

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 429 476

HE 031 851

AUTHOR MacFarland, Thomas W.
 TITLE A Comparison of Final Grades in Courses When Faculty
 Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based and
 Distance Education Students: Winter Term 1997.
 INSTITUTION Nova Southeastern Univ., Fort Lauderdale, FL.
 REPORT NO R98-15
 PUB DATE 1998-12-00
 NOTE 40p.
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement; Business Education; College Faculty;
 College Instruction; *College Students; *Distance Education;
 Extension Education; *Grades (Scholastic); Graduate Study;
 Higher Education; Psychology; Teacher Education;
 Undergraduate Study; Universities
 IDENTIFIERS *Nova Southeastern University FL

ABSTRACT

This study examined differences in final course grades for campus-based and distance education students at Nova Southeastern University (Florida). Data on over 26,000 course grades for winter term 1997 were obtained from university records. It was found that students enrolled in courses offered through distance education received a significantly greater frequency of successful grades (A, B, C, or Pass) than their campus-based counterparts enrolled in the undergraduate studies program, the School of Education and Human Services, and the School of Business and Entrepreneurship. However, in the Center for Psychological Studies, there was no significant difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses and courses offered through distance education. For courses taught by faculty who concurrently taught the same course to campus-based students and distance education students, there was no significant difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses and courses offered through distance education. An appendix contains 9 references and 4 tables. (MDM)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

**A COMPARISON OF FINAL GRADES IN COURSES WHEN FACULTY
CONCURRENTLY TAUGHT THE SAME COURSE TO CAMPUS-
BASED STUDENTS AND DISTANCE EDUCATION
STUDENTS: WINTER TERM 1997**

Thomas W. MacFarland

Senior Research Associate

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Nova Southeastern University
Research and Planning**

Report 98-15

December 1998

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

Thomas W. MacFarland

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

1AE 031 85-1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Office of Research and Planning provided the first comprehensive analysis of grades at Nova Southeastern University in 1996. Because of the way data were organized, it was not possible at that time to report grades based on place of class instruction (on-campus and off-campus).

Since then, Research and Planning has reported on grades in standard courses by place of class instruction for the four academic centers with distance education instruction (Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services, School of Business and Entrepreneurship, and Center for Psychological Studies):

- By focusing on grades awarded only in standard courses, grades in non-standard courses (practicum, thesis, dissertation, Major Applied Research Project, rotation, etc.) were excluded from all analyses.
- Guidelines established by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools were used to provide an operational definition of campus-based and distance education:
 - All instruction offered in either Broward County or Miami-Dade County was considered campus-based instruction.
 - All instruction offered at other locations was considered instruction offered through the use of distance education.

Using an extract file for all Winter Term 1997 courses offered by the University, grades of campus-based students and distance education students were examined from a variety of perspectives:

- At the broadest level, it was determined that there was a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades awarded in courses offered through distance education compared to the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses. This finding was upheld in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services, and the School of Business and Entrepreneurship. However, in the Center for Psychological Studies, there was no statistically significant difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses and courses offered through distance education.
- Breakout analyses for broadly representative core courses were also prepared. Of the 15 core courses identified by staff in the four academic centers, distance education students were in full parity with campus-based students in

terms of the relative frequency of successful grades received. For 3 of the 15 courses, distance education students received a greater frequency of successful grades than their on-campus counterparts ($p \leq .05$).

There were no instances where campus-based students received a greater frequency of successful grades than off-campus students ($p \leq .05$).

The purpose of this study was to once again use the Winter Term 1997 extract file to answer the following research question: "For teachers who concurrently taught the same course to campus-based students and distance education students, is there any difference in the frequency of successful grades received by these two groups of students?" For all four centers, there was no statistically significant difference ($p \leq .05$). That is to say, distance education students were in parity with their campus-based counterparts in terms of the frequency of successful grades in courses taught by teachers who concurrently taught the same course to both groups of students.

There is currently only a minimal amount of professional literature devoted to this topic. However, of the literature that is available, the general observation is that students who are enrolled in distance education programs are by no means at a disadvantage, compared to campus-based students, in terms of grades and learning outcomes. Of course, far more research needs to be devoted to this topic to gain a better understanding of the many possible impacts of distance education. There seems to be some degree of consensus that distance education students have higher levels of motivation than their often younger campus-based peers, thus bringing issues related to adult education into this area.

To develop a better understanding of learning outcomes comparing campus-based students and distance education students, this study offered the recommendation that the University should provide some type of standardized assessment in selected courses that are offered in both formats. Then, it would be possible to empirically determine achievement of campus-based students and distance education students on an equivalent examination or other common assessment instrument.

It may also be useful for the University to offer some sense of how distance education students are able to equal and often exceed campus-based students in terms of grades. Clearly, issues related to access to campus-resident services and resources does not hinder the academic performance of the University's distance education students.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
LIST OF TABLES	v
BACKGROUND	1
Introduction	1
Purpose of This Study	2
METHODOLOGY	2
RESULTS	3
SUMMARY	4
REFERENCES	6
APPENDIX: Tables 1 to 4	7

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Summary of Successful Grades (A, B, C, Pass) and All Other Grades by Place of Class Location and by Academic Center: Winter Term 1997	8
2.A	Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies	10
2.B	Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services	11
2.C	Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the School of Business and Entrepreneurship	12
2.D	Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the Center for Psychological Studies	13
2.E	Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Standard Courses in All Academic Centers Using Distance Education Modalities	14
3.A	Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students	15
3.B	Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students	16
3.C	Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the School of Business and Entrepreneurship Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students	17
3.D	Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the Center for Psychological Studies Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students	18

4	Comparison of Successful Grades in Courses Taught by Faculty Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students	19
---	---	----

BACKGROUND

Introduction

The Office of Research and Planning provided the first comprehensive analysis of grades at Nova Southeastern University in 1996 (*Grades at Nova Southeastern University: Fall Term 1993, Fall Term 1994, and Fall Term 1995; 1996*). A limitation of that report was that grades could only be reported by academic center and not by place of class instruction (on-campus and off-campus).

Since then, Research and Planning has reported on grades in standard courses by place of class instruction for the four academic centers (Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services, School of Business and Entrepreneurship, and Center for Psychological Studies) with distance education instruction:

- By focusing on grades awarded only in standard courses, grades in non-standard courses (practicum, thesis, dissertation, Major Applied Research Project, rotation, etc.) were excluded from all analyses.
- Guidelines established by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools were used to provide an operational definition of campus-based and distance education:
 - All instruction offered in either Broward County or Miami-Dade County was considered campus-based instruction.
 - All instruction offered at other locations was considered instruction offered through the use of distance education.

Using an extract file for all Winter Term 1997 courses offered by the University, grades of campus-based students and distance education students were examined from a variety of perspectives:

- At the broadest level, it was determined that there was a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades awarded in courses offered through distance education compared to the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses (*A Comparison of Final Grades Awarded in Campus-Based Courses and Courses Offered Through Distance Education for Winter Term 1997, 1998*). This finding was upheld in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies, the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services, and the School of Business and Entrepreneurship. However, in the Center for Psychological Studies, there was no statistically significant

difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses and courses offered through distance education.

- Breakout analyses for broadly representative core courses were also prepared (*An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students*, 1998; *An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the Center for Psychological Studies: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students*, 1998; *An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students*, 1998; *An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the School of Business and Entrepreneurship: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students*, 1998). Of the 15 core courses identified by staff in the four academic centers, distance education students were in full parity with campus-based students in terms of the relative frequency of successful grades received. For 3 of the 15 courses, distance education students received a greater frequency of successful grades than their on-campus counterparts ($p \leq .05$).

