DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 420 173   FL 025 257

AUTHOR   Hieke, A. E.
TITLE   On the Dynamics of Casual and Careful Speech.
PUB DATE   1998-00-00
NOTE   31p.
PUB TYPE   Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Articulation (Speech); Comparative Analysis; Interviews;
                *Language Patterns; Language Research; *Language Styles;
                Linguistic Theory; *Oral Language; Second Language
                Instruction; *Speech Habits; Speeches; Statistical Analysis;
                Story Telling

ABSTRACT

        Comparative statistical data are presented on speech dynamic
(as contrasted with lexical and rhetorical) aspects of major speech styles.
Representative samples of story retelling, lectures, speeches, sermons,
interviews, and panel discussions serve to determine posited differences
between casual and careful speech. Data are drawn from 15,393 syllables of
various kinds of natural speech in recorded and transcribed form. Frequency
of occurrence of three prominent absorption processes, whereby strings of
discrete citation forms are transformed into speech dynamic events, are
charted. Such absorption phenomena are shown to facilitate
ease-of-articulation adjustments and can make explicit what is ultimately
perceived as fluent speech. Given appropriate environments, a comparison of
potential to actual dynamic speech occurrences shows that the variable rules
of speech production investigated here exhibit a consistently high actuation
rate of about 75 percent across both speech styles. Implications for second
language instruction to develop fluent speech strategies are discussed,
particularly use of an "increments of knowledge" approach, effective with
already partially competent learners, that is seen as more effective than the
customary full "body of knowledge" approach. Contains 36 references. (MSE)

1

ON THE DYNAMICS OF CASUAL AND CAREFUL SPEECH

A. E. Hieke
Assoc. Prof. emeritus
Department of English
University of Nevada Reno
mailing address:
70 Redstone Dr.,
Reno NV 89512-1314

1

2

ABSTRACT

This report presents comparative statistical results on
speech dynamic (as opposed to lexical and rhetorical) aspects of
major speech styles. Representative samples of story retelling,
lectures, speeches, sermons, interviews, and panel discussions
serve to determine posited differences between casual and careful
speech. The frequency of occurrence of three prominent absorption
processes--whereby strings of discrete citation forms are trans-
formed into speech dynamic events--are charted. Such absorption
phenomena are shown to facilitate ease-of-articulation adjust-
ments and can make explicit what is ultimately perceived as
fluent speech. Given appropriate environments, a comparison of
potential to actual dynamic speech occurrences shows that the
variable rules of speech production here investigated exhibit a
consistently high actuation rate of about 75% across both speech
styles.

An application of the insights gained from the long-term
research effort here summarized suggests fluent speech strategies
for L2 instruction. Developing oral competence by means of a
sophisticated 'increments of knowledge' approach--effective with
already partially competent learners--appears more attractive
than the full 'body of knowledge' approach customary in standard
comprehensive L2 programs.

Introduction

Whenever language is phonated, discrete citation forms (words) become transformed into speech dynamic events (strings of connected speech). This resulting acoustic stream of speech provides ample evidence of both the range and degree of absorption which the metamorphosis from form to event domain regularly sets off in the quasi-uninterrupted speech manifestations as they are normal and familiar to us all.

The research findings reported here conclude and summarize a long-term effort toward specifying the properties of the spoken language through an analysis of the acoustic record of running speech. Earlier work in this area isolated three major classes of absorption phenomena (of altogether twenty-two discrete types; cf. Hieke 1984 and 1987): linking (as in the common type of 'consonant attraction'--with concommitant resyllabication--as in [kəm.pleyn.d●.bauwt], with [.] indicating speech dynamic syllables); levelling (as in in the frequent 'vowel reduction' to schwa, i.e. than in [les.ðən.faiv],); and finally loss (such as of a consonant as the [d] in and [pətsən.pænz] or of vowels and even syllables, viz. [fæm.liy]). Previous work also yielded average frequencies of occurrence for each of the three major classes as baseline data for characterizing casual speech.

