DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 423 354 UD 032 569

AUTHOR Brabeck, Mary M.; McCubbin, Laurie; Rogers, Lauren A.; Ting,

Kathleen; Warner, Chris; Sirin, Selcuk; Weaver, Monica

TITLE Increasing Ethical Sensitivity to Racial and Gender

Intolerance in Schools: Development of the REST (Racial

Ethical Sensitivity Test).

SPONS AGENCY Philip Morris Inc., New York, NY.

PUB DATE 1998-03-00

NOTE 59p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Codes of Ethics; *Cultural Awareness; Ethical Instruction;

*Ethics; Graduate Students; Graduate Study; Higher Education; Minority Groups; *Moral Values; *Racial Attitudes; Racial Discrimination; *Test Construction

ABSTRACT

An effort to develop a measure of ethical sensitivity to acts of racial and gender intolerance that occur in school settings is described. The rationale and theory on which the instrument is based is derived from the work of J. Rest (1983) that outlines four psychological components of morality: (1) ethical sensitivity; (2) moral judgment; (3) moral motivation; and (4) moral action. The goal of the development effort was to present videos containing ethical dilemmas that an educator might encounter in a professional situation. Three focus groups, primarily female African American or Latin American students, and a playwright helped develop the scenarios. An interview protocol was developed from a semi-structured interview used in the Dental Ethical Sensitivity Test (M. Bebeau and J. Rest, 1982). A pilot study was conducted with eight female and one male graduate students who saw the scenarios and responded to the interview protocol. In all, 24 interview protocols were transcribed to help develop a scoring manual. Comparisons of ethical codes from some professional organizations are presented for various aspects of ethical behavior. (Contains 2 tables and 15 references.) (SLD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made



Increasing Ethical Sensitivity to Racial and Gender Intolerance in Schools:

Development of the REST (Racial Ethical Sensitivity Test)

Mary M. Brabeck, Laurie McCubbin, Lauren A. Rogers,

Kathleen Ting, Chris Warner, Selcuk Sirin and Monica Weaver

Boston College

March, 1998

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Mary M. Brabeck

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

4

695000 OERIC

Increasing Ethical Sensitivity to Racial and Gender Intolerance in Secondary Schools

Schools in the United States are undergoing major alterations in their student bodies as the demographics of this country continue to change. It is expected that by the year 2000, the nation's public schools will consist primarily of students of color and students for whom English is not their native language. However, the faculty, administration and school personnel who work with these children and their families are predicted to remain predominantly white. Sadly, conflict often accompanies diversity. As one author stated, "The patchwork quilt of races, religions and ethnic groups often frays--and sometimes is torn to pieces." (Harrington-Lueker, 1993, p.15). Statistics underscore the fact that bigotry, intolerance, and racism are problems that schools cannot ignore. The US Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights continues to receive a dramatically high number of complaints about racial and ethnic harassment (Harrington-Lueker, 1993).

Racial and gender intolerance does not need to involve physical conflict or be maliciously motivated to be hurtful and/or harmful. Professional faculty and staff, often out of ignorance, hold negative stereotypes that prevent them from treating persons different from themselves with respect and dignity. Disapproving and distrustful attitudes towards women and other students of color can be conveyed subtly through a tone of voice, posture, gesture, as well as in overt statements. Unintentional as well as intentional acts can subsequently adversely affect the way these students feel about themselves, their peers and their schools. This, in turn, will affect their adjustment to school and academic success. Educators and other professionals have the responsibility to acquire the knowledge and skills to respond to intolerant behavior in ways that are ethically defensible and consistent with the ethical codes of their profession.

In this introduction we present our attempt to develop a measure of ethical sensitivity to acts of racial and gender intolerance that occur in school settings. We present the



rationale and theory on which our work is based. We discuss next the popular literature reviewed and the focus groups conducted to develop the video scripts containing instances of professionals' racial and gender intolerance. We present and compare a number of professional ethical codes that guided the development of these scripts, and we offer a description of the process of filming the videotaped scenarios. We describe the videotape materials themselves, and the development and piloting of the scoring instruments designed to assess ethical sensitivity. We conclude with a discussion of the findings from our pilot study and describe future directions for this research.

Review of relevant literature

The project described here seeks to advance earlier work directed at increasing professionals' ethical sensitivity to real life dilemmas in the professions of dentistry (Bebeau & Rest, 1982), counseling (Volker, 1984) and medicine (Self & Baldwin, 1994). The phrase "ethical sensitivity" was coined in 1983 by the psychologist James Rest. Rest proposed that rather than viewing morality as a unitary process, it would be useful to view it as a multifaceted phenomenon, consisting of four psychological components (Rest, 1983). Component I, Ethical Sensitivity, is the identification of the salient ethical aspects of a situation. This component involves recognizing different possible lines of action and the ways each line of action will affect the parties concerned. This component also involves being aware of different possible choices of action and how each line of action can affect the parties concerned. The psychological processes of Ethical Sensitivity include empathy, the ability to conceptualize cause-consequence chains of events that might follow from one's action, and perspective-taking skills.

Component II, Moral Judgment, entails formulating the morally ideal course of action by integrating the various moral considerations and weighing moral principles. Once a person is aware of the different possible lines of action and how other people would be affected by each line of action (Component I, Ethical Sensitivity), the person judges which line of action is more morally justifiable (Component II, Moral Reasoning). Component II



is the most well known aspect of moral action and has been extensively researched using Kohlberg's Moral Judgment Interview (Colby & Kohlberg, 1987) and Rest's Defining Issues Test (Rest, 1979).

Component III, Moral motivation, involves deciding what one actually intends to do. Simply because the morally ideal course of action has been defined does not mean that a person will choose to follow it. Moral motivation determines the importance given to moral values when compared to other values. Failures in moral action due to deficiencies in Component III occur when a person does not put moral values higher than other values. This may occur, for example, when other values, such as protecting one's self, gaining recognition or success exert more influence on action than concern for doing what is right. Rest cites Hitler and Stalin as extreme examples of failures in component III, moral action. That is, their moral failures were not due to deficiencies in awareness of the impact of their action (Component I), nor due to their inability to figure out what would be the just thing to do (Component II). Rather, Hitler and Stalin can be seen as having set aside moral considerations in pursuit of other values.

Rest's final component, Component IV, involves moral action. Moral action entails the execution and implementation of one's intentions and involves moral character, ego strength, perseverance, strength of conviction and courage. A person may be ethically sensitive, may make good moral judgments, and may place high priority on moral values, but if this person lacks the skills to behave in a moral way, is distracted or discouraged, then moral failure will occur. Psychological resilience and strong character do not guarantee adequacy in any of the other components; a certain amount of each is necessary to carry out a line of action. Moral failure, according to Rest, can occur because of a deficiency in any of the four components, and all components are important determinants of moral action.

Rest's first component, ethical sensitivity, provided the theoretical framework for this project. Rest is fond of quoting president Lyndon Johnson who said, "It is not doing



what is right that is hard for a president. It's knowing what is right" (Rest & Narvaez, 1994, p. x). Preparing professionals to engage in ethical action must start with the recognition of what is right. Moral sensitivity is the ability to identify the ethical issues in a situation by (1) making inferences from individuals' verbal and nonverbal behaviors, (2) identifying what others affected by the situation want or need, (3) anticipating their reactions to one's attempts to help, and (4) responding with appropriate affect. The primary assumption in research on ethical sensitivity, is that something one might do or is doing can affect the welfare of someone else. This is done either directly or indirectly, through violating a general practice or social standard.

A number of researchers have discussed the importance of ethical sensitivity in their work. Bebeau (1994) investigated moral sensitivity in the context of dentistry, Volker in counseling psychology (1984), Duckett and Ryden (1994) in nursing, and Bredemeier and Shields (1994) looked at ethical sensitivity in the context of sports. The project reported here extends previous attempts to measure ethical sensitivity, by using videotapes to depict real life instances of racial and gender intolerance, and by linking ethical sensitivity to existing professional codes of ethics of multiple school based professions. We report here our attempts (1) to identify an area of ethical concern, in this case racial and gender intolerance in secondary schools, (2) to use Rest's theory to develop a measure of the psychological processes engaged in when being ethically sensitive, that is when identifying the ethical issues that arise in these situations, and (3) to tie the issues of racial and gender intolerance identified in school settings to existing professional ethical codes developed by school-based professional organizations. Ethical concerns related to racial and gender intolerance clearly cut across professional disciplines and it is fair to say that there is a core set of ethics that is common to different helping professions (Kline & Brabeck, 1998).

Ethical Sensitivity: Script and Video Development

Our goal was to create scenarios which contain ethical dilemmas one might encounter in professional situations, which reflect the ethical principles of school based



professions. To reach this goal several tasks needed to be accomplished. The first task was to create a summary of ethical codes from professional fields. This summary encompassed the ideals which various professional organizations had put forth for their members to understand and abide by. This summary was made into a grid of the ethical principles articulated by several school based disciplines: teaching, school administration, psychology, counseling, and school counseling, school administration, social work, and nursing. In addition we examined the ethical recommendations of the Feminist Therapy Institute and the guidelines of the American Psychological Association Office of Ethnic Minority Affairs (1993). (See Table 1). Our examination of these codes of ethics of professional organizations served as a guide for identifying ethical dimensions of the acts of intolerance that we were depicted in the scenarios we developed.

The second task was to identify failures in ethical sensitivity that occur in American schools and to depict plausible lapses in ethical sensitivity for scripts that would be videotaped. Summaries of newspaper articles were distributed to a team of graduate students who highlighted the reported instances of intolerance which were relevant to our work. In addition, we conducted focus groups of university students in Education programs who reflected on their own school based experiences.

We began the process of developing our videotapes by inviting students of color to participate in focus groups. Students from the graduate schools of Education, Nursing and Social Work voluteered for a focus group discussion. Each interested person was sent a letter from the primary investigator. The letter described the research project in detail, including the questions that would be the basis for discussion during the group meeting. The questions were:

1. As you think about the teacher/student (therapist/client, nurse/patient, or social worker/family) relationship, have you encountered instances of ethnic/gender insensitivity?



- 2. Think about a collegial relationship or relationship with supervisors, principals department heads, physicians, etc. Have you encountered instances of ethnic/gender insensitivity?
- 3. As you think about discussions of school policy, such as teenage pregnancy, school violence, drug or alcohol abuse, or discussions of curriculum, have you encountered instances of ethnic/gender insensitivity?
- 4. As you think about your encounters with parents or family members of a child with whom you have worked, have you encountered instances of ethnic/gender insensitivity?

Three focus groups were scheduled and participants were randomly assigned to groups. There was an attempt to balance groups in terms of ethnicity and gender.

However, in spite of these efforts, most groups consisted of predominantly female students who were African Americans and Latinos.

Focus groups were facilitated by diverse teams of women and men. Prior to audiotaping the group, the facilitators introduced themselves, explained the project again, collected signed consent forms, and answered any questions. The groups lasted for approximately 90 minutes. At the end of the group, participants were thanked and acknowledged for their participation in what was difficult work. A resource list of support services and multicultural training opportunities offered by the university was distributed for anyone who wanted to pursue the issues raised by discussion of racial and gender incidents.

The audio tapes of the groups were transcribed and the transcripts were distributed to a core group of research assistants for review. During this review process common themes and experiences were identified and discussed along with the other issues that had been identified in print media. The research team then wrote short descriptions (scenarios) of instances of racial and gender intolerance which could be developed into scripts. The team assessed each scenario for its realism, the number of instances of intolerance



included, the ethical principles violated, the subtlety of the issues, and the degree to which the potential for the scenario to be portrayed in videotape format. Eight scenarios were selected and student pairs further developed the events that depicted school based acts of racial and gender intolerance. These scenarios were assessed once again by the research team. The playwright hired to turn the rough drafts into the final scripts for videotaping was consulted for feasibility of portraying the scene on videotape. From the eight rough drafts, five scenarios which reflected five different school contexts were selected. The following five situations were developed into scripts:

Faculty Lounge: Two teachers are discussing a student in front of a new faculty member. The two veteran teachers discuss the student's academic and private life in stereotypical and derogatory ways. They show no concern for her privacy and a complete disregard for her rights to confidentiality. In addition, it is clear they have no understanding of her culture. When a new faculty member tries to share her thoughts and stand up for the student, she is met with hostility and ridicule.

Basketball Practice: A teacher who usually teaches honors math is asked to teach a basic math skills class. A second teacher is observing the class. Throughout the class the math teacher demonstrates his cultural ignorance and his incompetence in teaching a math class of this level. He makes stereotypical remarks and in his attempt to connect with his students, allows racial and gender bias to affect his interaction with his students. He never considers that his attitudes and teaching style might account for why things are not going well in the classroom.

Northside High: An announcement is made in a high school that a student (white) has been killed in an accident. The student body is told a memorial service has been arranged, special counselors will be available, contact numbers will be posted, and the student body is asked to pray for the family. After the announcement, two black students come to talk with the school counselor. They speak with her about how, a couple of weeks ago, a friend of theirs (African-American male) went to the hospital in critical condition after being shot, an event which these two boys witnessed. They are hurt that none of the special treatment being offered the white boy and his friends was made available to them and their friend. They make it clear that they believe racism is the reason for this discrepancy. The school counselor, rather than listening and trying to meet their needs, defends herself and denies any differential treatment.

Residence Hall: A meeting is being held in a residence hall. A white girl stands up and complains that that the Latina girls speak Spanish in front of the rest of them, and she thinks they should not be allowed to. The housemistress allows an unproductive and hurtful argument to grow between the Spanish speaking girls and the white girls. When the Latina



girls assert that they have the right to speak their language, they are told that the school has a policy against speaking foreign languages. The housemistress defends the school rule without considering the racial discrimination inherent in it. When a Latina faculty member tries to defend the girl, she is not listened to and is treated rudely.

Basketball Practice: A black student is a few minutes late for practice and is chewed out by the coach in front of the team. He is then punished by being made to run extra laps. Meanwhile, a white student is sitting on the bleachers making out with his girlfriend and ends up being even later than the first student, in addition to being out of uniform. The coach chides him for being a "stud," and does not make him do extra laps. The coach yells out stereotypic slurs while the black student runs laps, and then tells the white student that black students keep "guys like you" out of school. Later, the black student complains to his guidance counselor about the racist behavior of the coach. His counselor minimizes the problem and tells him to stick it out, stating that he needs basketball to get into college. This is despite the fact that the student is on the honor role.

Margaret Hunt, a professional playwright, developed the scenarios into complete scripts. Members of the research team assessed several drafts of the scripts to ensure that the ethical dilemmas depicted were directly related to the professional codes of ethics, summarized in the ethical grid depicted in Table 1. For example, the scenario titled, Faculty Lounge, depicts a conversation between two faculty members about a Latina student who is not achieving in class. The script reads:

Parker- She's not the sharpest crayon in the box?

Lynch-No. it's hard to tell cause she never speaks up.

Parker-She never shows up, either.

Lynch-It's not just that. Latin-American immigrants-- they don't speak up. The girls, I mean. You noticed that?

Parker - I got her into the reading program -- she's dyslexic. I got her on ritalin for hyperactivity. I can't carry her to class and make her speak up.

This section would be coded as a violation of the principle of respect for people's rights and dignity (Lynch stereotypes Latin American immigrants) and a breach of confidentiality (Parker inappropriately reveals that the student is dyslexic and hyperactive).



When a final draft of a script was completed, filming began. The videos were directed by Howard Enoch, Theater Director at Boston College and edited by David Corkum, Director of Video Productions at Boston College. Actors in these productions were drama students, members of the research team, and professional actors. All actors were paid for their participation, depending on their level of expertise (professional actors were paid at equity rates) and experience.

The Interview Protocol

The interview protocol was adapted from a semi-structured interview used in the Dental Ethical Sensitivity Test (Bebeau & Rest, 1982. The interview questions were designed to address the participant's identification of ethical issues violated in the video depictions of racial and gender intolerance in schools. The following is an example of the interview Protocol for Scenario #1: Faculty Lounge:

After viewing the scene twice, the interviewer asks the following questions:

- 1. Imagine that you are Ms. Highland. How do you respond to Mrs. Parker? What is your reaction to the entire conversation among Mrs. Parker, Mr. Lynch, and Ms. Highland?
- 2. Use one or more of the following questions to prompt elaboration of the above answer:
 - A. Explain why you said what you did.
 - B. What is it about the scenario that lead you to say...
 - C. You said...can you explain your rationale for taking that course of action?
 - D. Can you tell me the reason that you would respond this way?
- 3. How do you think Mrs. Parker and Mr. Lynch would interpret and react to the course of action you think Ms. Highland should take??
- 4. What do you think are the issues in the scene you just witnessed?

This question can be followed by probe questions to clarify. The following are acceptable questions to ask:

- A. You said (repeat student's response), can you tell me more about why that is an issue?
- B. What was it in the scene that made you feel (repeat student response) was an issue?
- C. I am not sure I understand what you meant when you said (repeat student's response), can you explain it to me?
 - D. Can you expand on why you consider (repeat student's response) is an issue?
- 5. Are there any other issues?
- 6. What do you think a professional teacher like Ms. Highland ought to do in response to the issues you noticed?



- 7. What arguments might be offered against the position you have taken?
- 8. It is likely that in your professional practice you will encounter a student like Lourdes. Do you think what you will actually do for that student is the same as what you said you would do for Lourdes here? What is the difference between your actual course of behavior and your theoretical course of behavior? Why is there a difference?
- 9. Is there anything else you would like to say or comment on?

 A similar interview protocol was developed for each scenario.

The Pilot Study of the Measure of the Racial Ethical Sensitivity Test (REST)

The purpose of the pilot study was to refine the interview questions and to develop a scoring scheme. Participants viewed from one to five videotapes. Nine graduate students, eight female and one male, from the School of Education at Boston College were interviewed. Each participant had previously taken courses both in multicultural issues and professional ethics. Afer viewing of a scenario, participants were interviewed using a semi-standardized interview protocol. All of the interviews were conducted by the same interviewer. Each interview was tape recorded and transcribed. In total, 24 interview protocols involving nine participants and five videotaped scenarios were transcribed. Each participant was given a code to protect his/her identity.

Development of the Scoring System.

There were two phases in the development of the scoring system and coding scheme. The first phase involved examination of the professional codes of ethics across disciplines (see Table 1). The scripts had been designed to reflect the following six ethical principles: competence, integrity, professional and scientific responsibility, respect for people's rights and dignity, concern for others' welfare and social responsibility. We examined the interviews for participant identification of the violations of these five ethical principles and scored them according to these five dimensions.

The first ethical principle, professional competence, involves conducting oneself in such a manner as to bring credit to oneself and one's profession, including behaving in



ways that evidence cultural and professional competence. The second ethical principle, integrity, consists of an awareness for one's professional values, needs and limitations and of their effects on one's work.. This ethic includes an effort to eliminate biases, prejudices, and discriminatory practices. The third ethical principle, professional and scientific responsibility requires one to uphold the standards of ones profession, involve other colleagues in meeting the needs of others. The fourth ethical principle, respect for others' rights and dignity, involves treating colleagues with professional respect, and upholding the rights and dignity of all persons. The fifth ethical principle, concern for others' welfare, consists of recognizing the impact of adverse social, environmental and political factors in assessing problems and looking at students' needs and well being. The last ethical principle, social responsibility, consists of the obligation to act in a socially responsible way and to improve society in ways that reduce suffering and improve the well being of individuals and groups.

Each rater independently read each transcript to see if the principles were evident in the protocol. Each of the ethical principles includes a wide range of behaviors depicted in the videos. For example, the principle of *respect for others rights and dignity* pertains to the following behaviors:

- 1. The violation of the student's right to confidentiality
- 2. The lack of respect for professional colleagues
- 3. The disrespectful comments about the student's intelligence

The research team met and viewed the scenarios together, noting each behavioral instance of racial or gender intolerance, previously identified as violating an ethical principle. Each of the respondents' taped and transcribed interview protocols was assessed on whether or not the respondent identified the behavior as an ethical issue and the degree to which they identified and commented on the racial or gender intolerant behaviors depicted in the video. The coding was as follows: A score of 1 indicated that the interviewee did not identity the ethical issue in the scenario. A score of 2 indicated that the



interviewee was able to identify the unethical behavior. A score of 3 would mean that the subject recognized the unethical behavior, was able to elaborate on this and further note its complexity. This method follows standard scoring procedures for scoring ethical sensitivity as developed by Bebeau and Rest (1982).

For example, one of the behaviors in the Faculty Lounge video described earlier is the faculty members' violation of Lourdes' right to confidentiality. The two teachers in the video gossip about the student in the cafeteria in front of a new faculty member. They discuss private details about her life, such as abuse and her learning disabilities. The seasoned teachers are not considering the students' right to privacy, the effect on a new faculty member's perception of the student, or how this might affect the student's education and the services she receives from the educational system. Here are some examples of a score of 2 for this behavior:

"I feel like there are tons of confidentiality issues here. They are totally speaking about this student freely."

