ED 417 481   EA 028 943

AUTHOR   Karr-Kidwell, P. J.
TITLE   Improving the "Quality of Life" in School and Business
                Organizations: Historical and Contemporary Trends.
PUB DATE   1998-02-00
NOTE   23p.
PUB TYPE   Information Analyses (070)
EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Decision Making; Educational Administration; *Educational
                Objectives; *Educational Trends; Elementary Secondary
                Education; Participative Decision Making; School
                Administration; School Organization; *School Supervision

ABSTRACT
                Numerous attempts have been made to improve the
effectiveness of decision-making in organizational settings. Some of the
historical and contemporary organizational trends regarding these efforts,
both in business and in school settings, are presented in this paper. The
focus is on the related expectations and outcomes that are evident in diverse
organizational settings. Some of the past trends include management by
objectives; job satisfaction; factors in effective organizations, such as job
enrichment; and productivity that is linked to human relations. Some of the
current trends in decision-making entail the notion of "a community of
leaders," increased empowerment for employees, a heightened sense of
ownership that engages employees in decisions, the role of school leadership
in decision-making, the use of cognitive-coaching and clinical-supervision
models, effective communication that increases worker empowerment, parental
and business involvement, and site-based management, which is now considered
the key in enhancing the ownership and the responsibility of all
stakeholders. (Contains approximately 150 references.) (RJM)

IMPROVING THE "QUALITY OF LIFE" IN SCHOOL AND BUSINESS

ORGANIZATIONS: Historical and Contemporary Trends

Improving the "Quality of Life" in School and Business
Organizations: Historical and Contemporary Trends
by
PJ Karr-Kidwell, PhD.
Professor, Educational Leadership,Texas Woman's University

Introduction

Over the years, there have been attempts to improve not only
the effectiveness of decision-making in organizational settings but
also the "quality of life" in the workplace. Such endeavors have
created opportunities for different outcomes in educational and
corporate sectors. In the mid-1970s and early 1980s, for example,
the climate in these organizations, the amount of time for
participative decision-making that was afforded to subordinates,
and related productivity outcomes were touted as some of the areas
for scrutiny. They were often viewed as key areas for viable
changes, particularly to enhance the employee's morale, job
satisfaction, and overall productivity. More recently, site-based
management(SBM) and decision-making; more empowerment and
ownership in the organization; understanding work cultures;
problem-based learning to enhance critical thinking skills on the
job; and parental and business involvements have been underscored
as the necessary components linked to more effective organizations.
Exemplary or innovative worksites are often featured on videos,
television programs, and at national and regional conferences.

In the first part of this paper, some of these historical and
contemporary organizational trends or changes, both in business and
school settings, are presented. A summative format is utilized to

3

focus on the related expectations and outcomes in diverse organizational settings. In the second part of the paper, related historical and contemporary references are provided. Both quantitative and qualitative resources are included for referrals regarding specific ideas or findings for the business and administrative sectors.

Historical Trends or Changes in Business and School Sectors

1. Management by Objectives (MBO) appeared in the business literature for decades, particularly with Drucker's work in the 1950s, but seemed to be more prominent in the 1970s with different companies. The basis of such a systems-approach to management included several stages of development. For example, performance objectives, resources, and appraisals with results were often addressed. The supportive activities and methods to attain related goals, especially short-term ones, were helpful when they were set and measured as outputs by both superiors and subordinates. The entire process underscored a rational approach to business management. In essence, MBO became a philosophy and formal, systematic method. One of the primary benefits was for managers and employees to achieve better communication and understandings. The action steps, used foremost in business sectors, were later adapted to varying extents, by institutions of higher education and schools in diverse regions.

Applicable Resources: Sanford, Davis, Druker, Humble, Odiorne, Reddin, Tosi, Carroll, and others.

