DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 418 063 SP 037 853

AUTHOR Zelazek, John R.; Williams, Wayne W.; McAdams, Charles;

Palmer, Kyle

TITLE Teacher Education Follow-Up Study, 1998.
INSTITUTION Central Missouri State Univ., Warrensburg.

PUB DATE 1998-04-00

NOTE 25p.; "As compiled by the Teacher Education Assessment

Committee."

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS.

*Beginning Teachers; Elementary Secondary Education; Higher

Education; Outcomes of Education; *Preservice Teacher Education; Program Evaluation; Student Teachers; Teacher

Certification; Teacher Characteristics; *Teacher

Competencies

IDENTIFIERS Central Missouri State University

ABSTRACT

This report presents the eighth Follow-Up Study by the Teacher Education Assessment Committee (TEAC) at Central Missouri State University (Central). TEAC is a centralized system of data collection and assessment that conducts and publishes results of periodic assessments and evaluations of Central's teacher education programs. Data came from Central's preservice teachers, education faculty, teacher education graduates, employers of Central-educated teachers, and graduates who completed MSE and EdS degrees in education. Results revealed that 58 percent of Central's previous year's graduating class secured full-time teaching positions within Missouri. Central teacher education graduates, first-year and second-year only, were employed in 181 of Missouri's 525 districts. Most student teachers were white, female, full-time students. They rated non-Western philosophies and cultures as their weakest academic area. The average salary for Central graduates was \$23,177. Most of the first and second year teachers planned on teaching 5 or more years from now. Teachers' top area of dissatisfaction in their current positions were level of support from parents and community, salary/fringe benefits, and opportunities for professional advancement. Teachers had limited professional production in the area of scholarship/research and reported limited classroom use of technology. Most employers felt that Central-trained teachers were strongly prepared for their current positions. The TEAC surveys are appended. (SM)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made



1998 Teacher Education Follow-Up Study

as compiled by the

Teacher Education Assessment Committee

Dr. John R. Zelazek, Chair

Dr. Wayne W. Williams

Dr. Charles McAdams

Dr. Kyle Palmer

Central Missouri State University Warrensburg, MO 64093-5086 660-543-8691 or 4235, FAX 660-543-4167 jrz8691@cmsu2.cmsu.edu

April, 1998

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES

INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Table of Contents

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Page</u>
Table of Contents	1
Introduction	2
Conclusions	3-4
Surveys	5-20
Foundations of Education Students Student Teachers Graduates (First and Second Year Teachers) Principals (Employers)	5-6 7-9 10-15 16-20
C-BASE Results 4/883/98	21-23



Introduction

This report represents the eighth Follow-Up Study by the Teacher Education Assessment Committee (TEAC) at Central Missouri State University (Central), Warrensburg, Missouri. TEAC was established in April of 1988. TEAC's current committee members are as follows: John R. Zelazek, Wayne W. Williams, Charles McAdams, and Kyle Palmer.

TEAC is a centralized system of data collection and assessment that conducts and publishes results of periodic assessments and evaluations of Central's Teacher Education Programs by soliciting input from: A) Central's Professional Education Faculty; B) Pre-service teacher education candidates at Central; C) Graduates of Central's undergraduate teacher education programs; D) Employers (principals) of educators who were prepared by Central, and E) graduates who completed MSE and EdS degrees in Education. The results of all assessments and evaluations are shared with all departments involved in teacher education programs. TEAC provides specific information to individual departments for use in the advisement and counseling of students as well as program revision and course development.

Demographic trends of teacher education classes, at both elementary and secondary levels, have been tallied, analyzed and distributed in order to help in load assessment, needs assessment, future planning for program adjustment, and personnel management. The use of TEAC survey data has been helpful to the University, so that it can look at the whole picture rather than fragmented pieces.

TEAC and the Office of Institutional Research and Testing Services have jointly developed a data-base of 15,000 past and present Central students and coordinates that data with the Office of Clinical Services and the Teacher Education Council. TEAC designed four major surveys based on Freeman's (1988) research. TEAC provided information for the Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education and NCATE accreditations and the North Central Association assessment. The results of the DESE and NCATE studies have been shared with the appropriate committees for accreditation reports.



2

Conclusions

The data revealed that **58%** of Central's previous year's graduating class secured full-time teaching positions within the State of Missouri.

Central teacher education graduates, first-year and second-year teachers only, are employed in 181 of the 525 districts across the State of Missouri. Of those Central graduates employed as full-time teachers in the Missouri public school systems, 71% were employed in school districts that reside within a 90 mile radius of CMSU.

TEAC does not have access to employment statistics of Central graduates in states other than Missouri, nor in Missouri private school settings, substitute teacher listings, Day Care Schools, state asylums, and other teaching situations not listed by the State of Missouri (DESE) Statistical Office.

Students in the Foundations classes were predominantly (79%) females. Four percent listed their cultural backgrounds as other than white. Ninety-three percent were full-time students. When asked to rate their academic background, the Foundations students rated Non-Western philosophies and cultures as their weakest area.

Seventy-nine percent of the 1997-1998 student teachers were females. Ninety-five percent were white. The students rated their student teaching experience very high as did past student teachers, and their advice/counseling received from academic advisors as weakest. When asked to rate their academic background the student teachers rated Child/adolescent growth and development as their strongest area of academic background and Non-Western philosophies and cultures as their weakest.

The average salary for Central graduates (First-year and Second-year teachers) was \$23,177. Ninety-three percent of the first and second year teacher survey respondents were white. Eighty-three percent



were full-time students while completing their undergraduate degree. Seventy-two percent of the graduate survey respondents plan to work on graduate degrees in education with forty-two percent planning to complete their graduate work at Central.

Eighty-eight percent of the first and second year teacher survey respondents were full-time classroom teachers. The teaching level appeared to be equally stratified from early elementary to senior high school. Seventy-six percent hold positions at their preferred teaching level.

Ninety-one percent of the first and second year teacher survey respondents survey respondents planned on teaching five years or more from now. Sixty-three percent found it necessary to obtain employment outside the school system during the school year. Seventy-eight percent stated they would enroll in a teacher preparation program again. The teachers' top three areas of dissatisfaction in their current positions were, level of support from parents and community, salary/fringe benefits of teaching, and opportunities for professional advancement.

The first and second year teachers have had limited professional production in the area of scholarship/research. They also reported limited usage of technology in their classroom instruction and believe that their undergraduate preparation in this area was adequate to weak.

Sixty-eight percent of the principals hiring Central's graduates who responded to the survey felt that the teachers were strongly prepared for their current positions. Principals feel salary and fringe benefits is the top area of dissatisfaction for teachers. Three principals have produced a tremendous number of grants, presentations and articles. However, the remaining principals have produced little if any scholarship/research. The principals reported limited usage of technology in their instruction and believe that their undergraduate/graduate preparation in this area was adequate to weak.



(TEAC--Foundations of Education--1998)

Of the 388 Foundations of Education students enrolled during the 1997-1998 school year, 350 (90% return) completed the survey.

Survey results are listed in whole numbers unless acknowledged as an average.

Please read each statement, then circle, fill-in or choose your response for each item.

1. Sex: (Check one)

males 088 females 262

- 2. Age: Fill-in 22.4 (average) years
- 3. Are you a Post-Bachelor Student? Circle

Yes 40

4. How would you describe yourself? Check only one.

American Indian 006
Asian or PI 003
African American 009
Hispanic 001
White 331
Other 000

5. How would you characterize your status as an undergraduate? Check only one.

Full-time student 321
Part-time student 011
Sometimes full-time/part-time 018

6. When do you plan to complete all requirements of your teacher certification program? Check only one.

Fall 1997 through summer 1998	008
Fall 1998 through summer 1999	072
Fall 1999 through summer 2000	162
Fall 2000 through summer 2001	111
Other	001



7. What do you consider to be the primary goal of education? Check only one.

Promoting academic development 170 Enhancing personal development 161 Facilitating social development 019

8. How do you rate your academic background in each of the following areas?

KEY---1=very weak; 2=weak; 3=adequate; 4=strong; 5=very strong

Averages are listed for the student responses

3.3	Mathematics
3.4	Social Studies
3.3	Natural Sciences
3.6	Humanities
3.2	Multi-cultural issues and perspectives
2.7	Non-Western philosophies and cultures
3.5	American history
3.3	American literature
3.0	Education-historical and philosophical development
3.3	Contemporary educational issues
3.3	Theories/principles of how students learn
3.5	Child/adolescent growth and development
3.2	Social and political roles of schools in America
3.3	Classroom management techniques/procedures
3.2	Legal and ethical responsibilities of teachers
3.2	Assessment and evaluation of students



(TEAC--Student Teachers--1998)

Of the 360 student teachers enrolled during the 1997/1998 school year, 345 (96%) completed the survey.

