DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 427 034 TM 029 411

AUTHOR Stanberry, Anne M.

TITLE Evaluating Existing Programs Using the Accountability

Process.

PUB DATE 1998-11-05

NOTE 14p.; Display session presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Mid-South Educational Research Association (27th, New

Orleans, LA, November 4-6, 1998).

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

-- Tests/Questionnaires (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Accountability; Data Analysis; Data Collection; Educational

Change; *Evaluation Methods; Outcomes of Education; *Parents

as Teachers; Program Effectiveness; *Program Evaluation

IDENTIFIERS Reform Efforts; Stakeholders

ABSTRACT

J. Vella, P. Berardinelli, and J. Burrow (1998) described the "accountability process" of program evaluation. This process was implemented to evaluate a Parents as Teachers program after a year of operation. Evaluation included determining goals, identifying objectives, and determining the focus. Critical elements were identified for educational outcomes, as were key stakeholders' needs and priorities. Learning tasks and materials, expected outcomes, evidence of change, and documentation were identified, and the evidence was analyzed. For the educational process, elements to evaluate and learning tasks and materials were determined. Expected outcomes and evidence of change were identified. Data gathering procedures were developed, and the evidence was analyzed. Existing program data were classified into six categories: (1) objectives and program content; (2) learning tasks and materials; (3) anticipated changes in learning; (4) evidence of change; (5) documentation of evidence; and (6) analysis of evidence. Results, which show the effectiveness of the program to the various stakeholders, will be used as baseline data for a longitudinal study of program effectiveness. The six data classifications are presented as a chart, and four forms used in the evaluation are attached. (SLD)

*****	*******	*****	******	*****	*****	*****	****
*	Reproductions	supplied	by EDRS	are the	best that	can be made	*
		_			_		



Evaluating Existing Programs Using the Accountability Process

Anne M. Stanberry, PhD, CFLE, CFCS

Assistant Director, Assistant Professor School of Family and Consumer Sciences

The University of Southern Mississippi Southern Station Box 5035 Hattiesburg, MS 39406-5035 Anne.M.Stanberry@usm.edu

A display session presented at the Mid-South Educational Research Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA, November 5, 1998

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ann e Stanberry

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

E This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.





Planning the evaluation component during program development is optimal but not always realistic. Evaluating existing programs requires "backing into evaluation". Founded in Baldwin and Ford's work on transfer and impact of professional training programs and Berardinelli and Burrow's accountability process Vella, Berardinelli, and Burrow (1998) described the accountability process of program evaluation.

The accountability process was implemented to evaluate a Parents as Teachers program after one year. Evaluation included determining goals, identifying objectives, and determining the focus (a combination of educational outcomes and educational process).

For educational outcomes, critical elements were identified, key stakeholders' needs and priorities were identified, learning tasks/materials were identified, expected outcomes were identified, evidence of change and documentation were identified, and analysis of evidence was determined.

For the educational process, elements to evaluate and learning tasks/materials were determined, expected outcomes and evidence of change were identified, data gathering procedures developed, and analysis of evidence determined.

Existing program data were put in one of six columns: Objectives and program content; learning tasks/materials; changes in learning, transfer and impact; evidence of change; and documentation of evidence. The researcher filled in analysis of data. Existing data determined program effectiveness except for a few circumstances. A telephone survey gathered data not found elsewhere.



Results showed school district, state department of education, legislators, and other key stakeholders the effectiveness of the Parents as Teachers program. Even though limitations exist when fitting an evaluation plan into an existing program, a few modifications can often allow the data for missing outcomes and process elements to be gathered. The comprehensive nature of the accountability process functioned as a template laid over the program. Under developed elements readily emerged, indicating areas for redesign. Evaluation results will be used as base line data for a longitudinal study of program effectiveness.



Bibliography

- Parents As Teachers National Center, Inc. (n.d.). A select review of past and current evaluations of the Parents As Teachers Program. St. Louis: Author.
- Stroube, M. & Test, J. (1996). "How are we doing?" A practitioner's guide to program evaluation. St. Louis: Parents as Teachers National Center, Inc.
- Vella, J. (1994). <u>Learning to listen</u>, <u>learning to teach</u>: The power of dialogue in <u>educating adults</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Vella, J. (1995). <u>Training through dialogue: Promoting effective learning and change with adults.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Vella, J., Berardinelli, P., & Burrow, J. (1998). <u>How do they know they know?</u> Evaluating adult learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.



EKIC Slumm1 Is, Knowledge	Content, ABO

will identify C's motor, language evel in areas of Knowledge: M developmental development. gross & fine and social

Skill: M will ri

develop skills of tally appropriate activities, expecworking with C ways such as in in developmen-

develop attitude Attitude: M will quests.

tations, & re-

foremost teacher. resources avail-M will identify able to help C.