2. Job satisfaction issues and trends continued in both business and administrative sectors, although the commitment varied, primarily in terms of top management considerations and decisions. Earlier studies on job satisfaction revealed that the degree that employees were permitted to make decisions made a difference. Corporate studies, for example, were tied to the importance of "decentralizing" the superior's power so that each subordinate had more opportunities to offer his or her vantage points. In school systems, for example, willing administrators viewed this decentralization as an opportunity to delegate a few job areas and receive feedback. Their decisions often reaffirmed their basic belief in people: trustworthy, competent, and helpful versus untrustworthy, incompetent, and unhelpful. These basic ideas were connected to McGregor's Theory X and Y in human relations.

<u>Applicable Resources:</u> Hicks, Herzberg, Kahn, McGregor, Athos, Coffey, and others.

3. In the 1980s, studies of businesses identified as "effective organizations" included such factors as: job enrichment as it applied to motivation theory; increasing the autonomy and self-direction of workers; and the importance of remaining aware of the needs of customers. For example, Herzberg's Motivation-Hygiene Theory was used along with a general framework on motivation theory. The hygiene factors, like salary and physical working conditions, became important. These findings signaled a change as different perceptions began to alter prior thinking about how business management and business schools should be run. Employees could become catalysts for change in organizations. For

example, who had tried an innovative idea? Was that idea a viable approach? The higher levels of satisfaction and motivation achieved by this employee or group of employees could enhance the productivity of the company as well. In diverse schools, the job enrichment components began to appear. Effective leaders in schools, both elementary and secondary, became more aware of the extrinsic and intrinsic motivators for school personnel.

Applicable Resources: Maslow, Sirota, Wolfson, Herzberg, Kahn, and others.

4. Productivity became linked to human relations, as model companies or schools were featured. From a manager's viewpoint, there was a concerted effort to practice and attain a goal of a more productive organization by using human relations skills. When decision-making and communications flowed vertically between superiors and subordinates, there was a bottom line: their dialogic communication endeavors represented a sincere interest in one another. The communiques also became a two-way process of listening as well as speaking and understanding. Thus, there was more flexibility with regard to admitting and accepting failures as learning experiences. The exchanges were considered a "means-ends" process to link the goals of employee satisfaction with the organizational effectiveness, both in companies and schools.

Applicable Resources: Davis, Makay, Gaw, Burke, Fessler, Conley, Schmidle, Schedd, Brown, Keller, Sergiovanni, Starratt, and others.

Current Trends in Business and School Sectors

1. Many people in organizations contend that if there is to be a "community of leaders" in any school or business, then the

employees must have opportunities not only to take responsibility but also become an integral part of the results. Thus, the primary aim should be for effective decisions, based on sharing ideas related to the organizational philosophy, mission and goals; transition stages to achieve those goals; assessing the outcomes; and planning future directions. The leadership style in such organizations is often referred to as visionary or innovative.

Applicable Resources: Conley, Bacharach, Conway, Covey, Deming, Goodlad, Harmon, and others.

2. The degree of "empowerment" for the employees in any business or school organizational setting becomes connected to their beliefs that change(s) can and do occur, and that they are genuinely involved in understanding and deciding what change(s) is most effective. The employees in such organizations also possess a willingness, an "intrinsic" desire to become part of a team in their work environment.

Applicable Resources: Belasco, Block, David, Flanigan, Richardson, Gallagher, Mueller, and others.

3. In school, agency, and corporate experiences, the degree of "ownership" increases as employees are able to engage in decision-making and witness relevant outcomes. Their so-called "voice" in the decision-making process not only counts but also contributes to the increased probability for successful, ongoing transitions with related changes. In current business practices, for example, work teams are being created in different companies, underscoring that the responsibility rests with the team. Their decisions, their productivity levels, and the quality of related outcomes rests with

such a team-approach. In model schools, school-business partnerships as well as higher education and school teams (e.g. professional centers, charter schools, others) are contributing to these "ownership" responsibilities. Community and parental involvements vary in these settings, but also play a significant role in the positive outcomes.

Applicable Resources: Evans, Duffy, Macher, Marks, Sirota, Wolfson, Licata, Tedlie, Greenfield, and others.

4. In the schools, for example, findings in the late 1980s and 1990s indicated that the degree to which shared decision-making was encouraged and how it was divided often rested in the hands of principals. Much of the reported successes were linked to shared decision-making, but also to the degree of the principal's readiness to share that power. The research on school leadership has revealed that a part of a school administrator's responsibilities included "fostering a culture" or school climate which permitted experimentation and enhanced collegiality among members. In businesses, the "work culture" was interrelated with the effectiveness of outcomes. Child care facilities, leaves for one or both parents, varied work schedules, and technology from home-base networking contributed to the effectiveness concept.

Applicable Resources: Anderson, Synder, Hunter, Murphy, Lois, Sashkin, Walberg, Oliva, Powers, and others.

5. In schools, cognitive coaching and clinical supervision models were espoused as new models. Staff development sessions were used to enhance the opportunities for active participation and ongoing training endeavors among colleagues. Pre-conferencing,

discussing a mental map or plan with a coach, reflective questions to probe the thought processes, and adjustments for better outcomes became an integral part of such sessions. There was an anticipation that if teachers were more aware of how they reflected and actually thought about their own thinking (metacognition) and decisions, then the opportunities would increase for a similar cognitive process for their students. In businesses, human resource development (HRD) training or similar, contracted trainers focused on exploring creativity, reflections, humor, and other factors in the workplace that enhanced outcomes.

Applicable Resources: Eye, Flander, Netzler, Andrews, Smith, Bailey, Talab, Acheson, Gall, Cogan, Krajewski, and others.

6. In agencies, schools, and businesses, effective communication became popularized, as increased worker empowerment and decision-making by employees became the focal point. There was more support for open dialogues or even "forcing devices" to require employees to think about new ways to accomplish goals and outcomes. Rich, informal communication styles were acceptable in concert with more experiments and learning.

Applicable Resources: McGregor, Senge, Okeafor, Peters, Austin, Waterman, and others.

7. Parental and business involvement have been encouraged by personnel in school districts. These endeavors have been done to create and enhance effective learning and social-emotional developments in school organizations. In turn, students have often become interns at these companies during summer sessions, breaks, and the like. Other students have remained on-site as employees.

Both parents and business personnel have been involved in special mentoring, after-school programs, grant proposals, and funding. Their participation has been helpful and valued.

Applicable Resources: Mullen, Meadows, O'Hanlon, Schlick, Senge, Verespe, Iverson, Walberg, Heiserman, Coulombe, Fruchter, Galletta, White, and others.

8. Site-based Management (SBM) and decision-making have remained a key focus of personnel in schools to enhance ownership and responsibilities, as the control and decisions shift from central administration to individual schools. Communication lines must be opened to promote autonomy, self-reflection, the encouragement of new ideas, and other factors. Although SBM is being used to varying degrees, results from surveys show more accountability at local sites; more teacher empowerment; and more autonomy, especially with the educational programs and personnel decisions. In essence, genuine reform(s) of the system becomes a reality. State legislatures and school boards remain responsible, yet their need to dominate is lessened. In businesses, SBM often translates to team projects and decision-making, related personnel evaluation and development, and similar factors. Like schools, personnel in corporations change or initiate reforms when the specific management and style(s) of leadership alter to accommodate for innovations and power shifts. The decentralization in both schools and companies still remains variable. Yet future needs in a global society, along with technological changes, seemingly demand more team focus.

Applicable Resources: Cistone, Harrison, Killion, Mitchell, Hoyle, James, Raywid, Wylie, Hill, Bonan, Poulin, Gaul, Underwood, Fortune, David, Gleason, Donohue, Lender, McPhee, and others.

References

Acheson, K., & Gall, M. (1987). Techniques in the clinical supervision of teachers (2nd ed.). White Plains, NY: Longman.

Alfonso, R. (1977). Will peer supervisors work? Educational Leadership, 34, 594-601.

Anderson, R., & Snyder, K. (1986). Managing productive schools towards ecology. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.

Andrews, R., & Smith W. (1987). Clinical supervision for principals. Educational Leadership, 45, 34-37.

