

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 428 585

FL 025 784

AUTHOR Izzo, John
TITLE English Writing Errors of Japanese Students as Reported by University Professors.
PUB DATE 1999-03-00
NOTE 8p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Faculty; College Instruction; College Students; Determiners (Languages); *English (Second Language); *Error Patterns; Foreign Countries; Grammar; Higher Education; Paragraph Composition; Second Language Learning; Sentence Structure; Surveys; Verbs; *Writing (Composition); *Writing Skills
IDENTIFIERS Japan

ABSTRACT

A survey of 34 professors teaching English as a second language in 20 Japanese universities elicited information about common errors in student writing. In open-ended questions, respondents identified 40 student error types, which were grouped into 18 categories. The most common problem category was sentence development, and other high-frequency categories, in descending order of occurrence, included subject-verb and number agreement, paragraph development, use of articles, and verbs. Implications for classroom instruction are considered briefly. Contains 11 references. (MSE)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

English Writing Errors of Japanese Students as Reported by University Professors

John Izzo

Introduction

Writing is an important, but difficult to acquire, communication skill which is essential in today's information society. The level of difficulty is significantly higher when a foreign language is involved. Differences in the language structures, the manner of expressing thoughts, writing styles, and other culturally varying factors greatly affect the writings of a foreign (second) language learner. (Benson and Heidish, 1995)

Japanese learners of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) have problems coping with these differences. In general, Japanese students have great difficulty in developing the level of English writing skills that is expected of a college student. This is borne out by the TOEFL Test of Writing English (TWE) results attained by Japanese. From August 1993 through May 1995, the Mean score of Japanese who took the TWE test ranked 115 out of 117 when compared to test takers from other countries. (ETS, 1996) Moreover, this English language learning problem extends to other language skill areas as indicated by the ranking of Japanese TOEFL test takers during July 1996 through June 1997. Among test takers from 166 countries that included 25 Asian countries, Japanese ranked 151 and 23 respectively. (ETS, 1997)

Research studies have also documented the problems that Japanese students have writing in English. For example, a study that involved 25 randomly selected foreign students - five each from the five largest represented foreign language groups (Arabic, Chinese, Japanese, Persian, and Spanish) enrolled in special sections of freshman composition was conducted at the University of Southern California. In this study, Japanese students produced writings that were ranked as the worst in rhetorical competency, had the most errors, and collectively generated the shortest collective corpus. (Kroll, 1991)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

1220

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

FL 025784



In addition, many EFL teachers in Japan have conducted a variety of research pertaining to English errors of their students. Several books (Kizuka & Northridge, 1997) (Ward, 1995) (Webb, 1991) and variety of articles - for example, (Hirose, 1998) ((Izzo, 1995) (Narita, 1997) - based upon classroom experiences have been published discussing errors made by Japanese college students. This article, however, will address the topic from a different prospective - the collective view of 34 professors teaching ESL writing courses at 20 universities throughout Japan.

Data Acquisition

The data used in this article was obtained from responses to a survey sent to 159 universities, public and private, throughout Japan. Questionnaires for English writing professors were incorporated into the survey. One survey item asked the EFL writing professors to list the most common errors made by their students. Although limited replies were received, 34 professors provided input that is being utilized in this article. The results of the data obtained are tabulated in Table 1 on the following page.

The reported errors are listed in the first column of the table. The second column indicates the number of times the error was reported. Since the respondents reported up to six errors the errors were rated according to the position that they were reported. Errors listed first were scored as 6, and errors listed sixth (last) were scored as 1. Other errors were scored according to the respective position in which they were reported.

Since the question was an open-ended, 40 different errors were reported. To reduce the number of items being considered, the errors were grouped in general categories whenever possible. Although the groupings are subjective and based upon the author's classification process, the process permitted reducing the categories of errors being considered to 18. Further categorization was possible, but this would have put too many errors into a single classification.

