DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 422 862 IR 019 003

AUTHOR Lawton, Marny D.; Bonhomme, Mary S.

TITLE A Systems Model Approach To Organizing a Distance Learning

Program.

PUB DATE 1998-00-00

NOTE 7p.; In: Distance Learning '98. Proceedings of the Annual

Conference on Distance Teaching & Learning (14th, Madison,

WI, August 5-7, 1998); see IR 018 976.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Communication (Thought Transfer); Cooperative Planning;

*Distance Education; Educational Development; *Educational Theories; Engineering Education; Faculty Development; Higher Education; Instructional Design; Instructional Development;

*Instructional Effectiveness; Models; Professional Continuing Education; *Systems Approach; Teacher Role

IDENTIFIERS Learning Environments; Purdue University IN

ABSTRACT

This paper focuses on the Purdue University (Indiana) Continuing Engineering Education (CEE) experience in producing distance education over the past 20 years. Discussion includes the role of several educational theories in the formalization of distributed learning; the importance of effective communication in delivery of distance education; redesign of courses and teaching models; the role of the instructor as content expert; and the use of collaborative working environments. A table outlines characteristics of the following necessary components for effective distance education: good communication; technologically astute instructors; effective facilitator or team leader; comfort in use of planning and organizing skills; efficient use of preparation plans and training; flexibility; and experience. (Contains 17 references.) (AEF)



A Systems Model Approach to Organizing a Distance Learning Program

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Marny D. Lawton, Manager Continuing Engineering Education Purdue University

Mary S. Bonhomme, Interim Director Continuing Engineering Education Purdue University "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C.H. Olgren

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Abstract

Problems and concerns associated with the organization of a distance learning program are well documented (Beaudoin, 1990; Clark, 1993; Cummings, 1995; Cunningham, Farquharson, and Hull, 1991; Dillon and Walsh, 1992; Gehlauf, Shatz, and Frye, 1991; Goodwin, 1993; Moore and Kearsley, 1996; Salisbury and Conner, 1994; Schlosser and Anderson, 1994; Sheritt, 1992). Academic institutions wish to capitalize on the process for a variety of reasons ranging from financial and competitive advantage to enhanced delivery of instructional material. Faculty are utilizing the distance education and learning methodologies with increasing frequency. However, faculty are often anxious about the process or unfamiliar with the dramatic difference in assembling a distance learning educational product over one developed for the traditional classroom. This lack of familiarity often results in low motivation to learn about distance education methodologies. Further, lack of familiarity also leads to misunderstanding of the methodologies and of the realities from an academic as well as an administrative standpoint. The challenges provided by these issues prompted the development of this presentation about use of a systems model approach to organizing a distance education program.

Presentation

The presentation for this conference will include a discussion of Purdue University's Continuing Engineering Education (CEE) experience in producing distance education over the past twenty years. Although dating back to the late 19th century and early 20th century, the discussion will focus on the last decade and include recent distance learning outcomes involving the use of a systems model approach incorporating the areas of expertise necessary to offer a successful distance learning environment. The process used by Purdue's Continuing Engineering Education department evolved over a number of years and certainly did not assume a systems model approach. Nonetheless, what is known as a formal model today has been adopted successfully by the facility. Considerable time has been spent coordinating collaborations between and among departments to make this possible. A variety of disciplines and areas of expertise have been necessary to make a distance learning experience a success. This incorporates the faculty as the content expert, but also includes technical specialists, experts in instructional design and graphic design, webmasters, librarians, support staff, administrators, broadcast producers, directors, engineers, as well as student assistants. The type of experts needed will vary with the course, the institutions, and the method of content delivery but the resulting knowledge of other content masters is a more holistic approach to delivering a course that extends the overall learning potential through a more comprehensive effort.



The proposed presentation will include a discussion of the systems model used to produce a full distance learning course. It will also serve as instructional material for faculty, staff, and administrators wishing to learn more about the organization of distance education and how to put the many components of the systems model in perspective. There will be discussions of techniques commonly found in distance learning environments that can be adapted to extend the scope and functionality of the traditional classroom in incremental ways involving instructional design, pedagogical methodology, evaluation and management followed by a brief interactive case study. The program will conclude with questions and discussion of participants' experience in their use of distance learning methodologies.

The Formalization of Distributed Learning

Educational theories play an important role in understanding the effective use of distance education programs and therefore enhance management's ability to plan effectively when designing distance programs and facilities. Pedagogical, learning, and adult developmental theories have been drawn upon by the theories of distance education as closely related disciplines (Moore, 1972). In his 1972 paper entitled *Learner autonomy: The second dimension of independent learning*, Michael G. Moore expanded the early definitions of distance education pedagogy as

the family of instructional methods in which the teaching behaviors are executed apart from the learning behaviors, including those that in contiguous teaching would be performed in the learner's presence, so that communication between the learner and the teacher must be facilitated by print, electronic, mechanical, or other devices. (1972, p. 76)

Moore's studies expanded upon the seminal works of Hölmberg (University of Tübingen), Peters (University of Tübingen), and Wedemeyer (University of Wisconsin) integrating concepts promoted by humanistic psychologists such as Abraham Maslow and Carl Rogers (Moore & Kearsley, 1996). Distance education has been viewed as a highly structured learner-centered system. Combined with psychological pedagogy distance education becomes associated with the "theory of transactional distance" (Moore & Kearsley, 1996).

The theory of transactional distance is a holistic, or systems view, of distance education. It is characterized by the growing array of support activities comprising the development of educational programming. At its core is the principle that one instructor can no longer hope to be able to assemble all the technological components of a course single-handedly. In essence, the distance education theorists explain that for a successful distance educational program or course to occur it must be the effort of a team of many content experts. In this developmental process the instructor is one of a number of experts (Moore & Kearsley, 1996). Moreover, in a learner-centered approach the instructor is no longer the focus but instead an expert facilitator of the course content.

Part of the transactional distance (or the psychological separation of course from students) is the greater need for balance between structure and dialogue. Behavioristic, in origin, the increased emphasis of learner autonomy was once seen as an annoyance. As a result of more recent research this is beginning to be seen as a cognitive choice by the learner, as well as the instructor, in the form of personal learning and instructional styles (DeNigris, 1996).



Research is demonstrating that the propensity for individuals to interact in a distance program varies. These differences play important roles in the successful use of distance education methodologies as well as in the planning and administration of delivery methods, age, personality and cognitive/learning styles and are important factors (Kearsley, 1995). The level of interaction needed by students may vary depending upon their ability for self-direction or autonomous behavior. Kearsley cites professionals and executives as generally in need of less interaction and children in need of higher levels of interaction (1995).

More recent on the scene of educational technology and distance education are the theories of human-computer interaction as part of the field of human factors specialties. Two researchers stand out in the field of research in the design of technological artefacts. Of the early human factors specialists Donald Broadbent has pioneered the subject of human interaction with 'everyday' things but with extreme views not always well received by his colleagues (Colbourn, 1995). Colbourn (1995) considers Donald Norman's case-study approach less scientifically rigorous "but no less rich in production or information." According to Christopher Colbourn (1995) both researchers represent the opinion growing in support that it is no longer thought humans should adapt to technology but that technology itself must change to better address human needs and functions. While this view is becoming more widely adopted, it is still moving at a slow pace as the emerging research takes time to filter through the actual industry production process of technology products.

Also important today is an understanding of the evolving constructivist theories of mental modeling and analogic thinking, metaphoric/analogic thinking, schema theory knowledge structures, metacognition, metadata, imaging, and the information processing model of cognition. An understanding of current research is as necessary as an understanding of new and evolving technologies to prepare decision makers with the ability to make quick and accurate decisions required for institutions and their workforce in a rapidly changing world.

Looking at the specifics of delivering education at a distance the literature recognizes specific components as necessary for the distance education environment to work effectively and come alive as noted in Table 1.

Although the desired characteristics sought for the traditional classroom instructor are also those for someone teaching at a distance, it takes a creative mix of components to actually draw in the students from a remote, independent environment and make them feel a part of the process and community. Regardless of personal learning style or level of motivation the highest priority of these components is *good communication*. When working at a distance, without communication the rest falls apart.

As a result of research, new technologies, and the rapidly changing face of technology, education, and the workplace, it has become necessary for educators to reconsider how to deliver course content to students. Time alone will not allow learning all the new educational applications and practices, but relying on the expertise of many content experts will help ease the burden of keeping pace with change while still delivering quality education. The old 'factory style' business model of Frederic Taylor's scientific management approach has long since outlived its usefulness in our workplace. Thus, the classroom needs to be updated to reflect changes in society (Oblinger and Maruyama, 1996).



It should still remain the responsibility of the instructor to serve as the content expert. In this role the educator determines the direction of the course while operating as project manager during the development process. Remembering to let the subject matter of the course, not technology, determine course direction is an important issue to consider. A team of other content experts can help during this developmental phase. A team of content experts has been found useful in areas such as technology platform selection, multimedia use, design of graphics, animation, film clips, web development, software programming, pressing compact discs, producing slide presentations.

Table 1. Necessary Components for Effective Distance Education

Good communication: Clear objectives Regular feedback Close attention to all communication Technologically astute instructors: Aware of new coaching/counseling skills to guide independent learning experience and anticipate the need for intervention. Utilize formal or informal systems to free instructor to focus on content and students through use of multiple content experts. Effective facilitator or team leader: Coordinate process of group work allowing instructor to focus on content and students. Comfort in use of planning and Eases creation of sufficient structure, delivery and organizing skills: flexibility with technologies. Efficient use of preparation plans Enhanced through use of continuous professional and training: development programs to keep pace with technological and pedagogical changes. Flexibility: To better deal with change and ambiguity. Experience: Necessary components for the traditional classroom are also desirable qualifications of distance education instructors: **Enthusiasm** Genuine concern for student Understanding of subject matter **Fairness** (Lawton, Papineau, Ramage, 1996)

Lest you think this approach extremely expensive, consider the numbers of departments involved in each of these areas already housed on most university campuses today. Just as the business community is moving in the direction of more collaborative work environments and team efforts, the foundation to the systems model is already in place in most institutions of higher education. Establishing and nurturing these collaborations is key to the success of the systems model and the focus of this presentation. The strengths and weaknesses,



successes and failures of utilizing a loosely-formed systems model by Purdue University's Continuing Engineering Education distance education facility will be discussed.

References

- Beaudoin, M. (1990). The instructor's changing role in distance education. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, **4**(2).
- Clark, T. A. (1992). Faculty attitudes toward distance education in the U.S., doctoral dissertation. [On-Line]. Available: http://www.tomclark.org/Dissn/DissnTitAbs.html.
- Colbourn, C. J. (1995). Constructing cognitive artefacts: The case of multimedia learning materials. [On-Line]. Available: http://kcox.cityu.edu.hk/ct1995/colbourn.htm.
- Cummings, L. E. (1995). Educational technology—a faculty resistance view. Part I: incentives and understanding. *Educational Technology Review*, 4, 13–18.
- Cunningham, J. B., Farquharson, J., Hull, D. (1991). A profile of the human fears of technological change. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 40(4), 355–370.
- DeNigris, J. (1996). Consumers of distance education: a study of discretionary conditions influencing academic involvement. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Walden University—Indiana University, Bonita Springs, Florida.
- Dillon, C. L. and Walsh, S. M. (1992). Faculty: the neglected resource in distance education. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 6(3).
- Gehlauf, D. N., Shatz, M. A. and Frye, T. W. (1991). Faculty perceptions of interactive television instructional strategies: implications for training. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 5(3).
- Goodwin, B. N., et al. (1993). Perceptions and attitudes of faculty and students in two distance learning modes of delivery: online computer and telecourse. Paper presented at *The Symposium for the Marketing of Higher Education* (Ontario, Florida). November.
- Kearsley, G. (1995). *Theories in practice*. [On-Line]. Available: http://www.Gwu.edu/~tip. html.
- Lawton, M., Papineau, S., and Ramage, T. (June 26, 1996). What are the most important qualities of an effective on-line teacher/tutor? Submitted in partial fulfillment of a master's degree in Educational Technology Leadership (ETL) at The George Washington University.
- Moore, M. G. (1972). Learner autonomy: the second dimension of independent learning. *Convergence*, 5, 2, 76–88, 72.
- Moore, M. G. and Kearsley, G. (1996). Distance education: A systems view. Belcomt, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.



Oblinger, D. G. and Maruyama, M. K. (1996). *Distributed learning*. CAUSE Professional Paper Series, 14 (Boulder, CO: CAUSE, 1996). Available: http://cause-www.colorado.edu/information-resources/ir-library/abstracts/pub3014.html.

Salisbury, D. F. and Conner, D. R. (1994). How to succeed as a manager of an educational change project. *Educational Technology*, 34, 12–19.

Schlosser, C. A. and Anderson, M. L. (1994). Distance education: Review of the literature. *Association for Educational Communication and Technology* (volume unavailable).

Sheritt, C.A. (1992). Forum: the hidden agendas of distance education. *Journal of Adult Education*, 21(2), Spring.

Autobiographical Sketches

Marny D. Lawton, Manager, Continuing Engineering Education's Instructional Technologies Facility, Purdue University, joined the Purdue staff in 1997. She holds a B.A. from Albertus Magnus College in New Haven, Connecticut, an M.A. in Educational Technology Leadership from George Washington University in Washington, D.C., and an M.S. in Organizational Management from Eastern Connecticut State University. She has worked in both the corporate and academic environments having most recently served for the last six years as Novell network manager and director of the educational computing facility for the College of Agriculture and Natural Resources at The University of Connecticut. She also served as Adjunct Faculty in the Connecticut State University System. Her technical background also includes software development and computing training.

Address: 1312 Potter Engineering Center

Purdue University

West Lafayette, IN 47907-1312

Email: mdl@ecn.purdue.edu

URL: http://CEE.www.ecn.purdue.edu/CEE/

Phone: (765) 496-3529 Fax: (765) 494-6628

Mary S. Bonhomme, Interim Director, Continuing Engineering Education, Purdue University, has been involved in distance learning since 1982. She has worked in both the corporate and the academic arenas of distance learning. She holds a B.A. from Miami University, Oxford, Ohio, an M.L.S. and an M.B.A. from Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, and is a Ph.D. student in instructional design at Purdue University.

Address: 1312 Potter Engineering Center

Purdue University

West Lafayette, IN 47907-1312

Email: msb@ecn.purdue.edu

URL: http://CEE.www.ecn.purdue.edu/CEE/

Phone: (765) 494-7019 Fax: (765) 494-6628





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	·
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	:	
Title: 14th ANNUAL CONFERENCE	E ON DISTANCE TEACHIA	IG AND LEARNING
Author(s): WA		
Corporate Source: LNIVERITY OF WISCON	SIN-MADISON	Publication Date: 8/4/98
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Res and electronic media, and sold through the ERI reproduction release is granted, one of the following	sources in Education (RIE), are usually made ava C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Cre ing notices is affixed to the document.	educational community, documents announced in the ilable to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy dit is given to the source of each document, and, the following three options and sign at the bottom
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample	sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
†		t
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Docum If permission to re	ents wiil be processed as indicated provided reproduction qualitieproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be p	y permits. rocessed at Level 1.
as indicated above. Reproduction from	m the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by p e copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profi	nission to reproduce and disseminate this documen ersons other than ERIC employees and its system t reproduction by libraries and other service agencies

se

Sign

here,→

CINFALANCE

TAPF. WIC. ED4

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.) PLBLISHED PLOCEEDINGS ALSO AVAILABLE FLON
Publisher/Distributor:
GNIVERSING OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
Address: 1050 UNIVERSIFY AVE. Rm B136 MADISON, WI 53706
MADISON, WI 53706
Price: of plus suipping
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name address:
Name:
Address:
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
M00 West Street, 2 nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

88 (Rev. 9/97)

PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.