#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 419 383 FL 025 159

AUTHOR Mizuno, Mitsuharu

TITLE The Usefulness of Bilingual Sentence Analysis.

PUB DATE 1998-03-00

NOTE 12p.; Revised version of a paper presented at the Annual

Meeting of the International Association of Applied

Linguistics (11th, Jyvlaskla, Finland, August 4-9, 1996).

PUB TYPE Journal Articles (080) -- Reports - Evaluative (142) --

Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

JOURNAL CIT Kanagawa University Studies in Language; n20 p105-14 Mar

1998

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Classroom Techniques; \*Comparative Analysis; Contrastive

Linguistics; \*English (Second Language); \*Error Correction; Foreign Countries; Second Language Instruction; \*Sentence

Structure; \*Structural Analysis (Linguistics)

#### ABSTRACT

A classroom technique for English-as-a-Second-Language instruction using comparative (first- and second-language) sentence analysis is described. The goal is to enhance acquisition of English through internalizing a certain number of sample sentences in English that contrast with the learner's first language (L1). The sentences consist of about 1,000 "global English" expressions used in daily life. The procedure involves (1) listening to the sentence, (2) imitating it, (3) rehearsing individually, (4) transferring the information to cards, separating the English sentence into "sense-groups" and translating it into Japanese, (5) internalizing the sentence structure, (6) transcribing the sentence in a dictation exercise, and (7) sentence use in conversation. Research on comprehensible input, learning readiness, and neuropsychology suggest that this approach will help learners internalize English sentences. (Contains 32 references.) (MSE)



## THE USEFULNESS OF BILINGUAL SENTENCE ANALYSIS

### Mitsuharu Mizuno

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Wisano

1

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



神奈川大学言語研究センター「神奈川大学言語研究」第20号 抜刷 1998年 3 月 発 行

# THE USEFULNESS OF BILINGUAL SENTENCE ANALYSIS\*

#### Mitsuharu Mizuno

#### Abstract

The procedure, "Bilingual Sentence Analysis," with Global English (defined later) as a target, is designed to help students internalize English. It involves seven steps, beginning with listening and leading to conversation. This is accomplished in three ways: contrasting L1 with L2, dividing short sentences into "sense-groups," and the process of "self-error-correction."

Key Words: Bilingual Sentence Analysis, Basic English, SLA.

The goal of "Bilingual Sentence Analysis" (Mizuno, 1995) is to enhance the acquisition of English through internalizing a certain number of example sentences in English that contrast with the learner's L1. These sentences consist of around one thousand "Global English" expressions which are used in daily life.

The teaching procedure in Bilingual Sentence Analysis is as follows:

(1) Listening, (2) Imitating, (3) Rehearsing, (4) Tansfering, (5) Internalizing, (6) Dictation, and (7) Conversation.

The activities of (1) to (3), and (6) and (7) are done in the class, while (4) and (5) are assigned to individual learners as assignments.

A Revized Paper Presented at 11th World Congress of the International Association of Applied Linguistics, Jyvaskyla University, Finland, 1996.



To give an example, distributing the copy of example sentences for one week to the class, the teacher first introduces how to read the English sentences by dividing it into "sense-groups."

Particularly at the early stage of this step the teacher may guide the learners toward a better knowledge of English phonetics. The learners then imitate the teacher's pronunciation before practicing them individually. For instance, several phenomena of the articulation in English such as sound shift, linking, assimilation, dissimilation, contraction, strong form & week form, and intonation, etc.

Before a transferring assignment, the learners make cards of the example sentences by Japanese on one side of them and by "sensegroups" in English on another, each sense-group making a different line as seen in the following diagram:

The Scandinavian nations have long been at peace with one another.

スカンジナビアの国々は 昔から平和な関係にある。

The number at the upper left corner of the card is the serial number, which helps students to put cards in order at any time, or to



check some lost cards. Each line of this sentence is a sense group (or breath group). The reverse side is the corresponding Japanese sentence as it is shown above. Let's consider some examples of the contrast between English and Japanese sentences as seen in Table 1.

#### Table 1.

The Contrast of English and Japanese Sentences

1. This will make a good picture.

Korë wa ë ni naru.

(これは絵になる。)

2. You are a good judge of men.

Anata wa otoko wo miru mē ga ari masu nē.

(あなたは男を見る目がありますね。)

3. A kind woman saw me across the street.

Shinsētuna onnanohito ga itē watashi to isshoni douro wo watattē kurēta. (親切な女の人がいて私と一緒に道路を渡ってくれた。)

4. I didn't put him in the picture for that reason.

Souiu wakē dē karē niwa *uchiakē* nakatta no dēsu.

(そういうわけで彼には打ち明けなかったのです。)

I have only come round to see how you are getting on.
 Ogenki dē irassharu kato, chotto oyorishitē mimashita.
 (お元気でいらっしゃるかと、ちょっとお寄りしてみました。)

6. Nothing gives me more pleasure than sailing.

Watashi ni tottē yotto ni noru koto *hodo* tanoshii *mono wa ari masēn*. (私にとってヨットに乗ることほど楽しいものはありません。)

7. The window over the door is kept open to let air in and out.

Kanki no tamēni doa no uē no mado wa akētē ari masu.

(換気のためにドアの上の窓は開けてあります。)

8. You are giving all your time to pleasure. Do some learning.

Asondē bakari inai dē sukoshi wa bēnkyou dēmo shi nasai.

(遊んでばかりいないで少しは勉強でもしなさい。)

9. I'll give you the news when he come back.

Karē ga modottē kitara anata ni oshirasē shi masu.

(彼が戻ってきたらあなたにお知らせします。)

10. I didn't get married to you because I had a love for you.

Anata wo aishitē ita kara anata to kēkkon shita wakē dēwa ari masēn.

(あなたを愛していたからあなたと結婚したわけではありません。)

No. 1. This will make a good picture.



(Korē wa ē ni naru.)

In this case, most Japanese learners tend to take "become" for "make." As a result they will frequently make such errors.

No. 2. You are a good judge of men.

(Anata wa otoko wo miru mē ga ari masu nē.)

In this case, there is a large conceptual gap between both languages. On one hand "a good judge" in English; on the other "miru mē" in Japanese. As a result they are in danger of using "eyes" instead of "judge."

No. 3. A kind woman *saw* me *across* the street. (Shinsētuna onnanohito ga itē watashi to *isshoni* douro wo

wattattē kurēta.)

Most Japanese learners are awkward to use the verb "see" as seen in this sentence. They will frequently make error like "walked across the street with me."

No. 4. I didn't put him in the picture for that reason.

(Souiu wakē dē karē niwa uchiakē nakatta no dēsu.)

The point here is "put him in the picture" in English in contrast to "put him in the picture" in Japanese. It is very hard for Japanese to make such a structure in English. They will rather say it like "talk him clearly," but it is not Global English and not necessarily express the shade of difference in meaning. So it is worth while internalizing this sentense.

No. 5. I have only come round *to see how* you are getting on. (Ogēnki dē irassharu *kato*, chotto oyorishitē mimashita.)

Most Japanese will have trouble in putting the meaning of "kato" in Japanese into English. The structure "kato" is able to generalize in the form of [to see + Interogatives]. This structure is one of patterns in English that Japanese are to learn by heart.

No. 6. Nothing gives me more pleasure than sailing. (Watashi ni tottë yotto ni noru koto hodo tanoshii mono wa ari masën.)



This case brings out the striking contrast in the way of thinking between English and Japanese. It is very hard for most Japanese to make the English sentences with negative subject. Therefore, the learners will have conflict in the way of expression when making this sort of English sentences. In general, the expressions in English are more analytical than those in Japanese. The following is the case.

No. 7. The window over the door is kept open to let air in and out. (Kanki no tamē ni doa no uē no mado wa akētē ari masu.) The Japanese expression "Kanki no tamē ni" is abstract and not analytical, most Japanese are not used to this way of expression by using the basic words.

No. 8. You *are giving* all your time to pleasure. Do some learning. (Asondē *bakari inai dē* sukoshi wa bēnkyou dēmo shi nasai.)

This is the case of an emotional expression in English which is put in the form of "present progressive." Only a few Japanese learners have this knowledge. So they are not able to put this meaning in English without any trouble.

No. 9. I'll give you the news *when* he *comes back*. (Karē ga *modottē kitara* anata ni oshirasē shi masu.)

Most Japanese learners are liable to take the Japanese form "modottē kitara" as a past tense though it is an aspect of completion. Here is a big difference between both languages in the way of expression.

No. 10. I *didn't* get married to you *because* I had a love for you. (Anata wo aishitē ita *kara* anata to kēkkon shita *wakē dēwa ari masēn.*)

This means that I got married to you but it was not because I had a love for you. Not a few Japanese learners will take this sentence as "I didn't get married to you, and it was because I had a love for you." This makes a contradictory statement. So this is a typical example of showing that they have a synthetic constraints in making English sentences like this.



At the step of (5) the learners may recognize through "self-error-correction," the differences between their translation and the English example sentences in their text. This will give the individual learners a practical exercise in the process of internalizing through acheivement motivation. From this exercise, the form and mearning of the example sentences are integrated as learners repeat them until they become automatic and spontaneous in usage.

A dictation test a week later will help reinforce the sentences learners have memorized as they write them. After, students converse in pairs using the sentences with their classmates.

Several researchers in this field argues that comprehensible input contributes to SLA. However, the available evidence tells us that comprehensible input alone is inadequate. For instance, learners in long-term immersion programmes do not necessarily develop full target-language proficiency. Especially in acquisition-poor environments, even if comprehensible input can facilitate acquisition, it is not necessarily sufficient for acquisition.

The first requisite of SLA is the optimal time (or readiness) for acquisition. It is also necessary to have the opportunity of experiencing the same input again and again. In addition, a learner's internal factors (prior knowledge, attention and motivation), and external factors (ways of instruction), along with the interaction between them are key requisites of SLA.

According to Vygotsky (1978), it is quality instruction that enhances of L2 acquisition if it is given during an optimal period. Cognitive theorists share the idea that learning occurs through a meaningful process of relating new items to already existing concepts in an individual cognitive structure.

After the high school stage, the learner's cognitive structure is well-developed. Therefore, it becomes possible for the learners at this stage to understand more easily or directly L2 input through their knowledge of L1 without depending on any concrete or sensual



experience.

At this stage the advantages of using L1 are much greater<sup>2</sup> because there are both different and common features between L1 and L2. These common features are likely to be unmarked, and so more easily acquired.

Recent findings in neuropsychology suggest that there is a cerebral storage of L1 sentences which we take and rearrange information when we use our L1. Hence, it is very important to internalize the L2 sentences in early stage of SLA.

In conclusion, by internalizing example sentences using the method which I have discussed, as an application of C.K. Ogden's simple "Basic English" system, the peoples of the world can reach for greater mutual understanding through the use of English.

#### Note

Global English is International Second Language that expanded the vocabulary of Ogden's Basic English into around 1000 words but follows only the system rule to interact internationally. It is free from sound or acts like native speakers for effective use of this English. Basic English has lots of advantages as the tool for international communications than any other artificial languages. It is a simplified system of English language intended as a uniform, standardized means of international communications. This sytem derives its vocabulary and grammar from English, but reduces both to a remarkable extent: there are 850 basic vocabulary items, 600 of which are nouns and 150 of which are adjectives. The remaining 100 items are operative words such as "do," "among," "very," "the," "not," and "when." Only 16 verbs are used, and these are conjugated as in standard English, but through combination with direction words such as "at," "in," "with," 16 verbs can replace over 4000 verbs in full English (e.g. "put together" for "assemble" or "combine"; "make up" for "invent"; "take picture" for "photograph"). Other than rules for conjugating verbs, there are only a few rules concerned with formation of purals, comparative degrees of adjectives, and use of prefixes and suffixes as un-, -er, -ed, -ing, and ly.

Therefore, the advantages of Basic English are as follows:

(1) It has more simplified conjugations, and more international words.



- (2) The usefulness of each Basic Word is quite high becasue the selection of 850 words was based on its meanings and functions, which facilitates the affluent and simple expression.
- (3) The vocabulary comprises words of few syllables.
- (4) It is free from the confusion of pronunciation because it has only a few homonyms.
- (5) It is easy to memorize the spelling and articulation due to the small vocabulary and no accentuation marks as seen in German and French.
- (6) It is easy to learn due to the simplified grammar.
- (7) In addition, it has a special device for mechanical communications such as telephone, faccimili, and computer communications like email and internet.
- 2. The advantages of using L1 in the foreign language classroom are as follows:
  - (1) It enhances the efficiency of the language teaching.
  - (2) It avoids the learner's inner stress which results in reducing their achievement motivation of L2 learning.
  - (3) It maintains the teachers' confidence in their communication to the class.
  - (4) It enhances the appropriateness of test questions.

#### REFERENCES

Ausubel, D. 1968. *Educational Psychology: Cognitive View.* New York: Holt Reinehart and Winston.

Baddeley, A. 1986. Working Memory. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bahrick, H. 1984. 'Semantic memory and content in Permastore: fifty years of memory for Spanish learned in school.' *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 113.1: 1-30.

Bahrick, H. & E. Phephs 1987. 'Retention of Spanish vocabulary over 8 years.' Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory & Cognition 13.2: 344.

Bialystok, E. 1982. 'On the relationship between knowing and using forms.' *Applied Linguistics* III: 181-206.

Brown, R. 1973. *A First Language: The Early Stages*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.

Bruner, J. 1978. 'The role of dialogue in language acquisition.' in Sinclair, Jarvella, & Levelt (eds.) *The Child's Conception of Language*, Berlin: Springer, 241-256.

Cook, V. 1991. Second Language Learning and Language Teaching. London: Edward Arnold.

Ellis, R. 1985. Understanding Second Language Acquisition. Oxford:



- Oxford University Press.
- Gagnét, R. 1977. The Condition of Learning. New York.: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Gass, S. 1987. 'Integrating research areas: a framework for second language studies.' *Applied Linguistics* 9: 198-217.
- Hatch, E. & J. Wagner-Gough 1975. 'Explaining sequence and variation in second language acquisition' in H. Brown (ed.) *Papers in Second Language Acquisition*. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Language Learning.
- Hawkins, E. 1984. Awareness of Language. Cambridge University Press.Krashen, S. 1982. Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition.Oxford: Pergamon.
- Krashen, S. 1981. Second Language Acquisition and Second Language Learning. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. 1983. 'The importance of input in second language acquisition.' in R. Andersen (ed.) *Pidginization and Creolization as Language Acquisition*. Rowley, Mass.: Newbury House.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. 1976. 'An explanation for the morpheme acquisition order of second language learners.' *Language Learning* 26: 125-134.
- Long, M. 1983a. 'Native speaker/non-native speaker conversation and negotiation of comprehensible input.' *Applied Linguistics* 4/2: 126-141.
- Long, M. 1983b. 'Native speaker/non-native speaker conversation in the second language classroom.' in M. Clarke & J. Handscombe (eds.) On TESOL'82: Pacific Perspectives on Language Learning and Teaching. Washington D.C.: TESOL.
- MacLaughlin B. 1978. 'The monitor model: some methodological considerations.' *Language Learning* 28: 309-332.
- Mizuno, M. 1996. 'Language Teaching for Intake Enhancement.' Kanagawa University. The Journal of Psychology & Education, No. 15.
- Ogden, C. 1977. The ABC of Basic English. Tokyo: Hokuseido.
- Ogden, C. 1968. Basic English, International Second Language, New York: Harcourt Brace Javanovich.
- Riley, P. 1985. Discourse and Learning. London: Longman.
- Rutherford, W. 1987. Second Language Grammer: Learning and Teaching. London: Longman, Harlow.
- Schmidt, R. 1993. 'Awareness and second language acquisition.' *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics* 11: 127-158.
- Schumann, J. 1975. 'Affective factors and the problem of age in second language acquisition.' *Language Learning* 25: 209-235.
- Sharwood-Smith, M. 1981. 'Consciousness-raising and the second language learner.' *Applied Linguistics* 11 (2): 159-168.
- Stevick, E. 1980. Teaching Languages: A Way and Ways. Rowley,



Mass.: Newbury House.

Tarone, E. 1988. Variation in Interlanguage. London: Edward Arnold.

Tarone, E. 1983. 'On the variability of interlanguage systems.' *Applied Linguistics* 4/2: 143-163.

Vygotsky, L. 1978. Mind in Society: the development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.





## U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	N:	,
Title: The Usefulness of Biling	ual Sentence Analysis	
Author(s): Mitsuharu Miza	ano	
Corporate Source: KANAGAWA U STUDIES	INIVERSITY in LANGUAGE  Officeror	Publication Date:  March 1, 1998
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re and electronic media, and sold through the ER reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	timely and significant materials of interest to the edu- esources in Education (RIE), are usually made availab CIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit	ple to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy is given to the source of each document, and, i
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents  PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents  PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents  PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1  Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction	Level 2A    Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction	Level 2B    Check here for Level 2B release, permitting
	and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce	

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: The Printed Name/Position/Title:

Sign here,→ please FRIC Signature: Joshiaki Jukasawa Printed Name/Position/Title: Toshiaki FUKASAWA/Hazd of the Organization/Address: Institute for Language Studies Kanagawa University, 3-27-1 Rokkakubashi, Kanagawaku, Yokohama-shi, JAPAN.

Printed Name/Position/Title: Toshiaki FUKASAWA/Hazd of the Organization/Address: Institute Toshiaki FUKASAWA/Hazd of the Organization/Address: Telephone: 045-48/-5-661 FAX: O45-4/3-6/42 E-Mail Address: Date: 6th May, 1998

# III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		·
		·
Price:		
IV. REFERRAL OF I	ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODU	ICTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reprodu	uction release is held by someone other than the ac	ddressee, please provide the appropriate name and
Name:		
Address:	<u> </u>	
_		
٠,		
V. WHERE TO SEN	D THIS FORM:	
Send this form to the following I	ERIC Clearinghouse:	
,	e de la company	
	Languages and and	ST
	Languages Chicar NVV 1118 22nd Chicar NVV Washington, D.C. 20037	
However, if solicited by the EF contributed) to:	RIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution	to ERIC, return this form (and the document being
	ERIC Processing and Reference 1100 West Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Fløor Laurel, Maryland 20787-3598	Facility
	Telephone: 301-497-4080 Toll Free: 809-799-3742 FAX: 301-953-0263 e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed:gov	

WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

088 (Rev. 9/97)
PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

FL025159

# OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT (OERI)

## **EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)**

**REPRODUCTION RELEASE** (Specific Document)

Title: <u> </u>	efulness	of Bilingua	& Sent	ence.	<u>Anal</u>	vsis
Author(s):	MITSUH	FRU MIZU	NO.		<u> </u>	
Corporate Source (If	appropriate):	KanagaWa	Univer	SITY .	Studie	<u> In Language</u> Publication Date: <u>March</u>
REPRODUCTION REL	EASE					
documents annound available to users in vice (EDRS). Credit notices is affixed to	ed in the month microfiche and is given to the s the document.	ly abstract journal o paper copy (or micro ource of each docui	f the ERIC sy fiche only) ar ment, and, if	rstern, <u>Reso</u> nd sold thro reproductio	urces in ugh the i on reieas	est to the educational commu <u>Education</u> (RIE), are usually m ERIC Document Reproduction se is granted, one of the follow
below.	ranted to reprod	uce the identified do	cument, ple	ase CHECK	ONE OF	the options and sign the relea
Microfiche (4" x 6" film) and paper copy (8½" x 11") reproduction	MATERIAL HAS	TO REPRODUCE THIS BEEN GRANTED BY ME OR ORGANIZATION.  PROPRIATE	OR	Microfich (4" x 6" f reproductionly	ne li ilm)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THE MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ON HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  [PERSONAL NAME OR ORGANIZATION.  AS APPROPRIATE]
		TIONAL RESOURCES CENTER (ERIC)."				TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURC NFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."
documents will be proce	ssed in both microfic	the and paper copy.	<u> </u>			ice is granted, but neither box is che
indicated above. Repri permission from the o	oduction from the opyright holder.	ERIC microfiche by p	ersons other the non-profit re	nan ERIC emproduction o	ployees a f microfi	on to reproduce this document a and its system contractors require the by libraries and other servic
	elyu of F	Migana Overign Langu		Printed Nar  Position:	Profes	NTSUHARU MIZUNO
Address: 3-21- YoKo	/ 1 <u>Rokka</u> hama, JAPA	Cubashi , Kanas N zip Gode: 224	gaw∂-Ku,		March	481—5661 (ext.) 426. 1. 74, 1998
DOCUMENT AVAIL	ABILITY INFORM	ATION (Non-ERIC S	ource)			
another source, p	lease provide the nt unless it is pu election criteria	e following informa blicly available, and are significantly moi	tion regardin a dependabl e stringent fo	ig the availa e source cai or documen	ability of n be spe	availability of the document of the document. (ERIC will not cified. Contributors should als cannot be made available thro
Address:	or:					
					Quantity	Price:
Price Per Copy:	DVBIGHT/BEDBO	DUCTION RIGHTS HO	I NEB			