Armour-Thomas, E. (1989). The application of teacher cognition in the classroom: A new teaching competency. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 22(3), 29-38.

Athos, A., & Coffey, R.E. (1968). Behavior in organizations: A multidimensional view. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Bacharach, S. B., Bamberger, P., Conley, S.C., & Bauer, S. (1990). The dimensionality of decision participation in educational organizations: The value of a multi-domain evaluation approach. Educational Administration Quarterly, 26(2), 126-167.

Bailey, G., & Talab, R. (1987). Enhancing the clinical supervision model with the videotape recorder. Educational Technology, 27, 25-28.

Ball, C., & Goldman, S. (1997). Improving education's productivity: Reexamining the system to get the schools we need. Phi Delta Kappan, 79(3), 228-232.

Barnett, B. (1984). Subordinate teacher power in school organizations. Sociology of Education, 57, 43-55.

9

Barnett, B.G. McQuarrie, F.O., & Norris, C.J. (Eds.). (1991). The moral imperatives of leadership. A focus on human decency. Memphis, TN: National Network for Innovative Principal Preparation.

Beatty, P.J. (1976). The human curriculum: Roles of dialogue and organizational theory. Educational Leadership, 33(7), 525-530.

Belasco, J. (1992). Empowerment as a growth strategy. Management International Review, 32(2), 181-188.

Billings, J. (1989). Top down/bottom up curriculum development. Thrust, 18, 18-19.

Blanchard, C.P., & Karr-Kidwell, P.J. (1995). Site-based manangement: Teachers' attitudes and administrative style. A literary review and recommendations (Report No. EA-026-949). Denton, TX: Texas Woman's University. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 386 784)

Blase, J. (1990). Some negative effects of principals' control-oriented and protective political behavior. American Educational Research Journal, 27, 727-753.

Block, P. (1987). The empowered manager. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Bowman, G.A. (1992). Reanalysis of state-initiated top-down verses bottom-up educational reform (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California, 1991). Dissertation Abstracts International, 52(9), 3133A-3134A.

Bransford, J.D., & Stein, B.S. (1993). The ideal problem solver (2nd ed.). New York: W.H. Freeman.

Brown, C.T., & Keller, P.W. (1973). Monologue to dialogue. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Burke, P., & Fessler, R. (1983). Interaction: An essential in developing professional growth programs. NASSP Bulletin, 67, 43-49.

Busse, C. (1991). Why your efforts to empower workers may be failing. Total Quality Newsletter, 2(10), 1-3.

Byham, W. C. (1992). Zapp in education. New York: Ballantine.

Charles, G., & Karr-Kidwell, P.J. (1995). Effective principals, effective schools: Arriving at site-based decision-making with successful principals and teacher preparation (Report No. SP-035-834). Denton, TX: Texas Woman's University. (ERIC Document Service Reproduction No. ED 382 564).

Chilton, D. (1993). The effect of organizational restructuring on the professional climate in a secondary school (Doctoral dissertation, East Texas State University, 1992). Dissertation Abstracts International, 53, 3067.

Cistone, P. (1989). School-based management/shared decision making: Perestroika in educational governance. Education and Urban Society, 21, 363-365.

Clapper, T. (1981). The effects of peer clinical supervision of inservice teachers: Attitudes toward pupil-teacher relations, perceptions of supervisor behavior, and classroom competency. Dissertation Abstracts International, 42(4), 1457A-1458A.

Cogan, M. (1976). Rationale for clinical supervision. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 9, 3-19.

Conley, S., & Bacharach, S. (1990). From school-site management to participatory school-site management. Phi Delta Kappan, 71, 539-544.

Conley, S.C., Schmidle, T., & Schedd, J.B. (1988). Teacher participation in the management of school system. The College Record, 90(2), 259-280.

Conway, J.A. (1984). The myth, mystery, and mastery of participation in decision making in education. Educational Administration Quarterly, 20, 11-40.

Cooper, J. (1984). Developing skills for instructional supervision (rev. ed.). New York: Longman.