Since it is commonly assumed that careful speech differs from casual speech in important respects (variously interpreted in Horowitz and Samuels 1987; Adamson 1988; Levelt 1989; Young 1990; more below), this assumption is here tested experimentally for speech dynamic features. For comparative purposes, the same method and procedures as in the earlier investigations into casual speech (for details, cf. Hieke 1984; 1987; 1990) were used again to derive parallel results on representative samples, this time of careful speech.

Once again, natural speech samples were chosen to meet the criteria first specified by Joos (1967) as characteristic of careful speaking styles (more under 'terminology' below). Comparative statistics are then used to show to what extent common claims about speech dynamic properties are justified.

At present, investigations into the __nature__ of absorption processes are pursued more often than those into __frequency__ of their occurrence (cf., for instance, Arnold and Hansen 1979; Bailey 1983; Browman and Goldstein 1990; Brown 1977; Dalby 1986; Dickerson 1989; Fujimura and Lovins 1982; Kahn 1976; Kaisse 1985; Klatt 1980; Koster 1987; Rubach 1984; Selkirk 1982). Although an understanding of the prevalence of absorption phenomena in connected speech seems crucial for delimiting the character of their parameters, there is less focus on the frequency component

in the literature (but cf. Bailey 1978; Dalby 1986; Hiller 1983; Koster 1987; Labov 1987). Hence a comprehensive theory of the spoken language remains a still distant goal, but a focus on the frequency component is pursued here to stimulate that aspect.

With improved instrumentation and the recently developed realtime capability of acoustically displaying 'spread speech' much like slow motion in the visual realm, data analysis can be improved considerably. When freed from the time constraints of a realtime display, it stands to reason that the record is enhanced in the acoustic just as in the visual medium. The utilization of instrumentally slowed, natural running speech of any desired length then facilitates a more sophisticated perceptual analyses. Significantly, a perceptual approach also reflects the normal human capabilities much more naturally (and it circumvents the inevitable abstractions of spectographic analysis, though, on the other hand, it must rely on trained raters' judgments).

Just as slow motion reveals details entirely hidden or severely masked at normal projection speed, slowed speech in like fashion permits the observation of dynamic speech phenomena in unusual clarity and prominence. As a rule, that effect appears quite striking to the investigator at first, especially since the energy display can be manipulated in tempo without discernible natural frequency distortion.

The display limit of sound spectograms and the difficulty in consequently interpreting non-auditory running displays of acoustic energy in a derived, visual dimension have complicated a straightforward, psychologically real study of running speech. Though such an established means of displaying speech can chart the presence of acoustic energy in some fashion, it cannot detail its absence for interpretative purposes, of course. Where speech dynamic events appear as silent intervals concatenated with acoustic energy, such as phonation containing silent state portions or other communicative silences such as pregnant pauses, these do not leave a trace on graphs, of course, because only acoustic energy can activate a level recorder. In that respect, a perceptual interpretation of a direct acoustic record has advantages over a visual record since the communicative function of silent portions is nevertheless overt and within the competence of every native listener to interpret.

A direct image of the interactive process of sound generation is thus made possible via realtime audio recordings; moreover, each dynamic representation as continuous phonated speech can now be stretched sufficiently to allow close and prolongued perceptual examination (though a supplementary visual record in form of a transcription or even a spectogram at points where the auditory energy display by itself is sufficient will always be helpful).

