffett, James, and Betty Jane Wagner. Student-Centered Language Arts,
    K-12. 4th ed. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Heinemann, 1992.

Murray, Donald. "Where Do You Find Your Stories?" Presence of Mind:
    Writing and the   Domain Beyond the Cognitive. Portsmouth, NH:
    Boynton/Cook, 1994.

Nehring, Neil. Popular Music, Gender, and Postmodernism. Thousand
    Oaks, CA: Sage,   1997.

Perl, Sondra. "A Look at Basic Writers in the Process of Composing." In
    Basic Writers: Essays for Teachers, Researchers, and
    Administrators. Eds. Lawrence Kashen and Daniel Hoeber. Urbana,
    IL: National Council of Teavhers of English. 1980. 13-32.

Rico, Gabriele Lusser. "The Heart of the Matter: language, Feeling,
    Stories, Healing." Presence of Mind: Writing and the Domain
    Beyond the Cognitive. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook, 1994.

---. "Push/Pull: Creative Tension." Writing the Natural Way. NY:
    Putnam, 1983.

Tavris, Carol. Anger: The Misunderstood Emotion. 1982. NY:
    Touchstone of Simon & Schuster, Inc., 1989.

Wilson, G. Terence, Nathan, Peter E., O'Leary, K. Daniel, and Lee Anna
    Clark. Abnormal Psychology: Integrating Perspectives. Allyn &
    Bacon, 1996.

Wolpow, Ray, and Eunice N. Askov. "Strong in Broken Places: Literacy
    Instruction for   Survivors of Pervasive Trauma." Journal of
    Adolescent & Adult Literacy 42:1, 50-   56.

Dear Presenter,

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading, English, and Communication is dedicated to ensuring that the research and opinions of education scholars and practitioners such as yourself are readily available to professionals and laypeople around the world. Pursuant to this mission, we hereby invite you to submit to us your presentation from the 1999 CCCC conference for possible inclusion into the ERIC database. No fee is charged for adding a document to the ERIC database; you, as the author, retain full copyright over the material; and the presence of your document on the database ensures that it will be accessed by the largest possible audience. It is a win-win situation for all concerned.

If your presentation at this conference will not be available in paper or electronic form, then please consider submitting other materials you have written (e.g., books, research reports, instructional materials, lesson plans, bibliographies, or tests)

In order to process your paper, all we need are two clean, clear copies and a signed reproduction release form. A blank release form appears below. You can also find release forms in HTML and Adobe formats at http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com/submitting.html#errp. Send the two copies of the paper and the signed release form to the address at the bottom of the release form. Note that each document submitted to ERIC needs its
        own signed release form.

Thank you for your support of our efforts to serve the educational community.

Sincerely yours,
Carl Smith
Director
ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading, English, and Communication

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:
   Title: Writing Invention: Sometimes an Anti-Social Act, or the Relationship of Anger and the Impulse to Write
   Author(s): Gail S. Corso, Ph. D.

Corporate Source: Publication Date: June 21, 1999

II.REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

     In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

     If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

_____✓_____ Check here for Level 1 Release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.

or

_____ Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only.

or

_____ Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only.

     Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

Sign Here, Please   *Gail S. Corso, Ph. D.*

     I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: *Gail S. Corso, Ph. D.*   Position: *Associate Professor of Communication Arts*

Printed Name: *Gail S. Corso, Ph. D.*   Organization:

Address:
Number: ( )   *Neumann College*
One Neumann Drive   Telephone *610-558-5555*
Aston, PA 19014-1298   Date: *6-21-99*

address: Office: Email *gcorso@neumann.ed*

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

     If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC

to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price Per Copy: Quantity Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER
     If the right to grant a reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V.WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

     Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Acquisitions
ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading, English, and Communication
Indiana University
Smith Research Center, Suite 150
Bloomington, IN 47408

(800) 759-4723
(812) 855-5847
ericdocs@indiana.edu
http://www.indiana.edu/~eric rec