

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 418 969

SP 037 935

AUTHOR Allen, Ruth M.
 TITLE Impact of Teachers' Recall on the Effectiveness of Their Reflection: Implications for Teacher Educators.
 PUB DATE 1998-04-00
 NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (San Diego, CA, April 13-17, 1998).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Beginning Teachers; Elementary Education; Elementary School Teachers; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; *Recall (Psychology); *Reflective Teaching; Student Behavior; Student Teachers; Teacher Behavior; Teaching Experience
 IDENTIFIERS *Reflective Thinking

ABSTRACT

This study examined the evolution of the accuracy and thoroughness of teachers' recall of their own, and their students', specific classroom behaviors, noting its relationship to the frequency and level of their reflection. Participants of the study were three groups of elementary teachers (four novice student teachers, five teachers with 1-6.5 years of experience, and three teachers with 10-30 years of experience). Teachers were observed and audiotaped teaching for one class period. Detailed notes were taken of specific student and teacher classroom behaviors. A 1-hour structured interview following the observation asked teachers to recall their own, and their students', classroom behaviors. The study compared teachers' recall to the recorded recall, making comparisons across and within groups; interviews were analyzed for common themes. Teachers' elaborations during the interviews were considered their reflections on the behaviors. Only the most experienced teachers exhibited accurate recall. Teachers progressed in thoroughness of recall along different paths and different rates. There was a continuum from general recall that lacked thoroughness through very specific and thorough recall to general and apparently less thorough recall. Reflection on personal teaching experiences was necessary for the development of thoroughness. When years of experience were equal, more reflective teachers exhibited more thorough recall. When the consistency and frequency of reflection were relatively equal, more experienced teachers demonstrated more thorough recall. (Contains 31 references.) (SM)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

IMPACT OF TEACHERS' RECALL ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THEIR REFLECTION: IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHER EDUCATORS

Ruth M. Allen
Metairie Park Country Day School

A Paper Presented at the Meetings of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego, CA, April 14, 1998

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

R. Allen

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

FREQUENCY AND LEVELS OF REFLECTION: THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO THE EVOLUTION OF NOVICE THROUGH EXPERT TEACHERS' RECALL

Ruth M. Allen
Metairie Park Country Day School

Objective

Accuracy/thoroughness of recall is important because it is a necessary precursor to teachers achieving the capability of effective reflection. This qualitative study examined the evolution of the accuracy/thoroughness of novice through expert teachers' recall of their own and their students' specific classroom behaviors and its relationship to the frequency and level (s) of their reflection (Van Manen, 1977)

Theoretical Framework

A primary goal of teacher education programs is the development of effective teachers. Reflective teaching is viewed as a paramount vehicle for enhancing the development of effective teachers; therefore, it is the aim or salient theme of a vast and increasing number of teacher education programs (Calderhead, 1989; Loughran, 1995; Richardson, 1990; Ross, 1989; Smyth, 1989; Wildman, Niles, Magliaro, & McLaughlin, 1990). Reflective teaching is defined by Zeichner and Liston (1987) as a process of assessing the origins, purposes, and consequences of one's work at all three levels of Van Manen's (1977) levels of reflectivity. There is a general consensus that reflectivity leads to professional growth (Ferguson, 1989; Frieberg & Waxman, 1990; Van Manen, 1991; Wildman & Niles, 1987; and Wildman et al., 1990). For many teachers, especially experienced teachers, self-directed assessment of one's own teaching is the primary method for effecting improved teaching performance and, therefore, growing in expertise (Irvine, 1983; Loughran, 1995).

The reflective process is initiated by questions whose essence is the recall of specifics (Eisner, 1991; Loughran, 1995; Noordhoff & Kleinfeld, 1990; Roth, 1989; Smyth, 1989). This implies that accurate/thorough recall is necessary in order to proceed to subsequent questions in the reflective process.

Typically, experienced teachers have grown in cognition in their years of teaching and possess rich schemata (Allen & Casbergue, 1995, 1997; Brown, Bransford, Ferrara, and Campione, 1983; Carter, Sabers, Cushing, Pinnegar, & Berliner, 1987; Carter, Sabers, Stein, & Berliner, 1988; Chi, Feltovich, & Glasser, 1981; Chase & Simon, 1973; Clarridge & Berliner, 1991; Larkin, McDermott, Simon & Simon, 1980; Livingston & Borko, 1989; Peterson and Comeaux, 1987) which allow them to demonstrate significantly better recall ability of meaningful classroom occurrences than novices (Allen & Casbergue, 1997, ; Carter et al., 1987; Clarridge & Berliner, 1991; Peterson & Comeaux, 1987). Typically, novices recall neutral behaviors and do not recall positive behaviors, (Allen & Casbergue, 1995, 1997) or blatant unacceptable behaviors (Allen & Casbergue, 1995, 1997; Clarridge & Berliner, 1991). Therefore, novices cannot effectively answer the first question that initiates the process of reflection, e.g. "What happened?" (Eisner, 1991; Loughran, 1995, April) or "What did I do?" (Smyth, 1989). This fact supports the conclusion that novice teachers have limited ability to reflect and analyze (Berliner, 1988, 1989; Livingston & Borko, 1989; Wildman & Niles, 1987). If novices cannot accurately/thoroughly recall and expert teachers can, then when and how do novices develop this ability to move through the levels of accurate/thorough recall of specific behaviors on their journey toward expertise?

While this body of research explores recall differences between novice and expert teachers, only in one study (Allen & Casbergue, 1997) have researchers included an intermediate group of teachers and described the cognitive development and continua related to the recall of classroom behaviors as one moves from novice to more experienced to expert teacher. No researcher has compared the recall ability of novice through expert teachers to the frequency and level(s) of their reflectivity.

Methods/Data Source

The sample for the current study consisted of three groups of elementary school teachers: four novices, student teachers in their first or second week of actual teaching; five intermediate group teachers, teachers with 1 to 6.5 years experience; and three experts, teachers with 10 to 30 years experience. Qualitative methods were utilized in this research as described below.

The teachers were observed teaching in a natural setting for one class period by the primary researcher. The class was audiotaped, and detailed notes of the teachers' and students' specific classroom behaviors were recorded. A one hour structured interview followed the observation during which teachers recalled their own and their students' specific classroom behaviors. The interviews were audiotaped. The teachers' recall was subsequently compared to the recorded observations to determine the accuracy (correctness) and thoroughness (completeness) of their recall. Comparisons were made within groups and across groups. In addition teachers' responses to the interviews were analyzed for common themes. Teachers' elaborations during the interviews were considered their reflections on their own and their students' behaviors during the observed class. Their elaborations were analyzed to determine if the teachers reflected and, if so, how often and at which of the three levels of reflectivity described by Van Manen (1977). Subsequently, the accuracy/thoroughness of the teachers' recall was compared to the frequency and levels of their reflection.

Results

Infrequent inaccuracies in recall were noted with novices through teachers with 1 to 3.5 years experience. The teacher with 6.5 years experience and the experts exhibited accurate recall. During the analysis of teachers' thoroughness of recall, the following themes emerged. (1) As teachers gained experience, their reported focus during teaching shifted from their own behaviors (novices) to their students' behaviors (intermediate group) to a combination of their own and their students behaviors (experts). (2) Teachers' reported focus did not consistently concur with the focus apparent in their oral recall until teachers had a minimum of 6.5 years experience. (3) Novices recalled neutral behaviors, and the more experienced teachers recalled neutral, negative, and positive behaviors. (4) The findings also demonstrated that teachers progressed in thoroughness of recall along different paths and at different rates.

A continuum was observed from general recall that lacked thoroughness through very specific and thorough recall to general and apparently less thorough recall. It was concluded that the latter general recall indicated pattern formation, i.e. subsuming of specific behaviors, in the schemata of the four most experience teachers. Another continuum was observed from hesitant, uncertain, inconsistent, strained recall to fluid, certain, consistent, and generally effortless recall.

With the exception of an outlier in the novice group, each of the teachers demonstrated reflection at the three levels of reflectivity described by Van Manen (1977), but they placed emphasis on different levels and exhibited different consistencies of reflection. All teachers reflected about equally at level I which is primarily concerned with efficient and effective application of pedagogical knowledge. Novices and the teacher not trained in reflection with one year of experience reflected essentially equally at all three levels. With increasing experience, the teachers placed more emphasis on level II, the assessment of educational consequences of a teaching action and/or the clarifying of assumptions and predispositions underlying competing educational goals, and level III which is concerned with whether human needs and purposes are being met.

When compared to the novices, three of the intermediate group of teachers reflected twice as frequently at levels II and III as the novices. The expert teachers reflected four times more frequently than the novices at level II and three times more at level III. The experts reflected twice as often at levels II and III than the intermediate group.

When the frequency of reflection and the corresponding levels of reflectivity were compared to the thoroughness of recall of an individual or members composing a group or one group to another, the results indicated that reflection on ones' teaching experiences, i.e. ones' own behaviors and the behaviors of ones' students, is necessary for the development of thoroughness

of recall, i.e. professional growth. The findings indicate that when years of experience are equal, the more reflective teacher(s) will exhibit the more thorough recall. When the consistency and frequency of reflection are relatively equal, the more experienced teacher(s) will demonstrate the more thorough recall.

Educational Significance

Resulting knowledge of the effect of the relationship between frequency and levels of teachers' reflections and teaching experience on the accuracy/thoroughness of teachers' specific recall of their own and their students' behaviors has strong implications for the design and evaluation of programs for beginning teachers. Teacher education programs that stress reflectivity need access to research results that demonstrate the shifts and changes that occur in recall ability of novices as they move toward expertise, and that pinpoint when teachers can be expected to develop the accurate/thorough recall which allows them to effectively reflect. Prior to this time, teachers may be reflecting on inaccurate/incomplete recall; and, therefore, their conclusions would not produce enlightenment of true problem areas or awareness of strengths.

Data from this study may supply support for revision of current teacher education programs to include curriculum and instruction which most effectively promote and enhance novices' accurate/thorough recall ability, and/or necessitate teacher educators' reconsideration of their goals so as not to expect too much from novices too soon. The results of this study may also supply the impetus and act as a guide for teacher educators to devise and utilize a different set of criteria for selection of cooperating teachers. The results may encourage the establishing of workshops for cooperating teachers which provide training in and techniques for guided reflection, and increase their awareness of teachers' cognitive development, the needs of preservice teachers, and what can be realistically expected of a novice teacher so they, as well as the teacher educators, do not expect too much too soon.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

REFERENCES

- Allen, R.M. & Casbergue, R.M. (1995, April). Evolution of Novice Through Expert Teachers' Recall. Presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA. (ERIC document Reproduction Service No. ED 383 681)
- Allen, R.M. & Casbergue, R. M. (1997). Evolution of Novice Through Expert Teachers' Recall: Implications for Effective Reflection on Practice. Teaching and Teacher Education: An International Journal of Research and Studies, 13(7), 741-755.
- Berliner, D.C. (1988, February). The development of expertise in pedagogy. Charles W. Hunt Memorial Lecture. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education, New Orleans, LA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 217 008)
- Berliner, D.C. (1989). Implications of studies of expertise in pedagogy for teacher education and evaluation. In New Directions for Teacher Assessment. Proceedings of the 1988 ETS Invitational Conference. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Berliner, D.C. (1994). Expertise: The wonder of exemplary performances. In J.N. Mangieri & C. Collins (Eds.), Creating Powerful Thinking in Teachers and Students: Diverse Perspectives. Fort Worth: Harcourt Brace College.
- Brown, A.L., Bransford, J.D., Ferrara, R.A., Campione, J.C. (1983). Learning, remembering, and understanding. In P.H. Mussen (Ed.), Handbook of Child Psychology (pp. 77-166). New York: Wiley.
- Carter, K., Cushing, K. Sabers, D., Stein, P. & Berliner, D. (1988). Expert-novice differences in perceiving and processing visual classroom stimuli. Journal of Teacher Education, 39(3), 25-31.
- Carter, K., Sabers, D., Cushing, Pinnegar, S., and Berliner, D. (1987). Processing and using information about students: a study of expert, novice, and postulant teachers. Teacher and Teacher Education, 3(2), 147-157.
- Calderhead, James (1989). Reflective teaching and teacher. Teaching & Teacher Education, 5(1), 43-51.
- Chase, W.G. & Simon, H.A. (1973). Perception in chess. Cognitive Psychology, 4, 55-81.
- Chi, M.T.H., Feltovich, P.J., Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. Cognitive Science, 5, 121-152.
- Clarridge, P.B. & Berliner, D.C. (1991). Perceptions of student behavior as a function of expertise. Journal of Classroom Interaction, 26(1), 1-8.
- Eisner, E. W. (1991). The Enlightened Eye: Qualitative Inquiry and the Enhancement of Educational Practice. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co. (264 pages)
- Ferguson, Patrick (1989). A reflective approach to the methods practicum. Journal of Teacher Education, 40(2), 36-41.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

- Friberg, H.J. & Waxman, H.C. (1990). Reflection and the acquisition of technical teaching skills. In R.T. Clift, W.R. Houston & M.C. Pugach (Eds.), Encouraging Reflective Practice in Education (pp. 119-137). New York: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Irvine, Jacqueline Jordan (1983). The accuracy of pre-service teachers' assessments of their classroom behaviors. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 17(1), 25-31.
- Larkin, Jill, McDermott, John, Simon, D.P. Simon, H.A. (1980, June). Expert and novice performance in solving physics problems. Science, 208(20), 1335-1342.
- Livingston, C., & Borko, H. (1989). Expert-novice differences in teaching: a cognitive analysis and implications for teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 40(4), 36-42.
- Loughran, J. (1995, April). Windows into the thinking of an experienced teacher: Exploring the influence of spontaneous "talk aloud" in practice. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the American Association of Research in Education, San Francisco. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 383 681)
- Noordhoff, K. & Kleinfeld, J. (1990). Shaping the rhetoric of reflection for multicultural settings. In Encouraging Reflective Practice in Education. R.T. Clift, W.R. Houston & M.C. Pugach (Eds.). New York: Teachers College Press. (pp. 163-185).
- Peterson, Penelope L. & Comeaux, Michelle A. (1987). Teachers' schemata for classroom events: the mental scaffolding of teachers' thinking during classroom instruction. Teaching & Teacher Education, 3(4), 319-331.
- Richardson, V. (1990). The evolution of reflective teaching and teacher education. In R.T. Clift, W.R. Houston & M.C. Pugach (Eds.), Encouraging Reflective Practice in Education (pp. 3-19). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Ross, Dorene D. (1989). First steps in developing a reflective approach. Journal of Teacher Education, 40(2), 22-30.
- Roth, Robert A. (1989, March-April). Preparing the reflective practitioner: transforming the apprentice through the dialectic. Journal of Teacher Education, 40(2), 36-41.
- Sabers, D., Cushing, K.S., & Berliner, D.C. (1991). Differences among teachers in a task characterized by simultaneity, multidimensionality, and immediacy. American Educational Research Journal, 28,(1), 63-88.
- Smyth, John (1989, March-April). Developing and sustaining critical reflection in teacher education. Journal of Teacher Education, 40,(2), 2-9.
- Van Manen, Max (1977). Linking ways of knowing with ways of being practical. Curriculum Inquiry, 6, 205-228.
- Van Manen, Max (1991). The tact of teaching: the meaning of pedagogical thoughtfulness. State University of New York Press: Albany, New York.
- Wildman, T.M. & Niles, J.A. (1987). Essentials of Professional Growth. Educational Leadership, 44(5), 4-10.

Wildman, T.M., Niles, J.S., Magliaro, S. G., McLaughlin, R.A. (1990). Promoting reflective practice among beginning and experienced teachers. In R.T. Clift, W. R. Houston & M.C. Pugach (Eds.), Encouraging Reflective Practice in Education (pp. 139-162). New York: Teachers College Press.

Zeichner, K. M. & Liston, D. P. (1987, February). Teaching student teachers to reflect. Harvard Educational Review, 57(1), 32-48.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>IMPACT OF TEACHERS' RECALL ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THEIR REFLECTION: IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHER EDUCATORS</i>	
Author(s): <i>RUTH M. ALLEN, Ph. D.</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>METAIRIE PARK COUNTRY DAY SCHOOL</i>	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



Check here

Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ *Sample* _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ *Sample* _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

or here

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Ruth M. Allen</i>	Position: <i>BIOLOGY INSTRUCTOR</i>
Printed Name: <i>RUTH M. ALLEN, Ph. D.</i>	Organization: <i>METAIRIE PARK COUNTRY DAY SCHOOL</i>
Address: <i>6961 MAYO BLVD NEW ORLEANS, LA 70126-3054</i>	Telephone Number: <i>(504) 242-5026</i>
	Date: <i>April 7, 1998</i>



THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

Department of Education, O'Boyle Hall

Washington, DC 20064

202 319-5120

February 27, 1996

Dear AERA Presenter,

Congratulations on being a presenter at AERA¹. The ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of your presentation.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are gathering all the papers from the AERA Conference. We will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and include it with **two** copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can drop off the copies of your paper and Reproduction Release Form at the **ERIC booth (23)** or mail to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to: AERA 1996/ERIC Acquisitions
 The Catholic University of America
 O'Boyle Hall, Room 210
 Washington, DC 20064

This year ERIC/AE is making a **Searchable Conference Program** available on the AERA web page (<http://tikun.ed.asu.edu/aera/>). Check it out!

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.
Director, ERIC/AE

¹If you are an AERA chair or discussant, please save this form for future use.



Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation