

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 413 329

SP 037 640

AUTHOR Salzman, Stephanie A.; Denner, Peter R.; Harris, Larry B.  
TITLE Creating the Vision: Using Best Practice Standards To Transform Teacher Education.  
PUB DATE 1997-00-00  
NOTE 5p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Northern Rocky Mountain Education Research Association (1997).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Beginning Teachers; \*Educational Change; Elementary Secondary Education; Faculty Development; Higher Education; Preservice Teacher Education; \*Standards; \*Teacher Education Programs; \*Teacher Improvement; Teaching Models  
IDENTIFIERS \*Idaho State University

## ABSTRACT

This paper summarizes the major points in a workshop that focused specifically on the challenges of transforming the teacher education program at Idaho State University to a standards-driven, assessment-informed, collaborative model. The program goal was that prospective teachers develop the knowledge, dispositions, and skills deemed essential for the beginning professional. After reviewing the context for reform, each of the four major initiatives undertaken towards systemic transformation of the program was discussed. These include: (1) development of a conceptual model; (2) core standards for beginning teachers; (3) a learner-centered professional development process; and (4) standards-based assessment. The transformation of the program resulted in opportunities to identify professional beliefs of "best practice" and to use those beliefs for the ongoing development and improvement of teacher education. (Contains 11 references.) (ND)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Northern Rocky Mountain Educational Research Association  
1997 Annual Meeting

**Creating the Vision:  
Using Best Practice Standards to Transform Teacher Education**

Stephanie A. Salzman, Ed.D.  
Peter R. Denner, Ph.D.  
Larry B. Harris, Ph.D.  
Idaho State University

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*A. Salzman*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This paper summarizes major points presented in a workshop addressing the NRMERA conference strand, "reflective wisdom of practice as futuristic linkage." The workshop focused specifically on the challenges of transforming the teacher education program at Idaho State University to a standards-driven, assessment-informed, collaborative model through which prospective teachers develop the knowledge, dispositions, and skills deemed essential for the beginning professional. Over the course of five years, College of Education faculty, in partnership with our stakeholders, completed four major initiatives towards systemic transformation of our teacher education program: (1) creation of a conceptual model that makes connections between program standards, assessment, and learning processes; (2) development of core standards for beginning teachers that address what the beginning teacher should know and be able to do; (3) initiation of a learner-centered professional development process that articulates a do-reflect-modify-evaluate continuum through which teacher education students construct meaning; and (4) and development of an assessment system by which both students and faculty evaluate progress towards achieving the standards.

### **The Context for Reform**

Our transformation of teacher education has been, in part, a response to initiatives for teacher education standards promulgated by the National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification (NASDTEC, 1992), the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (1993), the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC, 1992), and the National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE, 1992). These initiatives reflect the search for strong measures "... to establish high and rigorous standards for what teachers should know and be able to do ... to advance related education reforms for the purpose of improving student learning in American schools" (National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, 1991).

One of the most critical elements in the implementation of these initiatives is the framing of standards-based educational reform within the context of systemic reform defined by Al Shanker (1993) as "figuring out what we want students to know and be able to do and making sure that all parts of the education system--standards, curriculum, textbooks, assessments, teacher training--move simultaneously toward the achievement of agreed-upon goals." Teacher education

institutions engaged in the transformation to standards-based programs, therefore, are faced with the challenge of clearly articulating and interconnecting the knowledge base of the content of the standards, the processes through which students acquire beginning competency in the standards, and the assessment approaches through which achievement and progress are judged. Moreover, if beginning teachers are to create classroom communities in which students learn through active, collaborative inquiry, they must have similar learning opportunities themselves (Wells, 1989). These learning opportunities must begin with rethinking of the process of teacher education, making it a process in which prospective teachers construct their own learning.

Transformation of teacher education demands a vision of teacher preparation as a “career continuum” (National Commission on Teaching and America’s Future, 1996) by which teachers progress through a continuum of professional development opportunities from pre-service preparation to state licensure to continuing professional development. As noted by the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (1995), the key element in the continuum of teacher preparation is a set of serious standards that hold teachers responsible for demonstrating performance relative to subject matter knowledge, teaching knowledge, and teaching skills.

### Conceptual Framework

We began our reconceptualization of teacher education with the development of a new conceptual framework, *By Teaching We Learn*, that represents our beliefs about the complex processes of learning and teaching. The generation of our framework was based on statements of philosophy, involved widespread discussion with opportunities for input from diverse groups of our stakeholders, and culminated in institutional decisions to support the framework. Our discussions with our stakeholders revealed that our framework must reflect both content, a description of the kind of educational practice teachers prepared at Idaho State University are expected to develop, and process, a representation of the method by which beginning teachers develop the expected educational practice. As such, our framework highlights the dynamic interaction between **standards** (the *what* of the teacher education program), **learning processes** (the *how* of the teacher education program), **assessment** (the *when* and *how well* of the teacher education program), and **learning community** (the *context* of the teacher education program) with each element informing and defining the others.

### Core Standards for Beginning Teachers

Our standards present the teacher as reflective, inquiry-oriented, cognizant of cultural diversity, expert in his or her subject matter, and able to select from a wealth of instructional strategies the one best suited to the students and the educational context. As we worked on the standards, they became, as Darling-Hammond (1994) envisions, learning tools for all of us, a powerful way for teachers to take charge of their own development and reflection on their own practice, and for

teacher educators to do the same. With our stakeholders, we developed a vision of teaching that inspires ongoing learning and reflection, and we acquired a collective knowledge base, along with ownership and participation in creating a set of shared understandings about practice that we will continually use as a frame for our practice. Moreover, we found that the standards frame our responses to the question of what experiences we can provide for students that will create opportunities for them to become accomplished practitioners.

### **Learner-Centered Professional Development Process**

As we formulated our Core Standards for Beginning Teachers, a vision for the process of professional development emerged--a process that reflects the complexity and intensity of the act of teaching and takes into account the constant decisions that teachers must make. Our learner-centered professional development process is predicated on the assumption that practice must be continually refined through doing, reflecting, modifying, and evaluating. Borrowing from Tom Peters (1993), we endeavored to create an environment and process that "make every day for everyone an adventure in the pursuit of improvement." Through the teacher education program, the student develops an increasingly sophisticated repertoire of professional knowledge, dispositions, and skills which move him or her toward effective professional practice.

### **Standards-Based Assessment**

We based our assessment system on the concept of *assessment-as-learning* (Alverno College Faculty, 1994) envisioning assessment as a process integral to learning that involves observing performances of the student in context, judging those performances on the basis of our Core Standards for Beginning Teachers, and then providing the resulting feedback to the student. Our gradual development of the meaning of assessment was part of designing a process that would assure students of direction, resources, and coherence of instruction. Important elements at the heart of our assessment system include explicit standards, assessment for the sake of learning, and engagement in an interactive process through which assessment is tied to the ongoing revision of both the teacher education program and the Core Standards for Beginning Teachers.

The complex nature of our Core Standards for Beginning Teachers and professional development process demand an assessment approach that is multiple in mode and context. As such, our assessment system incorporates traditional forms of testing as well as performance tasks and self-assessments that are completed in both simulated and authentic contexts through the coursework and field experience components of the teacher education program. The cornerstone of our assessment system involves students in collecting artifacts of their work and demonstrating their abilities relative to the core standards by assembling evidence in a portfolio that they develop over the course of the teacher education program.

## Conclusions

Proclaiming that every child has a “right to a caring and competent teacher” the National Commission on Teaching and America’s Future in its report, *What Matters Most: Teaching and America’s Future*, charges that quality teaching is the most critical and yet most frequently overlooked ingredient in education reform. The Commission cites the reinvention of teacher preparation and professional development as a crucial element in helping achieve its vision for accomplished teachers for all children. Through the transformation of our teacher education program, we have gained opportunities to identify our professional beliefs of “best practice” and to use those beliefs for the ongoing development and improvement of teacher education.

## References

- Alverno College Faculty. (1994). *Student assessment-as-learning at Alverno College*. Milwaukee, WI: Alverno College.
- Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (1994). *Standards for teachers*. Washington, DC: American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education.
- Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium. (1992). *Model standards for beginning teachers licensing and development: A resource for state dialogue*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.
- National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification. (1992). *Promoting systemic change in teacher education and certification: NASDTEC outcome-based standards and portfolio assessment: Outcome-based teacher education standards for the elementary and middle levels*. Washington, DC: Author.
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. (1993). *Toward high and rigorous standards for the teaching profession: Initial policies and perspectives of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards*. Detroit, MI: Author.
- National Commission on Teaching & America’s Future. (1996). *What matters most: Teaching and America’s future*. New York: Teachers College.
- National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education. (1992). *Standards, procedures, and policies for the accreditation of professional education units*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Peters, T. (1993, May 18). God in our culture should be pursuit of improvement. *Arizona Daily Star*, p. 48.
- Shanker, A. (1993, May 9). Where we stand: A landmark revisited. *The New York Times* {News of the Week Reviewed}.
- Wells, G. (1989). Foreword. In G. Pinnell, & M. Martin (eds.). *Teachers and research: Language learning in the classroom*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Rocky Mt Res Assoc

Title: Creating the Vision: Using Best Practice Standards to Transform Teacher Education	
Author(s): Stephanie A. Salzman, Peter R. Denner, Larry B. Harris	
Corporate Source: Idaho State University	Publication Date: October 4, 1997

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



### Check here

Permitting microfiche (4" x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

### or here

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy

## Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."	
Signature: <i>Stephanie A. Salzman</i>	Position: Director, Office of Standards and Assessment
Printed Name: Stephanie Salzman	Organization: Idaho State University
Address: College of Education, Box 8059 Idaho State University Pocatello, Idaho 83209	Telephone Number: ( ) 208-236-3114 Date: October 5, 1997



### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of this document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS).

Publisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price Per Copy:	Quantity Price:

### IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant a reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name and address of current copyright/reproduction rights holder:
Name:
Address:

### V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
---

You can send this form and your document to the ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation. They will forward your materials to the appropriate ERIC Clearinghouse.

ERIC Acquisitions/ RMRA  
ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation  
210 O'Boyle Hall  
The Catholic University of America  
Washington, DC 20064

(800) 464-3742  
e-mail: eric\_ae@cua.edu