Reported Errors	Number	Score	Grouped Errors	Score
articles	13	69	Sentence development	110
subject-verb agreement	12	57	<i>fragments (because)</i>	35
paragraph devel-org-coh	10	46	<i>basic mechanics</i>	12
fragments (because)	7	35	<i>comma use</i>	12
verb tense	8	29	<i>fragments</i>	11
agreement verb tense	7	27	<i>word order</i>	10
word selection/use	7	26	<i>clause-no verb</i>	10
preposition selection	6	24	<i>word omission</i>	9
spelling	4	20	<i>clause structure</i>	6
conjunction misuse	4	17	<i>sentence structure</i>	5
almost	2	12	Agreement	96
basic mechanics	2	12	<i>subject-verb</i>	57
comma use	2	12	<i>verb tense</i>	27
relative pronoun	3	12	<i>number</i>	10
fragments	3	11	<i>noun-pronoun</i>	2
plurals	2	11	Paragraph development	83
verb form	2	11	<i>para devel-org-coh</i>	46
number agreement	2	10	<i>clarity</i>	10
clarity	2	10	<i>topic sentence</i>	10
clause-no verb	2	10	<i>details lacking</i>	9
gerund with to	3	10	<i>personalizing</i>	5
topic sentence	2	10	<i>simple sentence</i>	3
word order	2	10	Articles	69
details lacking	2	9	Verb errors	62
word omission	2	9	<i>tense</i>	29
active-passive	1	6	<i>active-passive voice</i>	12
clause structure	1	6	<i>form</i>	11
possessive pronoun	1	6	<i>gerund with to</i>	10
at first -first of all	1	5	Word selection/use	26
noun-adjective confusion	1	5	Preposition selection	24
personalizing	2	5	Nouns	20
pre-nominal	1	5	<i>plurals</i>	11
sentence structure	1	5	<i>noun-adj confusion</i>	5
that	1	5	<i>noncount nouns</i>	4
carelessness	1	4	Spelling	20
using contractions	1	4	Pronoun selection	18
noncount nouns	1	4	<i>relative pronoun</i>	12
Janglish	1	4	<i>possessive pronoun</i>	6
simple sentence overuse	1	3	Conjunction misuse	17
noun-pronoun agreement	1	2	Almost	6
			At first-first of all	5
			Pre-nominal	5
			That	5
			Carelessness	4
			Using contractions	4
			Janglish	4
Totals	127	578		578

Table 1

Data Analysis

A review of the tabulated data reveals that the most common Grouped Error category reported was **sentence development**. Nine Reported Error types were included in this category. It can be argued that other errors should be included. However, an attempt was made to evaluate whether reported errors best fit sentence, paragraph, or individual categories. Based on data reported and the author's personal experience and judgment, the errors were categorized as indicated in Table 1. *Fragments*, especially those involving "*because*," were noted to be a primary type of **sentence development** error. This error is likely due to the frequent use of fragmented "*because*" clauses in reply to "why" questions in spoken English. (Izzo, 1995)

The problems associated with writing **development** at the basic sentence level can be traced to the over-reliance on multiple choice questions to educate and test secondary school students. Multiple choice questions are used as primary educational tools because the main purpose of secondary school education is to prepare the students for college entrance examinations. (Goodman, 1993) This overuse of multiple choice questions hinders the acquisition of spoken and written English communicative skills.

The second most frequently reported error category was **agreement**. It should be noted that majority of errors in this group were *subject-verb agreement* errors. The score assigned to this specific error type could probably be somewhat higher because some of the *number agreement* errors most likely fall into this category. This error is probably due to the fact that in the Japanese language the present tense of a verb does not change with number. Therefore, adding an "s" to the present tense of most English verbs to obtain the third person singular form appears to be difficult for Japanese students to comprehend.

Paragraph development is another identified writing weakness of Japanese students. They have difficulty in presenting material in a logically organized and coherent manner that readers can readily understand. Native English speaking writers are taught to present

detailed information so that the readers will not have to make interpretations that could result in misunderstanding of the material being presented. However, Japanese writers tend to write around the topic and leave the readers with the task of interpreting the writer's message. Japanese writers are also inclined to include comments about circumstantially related information. This often results in a writing that includes a broad range of material, much of which is not directly related to the topic of the writing.

As in most error analysis studies of Japanese writings, **articles** were a frequently reported error. Since the Japanese language does not have **articles** to contend with, they are an especially difficult problem for Japanese EFL students. Therefore, **articles** are consistently identified as a problem for all levels of EFL learners in Japan. The topic of **articles** is somewhat difficult to explain to Japanese EFL students, and the problems associated with their use can be corrected only through much study and frequent use of the English language.

