

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 422 879

IR 019 020

AUTHOR Thomson, Joan S.; Stringer, Sharon B.
TITLE Evaluating for Distance Learning: Feedback from Students and Faculty.
PUB DATE 1998-00-00
NOTE 7p.; In: Distance Learning '98. Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Distance Teaching & Learning (14th, Madison, WI, August 5-7, 1998); see IR 018 976.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Freshmen; *Computer Assisted Instruction; Computer Literacy; Computer Mediated Communication; *Course Evaluation; Delivery Systems; *Distance Education; Evaluation Methods; Formative Evaluation; Higher Education; Instructional Design; *Instructional Development; Student Needs; Student Surveys; Summative Evaluation; Teacher Surveys; Teaching Models; User Satisfaction (Information); *World Wide Web
IDENTIFIERS Access to Computers; *Course Development; Pennsylvania State University; *Technology Integration; Virtual Classrooms

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the development and evaluation of a World Wide Web-based component for a required freshman seminar at the Pennsylvania State University College of Agricultural Sciences. Students (n=170) were given a pre-test to assess their access to, knowledge of, and proficiency with computers. The pre-test was designed to address four broad categories: computer use, ability, and perceptions; Internet perceptions and use; communication preferences; and demographic information. This formative evaluation assessed student needs and helped the project team to continue to develop course content for the semester. A summative evaluation was given at the end of the semester to ascertain students' perceptions of web-based assignments and needed changes for future courses. In addition, one faculty member from each section participated in a phone survey, answering questions about their experiences with the course. It was concluded that using a computer-based asynchronous teaching model is quite different from the more traditional model and requires special considerations; practitioners should incorporate formative and summative evaluations to enhance learner satisfaction, to ensure goal attainment, and to demonstrate accountability. (DLS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Evaluating for Distance Learning: Feedback From Students and Faculty

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Joan S. Thomson, Associate Professor Rural Sociology
Agricultural and Extension Education
The Pennsylvania State University

Sharon B. Stringer, Ph.D. Candidate
Agricultural and Extension Education
The Pennsylvania State University

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C.H. Olgren

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Distance learning methodologies support a wide variety of academic programs for residential and off-campus students. These delivery technologies, including audio and video teleconferencing, computer conferencing, and web-based instruction are changing the way students interact with subject matter and faculty. In addition to enhancing traditional learning practices, distance learning technologies affect how students engage the global community through on-line resources. Internet site design and user compatibility provide a starting point to integrate computers into instruction.

Web-based instruction, for example, is growing faster than any other instructional technology (Crossman, 1997). With a computer connection, students and faculty use the web to exchange information and access resources from around the world. The popularity of web-based instruction is attributed to its convenience and flexibility of access (Daugherty and Funke, 1998).

The innovative nature of distance education methodologies demands close examination regarding the issues and practices relevant to educational quality and integrity. Reeves and Reeves (1997) concluded that there are many issues relevant to the web that have to be fully investigated for their pedagogical soundness. Web resources are viewed as a means by which to keep courses current, however, accuracy and timeliness plague sites that are not regularly updated.

While some faculty embrace the challenge to incorporate new technologies into the learning environment, others are overwhelmed by them (Collis, 1993). Dillon and Walsh (1992) found that faculty involved in distance education acquire more positive attitudes as their experience with distance education increases. Herther (1997) suggests that the quality of learning through distance education be evaluated before web-based instruction is subsumed and adopted into university practices.

Evaluation is an integral part of course delivery and development. Cost-benefit, learner satisfaction, goal attainment, and accountability require faculty to gather and submit feedback on the effectiveness of course process and content. Evaluation studies provide timely feedback and constructive criticism to the developers and designers using information technology while the curriculum is still evolving (Collis, 1993). Positive evaluations encourage administrative support of policies, practices, and infrastructure relevant to distance education. Furthermore, insights gained broaden faculty understanding of the commitments necessary to develop quality programs that enhance the traditional learning environment.

ED 422 879

IR019020



Collis (1993) suggests that distance education projects are marginally evaluated. Furthermore, when they are evaluated, the evaluations focus on either client satisfaction or factors correlated with learner persistence or attrition. This paper focuses on participants' feedback about the content and relevance of web-based instruction. Students and faculty were queried about product and process. The importance of faculty and learner feedback in furthering the distance education mission is stressed. Formative evaluations were used to provide information about improving the course. Summative evaluation were carried out to make judgments about the basic worth of incorporating web-based technology into the freshmen seminar.

Integrating Distance Education Technologies

Beginning fall 1999, the Pennsylvania State University will require all entering students to take a freshmen seminar. The purpose of the seminars is to support the transition of students to the University environment. Penn State's College of Agricultural Sciences offers *Be a Master Student!* (AG 150) as a two-credit course to entering freshmen. The course focuses on 1) facilitating the student's transition to the university community, and 2) increasing each student's understanding of the issues and opportunities in the agricultural sciences.

To address an identified need, a web-based component was added to the AG 150 curriculum in fall 1997. The intent was to use communications technology to enhance the agricultural sciences component of the curriculum, making the course available to Penn State students and non-students throughout the Commonwealth. The goal was 1) to develop web-based resources that would facilitate the exploration of current issues in the agricultural sciences and their associated resources at Penn State, and 2) to develop a series of activities or lesson plans for students and faculty teaching AG 150 to integrate into the curriculum.

Before this project, computer-based instruction was not available to faculty teaching AG 150. The website supplements the classroom experience by providing a solid core of common resources across sections and campuses as well as the opportunity for students and instructors to interact electronically outside of class. In addition, faculty could draw course assignments from a plethora of web-based resources.

Project Development

Technology-based instruction requires much planning and collaboration. Integration of technology resources for AG 150 was a complex task. It required the synergy and patience of faculty, support staff, and students. The website developed to supplement instruction in the College's freshmen seminar was not created by faculty currently teaching the course. Two faculty members from the College of Agricultural Sciences team taught each of the nine sections. Faculty, often independent and accustomed to teaching autonomously, were required to work in teams to collaboratively develop course materials. Thus, it was imperative that tools and other opportunities be developed to demonstrate to the teaching faculty how the site could support their instructional objectives.

Web-based instruction is a relatively new methodology in higher education, and many issues still need to be addressed. Lack of faculty incentives, limited access to technology, and insignificant support can hinder successful delivery (Bowen and Thomson, 1994). Lessons learned through formative and summative evaluations of the instructional technology

portion of the AG 150 seminar provide a road map for faculty pursuing web-based instruction.

Because the University has made the freshmen seminar a requirement, AG 150 has become a model for other colleges to follow. This prototype includes among other things—World Wide Web-based instruction with virtual tours, career path designs, faculty interviews, and links to many relevant resources on the Internet. The site <http://www.cas.psu.edu/docs/CASOVER/AG150.index.htm> is relevant to anyone interested in agricultural issues.

Evaluation Process

To test the goals for the project and to learn even more about this new learning approach, it was agreed from the outset to collect as much data as reasonable. The population for the study was all of the students in AG 150 *Be a Master Student!* during fall semester, 1997. Because the number of students in AG 150 was small ($N = 170$), a census was used. Students were given a pre-test at the beginning of the semester to assess their access to, knowledge of, and proficiency with computers. The pre-test instrument used was designed to address four broad categories: 1) computer use, ability, and perceptions; 2) Internet perceptions and use; 3) communication preferences; and 4) demographic information. The formative evaluation assessed student needs and helped the project team continue to develop course content for the semester.

During the semester, faculty used the web-site to supplement in-class lectures and provide resources for assignments. Three of the nine sections specifically used the AG 150 web site. One instructor maximized the AG 150 site by using it in another course. Instructors indicated that helping students determine how to evaluate the credibility of web-based resources now needed to be incorporated into their instruction.

A summative evaluation was given at the end of the semester to ascertain students' perceptions of web-based assignments and needed changes for future courses. Students were queried on using the Web for AG 150 and other courses. Among the 170 students registered, 142 usable, completed post-test questionnaires were collected, an 84% response rate. One of the faculty members from each section participated in a phone survey, answering questions about their experiences with the course.

Findings

The formative evaluation queried students about course content, expectations, and use of the World Wide Web. The survey findings indicated that generally, freshmen access to and knowledge of computers is increasingly. Of the 142 students who were surveyed during fall 1996, 57% owned computers. Among those who owned their computers, 46% ($n = 66$) indicated that their computers were connected to the Internet. Among the two-thirds ($n = 108$) who owned their computers in fall 1997, 72% indicated that their computers were connected to the Internet. Also fall 1997, three-quarters of the students (74.6%) responded that they were already using computers at least once a day. Almost half of the students (45%) stated that they used the Web for class assignments. Forty-four percent indicated that a course that required a web-based supplement appealed to them. The students also indicated interest in learning about job opportunities, internships, and University resources.

In response to student surveys, faculty integrated additional content on career opportunities into the course before the semester's end.

The summative evaluation addressed learner satisfaction with the technology. When asked what they liked most about using the Web, two-thirds ($n = 93$) of the students expressed that they liked its convenience and wealth of information. Typical reasons students gave for using the Web:

- ❖ "It's easy to get a lot of information without leaving home. "
- ❖ "It's a hands-on approach to learning."
- ❖ "I think it is important to be able to use the Web, because it is such a prevalent means of communication."

In addition, students expressed a concern regarding the reliability of web-based information. When prompted about the accuracy of web-based information, 57% of the 142 students indicated that they considered the source to determine if information is credible.

This freshmen seminar is one of many projects to integrate distance education technologies into University curricula. In every section, students were expected to submit at least some, if not all, assignments via e-mail. The results indicated that students who do not own personal computers must be considered when designing technology-based instruction. Instructors consistently commented on the increasing computer literacy among students during the past two years. Specifically noted was that students with the fewest computer skills were non-University Park (main) campus based. Faculty involved in recruitment for the College in addition to the freshmen seminar recognized the Web's potential as a recruitment tool among secondary students as well as among those in higher education who are outside the agricultural sciences. For the site to be used in this way, faculty noted that placement on the College's homepage becomes crucial.

Conclusion

The project revealed that using a computer-based, asynchronous teaching model is quite different from the more traditional model and requires special considerations. Although entering freshmen are expected to be increasingly computer literate, students enter the university with varying levels of expertise. To optimize the students' educational experiences, faculty will need to be aware of the competencies students bring in order to maximize their learning opportunities.

Furthermore, it is important to note that access to technology is not a significant incentive for faculty to embrace new teaching methodologies. Relevance to subject matter, timeliness of information, and facilitation of instructional objectives are required for successful integration of web-based resources.

While many students enter the university with Internet experience, some faculty are still learning how to incorporate instructional technologies into learning opportunities. Faculty need administrative support and opportunities to develop effective technology-based courses. Faculty must openly communicate with students and each other throughout the learning experience to develop curricula that effectively use distance education technologies. Web-based information is easily accessible and convenient, however, its reliability and accuracy can be questionable. Faculty that incorporate web-based instruction into the

curriculum should develop ways for students to test the accuracy of information found on the web.

The evaluation process is key in the communications process. Identifying relevant issues and problems during the course provides opportunities to develop solutions for quality educational programs. Student feedback during the learning process helps to shape the course and improve the learning experience. Practitioners that seek to integrate distance education methodologies into existing curriculum should incorporate formative and summative evaluations to enhance learner satisfaction, to ensure goal attainment, and to demonstrate accountability.

References

- Bowen, B. E. and Thomson, J. S. (1994). *The Influence of Institutional Policies Procedures, and Structures in Strengthening Access to Higher Education through Distance Education*. Project report prepared by the Department of Agricultural and Extension Education, Penn State University, University Park, PA.
- Collis, B. A. (1993, August). Evaluating Instructional Applications of Telecommunications in Distance Education. *Educational and Training Technology International*, 30(3), 266–274.
- Crossman, D. M. (1997). The evolution of the World Wide Web as an elearning tool. In B. K. Kahn (Eds.) *Web-Based Instruction*. Englewood Cliffs: NJ. Technology Publications.
- Daugherty, M. and Funke, B. (1998, March). *University and Student Perceptions of Web-Based Instruction*. A paper presented at the International Conference on Technology and Education. Santa Fe, NM.
- Dillon, C. L. and Walsh, S. M. (1992). Faculty: The neglected resource in distance education. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 6(3), 5–21.
- Herther, N. K. (1997, September). Education over the Web: Distance Learning and the Information Professional. *Online*, 21(5), 63–72.
- Newman, D. R., Johnson, C., Webb, B., & Cochrane, C. (June 1997). Evaluating the Quality of Learning and Computer-Supported Co-Operative Learning. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, 48(6), 484–495.
- Reeves, T. C. and Reeves, P. M. (1997). Effective dimensions of interactive learning on the World Wide Web. In B. H. Kahn 9 eds.) *Web-Based Instruction* (pp. 59–67). Englewood Cliffs: NJ. Educational Technology Publications.

Autobiographical Sketches

Joan S. Thomson, associate professor, Department of Agricultural and Extension Education, at The Pennsylvania State University, is the process in-charge of the undergraduate minor in agricultural communications in the College of Agricultural Sciences. The research reported was supported through the Innovations in Distance Education grant funded by the AT&T Foundation to Penn State Continuing and Distance Education. This grant is supporting the

development of guiding principles and practices for the design and development of effective distance education of which this project is one initiative. Additional support was provided by the Penn State Center for Academic Computing.

Address: 316 Agricultural Administration Bldg.
University Park, PA 16802

Email: jthomson@psu.edu

Phone: (814) 863-3824

Fax: (814) 863-4753

Sharon B. Stringer is a graduate student in the Department of Agricultural and Extension Education at the Pennsylvania State University. She is pursuing a doctoral degree in agricultural education with a minor in mass communications. She has been employed as a writer, editor, and a television producer.

Address: 423 Agricultural Administration Bldg.
University Park, PA 16802

Email: sbs7@psu.edu

Phone: (814) 863-0416

Fax: (814) 863-4753



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>14TH ANNUAL CONFERENCE ON DISTANCE TEACHING AND LEARNING</i>	
Author(s): <i>NA</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON</i>	Publication Date: <i>8/6/98</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, →

Signature: <i>Christine H. Olsen</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>CHRISTINE H. OLSEN, CONFERENCE DIRECTOR</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>UW - MADISON</i>	Telephone: <i>608-262-5530</i>	Fax: <i>608-262-7757</i>
<i>1050 UNIVERSITY AVE, RM 6136</i>	E-Mail Address: <i>CHOLSEN@UW</i>	Date: <i>9/10/98</i>
<i>MADISON, WI 53706</i>	<i>FACTAFF, UNIC, EDU</i>	



(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

PUBLISHED PROCEEDINGS ALSO AVAILABLE FROM

Publisher/Distributor:	<i>UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON</i>
Address:	<i>1050 UNIVERSITY AVE., Rm B136 MADISON, WI 53706</i>
Price:	<i>\$25 PLUS SHIPPING</i>

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:	
Address:	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>