#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 423 324 UD 032 524

AUTHOR Herrera, Janette

TITLE The Disproportionate Placement of African Americans in

Special Education: An Analysis of Ten Cities.

PUB DATE 1998-00-00

NOTE 18p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Black Students; Black Teachers; Cultural Differences;

Elementary Secondary Education; \*Males; Racial Composition;

\*Special Education; \*Student Placement; \*Urban Youth

IDENTIFIERS African Americans; \*Disproportionate Representation (Spec

Educ)

#### ABSTRACT

Research has often indicated that African American male students are placed in special education in disproportionate numbers. Many reasons have been advanced for this disparity in placement, including placement and testing procedures, cultural differences, parent and teaching training problems, economic factors, and the failure of schools to educate them adequately. Disparities in representation of white and African American males in special education were studied in 10 cities, and the racial composition of the teaching staff was also studied. A relationship was found between the number of black male students placed in special education and the number of white teachers in the school system. The cities with the highest percentage of white teachers had the highest percentage of black students identified as "special." All the cities in the study exhibited excessive black male special education placement. It was expected that this would be worse in cities with many white teachers (white dominant) and less so in cities with many black teachers (black dominant). For the most part, this expectation was confirmed. Cities with the highest proportions of black teachers (Atlanta, Georgia and the District of Columbia) placed black males at the lowest rate. Cities with an intermediate level of black teachers placed these students at an intermediate rate. These cities included: (1) Cleveland, Ohio; (2) Miami, Florida; (3) Chicago, Illinois; (4) Houston, Texas; and (5) Detroit, Michigan. Finally, the cities with the lowest proportion of black teachers (New York, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and San Diego, California) were the least receptive to cultural, racial, and sexual differences. In these school districts, at least one out of every six black males would end up in special education. It is concluded that while dominance of the teacher racial group is an indicator of disproportionate special education placement, the influence of black administrative power, political economic empowerment, and cultural heterogeneity are important explanatory variables, as seen in Atlanta, Chicago, New York, Milwaukee, and Washington, DC. (Contains 3 tables and 34 references.) (SLD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

\* from the original document.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



# The Disproportionate Placement of African Americans in Special

**Education: An Analysis of Ten Cities** 

by Janette Herrera

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Janette Herrera

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

#### Statement of the Problem

Why are African American males disproportionately placed in special education programs? According to Mercer (1973) a disability is an "abnormality where the individual differs from the average of the population on a particular skill or trait"(p.4)...."These categories must not be considered to objectively represent disability because they are bound to the parameters of normalcy defined by a given cultural group"(p.17). This definition sets the stage for the analysis of cultural implications in decisions about placement in special education programs.

#### **Placement Procedures**

Numerous models of what an effective placement process should consist of have been offered by education theorists and professionals in the field of special education. Jones reviews current models and offers a synthesis that suggests that these models have six basic components in common (Jones, 1979:17):

First, a school-related problem is identified. The problem may be one of behavior, of achievement, of appropriateness of the administrative arrangement, or some combination of the above. Second, if former observations and/or assessments are deemed necessary, permission to engage in such activities is sought from parents/parent surrogates. Third, former observations and assessments by various specialists (e.g., school psychologists, school social workers, resource consultants, speech therapists, physicians, and others) are obtained. Fourth, a planning team is constituted to integrate information received about a child and to make recommendations for further case disposition. Fifth, an instructional plan may be formulated. Sixth, follow-up is required (p.183).

## **Testing Procedures**

In Zappardino's discussion she indicates that America's history of testing is rife with examples of how often flawed technology is misused to determine the fates of individuals. It shapes policy decisions and these decisions have perpetuated the disproportionate placement of



African American males in special education programs. Nowadays, diagnostic labels often follow individuals forever. Once these labels are applied, in many cases, they successfully create self-fulfilling prophecies. Today's terms may be more politically correct than, the "technical" terms used earlier in this century to describe different IQ levels, (i.e. moron, idiot, and imbecile) but the results are the same.

In countless school districts, tests continue to be used for tracking and placement of students from kindergarten through high school. Often, the tests used for this sorting process perpetuate segregation in the classroom. America is in the midst of a testing explosion. Tests, especially norm-referenced and multiple choice tests, have proliferated greatly over the past several decades. Increasingly, people feel their impact at many different levels in their lives. Testing often creates and reinforces barriers to equal opportunity based on race, class, gender, language, culture, and disability.

Proliferation of standardized tests over the last twenty to thirty years has given certain tests tremendous power over the educational system. Research has shown these tests to have damaging effects on curriculum and instruction, particularly for children from minority groups or low-income families (Zappardino, 1995). Beth Harry and Mary Anderson (1992) elaborate further regarding concerns with IQ tests as follows:

The essential point of the critics is that IQ tests do not test innate ability; rather, they test an individual's learning in the numerous areas. They raise similar concerns about linguistic aspects of testing, arguing that expectations about students' language skills are determined by the standard language of the majority (Taylor & Lee, 1991). Education professionals are in urgent need of specific training in the administration and interpretation of speech and language tests (Adger et al., 1992). Therefore the entire testing process is biased by virtue of placing at a disadvantage those students whose cultural and social experiences do not include the kinds of information and skills tested by these instruments (Harry and Anderson, 1992).

#### Rate Differences

Rate differences, a third category, has also stimulated extensive study. According to Beth



Harry and Mary G. Anderson (1992), the disproportionate placement of students of a particular group in special education programs means the group is represented in such programs in a greater percentage than their percentage in the total school population.

Since the inception of special education programs, African American males have been disproportionately placed. It has even been asserted that they have been targeted for such placement. Lawsuits have been filed charging that placements of high numbers of Africa Americans, especially males, in special education classes has been a tool for resisting court-ordered desegregation (Harry and Anderson, 1992). The magnitude of these placements is shown in a 1993 US Department of Education study. This study reveals that blacks, 16% of the US elementary and secondary schools population, are only 8% of those labeled "Gifted and Talented," but are nearly 25% of those stigmatized as needing other kinds of "Special Education." See Table 1.

Table 1

	-	rican Americ Categories				
		Black			White	
	1986	1990	1992	1986	1990	1992
Total Enrollment in School System	16	16	16	70	68	68
Gifted & Talented	8	8	9	81	79	77
Educable Mentally Retarded	35	35	32	58	56	61
Trainable Mentally Retarded	27	32	29	60	46	58
Speech-Impaired	16	16	NA	73	73	NA
Severely Emotionally Disturbed	27	22	24	65	7	67
Specific Learning Disability	17	17	18	71	70	68
Source: Adapted from the US Departs	nent of Educati	on, Office for Ci	vil Rights survey	of elementary a	nd secondary sch	ools (1993).



#### **Cultural Differences**

The causes most frequently offered to explain this disproportionate representation include: (1) cultural differences that may lead to a predisposition to diagnose an emotional handicap, (2) a lack of uniform identification procedures, (3) bias in the assessment instrument used in diagnosis, (4) the attendant problems of poverty, and (5) a general pattern of racial discrimination in society reflected in school system (Serwatka, et al, 1995). Culture is collectively created by a group of people and shapes their patterns of interaction, communication, socialization, and education (Collier, 1988). It shapes how individuals perceive, relate to, and interpret their environment (Collier & Hoover, 1986). Writes (Serwatka, et al, 1995) on this point,

The lack of awareness African American culture on the part of public school professionals allows for myopic perceptions of African American students. Some of them have to do with racial and cultural biases, while others are byproducts of the actual conditions that disproportionately affect African Americans (p.492).

Consequently, students from different cultural backgrounds--in particular black males--may be placed in special education programs simply because educators, administrators and professionals are predisposed to see them as problematic (Cuban, 1989; Payne, et al., 1983).

Other conflicts often arise with the African American student when he or she is required to perform in a manner or in an arena that is inconsistent with his or her style of learning. The tendency for schools to ignore cognitive style sometimes engenders the negative mislabeling of the African American student as incompetent, lazy, or unwilling to learn. In essence, the educational institution tends to blame the child in these situations, rather than to focus on the "knowledge skills, and abilities that the children possess," and to build upon their strengths (Anderson, Means & Knapp 1988 & 1991).



## Teacher and Parent Training Problems

Another placement problem is teacher and parent training. In this section, there are actually two areas where there is an issue of lack of and/or miseducation. First, there is a lack of appropriate education of teachers, in regards to the placement of African American students, in particular Black males. In some school districts, the teaching population is not culturally diverse. The literature suggests that "the lack of education and misunderstanding of parents concerning acknowledging and understanding cultural differences by the professionals plays a role in the pattern of low parental participation" (Gillis-Olsion, et al., 1986). This adds support and increases the problems of over inclusion of African-American students in certain special education classrooms and the lack of trust by the parents in the education process.

Zucker, et al., (1977) investigated whether regular classroom teachers displayed racial and/or gender bias in making referrals for special education placements. They found that when the teachers were told, that the child was Black or Hispanic, the child was more often judged as a candidate for special education placement than when a child was described as white.

Secondly, there is a lack of education and miseducation of parents concerning areas of exceptional education. Gillis-Olsion, et al., (1986) state, "a continuing problem in the public school systems is the pattern of low parental participation and the involvement in the educational process of African-American children with disabilities is proving to be very detrimental to the education system. Educational outcomes for young African-Americans are not always positive. Poor communications between professionals and parents has been cited as a reason why African-American parents are not receiving appropriate services for their children who experience disabilities" (Harrison, et al., 1995). African American parents are afraid that they will be looked upon as ignorant and/or unconcerned about their child. Parents are often distrustful because educators "talk down" to them by using educational jargonese. This causes parents to



limit their involvement in the communication with these professionals.

## Failure of schools to educate children

This is an area that has been extensively researched (Allen-Meares, Paula et al., 1995). Inadequate education in the United States school system has perpetuated the behavior of black males which have them to be placed in special education programs. The problem may be inadequate instruction in the first place. Especially so in the category of Learning Disabilities (LD). Due to inequities, oppressive conditions, and uncontrollable stresses, individuals are turning against themselves, their loved ones, and others to vent their feelings of helplessness and hopelessness. Many African American males are especially caught up in this cycle of violent behaviors and victimization and consequently are becoming an endangered species (Gibbs, 1984, 1988; Staples, 1987). The adverse consequences of this cycle-including major injury and death-will affect the future of African American males, their families, and generations to come. Society's level of concern says much about us as a nation. In addition, Tidwell, (1991), believes "the failure of the dominant society to educate the African-American male has caused a lack of economic and political participation in society." Society is paying and will continue to pay for the lack of adequate education of African American males. Rather than educate, schools label and refer the problem to special education. Clearly, the lack of appropriate education is a major factor in the future of African American males.

#### Economic factors

Both parents and educators may have an incentive for children to be placed in special education programs in particular African American males. For instance, a parent might put their child in a special education program to receive extra income, from sources like SSI or Medicaid,



to pay bills, their rent, or maybe buy a new car. For whatever the reasons, they do not realize the devastating effects on that child's life and future.

Next, the United States educational system is perhaps the direct contributor to the present predicament that African American males are facing due to their incentive gains. The public school systems are embracing programs to receive extra money. This was highlighted in a report in The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, Sept. 1997, on "Schools use Medicaid to dodge revenue cap. Public is hit twice, critics say." In the report, "Briggs and others criticized the program for allowing reimbursements to be used not only for special education costs but to increase schools' overall spending on such things as computers, transportation and general operations." For example, in the Arrowhead School District, in the Town of Merton in Waukesha County, Stephen Kopecky, the district's business manager, argues that "It's an alternative source of revenue,' he said. "We're always looking for an alternative source to help offset some of the expenses." In addition, "Milwaukee Public Schools has joined the program and by itself expects to receive \$5 million to \$10 million a year in reimbursement." Both the parent and educators are placing students, in particular African American males, in special education programs, who perhaps do not belong there simply for monetary gains. In a conversation with Professor Western, he said, "Everybody has an incentive to expand special education but nobody has an incentive to rush an audit in special education programs in public schools."

## The Hypothesis

In ten urban city school districts schools I tested the hypothesis that there would be no differences between the proportion of African American male students placed in special education programs by African American educators, compared to their white counterparts.



#### Method

I used data taken from Atlanta, Georgia; Chicago, Illinois; Cleveland, Ohio; Detroit, Michigan; Houston, Texas; Miami, Florida; Milwaukee, Wisconsin; New York City, New York and Washington, DC. I first found out the total school district population of African American males. Next, I compared the exceptional education population percentage of African American males by race and gender. Then, I compared the racial composition of their teaching staff by race and gender.

## **Findings**

Table 3 shows the student population, teacher population and special education population in ten selected cities. The columns of male/female students can be compared by race and gender against the teacher population. There is a relationship between the number of white teachers and the number of black male students placed in special education. The cities with the highest percentage of white teachers have the highest percent of black students identified as "special". For example, in New York City 35.7% of the student population are black children but 66.7% of the special education students are black males. Blacks are identified as "special" at almost twice the rate as other children. At the same time, the white teachers account for 77% of the teachers in N.Y.C. Following is a list that ranks the cities on this relationship:

- 1. New York
- 2. Milwaukee
- 3. Miami
- 4. San Diego
- 5. Cleveland
- 6. Houston
- 7. Atlanta
- 8. Detroit
- 9. Chicago
- 10. D.C.



Table 2

An Analysis of Black Male Placement
In 10 Selected Urban Public School Special Education Programs

			In 10 Sele Student Po		Teacher Po		ducation Prog Special Edu		ulation			
Dis	rict	Ethnicity	Count	%	Count	%	Males	%	Females	%	Total	%
Georgia, Atlanta	7.0	Total	59,934 54,037	100.0	3,798	6.3	3,180	70.1	1,357	29.9	4,537	7
se% bse%	7.6 91.3	Black White	54,027 3,795	90.1 6.3	3,038 656	80.0 17.3	2,937 218	70.9 64.5	1,204 120	29.1 35.5	4,141 338	91 7
bmse%	64.7	Hispanic	1,136	1.9	46	1.2	13	34.2	25	65.8	38	ó
bt%	80.0	N. American	27	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	Ö
		Asian	785	1.3	9	0.2	3	75.0	4	57.1	7	ō
		Multiracial	164	0.3	0	0.0	7	63.6	4	36.4	11	0
Illinois, Chicago		Total	428,184	100	25,323	5.9	13,943	67.0	6,855	33	20,798	4
se%	4.9	Black	230,053	53.7	11,071	43.7	9,064	67.2	4,427	32.8	13,491	64
bse%	64.9	White	44,035	10.3	11,422	45.1	1,522	68.4	703	31.6	2,225	10
bmse%	43.6	Hispanic	139,720	32.6	2,096	8.3	3,163	66.2	1,615	33.8	4,778	
bt%	43.7	N. American	818	0.2	72	0.3	30	62.5	18	37.5	48	(
	Ası	an/Pac. Islander	13,558	3.2	161	0.6	164	64.1	92	35.9	256	1
Dhio, Cleveland se%	12.2	Total Black	76,225 53,913	100.0 70.7	4,525 1,552	5.9 34.3	6,243 4,118	66.9 66.2	3,090 2,102	33.1 33.8	9,333	12 66
bse%	66.6	White	15.168	19.9	2,809	62.1	1,594	68.0	750	33.6 32	6,220 2,344	25
bmse%	44.1	Hispanic	5,914	7.8	121	2.7	438	70.9	180	29.1	618	
bt%	34.3	Am. Indian	221	0.3	9	0.2	20	66.7	10	33.3	30	ò
Dt 70	J-4.0	Asian	674	0.9	29	0.6	15	68.2	7	31.8	22	ì
includes 4000 speech impa	ired	Multiracial	192	0.3	5	0.1	0 n		, 0 n		0	Ì
, ,		Other	143	0.2	ŏ	0.0	58	58.6	41	41.4	99	
Michigan, Detroit		Total	177,057	100.0	8,745	4.9	8,332	68.8	3,770	31.2	12,102	
se%	6.8	Black	161,151	91.0	5,273	60.3	7,640	69.0	3,432	31	11,072	9
bse%	91.5	White	8,480	4.8	3,201	36.6	463	66.7	231	33.3	694	
bmse%	63.1	Hispanic	5,251	3.0	114	1.3	182	70.0	78	30	260	2
bt%	60.3	Am. Indian	423	0.2	61	0.7	26	59.1	18	40.9	44	(
		Asian	1,752	1.0	96	1.1	21	65.6	11	34.4	32	(
Texas, Houston		Total	290,375	100.0	11,848	4.1	14,407	65.9	7,452	34.1	21,859	
se%	7.5	Black	99,689	34.4	4,924	41.6	6,301	65.6	3,300	34.4	9,601	43
bse%	43.9	White	31,651	10.9	5,042	42.6	1,927	69.1	860	30.9	2,787	1:
bmse%	28.8	Hispanic	150,995	52.0	1,693	14.3	6,022	65.2	3,216	34.8	9,238	4
bt%	41.6	Am. Indian	290	0.1	12	0.1	6	75.0	2	25	8	1
		Asian	7,840	2.7	178	1.5	151	67.1	74	32.9	225	
Florida, Miami		Total	345,861	100.0	19,536	5.6	35,308	66.2	18,045	33.8	53,353	1
se%	15.4	Black	115,735	33.5	5,140	26.3	11,363	68.7	5,186	31.3	16,549	
bse% bmse%	31.0 21.3	White	44,946	13.0	8,023	41.1	6,163	61.6	3,834	38.4	9,997	11
bmse%	26.3	Hispanic Am. Indian	179,484	51.9 0.0	6,194 0	31.7 0.0	17,332 46	67.5 69.7	8,342 20	32.5 30.3	25,674 66	4(
		an/Pac. Islander	0	0.0	o	0.0	300	33.0	608	67	908	
ncludes gifted)	731	Multiracial	·	0.0	Ö	0.0	104	65.4	55	34.6	159	
norados gintos)		Other	5,696	1.6	179	0.9	0 n		0 n		0	
Wisconsin, Milwauk	88	Total	107,043	100.0	6,728	100.0	10,247	69.2	4,557	30.7	14,804	1
Se%	13.8	Black	65,617	61.3	1,250	18.6	6,731	69.3	2,982	30.7	9,713	6
Bse%	65.6	White	19,910	18.6	4,996	74.3	2,056	69.2	914	30.8	2,970	3
Bmse%	45.5	Hispanic	13,702	12.8	244	3.6	1,132	69.4	498	30.6	1,630	5
Bt%	18.6	Am. Indian	1,177	1.1	27	0.4	87	66.9	43	33.1	130	
		Asian	5,031	4.7	57	0.8	110	64.3	61	35.7	171	13
		Other	1,606	1.5	154	2.3	131	68.9	59	31.1	190	11
New York, New Yor	k	Total	933,503	100.0	62,273	100.0	100,908	66.6	50,665	33.4	151,573	1
Se%	16.2	Black	333,374	35.7	11,928	19.2	39,681	66.7	19,826	33.3	59,507	3
Bse%	39.3	White	191,542	20.5	41,093	344.5	15,105	65.9	7,818	34.1	22,923	15
Bmse%	26.2	Hispanic	319,715	34.2	8,255	20.1	40,666	66.5	20,453	33.5	61,119	4
Bt%	19.2	Asian	81,593	8.7	646	7.8	3,707	67.1	1,821	32.9	5,528	
Ап	ı. Indiar	/Alaskan Native	2,097	0.2	351	54.3	1,730	70.2	733	29.8	2,463	
	_	Other	5,182	247.1	0	0.0	19	57.6	14	42.4	33	
California, San Dieg		Total	137,496	100.0	7,240	5.3	8,437	66.7	4,214	33.3	12,651	
Se%	9.2	Black	23,186	16.9	603	8.0 73.5	1,926	65.5	1,013	34.5	2,939	2
Bse%	23.2	White	38,979	28.3	5,568	73.5	2,976	67.3	1,447	32.7	4,423	3
Bmse% Bt%	15.2 8.0	Asian Filipino	3,556 11,09 <del>6</del>	2.6	0	0.0	382	65.2	204	34.8	586	
D176	0.0	Alaskan/I <b>nd</b> ian	11,096	8.1 0.6	0 69	0.0	341 66	71.5 61.1	136	28.5	477	
		Hispanic	49,025	35.7	1,000	0.9 13.2	2,679	61.1 66.5	42 1,348	38.9 33.5	108 4,027	3
		Indonesian	9,460	6.9	1,000	0.0	0.0	na	0.0	33.5 na	4,027	
		Pac. Islander	1,314	1.0	0	0.0	67	73.6	24	76.4	91	
DC, Washington		Total	78,000	100.0	5,273	6.8	2,850	66.0	1,465			
Se%	5.5	Black	66,102	87.3	5,273 4,435	83.6	2,850 2,651	65.9	1,465	34 34.1	4,315 4,023	9
Bse%	93.2	White	3,097	4.0	679	12.8	2,031	65.9 67.8	29	34.1	90	
Bmse%	61.4	Hispanic	5,655	7.3	159	3.0	134	68.4	62	31.6	196	
Bt%	83.6	Asian/Pac Is	1,076	1.4	0	0.0	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	
		/Alaskan Native	70	0.1	ŏ	0.0	0.0	na	0.0	na	0.0	
			2,633,678	100.0	155,289	100.0	203,655	100.0	101,470	100.0	305,325	10
10 CH												10
10 Cit	108		1,205,047	45.8	49,214	31.7	92,412	45.3	44,844	44.2	137,256	4

NOTES: special education (se), black special education (bse), black male special education (bmse), black teachers (bt)



## **Explanation of Findings**

Table 3

			В	lack Male I	Placement Su	ımmary			
		Α	В	С	D	е	f	g	h
	City	Teacher	(bt) %	(se) %	(bse)%	black	(b, w)	m/f	dominance
		ratio				placement %	placements	ratio	ranking
1	Atlanta	0.22	80.0	7.6	91.3	10.8	(9, 17)	1.02	BD
2	Chicago	1.03	43.7	4.9	64.9	7.7	(13, 29)	1.05	ND
3	Cleveland	1.81	34.3	12.2	66.6	14.8	(7,10)	1.07	LWD
4	Detroit	0.61	60.3	6.8	91.5	9.3	(11,18)	1.03	LBD
5	Houston	1.02	41.6	7.5	43.9	12.1	(8,16)	1.09	ND
6	Miami	1.56	26.3	15.9	31.0	18.8	(5,7)	1.09	LWD
7	Milwaukee	4.00	18.6	13.8	65.6	19.9	(5,10)	1.06	WD
8	New York	3.45	19.2	16.2	39.3	23.2	(4,13)	1.06	WD
9	San Diego	9.23	8.0	9.2	23.2	15.9	(6,13)	1.09	WD
10	Washington,	0.15	83.6	5.5	93.2	7.6	(13,51)	1.04	BD
	DC							_	
11	Average	2.31	41.6	10.0	61.1	14.0	(8,18)	1.06	
1	Notes	a) white	e teachers/b	lack teachers		e) bmse/bla	ck male popul	ation	
	special education	,		otal teachers		f) SE places	nent rate per 1	00 students	for (black,
ſ	black se			otal population	1	white) m			l
l .	= black male se	d) bse/	black popul	ation		g) males/fen			ı
<u> </u>	or B	<u> </u>			į	h) *D (3:1)	L*D (3:1 - 2:	<ol> <li>ND (2:1</li> </ol>	- 1:1)

## Teacher Dominance Rankings

Column "g" in Table 3 ranks the selected cities as White Dominant (WD), Light White Dominant (LWD), Neutral Dominance (ND), Light Black Dominance (LBD), and Black Dominance (BD) depending on the white-to-black teacher ratio. When this ratio exceeds 3 to 1, the city is assigned to either the WD or BD category; when this ratio is between 2 to 1 and 3 to 1, the city is assigned to either the LWD or LBD category. Cities whose white-to-black teacher ratios are less than 2 to 1 are considered neutrally-dominant (ND). By this classification schema, Milwaukee, New York, and San Diego are considered White Dominant, Cleveland and Miami are Light White Dominant, Chicago and Houston are Neutrally Dominant, Detroit is Light Black Dominant, and the cities of Atlanta and Washington, DC are Black Dominant.

## Overall Summary

While all cities in the study exhibited excessive black male special education placement,



this excess should be worst in White Dominant cities and least in Black Dominant cities. For the most part these expectations were confirmed. Cities with the highest proportions of black teachers (Atlanta, Washington, DC) placed black males at the lowest rate. Correspondingly, cities with an intermediate level of black teachers (Cleveland, Miami, Chicago, Houston, and Detroit) placed these students at a higher rate. Finally, the cities with the lowest proportion of black teachers (New York, Milwaukee, and San Diego) were the least receptive to cultural, racial, and sexual differences. Consequently, at least 1 out of 6 of every black male in these school districts will end up in a special education.

### Exceptions and Anomalies

Teacher dominance is an *a priori* indicator of disproportionate special education placement. In addition to teacher dominance, moreover, black administrative power, political economic empowerment, and cultural heterogeneity are important explanatory variables. We can see this in Atlanta, Chicago, New York, Milwaukee, Detroit, and Washington, DC.



## *Implications*

It is clear that maleness is a critical concern in placing students in special education.

What are the consequences of subjecting an average of 1 in 8 black males to special education?

Since the United States annually educates nearly 35 million males in public schools to unnecessarily stigmatize 13% of these<sup>1</sup> is to subject nearly half a million of these boys to a greater liklihood of above average imprisonment and drug abuse, below-average incomes and social stability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The average black male placement rate of 14% minus the "healthy society" special education rate for males of 1%.



It is imperative that the educational institutions examine their own internal structures, in preparing teachers to meet the needs of the African American males. Teacher training programs and local system in-services, should include information on different cultural styles, and how to teach using methods that recognize the individual differences of the students. Ford (1992) believes that professionals need to be exposed to accurate information about various cultural ethnic groups. Professionals should be required to know the historical and contemporary contributions of different cultural groups, lifestyles, value systems, interpersonal communication patterns, learning styles, and parental attitudes about education and disabilities.

"Educators need to be aware of the diversity that exists within cultural ethnic groups. The importance of multicultural education can't be stressed enough because the regular classroom teacher's decision to refer the child is the single most important decision that is made in the assignment of children to learning disabilities (LD) programs" (Berrien, 1993, p. 790)

African American teachers are needed in general education classrooms. After the administrators, who set the special education quotas<sup>2</sup>, the teachers are the most critical link (kink) in the process. Serwatka *et al.*, (1995), for example, found that black over-representation decreases with increasing proportions of black teachers. Findings like these make it clear that more black teachers will reduce the disproportionate placement problem. It is imperative that white teachers be required to take extensive "antiracist education (Kailin, 1994. Such may qualify them to teach children of color.

An examination of this data leads one to question why we have not yet faced how racism may impact the thinking and behavior of many white teachers who are responsible for the evaluation and education of our children. It's not surprising that we see such negative impact on our black children.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See discussion of Atlanta in the findings



Finally, a special effort needs to be made by professionals in special education to empower African American parents of children with disabilities. This empowerment will go a long way toward reducing the number of black males who get shanghaied to special education programs. Educators need to be sincere in their efforts to enable parents to communicate their needs. Commitments should be made by the school systems to educate parents on the special education process, services, and programs. Parents need to clearly understand their rights, their children's rights, and their responsibilities as parents. Parents also need to be informed of what advocacy services are available. Home visits should be made in an effort by the professionals to relate to families of children with disabilities.

As we enter into the year 2000, it is important that educators support African Americans so that they effectively function in the school setting. However, they are but one part of the educational gestalt. Ultimately, change involves the active restructuring of the all school systems--including educational organization, training programs, curriculum, and methods.

Richard Wright reminds us of the transformative powers of education; Langston Hughes' raisin is an unforgettable image of the consequences of its absence.



## References

- Allen-Meares, P. (1990). Educating black youths: The unfulfilled promise of equality. Social Work 35. 283-286.
- Anderson, J. A. (1988). Cognitive styles and multicultural populations. <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u> 39. 2-9.
- Becton, J. W., Jr., Moore, P. & W. Vance G. Personal communication, June 5, 10, 11, & 16. Washington, D.C. Public Schools, SY 1997-98 Official Membership October 30, 1997, Chief Executive Office & National Library of Education U. S. Dept. of Education, Washington D.C.
- Berrien, J. (Summer, 1993). A civil liberties imperative: promoting quality education for all African-American children. <u>Teachers College Records 94</u>. (4), 790.
- Butler R. & Marilyn G., Bossy L. & Carla S. Personal communication, June 10, 16, 17, 19, 22, & 23, 1998. Cleveland, Ohio Public School Systems, personal student assignment & Ohio Dept. of Education Information Management Services.
- Collier, C. (1988). <u>Assessing minority students with learning and behavior problems</u>. Lindale, TX: Hamilton.
- Collier, C., & Hoover, J. (1986). Cognitive learning strategies for minority handicapped students. Lindale, TX: Hamilton.
- Cuban, L. (1989). The at-risk label and the problem of school reform. Phi Delta Kappan 70. 780-801.
- Ford, B. A. (1992). Multicultural education training for special educators working with African youth. Exceptional Children 59. (2). 1074 14.
- Gibbs, J. T. (1983). Black adolescents and youth: An endangered species. <u>American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 54</u>. 6-21.
- Gibbs, J. T. (1988). Young, black, and male in America: An endangered species. Dover, MA: Auburn House.
- Gillis-Olsion, M., Olion, L., & Holmes, R. L. (1986). Strategies for interacting with black parents of handicapped children. <u>Negro Education Review</u>, 37. (1), 8-16.
- Green, D. L. Ed. D., Michael A., & Carol M. Personal communication, May 28 & June 6, 1998. Detroit Michigan Public Schools, Student Information Systems, Division of Information Services, & Dept. of Special Education Students.
- Haberman, M. (March 1997). Unemployment Training: The ideology of nonwork learned in urban schools. Phi Delta Kappa 78. (7), 499-503.
- Harrison, H. L. et al., (September 1995). Strategies for increasing African-American participation in the special education process. <u>Journal of Instructional Psychology 22</u>. (3), 230.
- Harry, B. (1992). Restructuring the participation of African American parents in special education. <u>Exceptional Children 59</u>. (2), 123-131.
- Harry, B., & Anderson, M.G. (Fall, 1994). The disproportionate placement of African American males in special education programs: A critique of the process. <u>Journal of Negro Education</u>, 63. (4), 602-618.
  - Heinrich, C. & Geraldine O. Personal communication, May 28, & 29 & June 9, 1998.



- Chicago Public Schools. Office of Accountability Dept. of Compliance.
- Johnson, M. (1997, September 22). Schools use Medicaid to dodge revenue cap Public is hit twice, critics say, The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel. p. A1 News.
- Jones R. L. (1979). Protection evaluation procedures: Criteria and recommendations. Pp. 15-84. in <u>PEP</u>: <u>Developing Criteria for the Evaluation of Protection in Evaluation Procedures Provisions.</u> Philadelphia, Pa.: Research for Better Schools.
- Kailin, J., "Anti-Racist Staff Development for Teachers: Consideration of Race, Class, and Gender." <u>Teaching & Teacher Education 10</u>. (2), 169-184.
- Krieger, G., Linda R., & Judd H. Personal communication, May 21 & June 15, 1998. Milwaukee Public Schools, Management Information System, Dept. of Student Services, Division of Research & Assessment & Dept. of Public Instruction.
- Means, B., Knapp, M. S. (1991). Cognitive approaches to teaching advanced skills to educationally disadvantaged students. Phi Delta Kappan 73. (4), 282-289.
- Merrcer, J. R. (1973). <u>Labeling the mentally retarded</u>. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Okie, G. & Al. G. Personal communication. May 28 & 29. Houston Public Schools. Public Information & Research & Accountability.
- Payne, J. S., Patton, J. R., Kauffman, J. M., Brown, G. B., & Payne, R. A. (1983). Cultural diversity. Exceptional educational children in focus (4th ed.), Columbus, OH: Merrill.
- Payne, S. & Barbara M. Personal communication, June 10,12, & 18, 1998. San Diego City Schools, School Service Division Area & California Dept. of Education, Special Education Division Assessment, Evaluation and Support Unit.
- Rokeach D. & Alan S. Personal communication, June 8, 10, 12 & 17, 1998. New York City Public School Systems, Head of Clinical Services & New York City Board of Education Assistant.
- Serwatka, T. S., Deering, S., & Grant, P. (March, 1995). Disproportionate representation of African Americans in emotionally handicapped classes. <u>Journal of Black Studies 25</u>. (4), 492.
- Staples, R. (1987). Black male genocide: A final solution to the race problem in America. <u>Black Scholar 18</u>. (3), 2-11.
- Thomas, G., & Stacy F., Personal communication, May 28 & June 11, 15, 17, & 23, 1998. Atlanta Public Schools, & Georgia Dept. of Education, Dept. Chairperson, Data Collections System Special Education Program.
- Tidwell, B. J. (1991). More than a moral issue: The costs of American racism in the 1990s. <u>Urban League Review 14</u>. (2), 9-28.
- Zappardino P. H. (Mar/Apr 95). Fair Test: Charting a course for testing reform. Clearing House 68. (4), 248.
- Zucker, S. H., & Preito, A. G. (1977). Ethnicity and teacher bias in educational decisions. <u>Instructional Psychology</u>, 4, 2-5.





## U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	- UDU3234
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATIO		
Title: The DISDroportions	ate Placement of Afric	IAN Americans in
Special Education &	ate Placement of Afric AN ANALYSIS of Ter	r Cities
Author(s): Janette Ha	rrera	
Corporate Source:	<del></del>	Publication Date:
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, R	e timely and significant materials of interest to the edu- lesources in Education (RIE), are usually made availal RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit wing notices is affixed to the document.	ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy
If permission is granted to reproduce and dissofthe page.	seminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE	of the following three options and sign at the bottor
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE. AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
<u>——Sample</u>		sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	28
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce	
as indicated above. Reproductión fro	ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso he copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit rej tors in response to discrete inquirles.	ons other than ERIC employees and its system
Sign Soften	Printed Name/Po	DETE HOVEPYS
Organization/Address.	Telephone 4-	449-1410 FAX:
ERIC 14458 N. 40'	St. M. W. E-Mail Address:	Date: 8/25/98

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
	to the second
Address:	
•	
Price:	
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO C	OPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER
	OPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
	OPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:  held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and
If the right to grant this reproduction release is address:	
If the right to grant this reproduction release is address:	
If the right to grant this reproduction release is address:  Name:	
If the right to grant this reproduction release is address:  Name:	
If the right to grant this reproduction release is address:  Name:	
If the right to grant this reproduction release is address:  Name:	

# V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education Box 40, Teachers College Columbia University New York, NY 10027

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