There were no instances where campus-based students received a greater frequency of successful grades than off-campus students ($p \leq .05$).

Purpose of This Study

The purpose of this study was to once again use the Winter Term 1997 extract file to answer the following research question: "For teachers who concurrently taught the same course to campus-based students and distance education students, is there any difference in the frequency of successful grades received by these two groups of students?" This question did not consider teaching modality but instead merely focused on place of class attendance: campus-based class attendance or off-campus class attendance through the use of some type of distance education modality.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology used for *Grades at Nova Southeastern University: Fall Term 1993, Fall Term 1994, and Fall Term 1995* (1996) was quite useful and this report in many ways replicates that prior study:

1. The computing center provided the original extract file on November 11, 1997.

This date provided an approximate four month interim from the end of Winter Term 1997 and the creation of the extract file, allowing sufficient time for adjustment to most *Incomplete* grades and the posting of any late grades.

2. The extract file was purposely prepared so that the five column *Cluster_City* code for each course was identified, allowing for later discrimination between campus-based instruction and instruction offered through distance education.
3. Center catalogs were used for information on standard courses and non-standard courses.
4. The graduate assistant assigned to Research and Planning hand-entered the appropriate *Course_Type* code for each of the more than 9,000 unique course listings identified in the extract file.
5. Grades in non-standard courses (practicum, thesis, dissertation, Major Applied Research Project, rotation, etc.) have been excluded from this report.

Grades were organized for the four academic centers with off-campus instruction into two separate categories:

- Successful grades (A, B, C, and Pass)
 - All other grades
6. A listing of all standard courses was prepared in sorted order by *Faculty_Name* and the *Cluster_City* code was used to identify faculty who concurrently taught the same course to campus-based students and distance education students.

The chi-square test was then used to determine if there were differences in the frequency of successful grades awarded to campus-based students and distance education students.

RESULTS

A summary of successful grades and all other grades by place of class location for the four academic centers with off-campus instruction is provided in Table 1. When viewing grades in all standard courses for an entire academic term, it was determined that there was a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades awarded in courses offered through distance education compared to the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses (Tables 2.A to 2.E).

This finding was upheld in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies (Table 2.A), the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services (Table 2.B), and the School of Business and Entrepreneurship (Table 2.C). However, in the Center for Psychological Studies (Table 2.D), there was no statistical difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses and courses offered through distance education.

However, for courses taught by faculty who concurrently taught the same course to campus-based students and distance education students, there was no difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded (Tables 3.A to 4). For all four academic centers, distance education students were in parity with their campus-based counterparts in terms of the frequency of successful grades received from faculty who concurrently taught the same course to both sets of students.

SUMMARY

Throughout the many broad and breakout analyses of the Winter Term 1997 data set, distance education students have consistently been in parity with campus-based students in terms of the relative frequency of successful grades awarded. This study further reinforces the notion that distance education students are by no means less successful than campus-based students when concurrently enrolled in the same course taught by the same teacher.

Going beyond the observation of parity between distance education students and campus-based students, Research and Planning further identified that off-campus students had higher scores on a common final examination than their campus-based counterparts (*A Comparison Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students to a Common Final Examination*, 1996). It was later determined that there was a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades awarded in courses offered through distance education compared to the frequency of successful grades awarded in campus-based courses (*A Comparison of Final Grades Awarded in Campus-Based Courses and Courses Offered Through Distance Education for Winter Term 1997, 1998*).

Koch (1998, p. A60) also addressed the issue of parity in grades and stated that "[] both male and female distance-learning students earned higher grades than did students in conventional versions of the same courses." Hogan (1997) also determined that distance education students had higher grades than the grades of students enrolled in traditional courses.

To develop a better understanding of learning outcomes comparing campus-based students and distance education students, it may be useful for the University to provide some type of standardized assessment in selected courses that are offered in both formats. Then, it would be possible to empirically determine achievement of campus-based students and distance education students on an equivalent examination or other common assessment instrument.

It may also be useful for the University to offer some sense of how distance education students are able to equal and often exceed campus-based students in terms of grades. Clearly, issues related to access to campus-resident services and resources does not hinder the academic performance of the University's distance education students. How is it possible that distance from these services and resources has no impact on success? What actions and strategies do distance education students use to substitute for these services and resources?

REFERENCES

- A Comparison Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students to a Common Final Examination.* (1996). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 96-17.
- A Comparison of Final Grades Awarded in Campus-Based Courses and Courses Offered Through Distance Education for Winter Term 1997.* (1998). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 98-10.
- An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the Center for Psychological Studies: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students.* (1998). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 98-12.
- An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students.* (1998). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 98-11.
- An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students.* (1998). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 98-13.
- An Analysis of Final Grades for Selected Courses in the School of Business and Entrepreneurship: Differences Between On-Campus Students and Off-Campus Students.* (1998). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 98-14.
- Grades at Nova Southeastern University: Fall Term 1993, Fall Term 1994, and Fall Term 1995.* (1996). Fort Lauderdale, Florida: Nova Southeastern University. Research and Planning Report 96-19.
- Hogan, Robert. (1997). *Analysis of Student Success in Distance Learning Courses Compared to Traditional Courses.* ERIC ED 412992.
- Koch, James V. (September 11, 1998). "How Women Actually Perform in Distance Education." *The Chronicle of Higher Education.* [ONLINE]. Available <<http://chronicle.com>>. Accessed December 21, 1998.

APPENDIX:

Tables 1 to 4

Table 1

Summary of Successful Grades (A, B, C, Pass) and All Other Grades by Place of Class Location and by Academic Center: Winter Term 1997

Academic Center	Successful Grades: A, B, C, Pass		All Other Grades		p	Results of Chi-Square Analysis
	Campus	Distance	Campus	Distance		
Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies	6,687	3,348	1,390	505	.001	Students enrolled in courses offered through distance education received a significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.
Fischler Center for the Advancement of Education	4,906	2,421	605	164	.001	Students enrolled in courses offered through distance education received a significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.
School of Business and Entrepreneurship	1,973	1,807	288	93	.001	Students enrolled in courses offered through distance education received a significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.

There is no difference in the frequency of successful grades awarded between students enrolled in courses offered through distance education and students who are campus-based.

Students enrolled in courses offered through distance education received a significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.

Center for Psychological Studies	1,609	499	90	46	.007
All Four Academic Centers with Both Campus-Based Courses and Distance Education Courses	15,175	8,075	2,373	808	.001

Table 2.A

Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies

Faculty Status	Successful Grades													
	A		B		C		Pass		Subtotal		All Other Grades		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Campus-Based Instruction														
Broward County	1,967	31	2,233	35	935	15	114	2	5,249	82	1,180	18	6,429	
Miami-Dade County	726	44	544	33	168	10	0	0	1,438	87	210	13	1,648	
Subtotal	2,693	33	2,777	34	1,103	14	114	1	6,687	83	1,390	17	8,077	
Distance Education														
Subtotal	1,574	41	1,375	36	398	10	1	0	3,348	87	505	13	3,853	
TOTAL	4,267	36	4,152	35	1,501	13	115	1	10,035	84	1,895	16	11,930	

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 32.86 and the Null Hypothesis is rejected ($p = .001$). Students enrolled in courses offered through the use of distance education received a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.

Table 2.B

Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services

Faculty Status	Successful Grades																					
	A			B			C			Pass			Subtotal			All Other Grades			Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
Campus-Based Instruction																						
Broward County	2,595	60	948	22	96	2	141	3	3,780	88	526	12	4,306									
Miami-Dade County	801	66	256	21	12	1	57	5	1,126	93	79	7	1,205									
Subtotal	3,396	62	1,204	22	108	2	198	4	4,906	89	605	11	5,511									
Distance Education																						
Subtotal	1,462	57	264	10	12	0	683	26	2,421	94	164	6	2,585									
TOTAL	4,858	60	1,468	18	120	1	881	11	7,327	91	769	9	8,096									

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 43.95 and the Null Hypothesis is rejected ($p = .001$). Students enrolled in courses offered through the use of distance education received a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.