"I feel like they were talking about this girl and no one was there to defend her."

The following is an example of a response that was coded as a score of "3" because it reflects the interviewee's complex understanding of the issue of confidentiality, and the impact on the student when confidentiality is broken.

"I think one's confidentiality is really important and we need to respect a student's privacy...I think that it's good for teachers and other professionals to discuss students but I think it needs to be in the context o--f you know-- you want to serve the student well, we don't just want to talk about this. {This conversation} could have jaded my interpretation and probably my experience next year when I have her in class. So that kind of thing perpetuates negatives in the same kind of way that it perpetuates the positives. But neither one really serves the student well."

The Faculty Lounge scenario, depicts eight separate issues that a participant could identify as violations of ethical principles:



The first ethical issue is Mr. Lynch's stereotypical remarks. This behavior is related to the previous categories of integrity & respect for people's rights and dignity. The second issue is Ms. Parker's stereotypical remarks, which violate principles of professional integrity and respect for people's rights and dignity. The third issue in the video is Mr. Lynch's lack of cultural knowledge and its effect on his competence as a teacher. The fourth issue is Ms. Parker's lack of cultural knowledge and its effect on students in her role as a teacher and a social worker. The fifth issue depicted is that a professional colleagues, Ms. Highland, is treated in a disrespectful manner; this violates the principles of professional responsibility and respect for others' rights and dignity. The sixth issue is that Ms. Highland has a responsibility to speak out and address the issue of incompetence and the unethical behavior of her fellow colleagues. The seventh ethical issue is the student's right to confidentiality. The last issue depicted is that Ms. Parker does not take responsibility for confronting students who make racist comments; such a confrontation might change the social context of the school, in a direction of greater racial sensitivity.

In sum, there is a total of eight ratable items for this scenario. Each of these is more fully described and examples are given in the coding scheme that follows. A total score of an interviewee's level of ethical sensitivity may be obtained by summing these eight scores. Scores range from 8 to 24, with an 8 meaning no issues or unethical behaviors were identified and with a score of 24 meaning they saw all eight of the behaviors we have in our scoring system and were able to elaborate and note the complexity of the issues.

In the pilot study, each team member rated the interviews independently and met as a group to compare results and determine interrater agreement. We then discussed any discrepancies between the raters and determined a final score for each issue that all raters agreed upon. By reaching this consensus on the scoring for each interview we were able to develop a scoring system that can be used to train new raters.



The rater agreement levels for each protocol rated were between 67% and 96% among either 5 or 6 raters (See Table 2). Given that most studies of rater agreement involve only two or three raters, these levels of agreement were highly satisfactory.

The scoring manual that follows this introductory essay provides the interview and scoring rules for the Racial Ethical Sensitivity Test (REST). The ultimate goal of this work is to increase professionals' ability to identify the instances of racial and gender intolerance that are harmful to students and ethically indefensible. In turn, our hope is that students' will learn the professional codes and moral principles that guide ethical conduct in their professional lives. As Patricia Williams notes, "I think that the ability to be, yes, sensitive to one another is what distinguishes the joy of either multiculturalism or willing assimilation from the oppression of either groupthink or totalitarianism. Empathic relation is at the heart of diplomacy, and a little well-deployed diplomacy can keep us from going to war with one another" (Williams, 1995, p. 39).



Selected References

American Psychological Association Office of Ethnic Minority Affairs (1993). Guidelines for providers of psychological services to ethnic, linguistic, and culturally diverse populations. <u>American Psychologist</u> January, pp. 45-48.

Bebeau, M.J. (1994). Influencing the moral dimensions of dental practice. In J.R. Rest and D. Narvaez (Eds.), <u>Moral development in the professions</u>. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Bebeau, M. J. & Brabeck, M. M. (1989). Ethical sensitivity and moral reasoning among men and women in the professions. In M. Brabeck (Ed.). Who Cares? Theory, Research and Educational Implications of the Ethic of Care. NY: Praeger.

Bebeau, M.J. & Rest, J.R. (1982). The dental ethical sensitivity test. Center for the study of ethical development, Burton Hall, University of Minnesota.

Bredemeier, B.J.L. & Shields, D.L.L. (1994). Applied ethics and moral reasoning in sport. In J.R. Rest and D. Narvaez (Eds.), <u>Moral development in the professions</u>. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Colby, A. & Kohlberg, L. (1987). The measurement of moral judgment (vols. 1-2). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Duckett, L.J. & Ryden, M.B. (1994). Education for ethical nursing practice. In J.R. Rest and D. Narvaez (Eds.), <u>Moral development in the professions</u>. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Harrington-Lueker, D. (1993). Teaching tolerance. The Executive Educator, May issue, 14.19.

Kline, P. & Brabeck, M. (in press). Ethics and collaborative practice in public schools.

Rest, J.R. (1979). <u>Development in judging moral issues</u>. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.



Rest, J.R., (1983). Morality. In P.H. Mussen (series ed.) and J. Flavell and E. Monkmam (vol. eds.), <u>Handbook of child psychology: Vol.3 Cognitive development</u>. New York: Wiley.

Rest, J.R. & Narvaez, D. (1994). (Eds.). <u>Moral development in the professions</u>. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Self, D.J. & Baldwin Jr., D.C. (1994). Moral reasoning in medicine. in J.R. Rest and D. Narvaez (Eds.), Moral Development in the Professions. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Volker, J.M. (1984). <u>Counseling experiences, moral judgment, awareness of consequences, and moral sensitivity in counseling practice</u>. Unpublished dissertation, University of Minnesota

Williams, Patricia (1995). <u>The Rooster's Egg: On the Persistence of Prejudice</u>, Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.



	Psychol Services to Ethnic, Ling, and Culturally Diverse Populations (1993)	interact in the language requested by the client and, if it is not feasible, make an appropriate referral cognizant of relevant research and practice issues related to the population being served acknowledge that ethnicity and culture impact on behavior and take those factors into account when working with various ethnic/racial groups	experiences to enhance their understanding and thereby address the needs of these populations more appropriately and effectively recognize the limits of their competencies and expertise and will seek consultation with, and/or make referrals to, appropriate experts as necessary consider the validity of a given instrument or procedure and interpret resulting data, keeping in mind the cultural and linguistic characteristics of the person being assessed
•	. School Counselor (1984)	responsibilities to pupils makes appropriate referrals responsibility to self functions within the boundaries of individual profession monitors personal functioning and effectiveness and refrains form any active likely to lead to inadequate profession services or to a client strives to keep abreast of innovations and trends in the profession	conducts herself/himself in a manner as to bring credit to self and the profession
Table 1 Sethical Code Comparison	APA (1992)	Competence • recognize the boundaries of their particular competencies and limitations of their expertise • provide services for which they are qualified by education, training, and expertise • cognizant that their competencies vary with the distinctive characteristics of particular group • maintain knowledge of relevant scientific and professional information relating to their profession	

omparison	
Con	
Code	
nical	
RĬί	

Psychol Services to Ethnic, Ling, and Culturally Diverse Populations (1993)	attend to, as well as work to eliminate, biases, prejudices, and discriminatory practices
School Counselor (1984)	responsibility to self is aware of the potential effects of personal characteristics on services to clients responsibility to profession conducts herself/himself in such a manner as to bring credit to self and the profession clearly distinguishes between statements and actions make as a private individual and as a representative of the school counseling profession
APA (1992)	• seek honest, fair and respectful of others • do not make false, misleading or deceptive statement regarding any aspect of their profession • strive to be aware of their own values, needs, and limitations and the effect on their work • avoid improper and potentially harmful relationships

က

	I
Comparison	
sal Code Comparis	
Code	
sical	
RĬ	
xt Provided by	ER

Psychol Services to Ethnic, Ling, and Culturally Diverse Populations (1993)	
School Counselor (1984)	responsibilities to pupils keeps abreast of laws relating rights of pupil adheres to the relevant standards of assessment techniques responsibilities to colleagues and profession associations establishes and maintains a cooperative relationship provides personnel with accurate, objective, concise, and meaningful data aware of and fully utilizes profession and organizations to whom the counselee may be referred responsibilities to the profession conducts appropriate research actively participates in local, state, and national associations works with agencies, etc. without regard to reward and remuneration
APA (1992)	Professional and Scientific Responsibility • uphold professional standards of conduct, clarify their profession roles and obligations, accept responsibility for their behavior and adapt methods to the needs of different populations • consult with, refer to, or cooperate with other profession and institutions to the extent needed to serve the best interests of their patients, clients, or other recipients of their services

r	V

ESOICAL Code Comparison		
APA (1992)	School Counselor (1984)	Psychol Services to Ethnic, Ling, and Culturally Diverse Populations (1993)
Respect for People's Rights and Dignity • respect rights of individuals to privacy, confidentiality, self-determination, and	responsibilities to pupils primary obligation and loyalty to pupil to treat with respect and individually	help clients increase their awareness of their own cultural values and norms and
 autonomy, mindful of legal and other obligations aware of cultural, individual, and role differences including those due to age 	refrains from consciously imposing counselor's personal beliefs on the counselee	they facilitate discovery of ways clients can apply this awareness to their own lives and to society
gender, race ethnicity, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status.	protects confidentiality of information received in the counseling process informs counselee of the purposes, goals, techniques, and rules of procedure	educate clients to the processes of psychological intervention, such as goals and expectations, the scope and where appropriate, legal limits of confidentiality
		recognize ethnicity and cultural as significant parameters in understanding psychological processes
Respect for People's Rights and Dignity	responsibility to parents respects rights and responsibilities of	respect the roles of family members and
	parents informs parents of counselor's role	community structures, nierarchies, values, and beliefs within the client's
	provides parents with accurate, comprehensive and relevant	culture
	information treats information with confidentiality	
	with regard to the given party with whom counselor is working	
	follows guidelines	

parison	
Com	
Code	
Dical	
) I	

Seical Code Comparison		<i>(*</i>
APA (1992)	School Counselor (1984)	Psychol Services to Ethnic, Ling, and Culturally Diverse Populations (1993)
Concern for Other's Welfare	responsibilities to pupils	consider the impact of adverse social,
• professional actions weigh the welfare	informs counselee of the purposes, goals, techniques, and rules of procedure	environmental, and political tactors in assessing problems and designing
and rights of their patients or clients,	provides explanations of the nature,	interventions
participants, and other affected persons,	that is understandable to the client	when working with culturally diverse
and the weltare of animal subjects of research	concerned with the total needs of the pupil (educational, personal, and social)	populations should document culturally and relevant factors in the records
	informs the appropriate authorities	
	when counselee's conditions indicates a	
	counselee or others	
	responsibilities to school and community	
	supports and protects the educational	
	program against any infringement not in the best interest of the pupils	
Social Responsibility		
• aware of professional and scientific	responsibilities to school and community	seek to help a client determine whether a "nroblom" stone from 120icm or hise in
responsibilities to the comity and the	conditions	others so that the client does not
society in which they work and live		inappropriately personalize problems
• concerned about and work to mitigate	community,	
the causes of human suffering	educational procedures and programs to	
• comply with the law and encourage the	meet pupil needs,	
serve the interest of patients, clients, and	guidance and counseling programs,	
public	services and personnel	
• encourage to contribute a portion of		
their time for little or no personal		
advantage.		

വ

Seical Code Comparison

APA (1992)	Education (1992)	School Psychology (1985)
Competence		prepare by becoming knowledgeable of
 recognize the boundaries of their 	shall not misrepresent professional	the organization, philosophy, goals,
particular competencies and limitations	qualifications; assist entry into the	objectives and methodology of the school
of their expertise	profession of unqualified person;	`
 provide services for which they are 	•	have the responsibility of adhering to
qualified by education, training, and		federal, state and local laws and
expertise		ordinances governing their practice if
cognizant that their competencies vary		such laws are in conflict with existing
with the distinctive characteristics of		ethical guidelines, school psychologists
particular group		proceed toward resolution of such
 maintain knowledge of relevant 		conflict through positive, respected and
scientific and professional information		legal channels.
relating to their profession)

parison	
Comp	
Code	
Orical	

Associated Code Comparison		
Integrity	shall not make false statement or fail to disclose a material fact related to	
 seek honest, fair and respectful of 	competency and qualifications	define the direction and the nature of personal loyalties, objectives and
others	shall not deliberately suppress or distort	competencies, and advise and inform all
• do not make false, misleading or		persons concerned of these commitments
deceptive statements regarding any aspect of their profession	progress	
 strive to be aware of their own values, needs, and limitations and the effect on 	shall not use professional relationships with students for private advantage	
their work	8	
 avoid improper and potentially harmful relationships 	shall not use profession relationships with students for private advantage	
	shall not knowingly make false	
	statement;	
	t	
rev // III/98		

Conical Code Comparison		
APA (1992)	Education (1992)	School Psychology (1985)
Respect for People's Rights and Dignity • respect rights of individuals to privacy, confidentiality, self-determination, and autonomy, • mindful of legal and other obligations • aware of cultural, individual, and role differences including those due to age gender, race ethnicity, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status.	Shall not disclose information about students unless disclosure serves a compelling professional purpose or is required by law shall not unreasonably restrain the pursuit of learning shall not deliberately suppress or distort subject matter relevant to the student's progress	respect each person with whom they are working and deal justly and impartially with each regardless of his/her physical, mental emotional, political, economic, social, cultural, racial or religious characteristics apply influence, position and professional skills in ways that protect the dignity and rights of those served promote the improvement of the quality of education and of life in general when determining assessment, counseling and intervention.
		maintain professional relationships with students, parents, the school and community inform students/ clients of all aspects of the potential professional relationship prior to beginning psychological services of any type recognize the need for parental involvement and the significant influence the parent has on the student/client's growth
		work out action plan in situations where there are divided or conflicting interest which protects the rights and encourages mutual benefit and protection of rights aware of the intimate nature of the examination of person aspects of an individual (privacy)

က	
\mathfrak{C}	

2	
mparison	
Comp	
Code	
ical	
IC	7

Soical Code Comparison		
APA (1992)	Education (1992)	School Psychology (1985)
Respect for People's Rights and Dignity continued		recognize the obligation to the student/client and respect the student's/client's right of choice to enter, or to participate in services voluntarily
•		inform the student/client of the outcomes of assessment, counseling or other services
		informs the student/client of those who will receive information regarding the services and the type of information that they will receive
		confer with parents regarding: assessment, counseling, and intervention plans in language understandable to the parent;
		do not engage in or condone practices based on race, handicap, age gender, sexual preference, religion or national origin
		avoid any action that could violate or diminish civil and legal rights of clients

e C
~
Cod
ocal
ERIC

cai code comparison		
APA (1992)	Education (1992)	School Psychology (1985)
Concern for Other's Welfare • professional actions weigh the welfare and rights of their patients or clients, students, supervisee, human research participants, and other affected persons, and the welfare of animal subjects of research	shall not intentionally expose the student to embarrassment or disparagement	do not exploit their professional relationships with students, employees, clients or research participation sexually or otherwise.
Social Responsibility • aware of professional and scientific responsibilities to the community and the society in which they work and live • concerned about and work to mitigate the causes of human suffering • comply with the law and encourage the development of law and social policy that serve the interest of patients, clients, and public • encourage to contribute a portion of their time for little or no personal advantage.	shall exert every effort to raise professional standards, to promote a climate that encourages the exercise of profession judgment	do not engage in or condone practices based on race, handicap, age gender, sexual preference, religion or national origin avoid any action that could violate or diminish civil and legal rights of clients

 \square

Feminst Therapy Institute	National Assoc. of Social Workers (NASW) (1967)	American Nurses' Association (ANA) (1985)	School Administrators (1981)
Competence works only with those issues and clients within the realm of her competencies.	responsible for the quality and extent of the service performed	maintains competence in nursing	maintains the standards and seeks to improve the effectiveness of the
recognizes her personal and professional needs and utilizes ongoing self-evaluation, peer	practice social work within the recognized knowledge and competence of the profession	exercises informed judgment and uses individual competence and qualifications as criteria in seeking	profession through research and continuing professional development
support, consultation, supervision, continuing education, and/or personal therapy to evaluate, maintain,	support the principle that professional practice requires professional education	consultation, accepting responsibilities, and delegating nursing activities to others	
and improve her work with clients, her competencies, and her emotional well- being			
continually reevaluates her training, theoretical background, and research to include developments in feminist knowledge. She integrates feminism into psychological theory, receives ongoing therapy training, and acknowledges the limits of her own competencies			

	J
4	J

nos	
Comparison	
cal Code	
ξ](1

Feminst Therapy Institute	National Assoc. of Social	American Nurses' Association	School Administrators
	Workers (NASW) (1967)	(ANA) (1985)	(1981)
Integrity			
is aware of the meaning and impact of her own ethnic and	give precedence to my professional responsibility	participates in the profession's effort to protect the public	fulfills professional responsibilities with honest
and sexual orientation, and	over my personal interests	rrom misinformation and misrepresentation and to	and integrity
actively attempts to become knowledgeable about alternatives	distinguish clearly, in public, between my statements and	maintain the integrity of nursing	avoids using positions of personal gain through
from sources other than her clients. The therapist's goal is to	actions as an individual and as a representative of an)	political, social, religious, economic, or other
uncover and respect all cultural and experiential differences	organization		influence
evaluates her ongoing		`	
interactions with clientele for any evidence of the therapist's biases			
or discriminatory attitudes and practice; she takes responsibility			
for taking appropriate action to			
interfering or oppressing biases			
she has.			
engages in self-care activities in			
acknowledges her own			
vulnerabilities and seeks to care for herself outside of the therapy			
setting. She models the ability			
appropriate and self empowering			
ways			

(1
4	7

Secal Code Comparison			(
Feminst Therapy Institute	National Assoc. of Social Workers (NASW) (1967)	American Nurses' Association (ANA) (1985)	School Administrators (1981)
Professional and Scientific Responsibility			
increases her accessibility to and	use in a responsible manner	acts to safeguard the client and	obeys local, state, and
for a wide range of clients from	information gained in	public when health care and	national laws and does not
ner own and otner identified groups through flexible delivery	professional relationships	satety are attected by the incompetent incompetent inactives or	knowingly join or support
of services. When appropriate,	treat with respect the findings,	illegal practice of any person	advocate, directly or
the feminist therapist assists	views, and actions of		indirectly, the overthrow of
clients in accessing other services	colleagues and use appropriate channels to express judgment	assumes responsibility and accountability for individual	the government
negotiates and renegotiates	on these matters	nursing judgments and	implements the governing
tormal and/or informal contracts		actions	board of education's
with clients in and ongoing	recognize my professional		policies and administrative
mutual process	responsibility to add ideas and	participates in activities that	rules and regulations
educates her clients regarding	mork knowledge and practice	development of the	and sociation of manipulations of money
their rights as consumers of	more and practice	profession's body of	arcepts arademic degrees of professional certification
therapy, including procedures for	accept responsibility for	knowledge	only form duly accredited
resolving differences and filing	working toward the creation	0	institutions
grievances	and maintenance of	participates in the profession's	,
	conditions within agencies	efforts to implement and	maintains the standards
	that enable social workers to	improve standards of nursing	and seeks to improve the
	conduct tnemselves in keening with the NASW code	narticinates in the profession's	effectiveness of the
		principality in the procession of offerts to establish and	recearch and continuing
		maintain conditions of	professional development
		employment conducive to	J
		high quality nursing care	

	School Administrators (1981)	supports the principle of due process and protects the civil and human rights of all individuals honors all contracts until fulfillment, release, or dissolution mutually agreed upon by all parties to contract
	American Nurses' Association S (ANA) (1985)	provides services with respect sup for human dignity and the uniqueness of the client, unrestricted by considerations of so social or economic status, personal attributes, or the nature of health problems diss safeguards the client's right to agriculty privacy by judiciously to confidential nature
	National Assoc. of Social A Workers (NASW) (1967)	iminate because religion, age, sex, ncestry eliminate such on in rendering ork assignments, yment practices rivacy of the d
Social Code Comparison	reminist Therapy Institute	Respect for People's Rights and Dignity acknowledges the inherent power will not discretional abetween client and of race, color, therapist and models effective use or national a of personal power. In using the power differential to the benefit of will work to the client, she does not take control of power which rightfully service, in which facilitates the therapeutic people serve process. The therapist is responsible for using selfdisclosure with purpose and disclosure with purpose and discretion in the interests of the client

;