Verb related errors are another category that the university professors frequently reported as a common error producer. *Verb tense* was identified as the most common type of error in this category. Other types of verb errors reported were *active-passive voice*, *verb form*, and the use of *to with a gerund*. Although *agreement noun-verb* and *agreement verb tense* also cross over into this category and could be considered as a part of this grouping, a separation was maintained in this review. Reduction of **verb errors** also requires EFL students to invest time and effort in their studies and to use English as frequently as possible.

Conclusion

Although no new error categories were reported in this article, it is important to note that the majority of the Reported Errors are related to **sentence** and **paragraph development**. The errors in these categories can not be corrected by the EFL teaching practices presently emphasized in the Japanese secondary education system. Current teaching practices concentrate on preparing students for university entrance examinations. These practices do not place

adequate emphasis on language learning activities that would be productive in developing communicative skills needed to significantly improve **sentence** and **paragraph development** proficiency.

Grammar exercises based upon selective answer testing are not an acceptable alternative for enhancing **sentence** and **paragraph development** skills of Japanese EFL students. These students must be given the opportunity to write in English and thus acquire the ability to develop and organize material. Without this opportunity, Japanese students will not develop their writing skills to a level that will permit them to become capable university level writers of English.

It should also be noted that over-reliance on multiple choice exercises and testing often extends into the Japanese university EFL classrooms. There are several possible reasons for this. First, it is the system under which many of the professors were educated, and therefore, are most familiar with. In addition, the use of multiple choice exercises and testing keeps the out-of-classroom workload of the language instructor at a much lower level than that associated with the checking of paragraph and essay level writings.

Due to the noted considerations, it is highly unlikely that the present EFL education practices will change significantly in the near future. Therefore, the communicative skills - especially writing skills - of Japanese university level students can not be expected to show any real improvement in the near future. Significant improvement in the EFL education system at the secondary school level is needed. In addition, university level EFL education needs to be evaluated and improved where required.

References

Benson, P. J. & Heidish, P. (1995). The ESL technical expert: writing processes and classroom practices. In D. Belcher (Ed), *Academic writing in a second language: Essays on Research and pedagogy*. Norwood, NJ: Albex Publishing Corp.

Goodman, R. (1993). *Japan's 'international youth'-the emergence of a new class of schoolchildren*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Hirose, K. (1998). The effects of English paragraph writing instruction on Japanese English students. (pp. 97-108). *JACET Bulletin, No. 29*. Tokyo: The Japanese Association of College English Teachers.

Izzo, J. (1995). Usage of subordinating conjunctions by university of Aizu freshman students: a pilot study. In J. Izzo (Ed.), *Center for Language Research 1994 Annual Review*. Aizuwakamatsu, Japan: University of Aizu.

Kizuka, H. & Northridge R. (1997). *Common errors in English writing - New Edition*. Tokyo: Macmillan Languagehouse Ltd.

Kroll, B. (1990). What does time by? ESL student performance on home versus class compositions. In B. Kroll (Ed.), *Second language writing: research insights for the classroom*. Cambridge University Press.

Narita, N. (1997). Error analysis of English sentences composed by Japanese University students. *Proceedings of the JACET 36th Annual Convention*. (pp. 69-72). Tokyo: The Japanese Association of College English Teachers.

Educational Testing Service (1996). *TOEFL: test of written English guide (4th Ed.)*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Services (ETS).

Educational Testing Service (1997). *TOEFL: test and score data summary (1997-98 ed.)*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Services (ETS).

Ward, B. (1995). *Common errors in English Japanese students make*. Tokyo: Macmillan Languagehouse Ltd.

Webb, J. (1991). *121 common mistakes of Japanese students of English - Revised Edition*. Tokyo: The Japan Times.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

FL 025 184



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>English Writing Errors of Japanese Students as Reported by University Professors</i>	
Author(s): <i>John Izzo</i>	
Conference paper? <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no (Please note conference: _____)	Publication Date: <i>MARCH 1999</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: <i>John Izzo</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>John Izzo, Professor</i>
Organization/Address: <i>University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu, Fukushima 985-8580, JAPAN</i>	Telephone: <i>0242-37-2585</i> FAX: <i>0242-37-2599</i>
E-Mail Address: <i>izzo@u-aizu-ac.jp</i>	Date: <i>April 5, 1999</i>



(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages & Linguistics 1118 22nd Street NW Washington, D.C. 20037
--