

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 419 531

IR 019 155

AUTHOR Braswell, Ray
 TITLE The Use of Telecommunications by Preservice Teachers and Their University Supervisors.
 PUB DATE 1998-00-00
 NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of American Educational Research Association (San Diego, CA, April 13-17, 1998).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; Computer Mediated Communication; *Electronic Mail; Higher Education; Information Technology; Interaction; *Preservice Teacher Education; *Student Teachers; Student Teaching; *Telecommunications
 IDENTIFIERS Computer Users; Listservs; Technology Utilization

ABSTRACT

This study discusses the capabilities of preservice teachers to engage in a daily dialog with other preservice teachers as well as university supervisors, which has been shown to be an effective means to enhance the student teaching experience. In this study, students who utilized technology daily for communication with one another and with their university supervisors were compared to those who did not utilize the technology during their preservice teaching experience. In addition, the study looked at the effects that the use of technology in interacting with the preservice teacher had on the university supervisor. The paper reports on an examination of the use of electronic mail and listservs by preservice teachers and their university supervisors during a 10-week preservice teaching experience. The study was designed to: (1) evaluate the use of electronic mail and listservs by preservice teachers during their preservice teaching experience; (2) evaluate the use of electronic mail by university supervisors with their students during the preservice teaching experience; (3) evaluate the student-to-student use of electronic mail and listservs by preservice teachers during their student teaching experience; (4) develop guidelines for the feasibility of the use of electronic mail by all preservice teachers during their preservice teaching experience. (AEF)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

The Use of Telecommunications By Preservice Teachers and Their University Supervisors

Ray Braswell
Auburn University Montgomery

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Ray Braswell

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Objectives

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

The incorporation of technology into teacher education programs is no longer innovative; it is the norm. The development of these technologies are expanding considerably the instructional tools available to educators in a variety of educational setting. Almost taken for granted is the ability of the preservice teacher to incorporate technology into the preservice teaching experience. The renewed hope that the interaction between the preservice teacher and the university supervisor can be enhanced through the use of technology is the subject of this proposal.

This study will discuss the use of telecommunications during the preservice teacher experience to allow the preservice teacher and the university supervisor to maintain an on-going interaction. The capabilities of preservice teachers to engage in a daily dialog with other preservice teachers as well as university supervisors has been shown to be an effective means to enhance the student teaching experience. In this study, students who utilized technology daily for communication with one another and with their university supervisors were compared to those who did not utilize the technology during their preservice teaching experience. In addition, the study looked at the effects that the use of technology in interacting with the preservice teacher had on the university supervisor.

This study reports on an examination of the use of electronic mail and listservs by preservice teachers and their university supervisors during a ten week preservice teaching experience. Specifically, the study reported here was designed to:

1. Evaluate the use of electronic mail and listservs by preservice teachers during their preservice teaching experience;

2. Evaluate the use of electronic mail by university supervisors with their students during the preservice teaching experience;
3. Evaluate the student-to-student use of electronic mail and listservs by preservice teachers during their student teaching experience;
4. Develop guidelines for the feasibility of the use of electronic mail by all preservice teachers during their preservice teaching experience.

Research Perspectives

In the present study, a decision was made to use electronic mail and listservs as a means to determine if increased communication between preservice student teachers and their university supervisors would improve and enhance the preservice student teaching experience. The use of technologies by preservice teachers during the student teaching experience is being tested by several colleges and schools of education (see, for example, Rezabek, Cochenour, & Hakes, 1996; Loiselle, Dupuy-Walker, Gingras, & Gagnon, 1996; Norton & Sprague, 1996). This study attempts to add additional information and insight for those who are evaluating the use of this type of electronic communication as a form of improving the student teaching experience. As noted by Makurat, 1995, there are many issues which must be addressed in the use of telecommunications to connect preservice teachers and classrooms. This study attempted to build upon and adapt his work for use in a university supervisor/student teacher situation.

While some work has been done on the use of telecommunication in the preservice teaching experience, much more needs to be done, both to evaluate the effectiveness of the use of telecommunication and to develop methods and measures which can be replicated for additional study.

Methodology

Participants. Participants in this study were approximately 30 undergraduate preservice teachers from an education program at a city university. The participants were involved in utilizing e-mail and listservs as an additional means of communication between the university supervisor and the preservice teacher as well as communication between preservice teachers located at other schools. Participants were randomly selected to work in either the group who worked with technology or in the group who did not communicate with their university supervisor through technology. The university supervisors who participated in this study worked both with students who utilized technology and with students who did not utilize technology. The university supervisors also kept their regular schedule of in-person meetings with both groups of interns.

Data Sources and Analysis. This study began with the problem of developing potential guidelines to address the problems which educators face in attempting to use telecommunication as an additional means to enhance the preservice teaching experience. In order to describe the procedures utilized in reaching a desired solution, the researcher maintained a record of all telecommunications between the university supervisor and the student teacher. Additionally, a record was maintained of comments and suggestions which occurred between the preservice teachers themselves. Surveys were completed by all participants at the conclusion of the study, in order to better evaluate the perceived benefits of the use of telecommunications by both those who used it during the preservice teaching process and those who did not.

Results/ Conclusion

Results of this study were disappointing. The majority of the students who participated in the group which utilized telecommunications during their preservice teaching experience had little or no prior computer experience. This led to an extremely frustrating initial first weeks of the study. This frustration was compounded by a lack of basic equipment needed to adequately maintain a telecommunication link at some of the schools involved in the study. Several schools did not have adequate Internet access, thus requiring a long distance call to keep in contact with the university. The university supervisors, who, while technologically proficient, failed to interact with the preservice teachers as requested. Although the university supervisors were supposed to contact their student teachers every day, few attempted to do so. Results showed that a majority of those students who did have access to the needed equipment at their schools did not have access to similar equipment at home, resulting in a missed opportunity for additional practice and interaction with both the university supervisor and the other preservice student teachers. Results also showed that a majority of the students involved in the study did not have access to technology in settings other than school. Results also showed, however, that of the students who had access to the technology during their preservice experience, 100% felt that this was a beneficial means of keeping in touch with their university supervisor and other student teachers, while only 65% of those who did not have technology access felt that this would be a beneficial addition to the student teaching experience.

Educational Significance

This study has shown that a combination of factors affect the use of telecommunications between preservice teachers and their university supervisors. The problems noted should be addressed by additional study. The initial results of

this and other studies show that telecommunications can be a powerful tool but that guidelines as well as suggestions for incorporation of the technology into the student teaching experience must be developed, evaluated and refined. This study, while preliminary, provides additional support for further research and suggests directions for the use of these technologies.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: THE USE OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS BY PRESERVICE TEACHERS AND THEIR UNIVERSITY SUPERVISORS	
Author(s): DR. RAY BRASWELL	
Corporate Source: AUBURN UNIVERSITY MONTGOMERY	Publication Date: APRIL 1998

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1

↑

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A

↑

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B

↑

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, → please

Signature:	Printed Name/Position/Title: RAY BRASWELL	
Organization/Address: AUBURN UNIVERSITY MONTGOMERY	Telephone: 334-244-3777	FAX: 334-244-5835
	E-Mail Address: rayb@edla.aum.edu	Date: 5/12/98



Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation

University of Maryland
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742-5701

Tel: (800) 464-3742
(301) 405-7449
FAX: (301) 405-8134
ericae@ericae.net
<http://ericae.net>

March 20, 1998

Dear AERA Presenter,

Congratulations on being a presenter at AERA¹. The ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a printed copy of your presentation.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are gathering all the papers from the AERA Conference. We will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. You can track our processing of your paper at <http://ericae.net>.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and include it with **two** copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can drop off the copies of your paper and Reproduction Release Form at the **ERIC booth (424)** or mail to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to: AERA 1998/ERIC Acquisitions
University of Maryland
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742

This year ERIC/AE is making a **Searchable Conference Program** available on the AERA web page (<http://aera.net>). Check it out!

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.
Director, ERIC/AE

¹If you are an AERA chair or discussant, please save this form for future use.



The Catholic University of America