DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 417 610 FL 025 133

AUTHOR Tice, Bradley S.
TITLE Physical Linguistics.

PUB DATE 1997-07-00

NOTE 15p.; Paper presented at the Conference of the International

Association of the Improvement of Mother Tongue Teaching

(Amsterdam, Netherlands, July 9-11, 1997).

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Articulation (Speech); Classroom Techniques; *Language

Patterns; Language Research; *Linguistic Theory; Native Language Instruction; Recordkeeping; Records (Forms); Second

Languages; *Speech; *Speech Language Pathology; Teaching

Methods

IDENTIFIERS *Dysarthria

ABSTRACT

Physical linguistics is defined as the use of treatments from the field of speech pathology to enhance first and second language production in healthy individuals, resulting in increased quality and strength of phonation and articulation. A series of exercises for treating dysarthria (weakness, paralysis, discoordination, primary and secondary sensory deprivation, and alteration in tone of speech musculature), drawn from speech pathology texts, is outlined. Materials needed include tongue depressor, sterile gauze pads, and sterile rubber gloves. Theory underlying the treatments, areas to be improved by use of the exercises, determination of baseline performance, need, and objectives, and methods of recording procedures are discussed, and the procedures themselves are described. Forms for charting progress in tone improvement and strengthening throughout treatment are appended. (MSE)



International Association of the Improvement of Mother Tongue Teaching IAIMTE

Conference July 9-11, 1997 AMSTERDAM

PHYSICAL LINGUISTICS

Ву

Dr. Bradley S. Tice Pacific Language Institute Cupertino, California U.S.A. "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Tice Tice

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Abstract

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

official OERI position or policy.

originating it.

The use of treatments usually associated with 'motor speech disorders' that effect the phonation, articulation, resonation, and prosody of speech and are of a neuropathologic origin. These same speech processes also have to be balanced in healthy individuals and so the use of treatments from speech pathology to correct and strengthen articulators for proper articulation in individuals learning a language can compliment the rate and quality of the production of sound of that language.

Introduction

The exercises examined in this demonstration are classified in speech pathology treatment as corrections for dysarthrias; the weakness, paralysis, discoordination, primary and secondary



sensory deprivation, and alteration in the tone of the speech musculature (Dworkin, 1991: 5-6).

We will be focusing on the use of these treatments to strengthen the tongue and for tone reduction of the tongue.

It is important to note that not all individuals will need strengthening exercises and this can be analyzed from an initial evaluation of the individuals articulators. Also, the rate of improvement, if there is any improvement at all, will be based on the accuracy of the diagnoses, the treatment, the motivation of the patient and the individual idiosyncrasys that make up each individual human being. If a series of exercise are not working, move on to ones that will.

The term 'physical linguistics' is the name for the pairing of speech pathology treatments to enhance language production in healthy individuals by the means of physical process or tools not usually associated with language acquisition training. This area is a hybrid area of applied linguistics and speech pathology and the results are an increase in quality and strength of phonation and articulation.

Method and Materials



The methods employed in these exercises are from speech pathology texts and a list of the materials are as follows.

- 1. Tongue Depressors
- 2. Sterile Gauze Pads 3x3 inch.
- 3. Sterile Rubber Gloves

Theory

Because the treatments covered are for dysarthria all exercises will be focusing on facilitating the "physiologic integrity of the coordination between the disturbed speech subsystems or training compensatory skills."(Dworkin, 1991: 27). Specifically, in the non-dysarthria subject, the treatment is to strengthen the articulators and promote flexability of the articulators in producing new sounds.

The following are a list of areas to be improved by the use of these exercises (Dworkin, 1991: 27).

- 1. Promote oral motor development
- 2. Promote adequate body and orofacial postures.
- 3. Promote integration of primitive and higher-level orofacial reflexes.
- 4. Promote reduction of increases in orofacial muscle tone.
- 5. Increases in orofacial muscle strength.



3

6. Improves range, speed, timing and coordination of orofacial muscle contractions and movements.

Application

It is not sufficient to just administer treatments for without integrating a design to measure and test treatment efficiency, the clinician has no means of determining whether the therapy has worked. Such actions require methods that are objective, measureable, replicable, and empirical to illustrate a direct cause and effect relationship (Dworkin, 1991: 19).

To this end treatment objectives and outcomes are designed around the patient and are recorded on a chart. The first order of business is to record the baseline data, pre-treatment data, on the behavior to be treated (Dworkin, 1991: 23).

General Guidelines for Examination (Duffy, 1995: 64-67).

- a.) History of Subject- physical problems, medical history, languages learned.
- b.) Salient Features- speech characteristics such as speed, range, steadiness and accuracy.
- c.) Confirmation of Signs- direct muscle observation and muscle stretch reflexes.



Baseline Data

Baseline data is pre-treatment data on behavior to be treated.

All scores are arbitrary and can be adjusted to fit subject's condition.

Charts

Chart all of the subjects performances from pre, para, and post-therapies. There are two sample blank charts to use in this demonstration a.) Tone Improvement Chart, that is to be used for testing tongue hypertonicity and b.) Strengthening Chart, that is to be used with resistence blocks.

The Tone Improvement Chart is composed of the following

- 1.) Entries for dates of treatments: Date of the treatment.
- 2.) A subjective scale for measuring the articulator: A scale that goes from +4, very increased, to -4, very decreased with 0 being a normal level.
- 3.) Number of trails: The number of trails or tests done during that session.
- 4.) Musculature: Articulator to be tested.
- 5.) Type of activity: Type of activity or testing being preformed.
- 6.) Target criterion: Expected outcome.



The Strengthening Chart is composed of the following.

- 1.) Dates: Date of treatment.
- 2.) Subjective ranking: Scale for measuring strength. A scale from +4, very strong, to -4, very weak with 0 being normal.
- 3.) Number of trials: The number of trials or tests during that session.
- 4.) Musculature: Articululator to be tested.
- 5.) Vector: Activity being performed.
- 6.) Target Criterion: Expected outcome.

Demonstrations

The demonstrations will be 1.) Tongue Hypertonicity Exercise 2.)
Resistence Blocks for a.) Anterior Tongue Strengthening and b.)
Right and Left Laterial Strengthening.

Tongue Hypertonicity Exercise (Evaluation Stage only).

The subject will stick out their tongue so that it can be gently grasped with a gauze pad by the clinician. Once the tongue is positioned and secured in this manner, instruct the patient to relax as much as possible to allow the tongue to be (passively) pulled slowly forward and laterially to measure the inherent tissue elasticity. Maintain the tongue in each manipulated



direction for at least 3 seconds.

Repeat this technique three times before rendering a baseline rating of overall muscle tone on the Tone Improvement Chart, which is based on a popular used scale ranging from +4 to -4. To record these baseline data, enter on the y-axis grid the perceived degree of muscule tone, making certain to list the date of data collection, the number of trails,(3), the musculature tested ("T" for tongue), and the activity (BF1, BR?L1), indicating forward and laterial tone baselines. A score of +1.5 or higher is generally a prescription for tone reduction treatment (Dworkin, 1991: 194–195).

Resistence Blocks for a.) anterior tongue evaluation and strengthening and b.) right and left laterial tongue evaluation and strengthening.

For anterior baseline measurement make certain that the upper and lower borders of the tongue depressor are positioned between the patient's upper and lower incisor teeth so the the flat edge is in close contact with the tip of the tongue at rest. Request that the subject push against this surface with the tongue-tip as hard as the thumb exerts external resistence.



Apply enough counterforce to prevent protrusion of the tonguetip beyond the cutting edges of the teeth. As the subject pushes against the stick for about 5 seconds, rate the overall degree of force exerted. Record the results as the mean score on the Strengthening Chart (Dworkin, 1991: 208-209).

For right laterial baseline measures, make certain that the index and middle fingertips are pressed firmly against the patient's right cheek as he or she is requested to push the tongue-tip as hard as possible into the cheek with the objective of overcoming this external resistence. Apply sufficient counterforce to prevent the patient's cheek from bulging significantly outward. Note the degree of laterial force exerted over the course of 5 seconds. Repeat this procedure several times and follow the scoring and charting method recommended above for anterior measurement, except that here use the activity (vector) symbol "BR1" to represent these baseline findings (Dworkin, 1991: 209).

For left laterial baseline measures, follow exactly the same method as for the right side, except that these data are represented by the activity symbol "BL1" on the Strengthening Chart (Dworkin, 1991: 209).



Treatment to strengthen anterior and laterial tongue articulations

The same treatment that is used to evaluate the anterior tongue articulator strength is also the treatment. A recommended number of trials per session for each articulator is 10.

Again the same 'resistence' type training for the laterial tongue articulator by having the subject apply resistence externally to cheek with finger tips to counter tongue force. A recommended number of trials per session for each articulator is again limited to 10.

Summary

With proper motivation, analysis and application of treatments, an increase in both tone quality and articulation strength will result from the proper use of these speech pathology treatments and will be a worth while aid in producing quality target language sound patterns.



References

Duffy, J.R. (1995). Motor Speech Disorders: Substrates,

<u>Differential Diagnosis</u>, and Management. New York: Mosby
Year Book, Inc.

Dworkin, J.P. (1991). <u>Motor Speech Disorders: A Treatment Guide</u>. Boston: Mosby Year Book, Inc.



TONE IMPROVEMENT CHART

| Dates: Dates: Dates: Dates: Dates: Dates: Dates: Dates: Dates: Activity: Fc Dates: Activity symbol Target criterion | 1. Musculature: Tongue (T); Lip (L); Velum (V); Jaw (J) 2. Activity: Forward tongue pull (F); Lateral | pull (U/D); Open/Close jaw excursions (O/C); Velar Massage (A/P) Baseline (pre-treatment): B + feature symbol | TREATMENT RESPONSES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SESSIONS |
|--|---|--|---------------------|--------|---|----|----|----------|---|-----|-----|---|--------|-------|-------|--------|----------|
| | ACTIV 1. ML 2. Ac | | <u> </u> | Dates: | 4 | +3 | +2 | <u> </u> | 7 | 2 - | E . | * | trials | ature | /mpol | terion | |

Figure 6-3. Blank Tone Improvement Chart to be used for articulator tone reduction therapy.

cause and st

Tongi

pulling roughl anothe lined i usual symbo

> graspe criteri should pulled second other nicity the lat als with

the proment (

Tongi

tailed the ne subcat bol "B

it gent count of ner of a 10-se across count tongue stiffne hypert

Follow



STRENGTHENING CHART

| Comments: | | |
|--|---|--|
| ACTIVITY KEY 1. Musculature: Tongue (T); Lip (L); Jaw (J) | Vectors: Anterior (A); Right (R); Left (L); Palatal (P); Open/Close (O/C) Baseline (pre-treatment): B + feature symbol | |
| Patient's name: Birthdate: Sex: | Speech diagnosis: Medical diagnosis: Clinician: Facility: Address: | |

| | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|---------|----------|-----|-------|--------------|--------------|----|-----------|---|---------------|-------------|--------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NSES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESPO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TREATMENT RESPONSES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TREAT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates: | + | ۳ ۲ | +5 | Ŧ | 0 | - | -2 | 1 | 4 | No. of trials | lature | Vector | terion |
| | _ | 000 | | | • | = | | | Bak | | No. of | Musculature | _ | Target criterion |
| | | ery Str | - | | | N 078 | | _ | Very Weak | | | | | Ta |
| | | > | • | gui | isA (| ctive | əļqn | S | | | | | | |

Figure 6-13. Blank Strengthening Chart to be used to increase the strength of articulators.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

SESSIONS

tuted quired

certain

training tive con ible to tinue

culatu not re nician onstra be a p

Tong

ceding tagge symbol Stren "-1.5

in Fig in the again again precia dures here a

here a line r proac hibit

Tong

these the S if the step;

for the

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION REI FASE

| NLFI | (Specific Document) | 3 E |
|---|--|--|
| I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION | , , | |
| Title: Physical Linguistic | S. | |
| Author(s): Bradley S. Tice | | · · · |
| presented at another confere IAIMTE Conference 7/9-1 | | rubilication Date. 1997 |
| and electronic media, and sold through the E reproduction release is granted, one of the folk If permission is granted to reproduce and dis | ole timely and significant materials of interest to the edu Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made availal RIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) Condi | ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy t is given to the source of each document, and, |
| or the page. | • | |
| The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents | The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents | The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents |
| PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY | PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY | PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY |
| TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) | TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) | TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) |
| 1 | 2A | 2B |
| Level 1 | Level 2A | Level 2B |
| x | | |
| Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy. | Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only | Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only |
| Docu. If permission to | iments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality per reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed. | rmits. ssed at Level 1. |
| as indicated above. Reproduction from to | ources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit rep ators in response to discrete inquiries. | ons other than ERIC employees and its system |
| Sign here,→ | Printed Name/Por Bradley | S. Tice, Director |

(408) 253-4449

E-Mail Address

Pacific Language Institute

please

n/a

Date: 3/2/98

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

| Publisher/Distributor: | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Address: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Price: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| V. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/RE | • | | | | | |
| f the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other address: | than the add | dressee, | please p | ovide the ap | propriate na | ame a |
| lame: | | | | | | ` |
| ddress: (a) and a company of the | • | | *** * *** | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages & Linguistics 1118 22nd Street NWV Washington, D.C. 20037