Table 2.C

Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the School of Business and Entrepreneurship

Faculty Status	Successful Grades																				
	A			B			C			Pass			Subtotal			All Other Grades			Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
Campus-Based Instruction																					
Broward County	806	41	524	27	72	4	276	14	1,678	86	270	14	1,948								
Miami-Dade County	136	43	78	25	6	2	75	24	295	94	18	6	313								
Subtotal	942	42	602	27	78	3	351	16	1,973	87	288	13	2,261								
Distance Education																					
Subtotal	1,018	54	656	35	39	2	94	5	1,807	95	93	5	1,900								
TOTAL	1,960	47	1,258	30	117	3	445	11	3,780	91	381	9	4,161								

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 76.35 and the Null Hypothesis is rejected ($p = .001$). Students enrolled in courses offered through the use of distance education received a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.

Table 2.D

Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: All Standard Courses in the Center for Psychological Studies

Faculty Status	Successful Grades												All Other Grades		Total	
	A		B		C		Pass		Subtotal		All Other Grades		Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Campus-Based Instruction																
Broward County	1,120	69	336	21	18	1	68	4	1,542	95	88	5	1,630			
Miami-Dade County	45	65	17	25	5	7	0	0	67	97	2	3	69			
Subtotal	1,165	69	353	21	23	1	68	4	1,609	95	90	5	1,699			
Distance Education																
Subtotal	359	66	131	24	9	2	0	0	499	92	46	8	545			
TOTAL	1,524	68	484	22	32	1	68	3	2,108	94	136	6	2,244			

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 7.16 and the Null Hypothesis is accepted ($p = .007$).

Table 2.E

Summary of Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Standard Courses in All Academic Centers Using Distance Education Modalities

Faculty Status	Successful Grades																				
	A			B			C			Pass			Subtotal			All Other Grades			Total		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
Campus-Based Instruction																					
Broward County	6,488	45	4,041	28	1,121	8	599	4	12,249	86	2,064	14	14,313								
Miami-Dade County	1,708	53	895	28	191	6	132	4	2,926	90	309	10	3,235								
Subtotal	8,196	47	4,936	28	1,312	7	731	4	15,175	86	2,373	14	17,548								
Distance Education																					
Subtotal	4,413	50	2,426	27	458	5	778	9	8,075	91	808	9	8,883								
TOTAL	12,609	48	7,362	28	1,770	7	1,509	6	23,250	88	3,181	12	26,431								

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 109.17 and the Null Hypothesis is rejected ($p = .001$). Students enrolled in courses offered through the use of distance education received a statistically significantly greater frequency of successful grades than their campus-based counterparts.

Table 3.A

Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students

Faculty Status	Successful Grades													
	A		B		C		Pass		Subtotal		All Other Grades		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Campus-Based Instruction														
Broward County	85	33	102	40	37	14	0	0	224	87	34	13	258	
Miami-Dade County	25	47	18	34	4	8	0	0	47	89	6	11	53	
Subtotal	110	35	120	39	41	13	0	0	271	87	40	13	311	
Distance Education														
Subtotal	71	29	88	35	43	17	0	0	202	81	46	19	248	
TOTAL	181	32	208	37	84	15	0	0	473	85	86	15	559	

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 3.43 and the Null Hypothesis is accepted ($p = .064$).