Costa, A.L., & Garmston, R. (1992). A strategy for reflective teaching. Instructional Leader, 5(2), 4-11.

Coulombe, G. (1995). Parental involvement: A key to successful schools. NASSP Bulletin, 79(567), 71-75.

Covey, S. (1990). Principle-centered leadership (2nd ed.). New York: Summit Books.

Covey, S. (1989). Seven habits of highly effective people. New York: Simon & Schuster.

Daresh, J. C. (1989). Educational supervision: Tradition and context. New York: Longman.

David, J. L. (1989) Synthesis of reasearch on school-based management. Educational Leadership, 46, 45-52.

David, J.L. (December, 1995/January, 1996). The who, what, and why of site-based management. Educational Leadership, 53(4), 4-9.

Davis, K. (1972). Human behavior and work (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Dawson, J.A. (1984). The principal's role in facilitating teacher participation: Mediating the influence of school context (Report No. EA-016-774). New Orleans, LA: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 244 346)

Deming, W.E. (1986). Out of the crisis. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Deming, W.E. (1993). The new economics for industry, government, and education. Cambridge, MA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Doll, R. C. (1989). Curriculum improvement: Decision making and process (7th ed.). Needham Heights, MD: Allyn and Bacon.

Doll R. (1983). Supervision for staff development: Ideas and application. Newton, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Drolet, B.A. (1993). The power beneath the surface: Identifying behavioral norms in the elementary schools (Doctoral dissertation, University of La Verne, 1992). Dissertation Abstracts International, 53(8), 2617.

Drucker, P.F. (1973). Management, tasks, responsibilities, practices. New York: Harper & Row.

Duffy, F.M. (1997). Supervising schooling, not teachers. Educational Leadership, 54(8), 78-83.

Estler, S. E. (1988). Decision making. In N. J. Boyan (Ed.), Handbook of research on educational administration (pp. 305-319). New York: Longman.

Evans, M. G. (1970). Leadership and motivation: A core concept. Academy of Management Journal, 23, 91-102.

Eye, G., & Netzler, L. (1965). Supervision of instruction. New York: Harper & Row.

Eye, G., Krey, R., & Netzler, L. (1977). Assumptions supporting structure in clinical supervision. Contemporary Education, 49, 16-23.

Fashola, O.S., & Slavin, R. E. (1998). Schoolwide reform models: What works? Phi Delta Kappan, 79(5), 370-379.

Flander, N. (1976). Interaction analysis and clinical supervision. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 9, 47-57.

Flanigan, J.L., & Richardson, M.D. (1991). Shared decision making and the transition of the principalship (Report No. EA-023-457). Fargo, ND: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Conference of Professors of Educational Administration. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 339 088)

Franklin, H. L. (1988). Principal consideration and its relationship to teacher sense of autonomy (Doctoral dissertation, University of Oregon, 1988). Dissertation Abstracts International, 49, 09A.

Fruchter, N., Galletta, A., & White, J. (1993). New directions in parent involvement. Equity and Choice, 9(3), 33-43.

Gallagher, L., & Mueller, A. (1989). Creating a climate for shared decision-making. Thrust, 18, 35.

Gardner, J.W. (1990). On leadership. New York: The Free Press.

Garmston, R.J. (1989). Is peer coaching changing supervisory relationships? (Report No. 02-155-51). Eugene, OR: Educational Management, University of Oregon. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 315 854)

Gaul, T.H., Underwood, K.E., & Fortune, J.C. (1994). Reform at the grass roots. The American School Board Journal, 181(1), 35-40.

Gleason, S.C., Donohue, N., & Lender, G.C. (December, 1995/January, 1996). Boston revisits school-based management. Educational Leadership, 53(4), 24-27.

Glickman, C. (1981). Developmental supervision. New York: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Goodlad, J. (1984). A place called school: Prospects for the future. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hall, G. (1983). Clinical supervision in teacher education as a research topic. Journal of Teacher Education, 34, 56-58.

Harmon, W. (1988). Global mind change. New York: Warner.

Harrison, C. R., Killion, J. P., & Mitchell, J. E. (1989). Site-based management: The realities of implementation. Educational Leadership, 46, 55-58.

Hart, G. (1982). The process of clinical supervision. Philadelphia, PA: University Park Press.

Hegland, S. (1984). Teacher supervision: A model for advancing professional growth. Young Children, 39, 3-10.

Heiserman, R. (1994). Parental involvement and parent satisfaction with public schools (Doctoral dissertation, Northern Arizona University, 1994). Dissertation Abstracts International, 55(10), 3089.

Herzberg, F. (1966). Work and the nature of man. Cleveland, OH: The World.

Hicks, H. G. (1972). The management of organizations: A systems and human resources approach (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Holye, J.R. (1992). Ten commandments for successful site-based management. National Association of Secondary Principals Bulletin,76, 81-87.

Hosford, P., & Neuenfeldt, J. (1979). Teacher evaluation via videotape: Hope or heresay? Educational Leadership, 36, 418-422.

Humble, J. W. (1970). Management by objectives in action. London: McGraw-Hill.

Hunter, M. (1990). Preface: Thoughts on staff development. In B. Joyce (Ed.), Changing school culture through staff development. 1990 yearbook of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (pp. xiii-xiv). ASCD, Alexandria, VA.

Imber, M., Neidt, W. A., & Reyes, P. (1990). Factors contributing to teacher satisfaction with participative decision making. Research and Development in Education, 23, 216-225.

Ishler, M., Kindsvatter, R., & Wilen, W. (1988). Dynamics of effective teaching. White Plains, NY: Longman.

Iverson, B.K., & Walberg, H.J. (1982). Home environment and school learning: A quantitative synthesis. Journal of Experimental Education, 50(3), 144-151.

James, T.K. (1992). The factors affecting the implementation of site-based management and the role of the principal (Doctoral dissertation, United States International University, 1992). Dissertation Abstracts International, 52(6), 1716A.

 15

Johnson, D.W., & Johnson, R.T. (1987). Research shows the benefits of adult cooperation. Educational Leadership, 45(3), 27-30.

Kahn, R. L. (1960). Productivity and job satisfaction. Personnel Psychology, 13, 275-287.

Kanter, R. M. (1977). Men and women of the corporation. New York: Basic Books.

Keedy, J.L., & Finch, A.M. (1990). Implementing a shared decision-making structure: A case study (Report No. EA-022-698). Atlanta, GA: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Southern Regional Council on Educational Administration. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 331 116)

Koslo, R. D. (1989). The relationship between leadership behaviors and the morale of the teaching staff (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, 1989). Dissertation Abstracts International, 50, 05A.

Krajewski, R. (1981). Clinical supervision in the secondary school: Foundations for principals. American Secondary Education, 11, 2-5.

Krajewski, R. (1976). Clinical supervision: To facilitate teacher self-improvement. Journal of Research and Development, 9, 58-66.

Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & Whit, R. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behavior in experimentally created "social climates". Journal of Social Psychology, 10, 271-299.

Lewis, A.C. (1995). Changing view of parental involvement. Phi Delta Kappan, 76(6), 430-431.

Licata, J. W., Tedlie, C. B., & Greenfield, W. D. (1990). Principal vision, teacher sense of autonomy, and environmental robustness. Journal of Educational Research, 84, 93-99.

Macher, K. (1992). Organizations that learn. Journal for Quality and Participation, 15(7), 8-11.

Makay, J.J., & Gaw, B.A. (1975). Personal and interpersonal communication. Dialogue with self and others. Columbus, OH: Charles E. Merrill.

Marcon, R. (1993). Parental involvement and early school success: Following the class of 2000 at year five (Report No. PS-021-432). New Orleans, LA: Society for Research in Child Development. (ERIC Document Reproduction No. ED 357 881)

Marks, D. S. (1989). An inventory of components of restructured schools (Doctoral dissertation, Columbia University of Teachers College, 1989). Dissertation Abstracts International, 50, 03A.

Maslow, A. H. (1968). Toward a psychology of being. New York: Van Nostrand-Reinhold.

McGregor, D. (1960). The human side of enterprise. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Meadows, B. J. (1990). The rewards and risks of shared leadership. Phi Delta Kappan, 71, 545-548.

Mesenburg, R. J. (1987). The relationship of school site managment to the Minnesota educational effectiveness program. St. Paul, MN: Minnesota State Department of Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 295 286)

Mitchell, D. E., Kerchner, C. T., Erck, W., & Pryor, G. (1981). The impact of collective bargaining on school management and policy. American Journal of Education, 89, 147-188.

Morse, J.J., & Lorsch,J.W. (1970). Beyond theory y. Harvard Business Review, 48, 61-68.

Mullen, D. (1974, April). Involving parents, students, and staff in determining a needs asssessment of educational priorities. Paper presented at National Association of Elementary School Principals Annual Convention, Anaheim, CA.

Murphy, J., & Louis, K.S. (1994). Reshaping the principalship. Insights form transformational reform efforts. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.

Myers, M.S. (1970). Every employee a manager. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Odiorne, G.S. (1965). Management by objectives. New York: Pittman.

Odiorne, G.S. (1979). MBO II: A system of managerial leadership for the 80s. Belmont, CA: Fearon Pittman.

O'Hanlon, J. (1983). Theory Z in school administration? Educational Leadership, 40, 16-18.

Okeafor, P. (1989). Supervision for today's schools (3rd ed.). New York: Longman.

Oliva, P.F. (1993). Supervision for today's schools. White Plains, NY: Longman.

O'Toole, J. (1985). Vanguard management. Redesigning the corporate future. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.

Ouchi, W. (1981). Theory Z: How American business can meet the Japanese challenge. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Owens, R.G. (1991). Organizational behavior in education. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Pasi, R. (December, 1995/January, 1996). Success in high school-and beyond. Educational Leadership, 54(8), 40-42.

Peters, T. (1987). Thriving on chaos. A hand.'book for a management revolution. New York: Alfred A. Knoff.

Peters, T.J., & Austin, N. (1985). A passion for excellence. New York: Random House.

Peters, T.J., & Waterman, R.H. (1982). In search of excellence: Lessons from America's best run companies. New York: Harper & Row.

Powers, J. E. (1972). Job enrichment: How one company overcame obstacles. Personnel, 49, 18-22.

Raywid, M. A. (1990). Contrasting strategies for restructuring schools: Site-based management and choice. Equity and Choice, 6, 26-28.

Reavis, C. (1976). Clinical supervision: A timely approach. Educational Leadership, 33, 360-363.

Reavis, C. (1976). Clinical supervision: A review of the research. Educational Leadership, 35, 580-584.

Reddin, W.J. (1971). Effective management by objectives. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Rogers, D. (1990). A new design: One for of restructuring. ATPE News, 10, 13-14.

Rosso, L. F. (1990). The principalship: Dimensions in instructional leadership. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

Russell, J.J., Cooper, B.S., & Greenblatt, R.B. (1992). How do you measure shared decision making? Educational Leadership, 50, 39-40.

Sanford, A. C. Human relations. Theory and practice. Columbus, OH: Charles E. Merrill.

Sashkin, M., & Walberg, H.J. (Eds.) (1993). Educational leadership and school culture. Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.

Schlick, J.D. (1988). Struggling and soaring organizations. Journal for Quality and Participation, 11(4), 14-16.

Schmidt, W.H., & Tannenbaum, R. (1960). Management of differences. Harvard Business Review, 38, 107-115.

Schwartz, A.E. (1994). Creative collaborations: The art of negotiating. Nonprofit World, 12(4), 34-38.

Senge, P.M. (1990). The leader's new work: Building learning organizations. Sloan Management Review, 32(1), 7-23.

Sergiovanni, T.J., & Starratt, R. (1971). Emerging patterns in supervision: Human perspectives. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Shields, P.M., & Knapp, M. S. (1997). The promise and limits of school-based reform: A national snapshot. Phi Delta Kappan, 79(4), 288-294.

Shipp, J. D. (1985). Professional development needs of elementary school principals implementing site-based managment in an urban school system (Doctoral dissertation, University of North Texas, 1985). Dissertation Abstracts International, 46, 11A.

Shuma, K. (1971). Changes effectuated by a clinical supervisory relationship which emphasizes a helping relationship and a conference format made congruent with the establishment and maintenance of this helping relationship (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pittsburgh). Dissertation Abstracts International, 35, 729A-730A.

Simmons, B., & Smith-Lawrence, P. (1982). Clinical supervision and the improvement of reading instruction. Reading Improvement, 19, 226-228.

Sirota, D., & Wolfson, A.D. (1972). Job enrichment: What are the obstacles? Personnel, 49, 8-17.

Smylie, M.A. (1992). Teacher participation in school decisionmaking: Assessing willingness to participate. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 14, 53-67.

Snyder, K. (1981). Clinical supervision in the 1980s. Educational Leadership, 38, 521-524.

Staiger, J.G. (1963). What cannot be decentralized. Management Record, 25, 19-21.

Strauss, G. (1968). Human relations--1968 style. Industrial Relations, 7, 262-276.

Sullivan, R. , & Wircenski, J. (1988). Clinical supervision: The role of the principal. NASSP Bulletin, 72, 34-36.

Taylor, B., & Levine, D. (1991). Effective schools projects and school-based management. Phi Delta Kappan, 72, 394-397.

Taylor, D.L., Thompson, B., & Bogotch, I.E. (1992). A q-technique study with school as the analytic unit (Report No. TM-018-244). San Francisco, CA: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 344 914)

Terry, G. (1964). Principles of management (4th ed.). Homewood, IL: Irwin.

Tewel, K.J. (1992). Preparing for restructuring: A step-by-step approach to building support. National Association of Secondary School Principals Bulletin, 76, 103-113.

Tosi, H.L., & Carroll, S. J. (1970). Some factors affecting the success of management by objectives. Journal of Management Studies, 7, 209-223.

Uris, A. (1968). Mastery of management. Homewood, IL: Dow Jones-Irwin.

Verespe, M.A. (1990). Success in the 90s: The key is people. Industry Week, 239(9), 35-36.

Warner, F.U. (1993). An action science study of educators engaged in learning model II in a participatively managed shool (Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland College Park, 1992). Dissertation Abstracts International, 53(7), 2232.

Worthy, J. C. (1950). Organization structure and employee morale. American Sociological Review, 15, 169-179.

Wylie, C. (December, 1995/January, 1996). In New Zealand: Finessing site-based management with balancing acts. Educational Leadership, 53(4), 54-59.

Zavada, P.B. (1992). A study of four factors that affect teacher's views of their work lives: Personal motivation, career stages, assessment process, and principals (Doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1992). Dissertation Abstracts International, 53(9), 3079A.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC®

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: *Improving the "Quality of Life" in School and Business Organizations: Historical and Contemporary Trends*	
Author(s): *PJ Karr-Kidwell*	
Corporate Source: *Texas Woman's University, Denton, TX 76204*	Publication Date: *February, 1998+ (submission)*

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.

[✓]

← Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Check here

Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

———— Sample ————

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

Sample sticker to be affixed to document ➡

[ ]

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER
COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

———— Sample ————

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

or here

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: *P.J. Karr-Kidwell*	Position: *Professor, Educ. Leadership Dept,*
Printed Name: *PJ KARR-KIDWELL*	Organization: *Texas Woman's University*
Address: *Box 425 769, Educ. Leadership Dept. Texas Woman's Univ., Denton, TX 76204-3769*	Telephone Number: *(940) 898-2241*
	Date: *February 18, 1998*

III.   DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC , or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price Per Copy:	Quantity Price:

IV.   REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name and address of current copyright/reproduction rights holder:

Name:

Address:

V.   WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management
College of Education - Agate Hall
5207 University of Oregon
Eugene, OR   97403-5207

If you are making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, you may return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC v. 9/91)