

ED426440 1998-00-00 Guidelines for Evaluating Web Sites. ERIC Digest.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Guidelines for Evaluating Web Sites. ERIC Digest	1
TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS	2
PURPOSE	2
CONTENT	2
AUTHORSHIP/SPONSORSHIP	3
FUNCTIONALITY	3
DESIGN/AESTHETICS	4
ONLINE SOURCES OF EVALUATION CRITERIA	5
REFERENCES	5



ERIC Identifier: ED426440

Publication Date: 1998-00-00

Author: Abdullah, Mardziah Hayati

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading English and Communication Bloomington IN.

Guidelines for Evaluating Web Sites. ERIC Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

With increased access to the Internet, Web sites are becoming popular educational resources. Not every site makes a good resource, however, so how does one decide whether a site is worth using? The following checklist, compiled from several sources, is a summary of criteria for evaluating Web sites; the more criteria a site meets, the more likely it is to be a valuable resource:

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- * Verify that the Web site's important capabilities, such as graphics or animations critical to the subject matter, can be utilized with the technology you have available. Some sites require more advanced browsers.
- * The page should be stable, that is, consistently available.
- * All the links and special features such as audios must be functioning; it is disconcerting if "Error" messages appear.
- * If there is a fee for using the site, the site should provide a secure way to send payment.

PURPOSE

- * A site's purpose should be clear, and its content should reflect that purpose, be it to entertain, educate, or sell.
- * Advertising should not overshadow the content.

CONTENT

- * A site's content should be comprehensible, appropriate, and of value to the intended audience. Awards won by a site may suggest quality but may also be little more than advertising banners for the issuing agencies.
- * There should be enough information to make visiting the site worthwhile. Information on how often the site is visited may indicate its usefulness.
- * Although there may be variations in how information is ordered, the organization should generally be logical.
- * Sites that promote social biases (e.g., gender, racial, or religious biases) should be rejected or critically reviewed.
- * If there are large amounts of information on the site, there should at least be a site map or outline of topics that allows users to find topics and move among them easily. A search function for locating information within the site would also be useful.

- * The information should be current, accurate, and regularly updated. A "last updated" notification is a useful feature.
- * Copyright information is useful if you anticipate copying a substantial amount of the content for dissemination.
- * Links to more information on the topic should be provided.
- * Graphics should be relevant and appropriate to the content.

AUTHORSHIP/SPONSORSHIP

- * The name of the individual or group creating the site should be clearly stated.
- * The Web site author or manager should provide contact information for users to make comments or ask questions.
- * Where applicable, reference sources for information cited should be provided.
- * Sites that clearly violate copyright statutes or other laws should not be linked, listed, or recommended.

FUNCTIONALITY

- * Language used in messages and instructions should be clear, concise and easy to understand.
- * The skills required to use the site's features should be appropriate for its intended audience.
- * Navigation within the site should be easily carried out. Required "plug-ins" or other helper applications should be clearly identified, and navigational buttons should be of a consistent shape and location. Links should be descriptive of the content, and link text items should sound like invitations to content, not "click me/this/here."
- * If a search function is available, instructions for conducting searches should be provided.
- * There should be consistency in the use of features such as headers, backgrounds, fonts, and colors, particularly when they act as thematic pointers (e.g., sub-headings use a smaller font than major headings).
- * A text-only option is useful for sites with a lot of graphics; otherwise, download time may be too lengthy. Generally, wait time should not exceed 15-20 seconds.
- * If necessary, look for features catering to the needs of special populations such as

visually impaired and hearing-impaired users. For example, images conveying important messages such as page titles or links should come with alternative text (text that is displayed when the cursor moves over the image), so that visually impaired users using screen readers will hear the relevant text when the cursor is over the image. Audio clips that convey important content (such as lyrics or announcements) must have optional links to readable text for hearing-impaired users.

DESIGN/AESTHETICS

- * The site design should be appropriately appealing to its intended audience.
- * The text should be easy to read, and not cluttered with distracting graphics, fonts, and backgrounds. There should be appropriate "white space," that is, space that is not occupied by text or graphics.
- * The design elements and features on the site, such as searchable databases, animations, graphics, sound files, and transitional pages, should be labeled and explained clearly.
- * The site should make use of conventional rather than cute but confusing features. For example, hypertext should be in blue text rather than buttons without accompanying text.
- * Links should not lead to so many levels that it is difficult for users to get back to the page they started from.
- * Sometimes the same site looks different on different browsers. Colors, graphics, and text may change. If you are looking at a Web site on your own computer, check to see how it will appear on the computers that other users, such as students, will be using.
- * The color scheme should not be too gaudy and hurtful to the eye. Most experts recommend that a site contain no more than four colors, with a limit of seven throughout the site. Some suggest that a site contain both warm colors (e.g., red, orange, yellow) and cool colors (purple, blue, green). The most important consideration, however, is whether the colors distract from the main message.
- * The colors may also need to be appropriate for color-deficient users, who tend to see everything in shades of green and grey, so check to see whether important messages are conveyed by differences or changes in color.
- * A site has better readability if dark text appears on a light background, or vice versa. If pages need to be printed, pages using light backgrounds and dark text will produce better hard copies than pages with dark backgrounds and light text.
- * Large images should be presented in thumbnail versions, with links to the larger

versions

ONLINE SOURCES OF EVALUATION CRITERIA

* Two useful online sources of criteria for evaluating Web sites for children are located at:

* Selection Criteria

(<http://www.ala.org/parentspage/greatsites/criteria.html>)

* Kids Site Selection Guidelines

(<http://www.scout.cs.wisc.edu/scout/kids/selection.html>)

* A comprehensive list of criteria for evaluating Web resources in general is provided by Ann Symons in "Sizing Up Sites: How to Judge What You Find on the Web" in the *School Library Journal*, v43 n4 p22-25, April 1997, currently available on the online Expanded Academic Full-text Elite database, and as ERIC Database document number EJ 543 163.

* A Web-based public service offered by the Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST), called Bobby, analyzes Web pages for their accessibility to people with disabilities as well as their compatibility with various browsers. This service is currently available at: <http://www.cast.org/bobby/>

REFERENCES

Absher, L.(1997). Beyond Clip Art: Creating Graphics for the Web. Paper presented at "The Universe at Your Fingertips: Continuing Web Education" Conference (Santa Barbara, CA, April 25, 1997). [ED 412 903]

Everhart, N. (1997). Web Page Evaluation: Views from the Field. In *Technology Connection*, 4, 24-26. [EJ 544 697]

Knupfer, N.N. (1997). Visual Aesthetics and Functionality of Web Pages: Where is the Design? In *Proceedings of Selected Research and Development Presentations at the 1997 National Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology* (19th, Albuquerque, NM, February 14-18, 1997). [ED 409 846]

Luck, D.D., & Hunter, J.M. (1997). Visual Design Principles Applied To World Wide Web Construction. In *VisionQuest: Journeys toward Visual Literacy. Selected Readings from the Annual Conference of the International Visual Literacy Association* (28th, Cheyenne, Wyoming, October, 1996). [ED 408 985]

Reagan, M.J. (1997). An Accent on Access: Writing HTML for the Widest Possible

Audience. Paper presented at "The Universe at Your Fingertips: Continuing Web Education" Conference (Santa Barbara, CA, April 25, 1997). [ED 412 905]

Small, R.V. (1997). Assessing the Motivational Quality of World Wide Websites. 16 p. Document available only on microfiche. [ED 407 930]

Smith, A.G. (1997). Testing the Surf: Criteria for Evaluating Internet Information Resources. In Public Access Computer Systems Review, 8, 1-14. [EJ 554 170]

Sowards, S.W.(1997). Save the Time of the Surfer: Evaluating Web Sites for Users. In Library Hi-Tech, 15, 155-58. [EJ 557 244]

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract No RR93002011. Contractors undertaking such projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their judgment in professional and technical matters. Points of view or opinions, however, do not necessarily represent the official view or opinions of the Office of Educational Research and Improvement.

Title: Guidelines for Evaluating Web Sites. ERIC Digest.

Document Type: Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Available From: ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading, English, and Communication, Indiana University, 2805 E. 10th Street, Suite 150, Bloomington, IN 47408-2698; Web site: http://www.indiana.edu/~eric_rec/ieo/digests/d137.html

Descriptors: Educational Technology, Elementary Secondary Education, Evaluation Criteria, Higher Education, Resource Materials, World Wide Web

Identifiers: ERIC Digests, Web Sites

###



[\[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page\]](#)