On Terminology

Following Joos (1967) and Gleason (1965), H.D. Brown
recognizes five separate speech styles. Briefly, these are:

(1) oratorical, or frozen; (2) deliberative, or formal;
(3) consultative; (4) casual; (5) intimate. An oratori-
cal style is used in public speaking before a large
audience; wording is carefully planned in advance,
intonation is somewhat exaggerated, and numerous
rhetorical devices are appropriate. A deliberative
style is also used in addressing audiences, usually
audiences too large to permit effective interchange
between speaker and hearers, though the forms are
normally not as polished as those in an oratorical
style. A typical university classroom lecture is often
carried out in a deliberative style. A consultative
style is typically a dialogue, though formal enough
that words are chosen with some care. Business transac-
tions, doctor-patient conversations, and the like are
usually consultative in nature. Casual conversations
are between friends or colleagues or sometimes members
of a family; in this context words need not be guarded
and social barriers are moderately low. An intimate
style is one characterized by complete absence of

social inhibitions (1987, 208).

For most linguists, the first three styles together are considered the more formal (viz. MacKay 1987, 20) and clearly represent more careful speech than casual and intimate styles, so that Labov's (1969) terminology of careful and casual speech has found general acceptance and is thus followed here. As defined for purposes of the earlier studies by the present author,

> Casual speech refers to the normal, everyday use of language...but the term in no way implies 'colloquial to the extent of being substandard'. Careful or deliberate speech...refers to the careful, even-measured speech reserved for formal occasions, such as official speeches, lectures, sermons, recitations, and presentations (Hieke 1984)*.

The whole notion of fast speech--persistently used by practitioners without specifying concrete temporal terms--nevertheless suggests a higher than normal speed of delivery: The higher the speed, the more radical the reductions, etc. The basic problem with this kind of thinking is that, as Dalby, for one, points out: "Phonologists who have studied casual or fast speech (Bailey 1978; Stampe 1979; Zwicky 1972a, 1972b) have relied on intuitive and/or [sic] anecdotal data for the most part" (1986,

71). Not only is the terminology vague, then, but it may have become applied to anecdotal evidence and is thus devoid of empirical vigor, a situation not conducive to a better grasp of the subject matter.

To make matters worse, the already imprecise notion of fast speech has become widely adopted without cognizance of the difference in the variables involved: Speech rate on the one hand, and articulation rate on the other, with divergent effects on the temporal structure of language. What is perceived as a change in speed of delivery is more often due to a variation in the amount and frequency of pausing as reflected in speech rate (phonated speech over time including pausing) rather than in the separate articulation rate (phonated speech over time excluding pausing). The latter is normally less often subject to significant temporal fluctuations, while speech rates in spontaneous speech typically do fluctuate considerably from utterance to utterance, even within utterances, and that especially so in dyadic speech forms (for a thorough critical analysis of such issues, cf. Kowal 1991 and O'Connell 1988).

Thus any change in speed of delivery is generally a product of pausing behavior and hence less a factor in articulatory accommodation during phonation. It therefore cannot have as much impact on articulatory adjustment processes as has been assumed,

and consequently is unlikely to generate absorption processes in the substantive way suggested by the present use of the term 'fast speech'.

Altogether, speed of delivery may prove to be less a primary variable than a secondary effect of more paramount factors exerted by the major speech styles themselves. Hence 'casual' and 'careful' speech modes would then in fact govern absorptive processes in primary ways rather than be merely symptomatic of them. Such a hypothesis appears plausible but awaits confirmation; until that time, the notion of fast speech should be used with much care and greater reservation.

Method

Based on a corpus of a total of 15,393 syllables of various kinds of natural speech in recorded and transcribed form, spontaneous manifestations of what are conventionally considered representative samples of casual as well as careful speech were prepared for analysis by means of an MXR Model 129 Pitch Transposer, a Revox B 77 MK II Special Stereo Tape Recorder and B 77 Variable Speed Control. The taped acoustic record was subjected to a rate reduction of 50% of original speed. The signal was restored to natural frequencies by means of pitch transposition

to facilitate its perceptual analysis, in each instance utilizing at least two trained observers. In those instances where these were not in clear agreement, the count of that phenomenon was rejected to assure that the results are based on clear cases. To minimize transcriber bias, only the author was aware of the hypothesis to be tested.

The data base consisted exclusively of productive speech (as opposed to reproductive speech such as prose and poetry readings), that is variously formal lecturing, public speaking, interviewing, and story telling. The speech samples were either elicited in a paraphrase task (for casual speech samples) or excerpted from extemporaneous university lectures, public speeches, sermons, radio interviews and panel discussions (for careful speech samples).

The results were averaged and computed to yield both number of occurrences per 100 syllables (as only mean counts in a ratio to overall text make cross-comparison studies possible) and the ratio of actual to potential occurrences (to determine potential occurrences, context-sensitive constraints were strictly adhered to).

The three classes of phenomena isolated in previous work as representative of common absorption phenomena in American English

native speakers had led to a concentration on those types that
could be charted in relatively unproblematic ways. For that
reason and to permit comparisons, the earlier experimental method
and procedures were replicated here for an investigation of the
representative careful speech samples. The investigation there-
fore focused on the following processes (briefly described here;
for a detailed treatment, cf. Hieke 1984, 1987):

1. Consonant Attraction, an instance of linking, for example in
far away rendered as /fa.rə.wey/. The periods inserted to
indicate syllable boundaries show how resyllabication results.
Essentially, this process causes a syllable-final consonant to
become linked to the next syllable if that starts with a vowel.

2. Alveolar Flapping, an instance of levelling, for example in
utility rendered as /yuwtiliɾiy/. Essentially, alveolar stops in
intervocalic position undergo voice assimilation and are levelled
to a flap.

3. Consonant Cluster Reduction, an instance of loss, for example
in twenty rendered as /tweniy/ or kinds of as /kaynzəv/. The
rocker indicates that ambisyllabicity results. Essentially,
initial as well as final consonant clusters are simplified
through loss, here of the stop in the sequence in proximity of a
nasal.

Results

A corpus of 15,393 syllables in texts representative of what are conventionally considered casual and careful speech styles served to chart the prevalence of three representative absorption processes classified as linking, levelling, and loss. Averaged measures of these three classes were compared across the two conventional speech styles to determine the extent to which hypothesized differences are actually extant.

Contrary to prevailing expectations, the frequency of occurrence of the three processes turns out to be markedly even across speech styles, as seen in Table 1. For casual speech per 100 syllables as opposed to careful speech, the resulting figures for linking turn out to be 12.12 and 12.95, respectively, 2.26 and 2.90 for flapping, and 2.23 and 1.99 for cluster reduction. Then, with their means averaged to yield their predictive power in speech overall, baseline data expectations of 12.53 for linking, 2.58 for flapping, and 2.11 for cluster reduction per 100 syllables are predicted, as also shown in Table 1.

Dynamic speech features to the extent investigated here are thus seen to be quite similar in how absorption processes affect

casual as well as careful speech. These findings lend experimen-
tal support to expectations previously expressed by Kaisse: "I do
not think that there is a theoretically useful distinction to be
drawn between connected speech rules applicable in formal versus
informal speech...there are rules of connected speech...that
apply at normal rates or even in slow, formal speech" (1985, 8).

For a comparison of possible differences among individual
modes, careful speech styles were next divided into lectures,
public speeches, as well as dialogic and multilogic uses as in
interviews and panel discussions. The results once again show an
average occurrence of absorptions per hundred syllables quite
uniform across genres: Linking occurs with a frequency of 13.09
in lectures, 11.99 in public speeches and sermons, and 13.75 in
interviews and panel discussions; the corresponding figures for
flapping are 3.45, 2.85, and 2.94; for cluster reduction, they
are 2.26, 0.42, and 2.89, in all cases again per hundred syll-
ables, as shown in Table 2.

The results derived from this study indicate how pervasive
different dynamic speech processes in connected speech use really
are. Moreover, when computed according to a potential to actual
ratio, such baseline measures can also reveal with what regular-
ity such rules--variable rules in nature--actually become
activated, thus demonstrating what opportunities and limitations

are governed by the text itself. Beyond frequency of occurrence counts per hundred syllables, a ratio of potential to actual occurrences was therefore computed. The results across linking, levelling, and loss are once again quite similar, with actuation rates of 78.02% for linking in casual speech and 77.56% in careful speech; for flapping, the figures are 76.12% and 78.21%, and 71.43% and 76.12% for cluster reduction, respectively, as shown in Table 3. Their means, in turn, were averaged to gain predictive power for the data as a whole and to represent baseline measures. The results lead to actuation rate expectations per hundred syllables of 77.79% for linking, 77.16% for flapping, and 74.08% for cluster reduction.

To summarize, the experimental examination of major speech style features reveals, first of all, a consistent incidence of absorptive processes, and secondly a high ratio of actual to potential occurrences of such phenomena in American speech. Actuation rates with magnitudes of about 75% or more, as seen here consistently, clearly point to the existence of variable rules of very high potential in American English. Not only is this true for major speech styles as such, but quite strikingly also regardless of how severely citation forms may become altered by absorptive processes, that is whether through relatively mild resyllabication as a product of linking or, for example, through radical phoneme loss due to consonant cluster reduction.

Discussion

If this statistical analysis of three absorption processes
in dynamic speech is extrapolated to absorption phenomena in
general, American speech is seen to be considerably pervaded by
absorption. In view of the fairly uniform values across the
spectrum under investigation here, future more comprehensive
studies can be expected to portray a similar picture of the
prevalence of linking, levelling, and loss.

There can be little doubt that the more casual speech styles
are in some ways different from the more careful speech styles
found in spontaneous running speech, but evidently not in the
prevalence of speech dynamic features. Whatever differences are
to be found appear to exist rather in the formality of rhetorical
style and choice of vocabulary, as the characterizations at the
outset indicate. Such higher order differences reported in the
literature appear to affect speech styles differently because
they are not governed by form but by the content domain. Among
the more fundamental processes are, of course, rhetorical
features, but surprisingly, these have not been studied empiri-
cally from the frequency viewpoint to any degree. Frequency
measures already do exist for contractions, for one, incidentally

also with results indicating a typical actuation rate of 75%
(Hiller 1983). But whatever the differences--often merely
perceived intuitively and cited anecdotally, though--much more
research is called for to demonstrate just how prevalent they are
and where their locus points lie.

Answers here would be especially interesting since at least
this research shows that dynamic speech phenomena, though they
merely constitute variable rules, do occur with unexpected
prevalence. Yet, apparently they cannot in themselves charac-
terize distinctions of casual or careful speech. All that can be
said is that absorption phenomena are actuated as a reflex of
temporal and articulatory exigencies of ongoing speech production
and occur with high probability.

Applications

Studies to explore the parameters of the spoken language
concretely specify subtle but prominent realities of connected
running speech, and insights into the details of fluent speech
production (and, consequently, listening comprehension) can be of
import particularly to second language acquisition research and
application. Second language fluency strategies promise to help
bridge the gap between a learner's competence in knowing about
another language and the much more elusive competence in using it

effortlessly and confidently, a gap perpetually decried as one of
the greatest impediments to second language learning.

Whether it is adviseable to present such a body of knowledge
very explicitly (as attempted in Dickerson 1989, for example), or
more implicitly (cf. Dunbar and Hieke, 1985) will be decided on
pedagogical grounds or by practical experimentation. But even a
brief overview such as that presented here should leave no doubt
that such phenomena can be taught and learned in realistic ways.

At first sight, the task of assimilating a host of dynamic
speech facts may appear forbidding to curriculum planners and
classroom teachers. However, it may become rather more feasible
if conveyed by the new methodology of remainder learning now
gaining momentum, where learners are credited with at least some
prior accumulations of knowledge in the subject matter (unless
they are raw beginners), making a tabula rasa approach to
teaching needlessly redundant. Remainder learning implies a
fundamental reorientation in language learning and teaching away
from the traditional 'full body of knowledge' approach. The
latter approach is thought to be useful mostly with learners as
beginners, but may no longer effectively address the large body
of post-novice learners in any educational system where a certain
amount of prior competence can be taken for granted.

Instead, this promising approach centers on the more sophisticated 'bits or increments of knowledge' pedagogy that fits partially competent speakers with a series of selected focal points--such as performance features, as would be relevant in the present context. A curriculum with this focus is built around a gap-filling strategy for transmitting knowledge; it depends on some foundational competence level and concentrates on filling gaps between existing areas of knowledge--without, significantly, creating the enervating overkill effect which information overlap tends to cause: why teach portions of the known at successive increments of the curriculum just to assure complete coverage of the information complex (or to rigorously review it) when new information to round out the learners competence would appear more purposeful--and considerably more appreciated by them?

In terms of marking theory, teaching marked (i.e. often exceptional) features at the same time as the unmarked (i.e. regular) ones can create information overloads for the learner and tends to make the task appear forbidding, and certainly confusing, something that most language learners have experienced at some point. In terms of fluency strategies, dynamic speech phenomena such as resyllabication, ambisyllabicity, and articulatory simplifications promoting ease-of-articulation, though they typify the spoken language, are hardly taught or practiced consistently and systematically in spoken language practice

programs so far, but definitely could be, as the discussion here
tries to demonstrate.

With the goal of second language learning increasingly being
one of speaking proficiency (such as 'conferencing' as the goal
in ESP--English for Specific Purposes--programs at European
universities) we are remiss in not conveying the properties of
the spoken language to our learners in a principled manner. **

*Unfortunately, due to the coining of 'fast speech' as it has
entered current terminology, distinctions between fast and slow,
casual and careful or deliberate, formal and informal speech are
becoming blurred. Careful speech is increasingly identified with
slow speech and somewhat more careful articulation, and conse-
quently thought to be subject to fewer absorptive effects.
Laubstein in fact considers it "very slow, citation-type speech"
(1988, 71); Dalby maintains that "fast or casual speech [note:
fast = casual!] is typically reduced or under-specified compared
to careful speech (1986, v); Murphy, following terminology
current in second language learning literature, contrasts "slow,
deliberate speech; fast, fluent speech" (1991, 63) in clearly
polar fashion; Browman and Goldstein distinguish "careful
pronunciation: from "faster, casual speech" (1990, 360) and
confuse the issue further by offering the nonsensical definition
of casual speech as "that subset of fast speech in which reduc-

tions typically occur" (359; cf. also Zwicky 1972a), as if
reductions untypically occur in other subsets. Such an implica-
tion of fairly sharp divisions is evident in MacKay, where "four
major manifestations of casual pronunciation" are listed:
Contractions; Accommodation and Sandhi {that is, absorption
phenomena}; Ellipsis; and Dialect Features (1987, 19-20). Yet it
could hardly have escaped any of the sources cited above that all
such features regularly occur in careful speech as well, except
that the frequency question has not been addressed sufficiently
to settle the issue.

The fact is, as Kaisse's investigations make clear, that
"casual speech is not necessarily casual" (1985, 8). Levelt adds
that in general, "connected speech need neither be casual nor
fast. There are general properties of connected speech that arise
independent of its speed or its formality: (1989, 368). Kaisse,
furthermore, wishes to "lay to rest the misapprehension of many
linguists that rules of casual connected speech are often just
sloppy articulations" (1985, 125). Just as so-called hesitation
phenomena constitute impediments to fluent speech only in the
most superficial sense, the temporal and articulatory communica-
tion channel constraints on running speech in form of absorptive
processes are actually wellformedness phenomena and should be
understood as such. Above all, the relevant research clearly
indicates that listeners register deficiencies in both qualita-

tive fluency and phonetic levelling processes in spontaneous speech production only when these become excessive (Kowal 1991). [1]

The issues are affected in even more substantive ways by other ambiguities in the unfortunate term 'fast' speech. Thus so-called fast speech rules, the way the term is in fact used, typically denote perfectly normal fluent speech rather than unusually fast speech as the term would suggest. It would therefore be more accurate to speak of running speech rules, since 'fast' and 'slow' are not to be taken as polar opposites here but, on the contrary, intended to distinguish citation form pronunciation from dynamic speech realizations (still erroneously, though).

REFERENCES

Adamson, H.D. 1988. Variation Theory and Second Language
    Acquisition. Washington: Georgetown University Press.

Arnold, R. and K. Hansen. 1979. Englische Phonetik, 3rd. ed.
    Munich: Hueber Verlag.

Bailey, C-J. N. 1978. Gradience in English Syllabization and a
    Revised Concept of Unmarked Syllabization. Bloomington:
    IULC.

_____. 1983. Grundzuege der englischen Phonetologie.
    Arbeitspapier zur Linguistik 16. Berlin: Universitaetsbibli-
    othek der TU Berlin.

Browman, C.P. and L. Goldstein. 1990. "Tiers in articulatory
    phonology, with some implications for casual speech," 341-
    376, in J. Kinston and M.E. Beckman, eds., Papers in
    Laboratory Phonology I. Cambridge: Cambridge University
    Press.

Brown, G. 1977. Listening to Spoken English. London: Longman.

Brown, H.D. 1987. Principles of Language Learning and Teaching,

2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Dalby, J. 1986. Phonetic Structure of Fast Speech in American English. Bloomington: IULC.

Dickerson, W.B. 1989. Stress in the Speech Stream: The Rhythm of Spoken English. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

Dunbar, R.W. and A. E. Hieke. 1985. Building Fluency in English. 2 vols. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Fujimura, O. and J.B. Lovins. 1982. Syllables as Concatenative Phonetic Units. Bloomington: IULC.

Gleason, H.A. Jr. 1965. Linguistics and English Grammar. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Hieke, A.E. 1984. "Linking as a marker of fluent speech." Language and Speech 27:343-354.

_____. 1987. "Absorption and fluency in native and nonnative casual English speech," 41-58, in A. James and J. Leather, eds., Sound Patterns in Second Language Acquisition. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.

Hieke, A. E. 1990. "Toward listener strategies for decoding fluent speech." IRAL 28,3:221-233.

Hiller, U. 1983. "Contracted forms im gesprochenen Englisch." Die Neueren Sprachen 82:15-27.

Horowitz, R. and S.J. Samuels (eds.). 1987. Comprehending Oral and Written Language. New York: Academic Press.

Joos, M. 1967. The Five Clocks. New York: Harcourt Brace and World.

Kahn, D. 1976. Syllable-based Generalizations in English Phonology. Bloomington: IULC.

Kaisse, E.M. 1985. Connected Speech. The Interaction of Syntax and Phonology. New York: Academic Press.

Klatt, D. H. 1980;. "Overview in the ARPA speech understanding project," 249-271, in W.A. Lea, ed., Trends in Speech Recognition . Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Koster, C.J. 1987. Word Recognition in Foreign and Native Language. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.

Kowal, S. 1991. Ueber die zeitliche Organisation des Sprechens in
    der Oeffentlichkeit. Bern: Hans Huber Verlag.

Labov, W. 1969. "Contraction, deletion, and inherent variability
    of the English copula." Language 45:715-762.

_____. 1987. "The interpretation of zeroes," 135-156, in W.
    Dressler, H.C. Luschuetzky, O.E. Pfeiffer, and J.R. Ren-
    nison, eds., Phonologie 1984 . Cambridge: Cambridge Univer-
    sity Press.

Laubstein, A.S. 1988. The Nature of the Production Grammar
    Syllable. Bloomington: IULC.

Levelt, W.J.M. 1989. Speaking. From Intonation to Articulation.
    Cambridge: MIT Press.

MacKay, J.R.A. 1987. Phonetics: The Science of Speech Production.
    Boston: College Hill.

Murphy, J.M. 1991. "Oral communication in TESOL: Integrating
    speaking, listening, and pronunciation." TESOL Quarterly
    25,1:51-75.

O'Connell, D.C. 1988. Critical Esays on Language Use and Psychol-

ogy. Berlin: Springer Verlag.

Rubach, J. 1984. "Segmental rules of English and cyclical phonol-
      ogy." Language 60:21-54.

Selkirk, E.O. 1982. "The syllable," 337-383, in H. van der Hulst
      and N.N. Smith, eds., The Structure of Phonological Repres-
      entations, Part II. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.

Stampe, D. 1979. A Dissertation on Natural Phonology. Bloomingt-
      on: IULC.

Young, L. 1990. Language as Behavior, Language as Code. A Study
      of Academic English. Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publish-
      ers.

Zwicky, A. 1972a. "Note on a phonological hierarchy of English,"
      275-301, in R. Stockwell and R. Macaulay, eds., Linguistic
      Change and Generative Theory. Bloomington: Indiana Univer-
      sity Press.

_____. 1972b. "On casual speech," 607-615, in P.M. Peran-
      teau, J.N. Levi, and G.C. Phares, eds., Papers from the
      Eighth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society.
      Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.

TABLE 1

Average measures of Consonant Attraction [links], Alveolar
Flapping [flaps], and Consonant Cluster Reduction [Cl-Red] per
hundred syllables in casual and careful speech.

	CASUAL SPEECH	CAREFUL SPEECH	COMBINED AVERAGE
Links/100 syll.	M 12.12	M 12.95	M 12.53
	SD 4.22	SD 1.91	
Flaps/100 syll.	M 2.26	M 2.90	M 2.58
	SD 0.96	SD 1.19	
Cl-Red/100 syll.	M 2.23	M 1.99	M 2.11
	SD 1.72	SD 1.12	

TABLE 2

Average measures of careful speech styles per 100 syllables
for three types of absorption: Linking; Levelling; Loss.

	Lectures	Speeches/Sermons	Interviews/ Panel Disc.	M	SD
Links/100 syll.	13.05	11.99	13.75	12.94	.89
Flaps/100 syll.	3.45	2.85	2.94	3.08	.32
Cl-Red/100 syll.	2.26	.42	2.89	1.86	1.28

TABLE   3

Ratio of actual occurrences to potential occurrences of Consonant Attraction [links], Alveolar Flapping [flaps], and Consonant Cluster Reduction [Cl-Red] in percent, in casual and careful speech.

	CASUAL SPEECH	CAREFUL SPEECH	COMBINED AVERAGE
Links	78.02%	77.56%	M 77.79%
Flaps	76.12%	78.21%	M 77.16%
Cl-Red	71.43%	76.74%	M 74.08%

FL025257

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC ®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:	ON THE DYNAMICS OF CASUAL AND CAREFUL SPEECH
Author(s):	Adolf E. Hieke

Corporate Source: Dept. of English, University of Nevada Reno, Reno, NV	Publication Date: 1998

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Sample TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2B
Level 1 ↑ [X]	Level 2A ↑ [ ]	Level 2B ↑ [ ]
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here,→ please

Signature: *Adolf E. Hieke*	Printed Name/Position/Title: Adolf E. Hieke, Assoc. Prof, emer.
Organization/Address: 70 Redstone Dr., Reno NV 89512-1314	Telephone: 702 329-7152	FAX: same
	E-Mail Address:	Date: 6/27/1998

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Clearinghouse on
Languages & Linguistics
1118 22nd Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20037

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com