Table 3.B

Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students

Faculty Status	Successful Grades																					
	A			B			C			Pass			Subtotal			All Other Grades			Total			
	N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		
Campus-Based Instruction																						
Broward County	77	63		21	17		4	3		10	8		112	91		11	9		123			
Miami-Dade County	12	92		1	8		0	0		0	0		13	100		0	0		13			
Subtotal	89	65		22	16		4	3		10	7		125	92		11	8		136			
Distance Education																						
Subtotal	30	58		6	12		1	2		12	23		49	94		3	6		52			
TOTAL	119	63		28	15		5	3		22	12		174	93		14	7		188			

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 0.29 and the Null Hypothesis is accepted ($p = .588$).

Table 3.C

Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the School of Business and Entrepreneurship Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students

Faculty Status	Successful Grades													
	A		B		C		Pass		Subtotal		All Other Grades		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Campus-Based Instruction														
Broward County	18	49	18	49	0	0	0	0	36	97	1	3	37	
Miami-Dade County	16	94	1	6	0	0	0	0	17	100	0	0	17	
Subtotal	34	63	19	35	0	0	0	0	53	98	1	2	54	
Distance Education														
Subtotal	67	55	53	43	0	0	0	0	120	98	2	2	122	
TOTAL	101	57	72	41	0	0	0	0	173	98	3	2	176	

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 0.01 and the Null Hypothesis is accepted ($p = .920$).

Table 3.D

Winter Term 1997 Grades by Place of Class Location: Courses Taught by Faculty in the Center for Psychological Studies Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students

Faculty Status	Successful Grades																					
	A			B			C			Pass			Subtotal			All Other Grades			Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	
Campus-Based Instruction																						
Broward County	44	59	25	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	93	5	7	74				
Miami-Dade County	15	94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	94	1	6	16				
Subtotal	59	66	25	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	93	6	7	90				
Distance Education																						
Subtotal	50	58	29	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	92	7	8	86				
TOTAL	109	62	54	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	163	93	13	7	176				

Null Hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between campus-based courses and distance education courses regarding the frequency of successful grades and all other grades awarded ($p \leq .001$).

Finding: Chi-square = 0.139 and the Null Hypothesis is accepted ($p = .709$).

Table 4

Comparison of Successful Grades in Courses Taught by Faculty Who Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students

Academic Center and Courses	Chi-Square	p	Significant difference at $p \leq .05$
Farquhar Center for Undergraduate Studies			
BUSS3020 BUS3050			
BUSS3100 BUS4100			
BUSS4610 BUS4880			
BUSS4990 EDUC4120			
ELEM3310 FINC2130			
HMG3820 MGMT1410			
MGMT2510 MGMT3070			
MGMT4160 PSYC1410			
PSYC3070	3.42	.064	No Distance Education = Campus-Based
Fischler Graduate School of Education and Human Services			
BLE 0500 EDL 0505			
EDL 0530 EDUC0688			
EL 0600 ELE 0602			
EP 0566 EP 5415	0.29	.588	No Distance Education = Campus-Based

School of Business and Entrepreneurship

GMP 5015	GMP 5070				
GMP 5090	0.01	0.920	No	Distance Education = Campus-Based

Center for Psychological Studies

PSY 0506	PSY 0635				
PSY 0645	PSY 0675	0.14	0.709	No
					Distance Education = Campus-Based

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release
 (Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: A Comparison of Final Grades in Courses When Faculty Concurrently Taught the Same Course to Campus-Based Students and Distance Education Students: Winter Term 1997	
Author(s): Thomas W. MacFarland, Ed.D.	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: December 1998

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>	<p>PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p>.....</p> <p>.....</p> <p>TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p>
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
<p>↑</p> <div style="border: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: 0 auto;">X</div>	<p>↑</p> <div style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>	<p>↑</p> <div style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
<p>Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.</p>		

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche, or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: <i>Thomas W. MacFarland</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Thomas W. MacFarland, Ed.D., Senior Research Associate		
Organization/Address: Nova Southeastern University Research and Planning 3301 College Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314	Telephone: (954) 262-5390	Fax: (954) 262-3970	
	E-mail Address: tommac@nsu.nova.edu	Date: February 15, 1999	

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM: