#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 425 154 SP 038 232

AUTHOR Chiang, Linda H.

TITLE Enhancing Metacognitive Skills through Learning Contracts.

PUB DATE 1998-10-17

NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Mid-Western Educational Research Association (Chicago, IL,

October 14-17, 1998).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; College Students; Communication

Skills; Feedback; Higher Education; \*Learning Processes;

\*Metacognition; Motivation Techniques; \*Performance Contracts; \*Self Motivation; Student Motivation

#### ABSTRACT

This 6-year study examined how metacognitive strategies could enhance learning achievement and whether the use of individual learning contracts could enhance an individual's ability to become a conscientious learner. The criteria included whether students would learn by planning, controlling, monitoring learning processes, and using study skills. The process of using metacognitive strategies included setting goals for individual learning programs, developing individual learning contracts, monitoring learning processes, writing reflective journals, conducting individual conferences with the instructor, and being involved in summative evaluations. Participants were 222 college students. At the beginning of the semester, the instructor explained the purpose of the Individual Learning Plan (ILP), connected students to resources, and set the time frame for planning. The instructor reviewed students' ILPs for learning objectives and strategies, self-monitoring processes, evaluation processes, expected grade, and feasibility. At the end of the semester, a summative evaluation was implemented, and an individual conference invited feedback. Students were asked about how well they used the skills and the overall effectiveness of the ILP. Data analysis involved tallying survey forms and transcripts from interviews and reflective journals. Results indicated that this process helped students become conscientious learners. The instructor found that using learning contracts, appraisals, reflective journals, and conferences helped develop a rapport with students. (Contains 11 references.) (SM)



# **Enhancing Metacognitive Skills through Learning Contracts**

#### Mid Western Educational Research Conference

October 14-17, 1998

Chicago, Illinois

by

Linda H. Chiang

**Associate Professor** 

Anderson University

Anderson, IN 46012

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



### **Enhancing Metacognitive Skills through Learning Contracts**

by Linda H. Chiang

#### **Anderson University**

#### **Abstract**

The purpose of this six year study was to understand how strategies of metacognition can enhance learning achievement. The second purpose of this study was to ascertain whether the use of learning contracts can enhance an individual becoming a conscientious learner. Two hundred and twenty two students enrolled in a course entitled "Developmental Reading in the Content Areas" participated in this study from 1991 to 1997. The process of using metacognitive strategies included: setting goals for individual learning programs, developing individual learning contracts, monitoring learning processes, writing reflective journals, conducting one-on-one conferences, and involving students in summative evaluations. Evidence was found that this process helped students to become conscientious learners. Implications for applying these strategies in different educational levels, and for different courses were discussed.



#### Enhancing Metacognitive Skills through Learning Contracts by Linda H. Chiang, Anderson University

#### I. Objectives

This six-year study examined how using learning contracts enhanced students' use of metacognitive skills. The criteria included whether students will learn by planning, controlling, monitoring learning processes, and using study skills. One purpose of this study was to understand how strategies of metacognition enhance students taking responsibilities for their learning and academic achievement. The second purpose of this study was to ascertain whether the process of using learning contracts helped students to become conscientious, responsible learners.

#### **II. Perspectives**

The term metacognition has been used to describe our knowledge about how we perceive, remember, think, and act. Therefore, metacognition refers to the awareness of and control over one's cognitive process. Schraw (1998) defined metacognitive skills as those that help a person understand and regulate cognitive performance. Researchers have found that students need instructions and guidance to practice metacognitive skills (Maryland State Department of Education, 1990). According to Garner (1990) metacognitive abilities begin to develop around the ages of five to seven and improve throughout school. Most children go through a transitional period when they can apply a particular strategy if reminded, but will not apply such strategies on their own. Schunk (1994) argued adults can learn skills and acquire metacognitive knowledge via modeling. College students, therefore, need to be reminded, modeled, and encouraged to use metacognitive skills. The application of metacognitive strategies in colleges has been used in diverse courses, such as World History ((Fox, 1995), Biology (McCrindle & Christensen, 1995), and Computer Science (Volet, 1991). Those successful cases reported using metacognitive strategies have increased students awareness and control of their learning processes. In recent years reading as a cognitive perspective has become a new interest among some educational psychologists (Andre, 1987; Perfetti, 1992; and Stanovich, 1993,



in Smith 1998). However, there is virtually no research that has examined the impact on reading comprehension with learning contracts.

The learning contract is a means for making the learning objectives clear to both the learner and the instructor. By participating in the process of implementing a learning contract, the learner develops a sense of ownership in the learning plan. Learning contracts provide a vehicle for making the planning of learning experiences a mutual undertaking between a learner and his or her helper, mentor, teacher, or peers.

Allen Tough in "The Adult Learning Projects" (1979) found that when adults go about learning something naturally, they are highly self-directing. Knowles further claimed that what adults learn on their own initiative, they learn more deeply and permanently than what they learn by being taught (1986).

Contract learning is an alternative way of structuring a learning experience. This concept originated from the practice of independent study and later was enriched with studies of self-directed learning (Knowles, 1986). In this study the Individual Learning Plan (ILP) was used to assist students in utilizing metacognitive strategies to accomplish a project in a "Developmental Reading in the Content Areas" course.

This study applied an Individual Learning Plan (ILP) which served as a learning contract between the instructor and each individual student to monitor student use of metacognitive strategies. The process included: setting ILP goals, developing an ILP, monitoring the learning process, writing a reflective journal, conducting one-on-one conferences, and making summative evaluations.

#### III. Methodology

The instructor began this study in 1991. During the following six years, 222 students who enrolled in the one semester course "Developmental Reading in the Content Areas" participated in this study. At the beginning of a semester, the instructor explained the purpose of the Individual Learning Plan (ILP) to the students, connected the learners to resources (books, library, CD ROM, etc.), and set the time frame for planning. Once students filled out their plans, the instructor reviewed their ILP's for the following information:



- 1. Are the learning objectives clear and realistic?
- 2. Are the learning strategies and resources reasonable and appropriate?
- 3. Are self monitoring processes included?
- 4. Are the evaluation processes reasonable and appropriate?
- 5. Is an expected grade listed?
- 6. Are the designed projects reasonable and applicable to the teaching unit?

During the process the learners are given freedom to take initiative in choosing their own resources for implementing the ILP and how they will monitor themselves to achieve their learning goals. The learners indicated the grade they expected to receive, but the instructor retained the responsibility for determining the grade. Both the instructor and the students obtained a copy of the ILP, but the students were held accountable for monitoring their learning processes.

At the end of the semester, a summative evaluation was implemented and a one-on-one conference followed to invite feedback. Students were asked how well they used the skills and the overall effectiveness of the ILP. Data were analyzed by tallying survey forms and transcripts from interviews and reflective journals. Data were reported in percentages and anecdotes from conference interviews and journals.

#### IV. Summary of the Findings

During the interaction with the students, the instructor developed extensive two-way communication with the students. Students reported there was a positive impact on their own learning using the learning contract. The reported data found using an ILP as a contract helped students to become aware of their own learning and knowing what they learned. Most of the students reported using an Individual Learning Plan as a contract was a first time experience (N=220, 99.1%). Students reported the most common used strategies included: note-taking (N=195, 87.8%), underlining (N=191, 86%), and summarizing (N=117, 52.7%). Seventy seven percent of the students (N=170) reported that they always understand what they read in their textbooks, and 94% (N=208) reported that most people understand what they write. The participants also reported



they become more conscientious of their learning (N=170, 76.6%) as the result of the benefit of using individual learning plans.

The researcher's one-on-one student conferences revealed that most students gained more confidence in planning and monitoring their own learning. They reported that they comprehend and retain more information. They felt they had control of their learning accomplishments. Some students expressed that the reminders from the instructor helped the learning process. Their journal indicated their positive responses to the utilization of the Individual Learning Plan. One student stated "I will use the individual learning contract when I have my own class." Another student stated "I began to evaluate my study skills and reading rate and comprehension. I have learned what to focus on in content.", and "I think the metacognitive strategies are helping me to remember what I read."

#### V. Importance of the Study

Cultivating learners' passion for learning, according to Jalongo (1991), is to build character through which learners could be initiated to the learning experience, motivated to pursue a goal, encouraged to use mistakes as opportunities for learning, and decided on one's pace to learn. The ILP motivated students to set goals for their learning, selected ways they reflected on their progress, monitored their own learning, and received reminders and encouragements from the instructor. Though due to the limitation of credit requirement, the students were required to accomplish their learning goals in the time frame of a semester, most students fulfilled their goals. In these six years none of the students received grades lower than a "C". It is obvious to the instructor that many of the students felt they were ready for their own learning and teaching toward the end of the semester.

To sum up, the researcher felt teaching effectiveness heavily relies upon effective communication. This study found using learning contracts, appraisals, reflective journals, and one-on-one conferences helped the instructor to develop a rapport with her students. This rapport helped the students both cognitively and affectively. This study also found metacognitive skills can match learning



theories and teaching strategies. Uses of learning contracts allow students to assume learning responsibilities and make good use of metacognitive skills in their learning. Learning contracts proved to help students to monitor and judge what is to be learned and applied in their learning process. The potential and possible usage of learning contracts is an alternative way to motivate students to learn.

#### References

- Garner, R. (1990). When children and adults do not use learning strategies: Toward a theory of settings. Review of Educational Psychology, 60, 517-530.
- Fox. S. (1994). Metacognitive strategies in a college world literature course. American Annals of the Deaf. Dec. Vol. 139(5), 506-511.
- Jalongo, M. R. (1991). <u>Creating learning communities: The role of the teacher in the 21st century</u>. Bloomington, IN: National Educational Services.
- Knowles, M. (1986). <u>Using learning contracts</u>. San Francisco: Jossey Bass Publishers.
- Maryland State Department of Education (1990). Better thinking and learning: Building effective teaching through educational research. Baltimore Div. of Instruction. ED 353561.
- McCrindle, A. & Christensen, C. (1995). The impact of learning journals on metacognitive and cognitive processes and learning performance. <u>Learning and Instruction</u>. Vol. 5(2), 167-185.
- Smith, M. C. (1998). The educational psychology of reading in adulthood. In Smith, M. C. & Pourchat, T. (eds.) <u>Adult Learning and Development</u>. pp. 201-218, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schraw, G. (1998). On the development of adult metacognition. In Smith, M. C. & Pourchat, T. (eds.) <u>Adult Learning and Development</u>. pp. 89-106, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schunk, D. H. (1994). Self-regulation of self-efficacy and attribution in academic settings. In Schunk, D. H. and Zimmerman, B. J. (eds.), <u>Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications</u>. pp. 75-100. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

8



Tough, A. (1979). <u>The adult's learning projects</u>. Toronto: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.

Volet, S. (1991). Modeling and coaching of relevant metacognitive strategies for enhancing university students' learning. <u>Learning and Instruction</u>, 1(4), 319-336.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATIO	N:	·
Title: Enhancing Metacognit	we Skills through Lear Chiang	ning Contracts
Author(s): Linda H.	Chiang	
Corporate Source:	0	Publication Date:
Anderson Univ	ersity	Octo 1998 march
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	:	
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, <i>R</i> and electronic media, and sold through the ER reproduction release is granted, one of the following	e timely and significant materials of interest to the educesources in Education (RIE), are usually made available RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit wing notices is affixed to the document.  Seeminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the comment of the identified document.	ele to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy is given to the source of each document, and, i
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample	Sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Level 1 ↑	Level 2A ↑	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proces	
as indicated above. Reproduction from to contractors requires permission from to satisfy information needs of educa	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persone the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reptors in response to discrete inquiries.  Printed Name/Po	ons other than ERIC employees and its system production by libraries and other service agencies

(over)

#### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Data as
Price:
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name an address:
Name:
Address:
<u> </u>
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility** 1100 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-953-0263 e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

088 (Rev. 9/97)