ABO: M will Ś.

ment & developmental activities process assessrespond, as with PE & Indicated

cearning Tasks, Column 2 Materials

mental Assessment Denver Developearning Task:

12, 24, 36 months M observes as PE ment of C using conducts assess-Denver II at 6, _;

stones) & behaviors C is capable M & PE discuss results (developmental mile-તં

referrals from PE, of performing M receives if necessary щ.

Denver II Materials

as C's first &

carning Task: Home Visit

visits, M receives will discuss next During monthly to read between PE who asks M visits, PE & M nandouts from "Your Child" visit

information about group meetings, with PE during developmental evaluations, & In discussions developmental home visits, M receives milestones Ś

Anticipated Changes Column 3

nome visits and, more M & PE use informal results, referrals, and dialogue to discuss milestones during formally, during group meetings. he assessment, developmental

Learning:

out with PE, asks Your Child handassessment & questions, PE M discusses clarifies

developmentally behaviors C is M identifies તં

belief that she is able to perform M expresses a C's first & ω.

appropriate ways foremost teacher. developmentally M demonstrates skill in working with C in

4

Transfer:

PE, group meetdiscussion with M refers to previous informadevelopmental tion regarding assessment & milestones in ng members

Evidence of Change Column 4

PE records- form 577 C's developmental level-Denver [Denver score] ri

Discussion of C's prompted by C's evel/milestones/ Child handouts, behavior, Your developmental Discussion] Denver II *sehaviors*

developmentally M's requests for appropriate beω.

Observation M-Follow-up on referrals [Referral naviors from C C interactions]

[ollow-up]

On Annual Summary M demonstrates milestone infordevelopmental mation with C application of during interactions

Americorp vol-On Interview Form ٠.

12, 24, 36 months A. How confident unteer calls M at are you discuss-

are you in finding B. How confident ing C's developresources to anment with PE?

swer your ques-

Documentation of Column 5 Evidence

Analysis of Evidence

Column 6

nformation from PE documents

summary of PE

GA records

& Americorp

Column 4, 1-4 on PAT form 577 Home Visitor Report) after each visit.

At the 12, 24, 36

4

collection sheet

data on data

questions on PAT volunteer records Americorp answers to interview તં

individual M and

analyzed by

all PAT M's

summary data is

month points,

orm 577 onto data collection answers from summary of GA records ું ક

nilestones? AND

developmental

discuss

A mean of ≤ 2 on

monthly question

answers to the

interview form

.00% Yes

"Did you and M

collated for year. Group Meeting monthly group ecorded after neeting and Evaluation sheets

loes M integrate

developmental

ner interactions milestones into

form]"How well

from Annual

Summary

he question

AND How often developmentally does M request behaviors from appropriate with C?" S.

followed up until C? [source referrals are professional **AND 70%** terminates successful ndicate 6

completion of

ABO

									. 57	. .			_								_											
tions about C's development? C. How confident	do you feel as a parent?	 D. In the past year, have you 	asked for help	from a profes- sional to reduce a	problem your	experienced?	 E. How important 	do you feel you	are as your C's	that & formost	101000																					
2. M tells group meeting members and about developmental mile-	stones C has accomplished.		appropriate	quests of child	4. M shares with PE	& group meeting	members	incidents of	first & foremost	teacher.	5. M follows up on	referrals		1 M feels confident	I. M leels confident	discussing C's	development,	challenges,	concerns	2. M initiates	requests for	appropriate	5. M Solicits help	mental questions.	concerns	4. M expresses	confidence in	parenting matters	5. M recognizes she	is C's first &	most important	teacher.
<u>Materials</u> Your Child Series																				_												



Monthly Home Visitor Report-Form 577 Supplement Parents as Teachers Program Evaluation

Fa	mily Numbe		Date			
	or Previous E asks M t		Γ Task Sheet A	ctivity		_(#/description)
1.	How many	times did you co	omplete the PAT	Task Sheet Activi	ity with your child th	is month?
2.	If you were	e to rate the succ	ess of the activity	, would you rate i	t as	
	l complete success	2 some success	3 minimal success	4 M did not initiate	5 C did not cooperate	
3.	If you were	to rate your con	fidence in comple	eting the activity,	would you rate it as	
	l complete confidence	2 some confidence	3 minimal confidence	4 M did not initiate	5 C did not cooperate	
Re	eport on h	nomework a	ctivity [PE as	ks M to rate]		
4.	How well d	id your child con	nplete the activity	/?		-
	l completely completed	2 somewhat completed	3 minimal completion	4 M did not initiate	5 C did not cooperate	
5. I	f you were to	rate the success	of the homework	activity, would y	ou rate it as:	
	l completely successful	2 somewhat successful	3 minimally successful	4 M did not initiate	5 C did not cooperate	
6.			id you notice as y rite response in s		? How might doing	the activity help
7.	What concerbelow.	rns and challenge	es did you face as	you did the activi	ity? Write response i	n space
8.	How confide	ent did you feel a	bout doing the ac	tivity?		
	l complete confidence	2 some confidence	3 minimal confidence	4 M did not initiate	5 C did not cooperate	



For Reading Activity-Itty Bitty Book Club [PE asks M]

9.	How many books did vo	ou read to your child this month?	

10. If you were to rate the success of the reading activity, would you rate it as:

1	2	3	4	5
completely	somewhat	minimally	M did not	C did not
successful	successful	successful	initiate	cooperate

11. What good/positive things did you notice as you read the book? How might reading books help your child later in school? Write response in space below.

12. What concerns and challenges did you face as you read the books? Write response in space below.

13. How confident did you feel about doing the activity?

1	2	3	4	5
complete	some	minimal	M did not	C did not
confidence	confidence	confidence	initiate	cooperate

14. Is there anything you'd like to share about your interactions with your child during the past month?

For Present Month's PAT Activity [PE rates M]

14. If you were to rate the success of this month's activity, would you rate it as:

1	2	3	4	5
complete	some	minimal	M did not	C did not
success	success	success	initiate	cooperate

15. If you were to rate M's confidence in completing the PAT Task Sheet given on this date, would you rate it as:

1	2	3	4	5
complete	some	minimal	M did not	C did not
confidence	confidence	confidence	initiate	cooperate



Telephone Interview PAT Program Evaluation

Implemented at 12, 24, 36 Month Points

On a scale of 1-3 with 1 being very confident and 3 being not confident at all

1.	How confident do yo	u feel discussing your chi	ld's development with	the parent educator?
Ver	1 y confident	2 fairly confident	3 not confident at all	·
2.	How confident do yo child's development?		sources that will answ	er your questions about your
Ver	1 y confident	2 fairly confident	3 not confident at all	
3.	How confident do yo	u feel as a parent?		
Ver	1 y confident	2 fairly confident	3 not confident at all	
4.	How important do yo	u feel you are as your chi	ld's first and foremost	teacher?
Ver	1 y i m portant	2 fairly important	3 not important at all	
5.	Which of these Petal	Parenting Center events a	nd activities did you a	ttend?
	Day at the Beach Drop In Health Fair	1		
On a	a scale of 1 to 3 with	being very much and 3 b		**
6.	Did the events you at	tended provide you with s	support from other par	ents?
Ver	1 y much	2 somewhat	3 not at all	
7.	Were your questions meetings and other P		pment answered by the	e PE, referral sources, group



Referral Summary Sheet PAT Program Evaluation

Number	of Refer Total	By each family				
	Mean	By entire group				
Follow-	M does M follo M follo	not follow-up at ws up 1 time ws-up until profe s follow-up after	essional terminates			
Family Number		Referral Source	Appointments	_	ermination By Professional	By Parent
Criteria: 80% ref 70% ref	errals are	e followed up by	at least 1 appointment il professional terminates			

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Group Meeting Evaluation Petal Parenting Center-Parents As Teachers Program

Nu	mber present	
Ch	aracteristic	# who shared information
1.	Refer to previously learned milestones information	
2.	Talk about milestones C accomplishes	
3.	Share something about role as child's first and foremost teacher	

4. Questions/more information about ideas discussed today:





I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



TM029411

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

Evaluating Exist	ing Programs Using the	e Accountability Process
Author(s): Anne M. Stanber		
Corporate Source:	<i>/</i> ·	Publication Date:
University of Sout	hern Mississippi	11-5-98
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	≣:	
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, F	Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made ava RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Cre	ducational community, documents announced in the ilable to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy dit is given to the source of each document, and, i
If permission is granted to reproduce and dis of the page.	seminate the identified document, please CHECK ON	E of the following three options and sign at the bottom
The sample sticker shown below will be sffixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 28 documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY. HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample		sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	ZB Level 2B
†	1	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here ford_evel 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.		
as indicated ebove. Reproductión fi contractors requires permission from	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive perm from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by pe the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit ators in response to discrete inquiries.	rsons other than ERIC employees and its system
Sign here, → Signatury Lame Man	shery Anne	H > 5/ SYAWE
please Organization/Address:	Talephone:	-266-4679' FAX 601-266-4680
· U. Niversity of Sou	thern MS Email Agore.	M. Stanberry Dete: 11-5-98
ERIC	@ us	m.edu