Survey results are listed in whole numbers unless acknowledged as an average.

Please read each statement, then circle, fill-in or choose your response for each item.

1. Sex:

males

072

females

273

- 2. Age: 27.2 years (Average)
- 3. What was (will be) your marital status at the time you complete certification?

Single 187 Married 158

4. How many minor children do you have living with you?

 None
 247

 One
 060

 Two
 023

 Three or more
 010

5. How would you describe yourself?

Native American 001
Asian or PI 001
African American 006
Hispanic 010
White 327
Other 000

6. How would you characterize your status as an undergraduate?

Full-time student 332
Part-time student 003
Sometimes full-time/part-time 010

7. When will you complete all requirements of your teacher certification program?

Fall 1997 141 Spring 1998 181 Summer 1998 021



8. On a scale of one to five, how would you rate the overall quality of:

KEY---1=very weak; 2=weak; 3=adequate; 4=strong; 5=very strong

Averages are listed for the student teacher responses

- 3.9 Your teacher preparation program
- 4.0 Courses in your undergraduate major field
- 3.8 Courses in your minor field(s) May not apply
- 3.4 The liberal arts/general education courses you have taken
- 4.7 Your student teaching experience
- 3.8 Advice/counseling you received from your departmental advisor in your major
- 3.8 In your minor field(s) of study. May not apply
- 3.0 Advice/counseling you received from your academic advisor
- 3.9 Support, assistance, and help from faculty and staff in your education program
- 9. To what extent have education courses (other than field-based experiences such as student teaching) contributed to your success as a teacher? These courses have made a(n) ______ contribution to my success.

Very significant	066
Significant	151
Moderate	108
Insignificant	016
Very insignificant	004

10. How would you rate your preparation to teach in culturally diverse settings?

Excellent	025
Good	121
Average	161
Weak	032
Poor	006

11. How would you rate your preparation to teach AT RISK students?

Excellent	025
Good	101
Average	140
Weak	078
Poor	001



12. Do you feel you are a(n)..

Exceptional student teacher	102
Better than average student teacher	219
Average student teacher	051
Below average student teacher	003
Inferior student teacher	000

13. How do you rate your academic background in each of the following areas?

KEY---1=very weak; 2=weak; 3=adequate; 4=strong; 5=very strong

Averages are listed for the student teacher responses

- 3.4 Mathematics
- 3.8 Social studies
- 3.6 American History
- 3.5 Natural sciences
- 3.9 Humanities
- 3.6 Multi-cultural issues and perspectives
- 2.9 Non-Western philosophies and cultures
- 3.5 Education historical and philosophical development
- 3.8 Contemporary educational issues
- 4.1 Theories/principles of how students learn
- 4.1 Child/adolescent growth and development
- 3.6 Social and political roles of schools in America
- 4.0 Classroom management techniques/procedures
- 4.0 Legal and ethical responsibilities of teachers
- 3.5 American Literature
- 3.5 Assessment and evaluation of students



February, 1998

Dear Educator,

On behalf of the Teacher Education Assessment Committee (TEAC) at Central Missouri State University, I ask your assistance in the assessment process we are currently undertaking. Please return the survey to me in the prepaid envelope by March 20, 1998.

This task is in compliance with The State of Missouri law (Excellence in Education Act of 1985), our national accreditation agency for teacher education, NCATE, and our regional accreditation agency, North Central. We need to conduct a follow-up of students who completed certification or graduated from our programs and are first or second year teachers. These data will be used in conjunction with last year's survey and surveys that are currently being distributed by individual departments on our campus for the purpose of improvement.

I will also be contacting your principal to make him/her aware of our surveys, and ask him/her to participate in an employers' survey. All responses will be confidential. If you wish a copy of our results, please enclose a self-addressed stamped envelope, and I will send you a copy as soon as they are compiled.

Sincerely,

Dr. John R. Zelazek, Chair Teacher Education Assessment Committee



(TEAC---Graduates---First and Second Year Teachers---1998)

Of the 605 Graduates (First and Second Year Teachers) employed in the State of Missouri during the 1997-1998 school year, 288 (48%) completed the survey.

Survey results are listed in whole numbers unless acknowledged as an average.

Please read each statement, then circle, fill-in or choose your response for each item.

1A. Sex:

Males 058 Females 230

1B. Level:

Elementary 139 Secondary 149

2. Age in years: 28.6 years (Average)

3. What was your marital status at the time you graduated from college?

Single 139 Married 150 Other 000

4. What is your current salary per school year? \$23,177 (Average)

How many years have you been teaching?

One 117 Two 171

5. How would you describe yourself?

Native American 005 Asian or Pl 004 Hispanic 001 White 266 African-American 005 Other 007

6. How would you characterize your status as an undergraduate?

Full-time 238
Part-time 010
ft/pt 040

7. During which semester did you complete all requirements of your teacher certification program?

Before Summer 95 071 Summer 95 through Spring 96 098 Summer 96 through Spring 97 100 Summer 97 013



11

8. How many children do you have?

None	169
One	039
Two	039
Three	028
Other	009

9. Do you plan to do your graduate work in education?

Yes	207
Not Sure	050
Completed	021

10. Do you plan to do your graduate work at CENTRAL MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY?

Yes	120
Not Sure	194
No	059

11. How would you describe your current position in education?

254
005
800
001
002
004

13. At what grade level do you teach?

Preschool/kindergarten	025
Early elementary/grades 1-3	085
Upper elementary/grades 4-5	035
Middle school/jr. high	073
Senior high school,	070
Other	005

14. Is this the level at which you prefer to teach?

Yes 221 No 067

15. --#15 is for Secondary Majors only--(a) What subject(s) do you teach? (check all that apply)

_2_Agriculture	_6Art	15_Biology	_8_Business	_5_Computer Sci.
_2_Marketing	_12_Earth Sci	19_English	_4_Health	_4_Home Econ
_19_History	_4_Industrial (ARTS) Technolo	gy Education	_7_Journalism
_22_Mathematics	_1_Music	_8_Physical E	ducation _10_P	hysical Sci
_17_Social Studies	_19_Special E	Ed05_Speech/	Theatre	_12_Foreign Lang.



16. What best describes your teaching assignment?

Contained Classroom	207
PE instructor	012
Art instructor	006
Music instructor	001
Other	045

17. About what percent of your present teaching assignment is in the grade(s) or subject area(s) in which you were certified/endorsed?

226 graduates responded that 100% of the assignment was in their areas of certification/endorsement. Sixty-two graduates responded that at least 10% to as much as 90% of their assignment was not in their area of certification or endorsement.

18. For how long have you been teaching full time?

Less than one year	111
1 to 2 years	116
2 years	013
Other	048

19. Five years from now, do you plan to be?

Teaching	194
Education Specialist	017
Continuing Education	015
Out of Workforce	027

20. How much longer do you expect to teach?

< five years	031
5-10 years	049
11-20 years	056
More than 20 years	152

21. In the past year, have you been employed in some capacity besides your teaching assignment?

No 107 Yes 181

If Yes, how would you describe your other source(s) of income? (circle all that apply)

043	Coaching
035	Other school-related assignment during the school year
073	Employment outside the school system during the school year
137	School-related job during the summer

22. If you had it to do over again, would you still enroll in a teacher preparation program?

Definitely yes	151
Probably yes	073
Probably not	018
Definitely not	006



23. Please rate your level of satisfaction with each of the following aspects of your current positions.

KEY---1=very satisfied; 2=satisfied; 3=neutral; 4=dissatisfied; 5=very dissatisfied

Averages are listed for each response

- 2.4 Salary/fringe benefits
- 2.0 Quality/level of administrative support
- 1.8 Level of personal challenge
- 2.1 Methods used to evaluate your teaching performance
- 2.0 General work conditions
- 2.0 Intellectual stimulation of the workplace
- 1.9 Geographical location
- 2.2 Opportunities for professional advancement
- 2.3 Level of support from parents and the community
- 1.8 Level of support from administrators and colleague
- 1.7 Interactions with colleagues/students
- 1.9 Your sense of professional autonomy/level of discretion
- 24. Do you plan:

to transfer to a different school within your current district?	Yes 019	No 269
to seek employment in another district?	Yes 065	No 223
to seek employment outside of education?	Yes 016	No 272

25. To what extent have education courses (other than field-base experiences) contributed to your success as a teacher? These courses have made a _____contribution to my success.

Very significant	025
Significant	101
Moderate	096
Insignificant	025
Very insignificant	002

26. At the time you completed your teacher preparation program, how would you have rated the adequacy of your preparation to teach in a culturally diverse setting with at-risk students?

Very weak	039
Weak	097
Adequate	087
Strong	025
Very strong	006



(TEAC---Graduates---First and Second Year Teachers---1998)

Of the 605 Graduates (First and Second Year Teachers) employed in the State of Missouri during the 1997-1998 school year, 259 (43%) completed the scholarship/research technology survey.

Please respond to those areas that apply to you.

If you need additional space, write on the back of this sheet.

Scholarship/Research:

- 1. Number of grants written: _30_Total amount of grant(s) \$230.000
- 2. Number of presentations made at conferences, seminars or workshops:_45_
- 3. Number of articles written__10__

Technology:

- 1. List the different technologies you have incorporated into your instruction: On average each respondent listed 2.4 different technologies such as computers, E-Mail, Software, CD Rom, Internet, LCD Panels, Calculators, TV, VCR, Laser Disk, Cable TV, and Video systems. The two responses listed most often were computers and video systems. The range was from zero to nine technologies.
- 2. Check the percentage that best reflects the amount of your instruction that requires the use of technologies listed above:

- 3. List the technologies you use in assessment of student achievement: On average each respondent listed .5 different technologies such as computers, Software, CD Rom, Internet, LCD Panels and Calculators. The two responses listed most often were computers and calculators. The range was from zero to four technologies.
- 4. Regarding the areas of research and technology, check the descriptor that best reflects your undergraduate or graduate preparation:

Research: Strong_51__ Adequate _152__ Weak_43_

Technology: Strong_36__ Adequate _140__ Weak_73__



February, 1998

Dear Principal,

On behalf of the Teacher Education Assessment Committee (TEAC) at Central Missouri State University, I ask your assistance in the assessment instrument that I would like you to complete. Please return the survey to me in the prepaid envelope by March 20, 1998.

This task is in compliance with The State of Missouri law (Excellence in Education Act of 1985), our national accreditation agency for teacher education, NCATE, and our regional accreditation agency, North Central. We need to conduct a follow-up of employers of **our graduates and students who went through our certification program who are first or second year teachers**. These data will be used in conjunction with last year's survey and surveys that are currently being distributed by individual departments on our campus for the purpose of improvement.

I will also be contacting your teachers to make them aware of our surveys and ask them to participate. All responses will be confidential. If you wish a copy of our results, please enclose a self-addressed stamped envelope, and I will send you a copy as soon as they are compiled.

Sincerely,

Dr. John R. Zelazek, Chair Teacher Education Assessment Committee



(TEAC---Principals---1998)

Of the 382 principals who evaluated first and second year teachers (graduates of Central and those who completed certification) during the 1997-1998 school year, 120 (31%) completed the survey.

Survey results are listed in whole numbers unless acknowledged as an average.

Please read each statement, then circle, fill-in or choose your response for each item.

1. How many CMSU graduates and/or post-bachelor certification graduates did you employ this school year as true first-year or second-year teachers? 173

Sex:

males 040 females 133

2. What is their annual individual salary? Please list a number for each.

less than \$18,000 000 \$18,000-19,999 023 \$20,000 or beyond 097

3. How would you describe them? Please list a number for each.

American Indian 006
Hispanic 001
Asian or P I 000
White 156
African American 010
Other 000

4. Do you feel they are satisfied with the profession they have chosen? Please give a number for each choice.

Yes 159 No 014

5. How well prepared do you consider them for their present position?

Very strong 019 Strong 063 Adequate 021 Weak 002 Very weak 005

6. How would you describe their current positions in education? Please list numbers for each position.

Full time teacher 168
Permanent substitute 004
Part-time teacher 001



7.	What s	ubject(s) do they	teach? (please list num	bers for all that apply)	
	_4_Agı	riculture	_5_Art	_5_Biology	_6_Business
	_6_Cor	mputer Science	_2Marketing	_8_Earth Science	_60_Elementary
	_156	English	3_Health	4_Home Economics	_1History
	_4Jo	ournalism	_11_Mathematics	_7Music	_20_Physical Ed.
	_7_Phy	sical Sciences	_9_Social Studies	_23_Special Education	_0Speech/Theatre
	_5Fc	oreign Language	_4_Industrial (ARTS)	Technology Education	
	_9_Oth	er(s)Speech	and Reading		
8.	What percent of your first-year and second-year teachers are certificated in all areas they are presently teaching? (Excluding emergency certificates)90%				
9.	How many of your first-year and second-year teachers are on emergency teaching certificates?_10% In what disciplines? Special Education, Math, and Science				
10.	Please rate your perception of your new teachers' level of satisfaction with each of the following aspects of their current teaching positions.				
KEY1=very satisfied; 2=satisfied; 3=neutral; 4=dissatisfied; 5=very dissatisfied					
		Av	erages are listed for the	principals responses	
	2.4 salary/fringe benefits 1.5 quality/level of administrative support 1.9 level of personal challenge 1.8 methods used to evaluate your teaching performance 1.6 your sense of professional autonomy/level of discretion 1.6 general work conditions 1.9 intellectual stimulation of the workplace 1.9 geographical location 2.2 opportunities for professional advancement 2.1 level of support from parents and the community 1.4 level of support from administrators and colleague 1.9 interactions with colleagues/students				

11. Would you hire your first-year and second-year teachers again? Please list a number for each

All 130 Most 036 Some 004 None of these 002



12. How well prepared are your first-year and second-year teacher to teach in culturally diverse settings? Please list a number for each

Excellent	021
Good	064
Average	052
Weak	023
Poor	012

13. To what extent have education courses (other than field-based experiences such as student teaching) contributed to their success as a teacher? Please list numbers for each choice.

Very Significant	012
Significant	131
Modest	029
Insignificant	001
Very Insignificant	000

14. How well prepared are your first-year and second-year teacher to teach AT RISK students? Please list a number for each.

Excellent	800
Good	021
Average	123
Weak	018
Poor	005

ABOUT YOU

- 15. When did you complete your teacher preparation program? (Year) Range = 1956-1997.
- 16. What was your undergraduate major?

Elementary Education	41 %
Physical Education	16%
Social Studies	17%
Others	26%



(TEAC---Principals---1998)

Of the 382 principals who evaluated first and second year teachers (graduates of Central and those who completed certification) during the 1997-1998 school year, 50 (13%) completed the scholarship/research technology survey.

Please respond to those areas that apply to you.

If you need additional space, write on the back of this sheet.

Scholarship/Research:

1.	Number of grants written:78Total amount of grant(s) \$6.4M
	(Note: Three principals accounted for 62 of the grants written for a total of \$4.3M)
2.	Number of presentations made at conferences, seminars or workshops:_56
	(Note: Fifteen principals accounted for the 56 presentations)

3. Number of articles written___19____

(Note: Two principals accounted for the 19 articles.)

Technology:

- 1. List the different technologies you have incorporated into your instruction: On average each respondent listed 2.1 different technologies such as computers, E-Mail, Software, CD Rom, Internet, LCD Panels, Calculators, TV, VCR, Laser Disk, Cable TV, and Video systems. The two responses listed most often were computers and video systems. The range was from zero to six technologies.
- 2. Check the percentage that best reflects the amount of your instruction that requires the use of technologies listed above:

- 3. List the technologies you use in assessment of student achievement: On average each respondent listed 1.6 different technologies such as computers, Software, CD Rom, LCD Panels, Calculators, TV, VCR, Laser Disk. The two responses listed most often were computers and calculators. The range was from zero to six technologies.
- 4. Regarding the areas of research and technology, check the descriptor that best reflects your undergraduate or graduate preparation:

Research: Strong_14__ Adequate _21__ Weak_3__
Technology: Strong_3_ Adequate _19__ Weak_16__



CBASE RESULTS

CENTRAL STUDENTS ONLY

4/15/88---3/18/98

Students who wish to complete a teacher education degree in Missouri need to successfully complete the College Basic Academic Subjects Examination, CBASE, as part of the admission process for acceptance into the teacher education program at Central. This requirement became effective on September 1, 1988 as part of the 1985 "Excellence in Education Act." CBASE is a criterion-referenced achievement examination focusing on the knowledge and skills requisite to successful college course work. It contains five examination areas: Writing, English, Math, Science and Social Studies. Since the first offering of CBASE in April of 1988, 4323 students have submitted test scores to the university as part of their teacher education admission process. The following page shows a matrix per test, by number of successful attempts, unsuccessful attempts with frequencies, and percentages. The left hand column of the matrix requires the following explanation in order to interpret the data:

1 :	= F	irst	attempt,	successful
-----	-----	------	----------	------------

2 = Second attempt, successful

3 = Third attempt, successful

4 = Fourth attempt, successful

5 = Fifth attempt, successful

6 = Sixth attempt, successful

7 = Seventh attempt, successful

8 = Eighth attempt, successful

9= Ninth attempt, successful

A = First attempt, unsuccessful

B = Second attempt, unsuccessful

C = Third attempt, unsuccessful

D = Fourth attempt, unsuccessful

E = Fifth attempt, unsuccessful

F = Sixth attempt, unsuccessful

G = Seventh attempt, unsuccessful

H = Eighth attempt, unsuccessful

I = Ninth attempt, unsuccessful



English A B C D E	Frequency 161 21 6 5 2	Percent 3.7 .5 .1 .1 <.1 <.1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3843 214 46 12 8 2	88.9 5.0 1.1 .3 .2 <.1 <.1
Writing A B C D H	Frequency 98 17 5 6 2	Percent 2.3 .4 .1 .1 <.1 <.1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3922 206 42 12 7 2	90.7 4.8 1.0 .3 .1 <.1 <.1
Math A B C D E G	Frequency 239 29 11 7 7 5 1	Percent 5.5 .7 .3 .2 .2 .1 <.1 <.1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	3661 210 89 31 17 10 3 1	84.7 4.8 2.1 .7 .4 .2 <.1 <.1



Science A B C D E	Frequency 277 29 8 2 1	Percent 6.4 .7 .2 <.1 <.1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3563 340 63 23 10 2	82.4 7.9 1.5 .5 .2 <.1
Social Stud A B C D E	dies Frequency 184 25 2 6 3 1	Percent 4.2 .6 <.1 .2 <.1 <.1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3717 264 71 28 10 8 2	86.0 6.1 1.6 .6 .2 .2 <.1





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	:	
Title: 1998 TEACHER ESC	UCATION FULLUM - UP	stroy
Author(s): JOHN R. ZELAZE	K WAYNE WILLIAMS	CHRUS MCASAIS KYU PALMA
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
CENTRA MISSOUNI	STATE UNIUMIT	7 4/98
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:		/
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Res and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC reproduction release is granted, one of the following If permission is granted to reproduce and disser-	sources in Education (RIE), are usually made as C Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Cong notices is affixed to the document.	e educational community, documents announced in the vailable to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, credit is given to the source of each document, and, if ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom
of the page.		
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS WATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) 2A	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
† 		†
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproductio and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	
I hereby grant to the Educational Resour as indicated above. Reproduction from	m the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by	rmission to reproduce and disseminate this document persons other than ERIC employees and its system
to satisfy information needs of educato		ofit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies
Sign Signature:	Printed No	ama/Position/Titla:
here,→	Jo H	
FRIC CMSU	Telephon 660 E-Mail Ad	Date: 3/3-416/
	1.1.02	REGIRAL CHSUL 3/10/98

CMSU. FOU

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
1/4	
Price:	

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:	<u> </u>		
Address:			
NA			

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

THE ERIT CLEARINGHOUSE ON TEACHING AND TEACHER EDUCATION ONE DUPONT CIRCLE, SUITE 610 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-1186 (202) 293-2450

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1100 West Street, 2nd Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

Figure 1997)