

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 420 723

TM 028 445

AUTHOR Beane, Allan L.; Jacobs, Martin J.; Miller, Thomas W.
TITLE Promoting Acceptance To Prevent Discipline Problems.
PUB DATE 1998-04-00
NOTE 18p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (San Diego, CA, April 13-17, 1998).
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Action Research; Behavior Problems; *Bullying; *Classroom Techniques; *Conflict Resolution; *Discipline; Elementary Secondary Education; *Prevention; Tables (Data); Teachers; Teaching Methods
IDENTIFIERS *Acceptance; Strategy Choice

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to use three public school action research teams to identify the most desirable, feasible, and effective system-centered strategies, peer-centered strategies, personnel-centered strategies, and child-centered strategies for promoting a sense of belonging. One hundred and sixty four strategies were examined. Eight school personnel in each of three school districts were asked to rate the desirability, feasibility, and grade appropriateness of the strategies for promoting acceptance, helping victims of bullying, and helping bullies. The study was rooted in humanistic psychology that maintains that every person has a prime need for acceptance, and that when this need is not met, students may engage in antisocial behavior. The findings of the study provide documentation and analyses of the most desirable and feasible strategies (in each category), facilitating the prioritizing of strategies. Once the priority strategies were identified, system-wide and school-wide action plans were developed and implemented. The effectiveness of the strategies will be evaluated through surveys of certified personnel and students, interviews, focus groups, and analysis of reports. (Contains 5 tables and 13 references.) (SLD)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED 420 723

Promoting Acceptance
To
Prevent Discipline Problems

Allan L. Beane, Martin J. Jacobs, and Thomas W. Miller

Murray State University

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Allan Beane

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

A poster session paper presented at the meeting of the American Education Research Association Conference in San Diego, California on April 16, 1998.

TM028445

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to use three public school action research teams to identify the most desirable, feasible, and effective System-Centered Strategies, Peer-Centered Strategies, Personnel-Centered Strategies, Family-Centered Strategies, and Child-Centered Strategies for promoting a sense of belonging. One hundred and sixty four strategies were examined. The study was rooted in humanistic psychology that maintains that every person has a prime need for acceptance (Dreikurs, 1968; Ornstein, 1995, pp. 332-333) and when this need is not met students may engage in antisocial behavior (Dreikurs, Grunwald and Pepper, 1982; Beane, 1998; Miller and Beane, 1998). The findings of the study provided documentation and analyzes of the most desirable and feasible strategies (in each category), which facilitated the prioritizing of strategies. Once the priority strategies were identified, system-wide and school-wide action plans were developed and implemented. The effectiveness of the strategies will be evaluated through surveys (certified personnel and students), interviews, focus groups, and analysis of reports.

Promoting Acceptance to Prevent Discipline Problems

Current reform efforts within the state of Kentucky have been described as examples of “systemic school reform” (Lusi, 1994). Systemic reform efforts, as demonstrated in Kentucky, require state departments of education to actively engage in the support of the curriculum and instruction process within local school districts. Fullan (1994) describes effective systemic reform as being “coordinated decentralization”; a governance relationship which requires the successful blending of top-down and bottom-up strategies. It is within this atmosphere that this project attempts to impact the school climate of three school districts by assisting in the development of action research faculty teams that will prioritize strategies for promoting acceptance (using an instrument developed by Beane, 1997). After completing this prioritization, the action teams developed action plans for implementing the strategies. Effectiveness data will be used in the evaluation of the implementation phase.

Current literature supports proactive measures that will reduce violence and increase civility. Kauffman and Burbach (1997) argue for classrooms that foster civility, and in so doing, counteract an atmosphere where violence is often times a first reaction to the most minor of conflicts. The National Association of State Boards of Education (1994) states, “some restructuring efforts may actually defend against violence by creating a climate that is both supportive to students and staff as well as disciplined.” Jacobs, Beane, and Malone (1996) present a model that is designed to address the

security needs of students. Beane (1997) offers strategies designed to prevent and stop discipline problems, school violence and peer victimization (bullying). His strategies are clustered into five areas: (1) System-Centered Strategies, (2) Personnel-Centered Strategies, (3) Peer-Centered Strategies, (4) Family-Centered Strategies, and (5) Child-Centered Strategies.

The System-Centered Strategies focus on the importance of community and school system partnerships in implementing a system-wide attack on the problem of peer victimization (bullying). Such partnerships facilitate alerting staff to the signs of bullying, establishing policies and procedures that address prevention and intervention, creating systems to protect and support victims, and providing system-wide programs to tackle bullying. Bullying is a community and system-wide problem. It affects everyone in the classrooms, in the schools, and in the community. Therefore, a systems approach must be used. Everyone must support one another to effectively combat bullying and to develop safe and caring environments for children.

According to Saunders (1994), safety involves first and foremost an atmosphere of safety, a climate in which children feel comfortable and happy. Therefore, the climate, opportunities, policies, and procedures of the community and the entire school system must be examined, improved and constantly monitored to ensure the safety (physical and emotional) of children. The problem of bullying can not be adequately dealt with without considering system-wide efforts.

The Personnel-Centered Strategies focus on the fact that all school personnel play an important role in preventing and stopping peer. These strategies also focus on meeting the personal and professional needs of personnel; by taking care of personnel, they are

encouraged to take care of students. The strategies also emphasize the importance of encouraging personnel to examine their own attitudes, values, beliefs, and behaviors that might influence the acceptance of children.

Numerous Peer-Centered Strategies for shaping and changing the thinking, attitudes, and behavior of peers were also examined in this study. According to Hymel, Wagner, and Butler (reported in Asher and Coie, 1990, p. 180), efforts to assist victimized children that focus solely on changing the child, address only part of the problem. There may be numerous variables causing the bullying. For example, even when a child improves his or her social skills there is no guarantee that his or her peers will be accepting. Therefore, intervention efforts must take into account not only the social skills of the rejected child, but also the receptivity of the peers.

Hymel, Wagner, and Butler (reported in Asher and Coie, 1990, p. 175) state that a peer-group should be viewed as a social system with an *ingroup* and an *outgroup*. The peer group strives to maintain its character (e.g., attitudes, beliefs about others, standards of acceptance, approved expectations) and has social perception biases that exclude certain individuals. As prevention and intervention strategies are developed, the peer group process must be examined. When the thinking and attitudes of peers are changed, their interaction with the child will change.

This study also examined Family-Centered Strategies. The strategies recognize the importance of parent-child relationships as well as parent-teacher collaboration. They also recognize the importance of involving community agencies that frequently interact with parents and provide parent education programs. Emphasized, also, is the importance

of each parent accepting responsibility and becoming a part of the prevention and intervention process.

The need for collaboration with parents and for effective parent education programming is critical to providing safe environments where children can develop intellectually, physically, socially, and emotionally. As Saunders (1994, p. 22) states, "Clearly it's not enough to teach students to use methods other than weapons to solve problems. We must also educate their parents." The National Education Association (1996) believes that appropriate school behavior begins at home and is reinforced in the home. Parents/guardians of children often need support and training in order to reduce their child's inappropriate behavior and to increase their peer acceptance. Programs that provide assistance and training in child development, effective parenting skills and other areas should be available for parents/guardians.

Child-Centered Strategies were the last cluster of strategies examined in this study. These strategies involve assisting the victims of bullying and assisting children who are bullies. However, some of the strategies could be used with all children and serve as preventive measures. These strategies recognize that changes in some children may need to occur in order for them to be accepted by their peers and, in some cases, to be accepted by their teachers. Therefore, one goal of the strategies is to eliminate all factors related to the victim, or potential victim, that may contribute to mistreatment. Another goal of the Child-Centered Strategies is to help children develop the skills they need to cope with, as well as avoid, specific victimization situations. And, of course, there must also be an effort to help children stop their bullying.

As primary investigator and author of the grant proposal, Beane served as the direct liaison to the school (e.g., assisted in forming and training teams, in administrating the instrument and providing direct consultation to the district). Jacobs and Miller provided consultation support to Beane (e.g., support in developing the research design, in establishing timelines, in analysis of the data, and in preparing the paper).

In developing action teams, the value of systemic reform was highlighted. Top-down initiatives, as dictated by the grant, the primary investigator and the research instrument were used to provide structure and support. The power of action-research teams allowed for bottom-up initiatives, including the prioritization of strategies that might promote a sense of belonging and improve school climate. Each action-research team was given considerable freedom in reaching consensus before completing the instrument. Below is a summary of the methodology used to acquire the data, the results (based on data analysis), and conclusions.

Methodology

School personnel in three school districts were asked to rate the desirability, feasibility and grade appropriateness of 164 strategies for promoting acceptance, helping victims of bullying, and for helping bullies. This was accomplished by establishing a research team (eight representatives) in each of the three small rural school systems. Each team member represented a specific grade level or an administrative position in their school system. The team members were given a planning tool (survey instrument)

that included the strategies and the rationale and research supporting each strategy. They were instructed to consult with their colleagues and to reach a consensus on the ratings. All of the surveys were returned. The data was collected and analyzed in order to identify the most desirable and most feasible strategies. A priority list of strategies was then used to develop action plans for each school system. The strategies will be implemented and their effectiveness will be assessed through surveys, interviews, focus groups, and the analysis of discipline reports.

Results

Thirty-nine system-centered strategies were rated by the project participants (action-research teams) to determine their desirability and feasibility. A rank order listing of the top ten strategies appear in Table 1 (a five-point likert scale was used for all tables). Descriptive statistics used to determine this order are also presented. Results suggest that school personnel find that it is both desirable and feasible to investigate rumors. This strategy received the highest rating. The next highest rating was received by “searching out and removing hurtful graffiti” followed by “establishing a school welcome wagon program.” Also rated high was, “establishing and publicizing an acceptance policy.” (See Table 1.)

The participants rated four personnel-centered strategies. Table 2 (See Table 2) provides a rank ordered listing of the most desirable and feasible strategies. The strategies receiving the highest rating were “establishing a personnel wellness program”

and “conducting peer victimization workshops and training programs for school personnel.”

The participants examined forty-nine peer-centered strategies. Table 3 (See Table 3) provides a summary of the rank ordered peer-centered strategies by both desirability and feasibility. The factor receiving the highest rating was “teachers should communicate zero tolerance for peer victimization.” The participants also feel strongly that teachers need to increase the “sensitivity of students toward disabilities.”

Table 4 (See Table 4) summarizes the most desirable and feasible family-centered strategies. Thirteen strategies were examined. Ranked highest in this category was to inform parents of actions to be taken when they discover their child is a bully. The second highest was to encourage parents to teach children how to form friendships.

Summarized in Table 5 (See Table 5) are the most desirable and feasible child-centered strategies. Fifty-seven strategies were rated. The top three strategies were “counseling for victims” followed by “avoid physical retaliation” followed by “the bully is asked to apologize.”

Each table provides an organizer for each action research team. It is felt that action plans will be more effective because the data addresses the desirability and feasibility of the strategies, leading to a useful prioritization (the rank order within each table).

Conclusions

This study purports to assess one hundred and sixty-four system-centered, personnel-centered, peer-centered, family-centered, and child-centered strategies that promote a sense of belonging in order to reduce discipline problems and improve school climate. The use of action-research teams, supported by outside consultation, provides an atmosphere in which systemic reform can be achieved. The data, based on a consensus of each team, provides a solid base upon which action plans can be implemented.

Further research will include the effectiveness of the action plans. Once again, action teams will be actively involved in gathering the data (in reaching consensus). It is felt that this approach provides for support of each team, while encouraging each team to address the unique needs of their students, their faculties, and their community.

We feel that this approach addresses a significant need in our schools. Many schools have failed to create a school culture and atmosphere that communicates that peer victimization will not be tolerated and provides adequate protection and support for the victims. Many schools have not made it easy for the students, parents, school personnel or anyone in the community to report such behavior. One possible explanation is that management policies articulate reactions to code violations instead of systemic strategies that are an outgrowth of active engagement among the staff. It is our hope that this action research project might provide a supportive model for just such discussions.

References

- Beane, A. (1997). Over 150 strategies for preventing and stopping discipline problems, school violence and bullying. Unpublished manuscript.
- Beane, A. (1998). The trauma of peer victimization. In T.W. Miller (ed.), Children of Trauma. (pp. 205-218). Madison, CT: International Universities Press Incorporated.
- Dreikurs, R. (1968). Psychology in the classroom. New York: Harper and Row.
- Dreikurs, B., Grunwald, B., and Pepper, F. (1982). Maintaining sanity in the classroom. New York: Harper and Row.
- Fullan, M. (1994). Coordinating top-down and bottom-up strategies for educational reform. In R. Elmore and S. Fuhrman (Eds.), The governance of curriculum: 1994 yearbook of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (pp. 186-202). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Hymel, S. Wagner, E., and Butler (1990). Reputational bias: View from the peer group. In S. R. Asher and J. D. Coie (Eds.), Peer Rejection in Childhood (pp. 156-186).
- Jacobs, M., Beane, A. & Malone, B. (1996). Addressing the security needs of students. Educational Horizons, 74, 120-123.
- Kauffman, J. & Burbach, H. (1997). On creating a climate of classroom civility. Kappan, 79, 320-325.
- Lusi, S. (1994). Systemic school reform: The challenges faced by state departments of education. In R. Elmore and S. Fuhrman (Eds.), The governance of curriculum: 1994 yearbook of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum

Development (pp. 109-130). Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Miller, T.W. & Beane, A. (1998). Clinical issues in treating child victims of peer abuse. Journal of Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 27 (1) 47-62.

National Education Association (1996). The 1996-1997 resolutions of the national education association. Washington: National Education Association.

Ornstein, A. (1995). Classroom management and discipline. Strategies for Effective Teaching (2nd ed., pp. 332-333). Dubuque, IA: Wm. C. Brown.

Saunders, C. S. (1994). Safe at school: Awareness and action for parents of kids grades K-12. Minneapolis, MN: Free Spirit Publishing, Inc.

Table 1

Rank Ordering of Most Desirable and Feasible System-Centered Strategies

Priority System-Centered Strategies		Desirability Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low	Feasibility Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low
1	Investigate rumors.	4.9	4.8
2	Search out and remove hurtful graffiti.	4.7	4.2
3	Establish school welcome wagon program.	4.5	4.5
4	Examine responsibilities of guidance counselors (more time for students).	4.6	4.4
5	Use behavioral contracts.	4.3	4.2
6	Establish an acceptance/tolerance policy.	4.3	4.1
7	Provide well-equipped, attractive and accessible outdoor environments.	4.5	3.7
8	Establish peer-counseling program.	4.3	3.8
9	Hire the best (interpersonal skills, love for children, team player, etc.).	4.3	3.7
10	Install doors with locks on bathroom stalls.	4.2	3.8

Table 2
**Rank Ordering of Top Five Most Desirable and Feasible
 Personnel-Centered Strategies**

Priority Personnel-Centered Strategies		Desirability Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low	Feasibility Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low
1	Establish a personnel wellness program.	4.1	3.9
2	Provide workshops on peer victimization for personnel.	4.0	4.3
3	Ask teachers to examine their biases and prejudices.	3.6	3.8
4	Provide program/support to help personnel improve their own self-esteem.	3.5	3.4

Table 3

Rank Ordering of Most Desirable and Feasible Peer-Centered Strategies

Priority Peer-Centered Strategies		Desirability Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low	Feasibility Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low
1	Ask teachers to communicate zero tolerance of peer victimization.	4.8	4.8
2	Sensitize students toward disabilities.	4.7	4.5
3	Help victims become active in school activities.	4.6	4
4	Positive self-talk about others.	4.5	4.6
5	Trust victimized children.	4.5	4.1
6	Teach empathy.	4.5	4.5
7	Strategic seating of victims and potential victims.	4.4	4.5
8	Encourage students to applaud accomplishments of each other.	4.4	4.2
9	Use video/films about mistreatment/bullying.	4.4	4.3
10	Teach the value of traveling in a group.	4.3	4.3
11	Teach teamwork skills (desirable team member behaviors).	4.3	4.2
12	Emphasize similarities in children.	4.3	4.1

Table 4

Rank Ordering of Most Desirable and Feasible Family-Centered Strategies

Priority Family-Centered Strategies		Desirability Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low	Feasibility Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low
1	Inform parents of bullies the steps to take.	4.5	3.9
2	Encourage parents to teach friendship development.	4.3	3.5
3	Ask parents to trash T-shirts.	4.3	3.3
4	Parents eliminate own prejudices.	4.2	3.0
5	Inform parents of victims the steps to take.	4.1	3.9
6	Parents communicating zero tolerance.	4.0	3.5
7	Parents celebrating differences in their children.	4.0	2.9

Table 5

Rank Ordering of Most Desirable and Feasible Child-Centered Strategies

Priority Child-Centered Strategies		Desirability Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low	Feasibility Mean N=24 Rep. 5= High 1= Low
1	Provide counseling for victims.	4.9	4.8
2	Teach victims to avoid physical retaliation.	4.7	3.5
3	Require bully to apologize.	4.7	3.2
4	Teach victim how to cope with difficult personalities.	4.5	3.9
5	Teach victim to face life positively.	4.5	4.3
6	Improve self-esteem of victims.	4.5	3.4
6	Teach bullies they can obtain power through doing good.	4.4	3.9
7	Teach victims to deal effectively with gangs.	4.4	3.2
8	Develop pro-social behavior.	4.3	4.3
9	Teach victims to control their responses.	4.2	4.0
10	Give victims high dose of self-confidence.	4.1	3.5
11	Teach hidden curriculum (following directions, etc.).	4.1	3.9
12	Teach victims to have positive self-talk and to question own expectations.	4.1	3.8
13	Teach victims to avoid rejection traps.	4.1	3.8
14	Conduct social autopsies.	4.0	3.7
15	Teach victims how to deal with stress.	4.0	3.6



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC
TM028445

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Promoting Acceptance To Prevent Discipline Problems</i>	
Author(s): <i>Allan L. Beane; Martin Jacobs; and Thomas Miller</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: <i>Allan Beane Thomas W. Miller Martin Jacobs</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Allan Beane, Professor; Martin Jacobs, Ass't. Prof.; Thomas Miller, Prof.</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>College of Ed., Dept. of Special Ed., Murray State Univ., P.O. Box 9, Murray, KY 42071-0009</i>	Telephone: <i>(502) 762-6819</i>	FAX: <i>502-762-6803</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>Allan.Beane@coe.murraystate.edu</i>	Date: <i>4-22-98</i>





Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation

University of Maryland
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742-5701

Tel: (800) 464-3742
(301) 405-7449
FAX: (301) 405-8134
ericae@ericae.net
<http://ericae.net>

March 20, 1998

Dear AERA Presenter,

Congratulations on being a presenter at AERA¹. The ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a printed copy of your presentation.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are gathering all the papers from the AERA Conference. We will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. You can track our processing of your paper at <http://ericae.net>.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and include it with two copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can drop off the copies of your paper and Reproduction Release Form at the ERIC booth (424) or mail to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to: AERA 1998/ERIC Acquisitions
University of Maryland
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742

This year ERIC/AE is making a Searchable Conference Program available on the AERA web page (<http://aera.net>). Check it out!

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.
Director, ERIC/AE

¹If you are an AERA chair or discussant, please save this form for future use.



The Catholic University of America