(-	
į	S	•

	School Administrators (1981)		pursues appropriate measures to correct those laws, policies, and regulations that are not consistent with sound educational goals	
	American Nurses' Association (ANA) (1985)		Makes the well-being of students the fundamental value of all decision-making and actions	
	National Assoc. of Social Workers (NASW) (1967)		primary obligation the welfare of the individual or group served, which includes action for improving social conditions conditions contribute my knowledge, skills, and support to programs of human welfare	
Secal Code Comparison	Feminst Therapy Institute	Concern for Other's Welfare	recognizes the complexity and conflicting priorities inherent in multiple or overlapping relationships. The therapist accepts responsibility for monitoring such relationships to prevent abuse of or harm to the client is actively involved in her community. As a result, she is especially sensitive about confidentiality. Recognizing that her client's concerns and general well-being are primary, she selfmonitors both public and private statements and comments does not engage in sexual intimacies nor any overtly or covertly sexualized behavior with a client or former client	

cal Code Comparison			
Feminist Therapy Institute	National Assoc. of Social Workers (NASW) (1967)	American Nurses' Association (ANA) (1985)	School Administrators (1981)
S - : - 1 P - : - : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	71 111		
Social responsibility	primary obligation the welrare of the individual or group		pursues appropriate measures to correct those
actively questions other	served, which includes action		laws, policies, and
therapeutic practices in her	for improving social		regulations that are not
community that appear abusive	conditions		consistent with sound
to clients or therapists and, when			educational goals
possible, intervenes as early as			
appropriate or feasible or assists			
clients in intervening when it is			
facilitative to their growth			
seeks multiple avenues for			
impacting change, including			
public education and advocacy			
within professional			
organizations, lobbying for			
legislative action, and other			
appropriate activities			

Ü

Table 2
Faculty Lounge

		<u> </u>	acuity Lo	unge				
Interview 001	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater 6	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement
Mr. Lynch: Integrity	1	1	1	2	1	1	83.3%	83.3%
Ms. Parker: Integrity	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	
Mr. Lynch: Competence	1	3	2	3	2	3	50%]
Ms. Parker: Competence	3	3	3	3	3	3	100%	1
Treatment of Ms. Highland	1	2	1	1	2	2	50%	1
Ms. Highland: Responsibility	3	3	3	3	2	3	83.3%	
Confidentiality	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1
Ms. Parker: Responsibility	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%	

*Note: Rater agreement computed by dividing the Actual Number of Agreed-Upon Scores into the Possible Number of Scores; Total agreement rate calculated as the mean rater agreement for all issues.

Faculty Lounge

		<u> </u>	acuity Lo	unge				
Interview 002	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater 6	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement
Mr. Lynch: Integrity	2	2	2	2	3	2	83.3%	81.2%
Ms. Parker: Integrity	2	3	2	3	3	2	50%	
Mr. Lynch: Competence	3	3	3	2	3	3	83.3%	1
Ms. Parker: Competence	3	3	3	2	3	3	83.3%	1
Treatment of Ms. Highland	1	3	2	2	3	3	50%	1
Ms. Highland: Responsibility	3	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Confidentiality	3 :	3	3	3	3	3	100%	1
Ms. Parker: Responsibility	1	1	1	1	1	-1	100%	

Faculty Lounge

	Faculty Lounge								
Interview 003	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater Agreement	Total Agreemen			
Mr. Lynch: Integrity	2	2	2	2	100%	81.2%			
Ms. Parker: Integrity	2	3	2	2	75%				
Mr. Lynch: Competence	2	3	2	3	50%				
Ms. Parker: Competence	3	3	3	3	100%	1			
Treatment of Ms. Highland	2	2	2	3	75%	1			
Ms. Highland: Responsibility	3	3	2	3	75%				
Confidentiality	1	1	1	1	100%				
Ms. Parker: Responsibility	2	3	2	2	75%				



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Faculty Lounge

			acuity Do	unge				
Interview 004	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater 6	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement
Mr. Lynch: Integrity	2 .	2	2	2	2	2	100%	89.6%
Ms. Parker: Integrity	2	2	2	1	2	2	83.3%	
Mr. Lynch: Competence	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%]
Ms. Parker: Competence	3	3	3	3	2	2	66.7%]
Treatment of Ms. Highland	2	2	2	2	2	3	83.3%	1
Ms. Highland: Responsibility	3	3	3	2	3	3	83.3%	
Confidentiality	2	2.	2	2	2	2	100%	1
Ms. Parker: Responsibility	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%	

Faculty Lounge

			acuity Lo	unge	_			
Interview 005	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater 6	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement
Mr. Lynch: Integrity	1	2	2	2	2	.2	83.3%	87.5%
Ms. Parker: Integrity	2	1	1	1	2	1	66.7%	
Mr. Lynch: Competence	1	2	1	3	3	3	50%	
Ms. Parker: Competence	3	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Treatment of Ms. Highland	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	1
Ms. Highland: Responsibility	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	
Confidentiality	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1
Ms. Parker: Responsibility	1	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1

Math Class

Interview 010	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater 6	Rater	Total
		↓					Agreement	Agreement
Mr. Ross: Integrity	2	3	2	2	3	3	50%	72.2%
Mr. Ross: Competence	3	2	3	2	2	.3	50%	
Mr. Ross: Respect	3	2	3	2	2	3	50%	1
Mr. Ross: Racism	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	1
Mr. Ross: Sexism	2	2	2	2	3	2	83.3%	1
Ms. Cruz: Responsibility	3	3	3	3	3	3	100%	1

Math Class

			TIZETTI CIO					
Interview 011	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater 6	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement
Mr. Ross: Integrity	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	86.1%
Mr. Ross: Competence	2	2	2	1	2	2	83.3%	
Mr. Ross: Respect	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	1
Mr. Ross: Racism	2	2	2	1	2	2	83.3%	1
Mr. Ross: Sexism	2	2	2	2	2	2	100%	1



Ms. Cruz: Responsibility	3	2	3	1	3	2	50%
111b. Cruz. reoponioronity	٠	2			را	_	3070

Math Class

Interview 012	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater	Total
	Rater	Rater 2	Rater 5	Rater	Rater	Agreement	Agreement
Mr. Ross: Integrity	2	3	3	2	3	60%	83.3%
Mr. Ross: Competence	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Mr. Ross: Respect	3	3	3	2	3	80%]
Mr. Ross: Racism	2	2	2	2	2	100%]
Mr. Ross: Sexism	2	1	2	2	2	80%]
Ms. Cruz: Responsibility	3	2	3	3	3	80%]

Basketball Practice

Interview 111	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement			
Coach: Stereotypic Comments	1	3	1	1	1	80%	84.4%			
Coach: Gendered Slurs	1	1	1	1	1	100%				
Coach: Treatment of Colleague	1	2	1	1	1	80%	1			
Coach: Differential Treatment	1	1	1	1	1	100%				
Coach: Modeling Racism	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1			
Mr. Elliot: Responsibility	3	3	2	1	3	60%				
Mr. Elliot: Insensitivity to James	3	3	3	3	3	100%				
Mr. Elliot: Racial Biases	2	3	2	3	2	60%	1			
School's Responsibility to Students	2	3	3	3	3	80%	1			

Basketball Practice

Interview 112	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement
Coach: Stereotypic Comments	3	3	2	3	3	80%	77.7%
Coach: Gendered Slurs	2	3	3	1	3	60%	
Coach: Treatment of Colleague	1	1	2	1	1	80%	1
Coach: Differential Treatment	1	3	2	2	2	60%	1
Coach: Modeling Racism	2	3	3	1	3	60%	1
Mr. Elliot: Responsibility	3	3	3	2	3	80%	}
Mr. Elliot: Insensitivity to James	1	1	1	1	1	100%	
Mr. Elliot: Racial Biases	2	1	1	1	l	80%	
School's Responsibility to Students	3	3	3	3	3	100%	

Basketball Practice

Interview 113	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement
Coach: Stereotypic Comments	1	2	3	1	1	60%	82.2%
Coach: Gendered Slurs	1_	2	2	2	2	80%	
Coach: Treatment of Colleague	1	l	1	1	1	100%	1
Coach: Differential Treatment	1	l	1	1	1	100%	1
Coach: Modeling Racisth	l	l	1	1	1	100%	1
Mr. Elliot: Responsibility	3	3	3	1	2	60%	1
Mr. Elliot: Insensitivity to James	1	2	2	2	2	80%	1
Mr. Elliot: Racial Biases	2	1	2	1	2	60%	1
School's Responsibility to Students	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1



Basketball Practice

Dasketball Fractice											
Interview 114	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total				
	11	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement				
Coach: Stereotypic Comments	2	2	3	3	3	60%	86.7%				
Coach: Gendered Slurs	2	2	2	1	2	80%					
Coach: Treatment of Colleague	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1				
Coach: Differential Treatment	2	2	2	1	2	80%	1				
Coach: Modeling Racism	2	2	2	3	2	80%					
Mr. Elliot: Responsibility	3	3	3	3	3	100%	1				
Mr. Elliot: Insensitivity to James	2	3	3	3	3	80%	1				
Mr. Elliot: Racial Biases	2	2	2	2	2	100%	1				
School's Responsibility to Students	1	1	1	1	1	100%	1				

Residence Hall

	 '						
Interview 020	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater.	Rater	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement
Ms. Colby: Poor Group Skills	2	2	2	3	1	60%	66.7%
Ms. Colby: Invalidation of girls' experiences	2	2	3	2	2	80%	
Racism in School Policy	1	2	1	1	1	80%	
Policy vs. Action/Integrity	3	2	3	3	1	60%	
Language as a Human Right	3	2	2	2	2	80%	
Diversity as a Strength in Learning	1	3	1	1	1	80%	
Ms. Harrison: Responsibility to Speak Out	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Ms. Colby: Treatment of Colleague	2	3	3	3	2	60%	

Residence Hall

Interview 021	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement
Ms. Colby: Poor Group Skills	1	1	1	1	1	100%	75.6%
Ms. Colby: Invalidation of girls' experiences	2	2	1	1	1	60%	
Racism in School Policy	3	3	1	1	1	60%	1
Policy vs. Action/Integrity	1	2	1	1	1	80%	
Language as a Human Right	3	2	2	2	2	80%	ľ
Diversity as a Strength in Learning	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Ms. Harrison: Responsibility to Speak Out	2	2	2	2	2	100%	
Ms. Colby: Treatment of Colleague	1	1	1	1	1	100%	



Residence Hall

		<u> </u>	C IIaii				
Interview 022	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total
	1 -	1 2	3	4] >	Agreement	Agreement
Ms. Colby: Poor Group Skills	3	3	3	3	3	100%	85%
Ms. Colby: Invalidation of girls'	3	3	1	1	1	60%	
experiences	1	Í	i		1		
Racism in School Policy	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Policy vs. Action/Integrity	2	3	2	2	3	60%	
Language as a Human Right	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Diversity as a Strength in Learning	2	2	3	2	2	80%	
Ms. Harrison: Responsibility to Speak Out	1	3	2	2	2	80%	
Ms. Colby: Treatment of Colleague	2	2	2	2	2	100%	

Residence Hall

		resident	C Hall				
Interview 023	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater 5	Rater Agreement	Total Agreement
Ms. Colby: Poor Group Skills	1	2	2	2	1	60%	87.5%
Ms. Colby: Invalidation of girls' experiences	1	3	1	1	1	80%	
Racism in School Policy	3	3	3	3	2	80%	
Policy vs. Action/Integrity	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Language as a Human Right	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Diversity as a Strength in Learning	3	3	3	3	3	100%	
Ms. Harrison: Responsibility to Speak Out	1	1	1	1	1	100%	i
Ms. Colby: Treatment of Colleague	1	1	2	1	1	80%	

Northside High

Interview 201	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement
Meeting Terry's Needs	2	2	2	2	2	100%	96%
Ms. Hayes' Treatment of Boys During Meeting	1	1	1	1	1	100%	,
Ms. Hayes' Failure to Provide Services to Jamal and Tino	2	2	2	2	2	100%	
Ms. Hayes' Failure to Meet Needs of Student Body	1	1	l	1	1	100%	
Institutionalized Racism in School	2	1	2	2	2	80%	

Northside High

1101 thistae High										
Interview 202	Rater	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Rater	Rater Agreement	Total			
Meeting Terry's Needs	 -	1-	+ -	+	+		Agreement			
	12	<u> </u>	12	1 2	<u> 12 </u>	100%	92%			
Ms. Hayes' Treatment of Boys During Meeting	1	1	2	1	1	100%				
Ms. Hayes' Failure to Provide Services to Jamal and Tino	3	3	3	3	3	100%				
Ms. Hayes' Failure to Meet Needs of Student Body	2	2	3	2	2	80%				
Institutionalized Racism in School	1	2	2	2	2	80%				



Northside High

		.0101010					
Interview 203	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Rater	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	Agreement	Agreement
Meeting Terry's Needs	3	3	3	3	3	100%	88%
Ms. Hayes' Treatment of Boys During Meeting	3	1	3	3	3	80%	
Ms. Hayes' Failure to Provide Services to Jamal and Tino	2	3	3	3	3	80%	
Ms. Hayes' Failure to Meet Needs of Student Body	1	1	1	1	1	100%	
Institutionalized Racism in School	3	3	3	2	3	80%	



RACIAL ETHICAL SENSITIVITY TEST (REST) SCORING MANUAL

This work was funded a grant from Philip Morris Companies Incorporated to Dean Mary Brabeck. Members of the research team responsible for developing this scoring manual are: Kalina Brabeck, Manuela Costa, Jennifer Henderson, Laurie McCubbin, Lauren Rogers, Kathleen Ting, Selcuk Sirin, Christine Warner, and Monica Weaver.



ACQ: GCC



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

UD032569

1. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:	
Title: Racial Ethical Sensitivity Test	(REST)
Scoring Manual	
Author(s): Brakeck, M. with Brakeck, K., Costa, M.,	Henduson, J. McCubb
Corporate Source: Li, Rochs, L. Ting, K., Sirin, S., Warren, C. Weaver, M.	Publication Date:
Rocton (olle se	august 1998

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

affixed to all Level 1 documents
<u> </u>
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL

The sample sticker shown below will be

HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries." Printed Name/Position/Title: Signature

Sign here→ please



III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor: Mary Brabeck
Address: Boston College - Campion Hall 101 Chestrut Hill MA 02467
Full instrument and manual \$150 payable to Boston (01)
to Beston (all IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address
Name:
Address:
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education Box 40, Teachers College Columbia University New York, NY 10027
However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598 Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
W/WW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor

