

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 418 255

CE 076 164

AUTHOR Olson, Susan J.  
TITLE Observations of Home Economics Education College Graduates during the Last Decade: Pennsylvania and Alabama.  
PUB DATE 1998-04-00  
NOTE 13p.  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*College Graduates; Comparative Analysis; Demography; Educational Research; \*Employment Patterns; Graduate Study; Graduate Surveys; Higher Education; \*Home Economics Education; \*State Surveys; Teacher Characteristics; \*Teacher Salaries  
IDENTIFIERS \*Alabama; \*Pennsylvania

ABSTRACT

A project compared the results of two 1989 studies examining the last decade (1978-88) of home economics education college graduates from Pennsylvania and Alabama. Both studies used a mailed questionnaire to graduates. Tincher used a 100 percent sample of Alabama's 401 graduates; Olson used a 50 percent stratified random sample of 728 Pennsylvania graduates. Both studies obtained similar sample sizes of 170 (Tincher) and 184 (Olson), with respective return rates of 57.8 percent and 56.9 percent. Findings showed similarities and differences in the occupational behavior of home economics education graduates. Similarities were as follows: most graduates were from state universities; the majority were married; more than 50% completed coursework beyond the bachelor's; common fields of study for both groups beyond the bachelor's were elementary education, early childhood, administration, guidance and counseling, special education, adult education, and computer education; and most were currently employed. Differences observed in graduates included the following: there were twice as many Pennsylvania graduates; Pennsylvania graduates made \$5,000-10,000 more per year; Pennsylvania graduates tended to be older; nearly twice as many Alabama graduates continued their education at the master's degree level, whereas nearly twice as many Pennsylvania graduates continued their education at the doctoral level; and more Alabama graduates were actually teaching home economics. (Appendixes contain 15 references and 4 tables.) (YLB)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

OBSERVATIONS OF HOME ECONOMICS EDUCATION COLLEGE  
GRADUATES DURING THE LAST DECADE:  
PENNSYLVANIA AND ALABAMA

Susan J. Olson, Ph.D.  
Associate Professor  
College of Education  
University of Akron  
133 Zook  
Akron, OH 44325-4205  
solson@uakron.edu

April 1998

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

S Olson

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

### Abstract

The purpose of this article is to compare the results of two 1989 studies examining the last decade (1978-1988) of home economics education college graduates from Pennsylvania and Alabama. An examination of similar and different patterns and trends are noted in the members of home economics education graduates, employment patterns of graduates, and the profiles of the graduates themselves. There are growing concerns about the large declines in the number of home economics education graduates over the last decade, coupled by a decline of available teaching positions in the field.

## Background

0  
1 "Declining enrollment trends in home economics have stimu-  
2 lated exploration of causes and cures" (Stewart & Daniel, 1989, p.  
3 50). Home economics education has suffered the largest declines  
4 within the field of home economics (Green, 1989; Redick, King, &  
5 Vloch, 1983) and greater than the declines observed in education  
6 in general (Redick et al., 1983). By 1988, 62% of all home  
7 economics education programs in the nation had five or fewer  
8 graduates from these programs (Weis & Pomraning, 1988). Often the  
9 tight job market has been cited as the reason for the enrollment  
10 decline (Hall, Wallace, & Lee, 1983; Peterson & Roscoe, 1983;  
11 McClelland & Plihal, 1987; Harper, 1981). Rees, Ezell, and  
12 Firebaugh (1989) feel this trend in home economics is to  
13 specialize rather than generalize.

14 Lambert and Clayton (1985) found that of those in a national  
15 1983 survey of home economics education graduates, 50% secured  
16 teaching positions and 8% were in Cooperative Extension Services.

17 Rossman, Parsons, and Holman (1983) reported that of 86% of  
18 home economics graduates of a major midwestern university from the  
19 classes of 1976 to 1981, 53% did teach in schools and 32% were  
20 employed in positions which they identified as clearly related to  
21 their home economics degree. Yocum (1980) conducted a follow-up  
22 survey of Alabama graduates during the early to mid 70s and found  
23 that of the 71.2% of those employed, only 23% were teaching home  
24 economics in 1979.

25

Procedures

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Findings

42

43

44

45

46

47

---

Insert Table 1

---

48

49

50

51

52

This article examines two states' home economics college graduates' employment experiences during 1978-1988. Both studies used a mailed questionnaire to home economics education graduates with names and addresses supplied by the home economics teacher education programs in the respective states. Tincher (1989) used a 100% sample of Alabama's 401 graduates, while Olson (1989) used a 50% stratified (by degree granting college type--public, private, state-related, and current place of residence--in Pennsylvania or outside Pennsylvania) random sample of 728 graduates. Both studies obtained similar sample sizes of 170 (Tincher, 1989) and 184 (Olson, 1989), with respective return rates of 57.8% and 56.9%. Although similar sample sizes are presented, the population size differs between the states, with Pennsylvania having nearly twice the number of graduates during this time period.

The income level of graduates differed between the states. The largest proportion of Alabama graduates (23.5%) earned between \$15,000 and \$20,000 annually, while Pennsylvania graduates made between \$25,000 and \$30,000 annually (27.7%) (see Table 1).

Graduates of Alabama's programs came from state universities (55.9%) with fewer coming from state-related (9.5%) and private (4.6%) universities and colleges. Pennsylvania graduates were more evenly distributed in the type of college or university where

53 they received their degree with most (47.4%) coming from state  
54 universities, followed by private (32.7%) and state-related  
55 (19.9%) universities of the 12 institutions offering degrees in  
56 home economics education during this time period.

57 Most of Alabama's graduates at the time of the survey were  
58 between 24-29 years of age (43%), while Pennsylvania graduates  
59 tended to fall between 30 to 39 years of age (67.9%). The  
60 majority of graduates from both states were married (Alabama,  
61 69.6%; Pennsylvania, 81%). Of those graduates with children, most  
62 children were of preschool age (36.5% in Alabama and 70.1% in  
63 Pennsylvania). Of those graduates continuing their education and  
64 receiving a master's degree, 38.8% came from Alabama, while only  
65 16.8% did so in Pennsylvania. However, a greater proportion of  
66 graduates continued on for the doctorate in Pennsylvania (1.1%)  
67 than in Alabama (.6%).

68 A slightly higher proportion (63.6%) of Pennsylvania  
69 graduates than Alabama graduates (54.7%) took coursework beyond  
70 the bachelor's. Of the fields of study pursued by graduates at  
71 the graduate level, most (48.4%) of Alabama's graduates continued  
72 in home economics education, while most (28.2%) of Pennsylvania's  
73 graduates went on to home economics specialty fields (see Table  
74 2). Of the other fields studied by graduates, fields common to  
75 both Pennsylvania and Alabama graduates were: elementary educa-  
76 tion, early childhood, administration, guidance and counseling,  
77 special education, adult education, and computer education.

---

79 Insert Table 2  
80

---

81 A larger proportion of Pennsylvania graduates (87%) were  
 82 currently employed at the time of the survey, than Alabama (71.2%)  
 83 graduates (see Table 3). A much higher rate (17.1% ) of choice of  
 84 full-time homemaking since graduation is seen for Alabama  
 85 graduates than Pennsylvania graduates (.5%). Of those currently  
 86 teaching, 41.2% of the entire sample was teaching home economics  
 87 in Alabama, compared to 32.1% in Pennsylvania (see Table 4). Of  
 88 those not employed in Alabama, 25% indicated they were not  
 89 employed because they could not find employment in home economics,  
 90 while 16.7% indicated no financial need. In Pennsylvania, those  
 91 not employed were doing so out of choice and the need to care for  
 92 their young children.

---

94 Insert Tables 3 and 4

---

96 Conclusions/Discussion

97 Based on these two studies (Olson, 1989; Tincher, 1989),  
 98 similarities and differences were observed about the occupational  
 99 behavior patterns of home economics education graduates. Similar-  
 100 ities included the following:

- 101 1. Most graduates were from state universities.
- 102 2. The majority of graduates were married.
- 103 3. The majority completed coursework beyond the bachelor's.
- 104 4. Common fields of study for both groups beyond the  
 105 bachelor's were: elementary education, early childhood,  
 106 administration, guidance and counseling, special  
 107 education, adult education, and computer education.
- 108 5. Most graduates were currently employed.

109 Observed differences observed in graduates were:

- 110 1. There were twice as many Pennsylvania graduates as  
111 Alabama graduates during this time period.
- 112 2. Pennsylvania graduates appeared to be making \$5,000-  
113 10,000 more per year than Alabama graduates.
- 114 3. Pennsylvania graduates tended to be older.
- 115 4. Nearly twice as many Alabama graduates continued their  
116 education at the master's degree level, whereas nearly  
117 twice as many Pennsylvania graduates continued their  
118 education at the doctoral level.
- 119 5. Most Alabama graduates continued their graduate work in  
120 home economics education, while Pennsylvania graduates  
121 continued their work in specialty areas of home  
122 economics.
- 123 6. A much higher rate of choice of full-time homemaking is  
124 seen in Alabama graduates over Pennsylvania graduates,  
125 and the rationale for choosing full-time home-making  
126 differed between the groups.
- 127 7. More Alabama graduates were actually teaching home  
128 economics than Pennsylvania graduates.

129 Based on these two studies, a number of similarities and  
130 differences were observed for 1978-1988 home economics education  
131 college graduates. While these are only two studies, more  
132 research needs to be done. How do graduates from other states  
133 compare and what accounts for the differences in career path and  
134 choice? Continued education? Can patterns and trends be observed  
135 differently in different parts of the country? What factors have



136 contributed to their differences? Is there a cultural difference  
137 between women in the north and women of the south? For example,  
138 New England Home Economics Teacher Educators and State Supervisors  
139 (1988) reported a real shortage of home economic education  
140 graduate for the New England area. Based on Olson's (1989) study,  
141 this pattern seems to also be true for Pennsylvania, but less true  
142 for Alabama (Tincher, 1989).

Table 1  
Income Levels of Graduates

Earnings	Alabama <sup>1</sup>	Pennsylvania <sup>2</sup>
\$10,000 or below	3.5%	13.6%
\$10-15,000	3.5%	8.7%
\$15-20,000	23.5%	11.7%
\$20-25,000	16.5%	11.4%
\$25-30,000	21.5%	27.7%
\$30-35,000	4.7%	1.6%
\$35-40,000	1.2%	1.1%
\$40,000 and up	--	2.2%
Non-response	18.8%	6.0%

<sup>1</sup>Tincher (1989), Table 18, p. 84.

<sup>2</sup>Olson (1989), Table B14, p. 154.

Table 2  
Field of Specialization at Graduate Level

Field of Study	Alabama <sup>1</sup>	Pennsylvania <sup>2</sup>
Home Economics Education	48.4%	9.4%
Home Economics	18.3%	28.2%
Business	4.3%	13.7%
Education	--	27.4%
Other	2.9%	21.4%

<sup>1</sup>Tincher, 1989, Table 13, p. 72.

<sup>2</sup>Olson, 1989, Table B9, p. 150.

Table 3  
Employment Status

Current	Alabama	Pennsylvania
Employed	71.2%	87.0%
Not employed	28.8%	13.0%
Never worked since graduation, by choice	17.1%	.5%

<sup>1</sup>Tincher (1989)

<sup>2</sup>Olson (1989)

Table 4  
Currently Teaching and Currently Teaching Home Economics

	Alabama <sup>1</sup>	Pennsylvania <sup>2</sup>
Currently teaching	50.6%	16.3%
Currently teaching home economics	41.2%	32.1%

<sup>1</sup>Tincher (1989)

<sup>2</sup>Olson (1989)

## References

- Green, K. B. (1989). The future of home economics in higher education. Journal of Home Economics, 81(1), 44-49.
- Hall, H. C., Wallace, S. A., & Lee, S. L. (1983). Characteristics of faculty, students, and programs in home economics teacher education. Journal of Vocational Home Economics Education, 1(3), 3-33.
- Harper, L. J. (1981). Home economics in higher education, 1968-1982: Analysis and trends. Journal of Home Economics, 78(2), 6-17, 50.
- Lambert, M., & Clayton, K. (1985). Preparing home economics education majors for career alternatives. Journal of Home Economics Education, 3(2), 32-42.
- McClelland, J., & Plihal, J. (1987). Dividing the waters: Phase one of a teacher education longitudinal study. Journal of Vocational Education Research, 12(2), 19-32.
- New England Home Economics Teacher Educators and State Supervisors. (1988). Task force report. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont.
- Olson, S. J. (1989). Factors and events that influenced the occupational behavior patterns of 1978-1988 Pennsylvania home economics education graduates. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University Park, PA: The Pennsylvania State University.
- Peterson, K. L., & Roscoe, B. (1983). The home economics undergraduate as seen in demographic profiles. Journal of Home Economics, 75(2), 13-15.
- Rassman, M. M., Parsons, J. H., & Holman, D. (1983). Career alternatives for home economics educators. Journal of Home Economics, 75(1), 12-15.
- Redick, S., King, B., & Vloch, J. (1983, March). Supply and demand for vocational home economics teacher: A preliminary report. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Vocational Home Economics Education Conference. Crystal City: U.S. Department of Education.
- Rees, J., Ezell, M., & Firebaugh, F. (1989). Careers for home economist in the United States. Journal of Home Economics, 80(2), 30-32.
- Stewart, B. L., & Daniel, E. (1989). Building enrollment: A 117 percent solution. Journal of Home Economics, 81(1), 50-52.

Tincher, C. L. (1989). Investigation of professional status of Alabama home economics education graduates, 1977-78 through 1986-87. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Tuscaloosa, AL: The University of Alabama.

Townsley, C. J., Scruggs, M. M., Callsen, M. S., & Warde, W. D. (1984). Incomes of home economists employed full time. Journal of Home Economics, 76(3), 19-21.

Weis, S., & Pomraning, D. (Eds.). (1988). 1988--The national directory of the home economics division of the American Vocational Association. National Association of Teacher Educators for Vocational Home Economics.



U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
National Library of Education (NLE)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Observations of home economics education College graduates during the last decade</i>	
Author(s): <i>Susan J. Olson</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>University of Akron</i>	Publication Date: <i>April 28, 1998</i>

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education (RIE)*, are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 1

Level 2A

Level 2B

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here, please

Signature: <i>Susan J. Olson</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Susan J. Olson, Ph.D</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>133 200 K, Univ. of Akron Akron, OH 44325-4205</i>	Telephone: <i>330-972-7118</i>	FAX: <i>330-972-5636</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>solson@uakron.edu</i>	Date: <i>3-28-98</i>



edu

(over)

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: <p style="text-align: center;">Acquisitions Coordinator ERIC/ACVE 1900 Kenny Road Columbus, OH 43210-1090</p>
--

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: