DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 413 303 SP 037 607

AUTHOR Langster, Jacqueline Beard

TITLE Proactive Teacher Educators: Needed Vehicles for Education

Reform.

PUB DATE 1997-08-04

NOTE 7p.; Paper presented at the Summer Workshop of the

Association of Teacher Educators (Las Vegas, NV, 1997).

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Action Research; *Educational Change; Elementary Secondary

Education; *Faculty Development; Higher Education;

*Inservice Teacher Education; Models; Preservice Teacher Education; *Teacher Educators; Teacher Empowerment; Teacher

Participation; Teachers; *Theory Practice Relationship

IDENTIFIERS *Proactive Teaching; *Reform Efforts

ABSTRACT

There is a growing need in the field of teacher education to bridge the gap between theory and practice while creating dialogue for exploration, change, and growth. Proactive teacher educators should seek change in traditional inservice training and create more authentic and empowering professional development opportunities that are proactive as well as effective. A proposed model of professional development bearing the acronym PROFESSIONAL has been developed. It focuses on: Preparing teachers by disseminating research or projects; providing teachers with opportunities to Reflect; using meeting and planning time for teachers to Observe proposed projects or issues; helping teachers Focus on issues; allowing teachers to Explore, Share, and Show experiences and options with colleagues; helping teachers Incorporate projects or reform efforts based on classroom experiences; promoting ongoing One-on-one discourse among teachers and coordinators; following up with Negotiating (Networking); and encouraging the premises of negotiation to stem from Action research which will help in Legitimizing teachers' concerns and efforts thus empowering them as professionals. Teacher research is a productive avenue for professional development. PROFESSIONAL challenges proactive teacher educators to comprehensively examine multiple avenues of assisting teachers with reform efforts and assuring effective professional development. (SM)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



Proactive Teacher Educators: Needed Vehicles for Education Reform by

Jacqueline Beard Langster, Ed.D.

Middle Tennessee State University

Department of Educational Leadership

Presented at 1997 Association of Teacher Educators Summer Workshop Las Vegas, Nevada

Abstract

This paper addresses issues and concerns of professional development (i.e. teacher training and in-service training) and the implications for proactive teacher educators. An emerging proposed professional development model, *PROFESSIONAL*, is described and recommended as a cannon for reform. This paper is guided by the premise of teachers as professionals and needed vehicles for educational reform. Proactive teacher educators should seek a change in the traditional in-service training and create more authentic and empowering professional development opportunities.

Current Status

Bridging the gap between theory and practice while creating a dialogue for exploration, change, and growth is a growing need in the field of teacher education. Proactive professionals in the teacher education arena should enhance a commitment of service that entails the restructuring of professional development opportunities and inservice training. Many reform efforts and models of teaching and learning are evolving as we approach the new millennium. Unfortunately, implications of the emerging reform efforts are hindsights and teacher training is charged with the responsibility of equipping educators with the *tools for change*.

The National Commission on Teaching and America's Future (1996) has created an impetus for change in the structure of professional development. They assert:

1

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Langoter

2

2

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as

Office of Educational Research and Imp

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The school reform movement has ignored the obvious. New courses, tests, and curriculum reforms can be important starting points, but they are meaningless if teachers cannot use them productively. Policies can improve schools only if the people in them are armed with the knowledge, skills, and supports they need. Student learning in this country will improve only when we focus our efforts on improving teaching (p.5).

When in-service, teacher training and professional development are ineffective and incompatible time fillers of the academic year, reform efforts are mere mirages of change. Metaphorically speaking, when the vehicles of change (teachers) are not properly serviced, the journey (classroom implementation) is difficult to complete. There are many concerns that have an explicit impact on equipping teachers with the essentials for implementing reform, such as the manner and time frame in which staff development is conducted. Often times, staff development consists of passive, after the regular work day, quick- fix workshops that require teachers to contribute additional personal time. Another issue of ineffective teacher training is the current models for staff development practices which are fragmented, faddish, passive, mandated and impractical. They fail to consider how teachers grow and change and tend not to provide teachers with a sense of efficacy (Fullan & Hargreaves, 1996 & Lieberman & McLaughlin, 1996). Adult learning theories commonly proclaim that adults prefer to learn in problem-centered environments that relate problems to some significant aspect of their lives. More importantly, adults often prefer some degree of professional development training to come from their learning and experiences (Merriam & Caffarella, 1991).

Call for Change

Any path that leads to effective education reform must pass through improved conceptions of professional development. Proactive professionals can pave the way of improved conceptions, thereby, creating professional development opportunities that are



2

proactive as well as effective. Darling-Hammond and McLaughlin (1996) proclaim that the policy problem for professional development in this reform era extends beyond support for the acquisition of new knowledge and skills to providing teachers with the opportunity to reflect on their practice and incorporate new knowledge about content, pedagogy and learners. Quality education cannot exist in the absence of quality teaching and better education cannot be achieved without improved professional development opportunities (National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, 1996). Consequently, quality professional development opportunities and in-service training cannot exist without the efforts of proactive teacher educators.

Models of Change

Proactive teacher educators can be inspired to develop effective professional development models through the examination and analysis of the current status of staff development opportunities as well as recent postulated professional development models. For instance, Griffin's (1990) model of professional development outlines six characteristics of effective in- service training: context-sensitivity (needs of the site), knowledge-based (use of research, theory and practice), ongoing (cumulative), developmental (intellectually sound and thoughtful), participative and collaborative (empowers teachers), purposeful, and analytic and reflective (provide opportunity for participants to think and discourse). Succinctly, this model touts that professional development has to emerge and center around a sense of professional respect and regard to the teachers. The vehicle of educational reform must ride on the wheels of teacher professionalism. The acronym PROFESSIONAL has been developed (Langster, 1997) as an emerging model of effective professional development. A brief explanation of the model



3

is as follow: Prepare the teachers through the dissemination of the research or project. In other words, supply them with reading material that is not jargon laden but practical. Provide the teachers with an adequate opportunity to <u>Reflect</u> and react. Use faculty meeting and planning period times for the teachers to have the experience of Observing the proposed project or issue. This can be accomplished through video conferences or actual site observations. Allow the teachers to Focus on the issue and for the coordinator to filtrate or conduct training after the project or issue has been examined by the teachers and administrators. After conducting the training, give the teachers the opportunity to Explore, Share, and Show their experiences and options with their colleagues. Then, the teachers can effectively Incorporate the project or reform efforts based on their classroom characteristics. Once incorporation has taken place, One on-one ongoing discourse among the teachers and coordinator should occur. Follow - up can be conducted during this phase followed by $\underline{\textit{N}\textit{egotiating}}$ (Networking) . The premises of negotiation should be encouraged to stem from Action research which will assist in Legitimizing concerns and efforts and empowering teachers as professionals. Evidence has been gathered to support the claim of teacher research (action research) being a productive avenue for professional development (Cochran-Smith & Kyle, 1996). With legitimization, teachers can look ahead and grasp change with a sense of professional preparedness.

Conclusion and Implications

The proposed emerging model, PROFESSIONAL, challenges proactive educators to comprehensively deliberate on multiple avenues of assisting teachers with reform efforts as well as a means of assuring effective professional development opportunities. A



4

change in thinking about teachers as professionals should precede a change in the structure of staff development. Teachers must be given more opportunities to engage in authentic professional practices that provide an avenue to redefine themselves as professionals. Strategic and aligned professional development activities are primary forces in the process of empowering and equipping teachers with the essentials for improvement, change and growth. The primary implication is the empowerment of teachers to become instrumental in their professional growth and to relinquish the traditional notion of being pawns of change to become vehicles of education reform.



References

Cochran-Smith, M. & Lytle, S. (1996). Communities for teacher research. In M. McLaughlin & I. Oberman (Eds.) <u>Teacher learning: New policies new practices.</u> New York:

Teachers College Press.

Darling-Hammond, L. & McLaughlin, M.(1996). <u>Teacher learning</u>. New York: Teachers College Press.

Fullan, M. & Hargreaves, A. (1996). What's worth fighting for in your school. New York: Teachers College Press.

Griffin, G.A. (1990). Leadership for curriculum improvement: The school administrator's role. In A. Liberman (Ed.), Schools as collaborative cultures: Creating the future now. New York: the Falmer Press.

Langster, J. (1997). <u>Proactive teacher educators: Needed vehicles for education</u> <u>reform.</u> Paper presented at the Association of Teacher Educators Summer Conference, Las Vegas, NV.

Liberman, A. & McLaughlin, M. (1996). Networks for educational change. In M. McLaughlin & I. Oberman (Eds.), <u>Teacher learning: New policies, new practices.</u> New York: Teachers College Press.

Merriam, S. & Caffarella, R. (1991). <u>Learning in adulthood.</u> San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

National Commission on Teaching & America's Future (1996) What matters most: Teaching and America's future. New York: Teachers College Press.





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

l.	DO	CI	J٨	1EN	1T	IDI	ΕN	TIF	iC.	ΑΤΙ	10	V:
----	----	----	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	----	----

Title: Proactive Teacher Educators: Needed Vehicles for
Education Reform
Author(s): Dr. Jacqueine Beard-Langsten
Corporate Source: Paper presented at Association of Teacher Educators August 4, 1997 Workshops in Las Vegas, NV.
Workshops in Las Vegas, NV.

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

____sample____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

□

Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical),
but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign

Signature:

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Dr. Jacquelune Largeler

Assistant Professor

Fax:

Biephone:

Fax:

Biephone:

Fax:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

Biephone:

Fix:

Biephone:

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

ublisher/Distributor:	
Address:	
Price:	^
IV. REFERR	AL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER: approduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address
Name:	
Address:	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

ERIC Acquisitions
ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Eva;uation
210 O'Boyle Hall
The Catholic University of America
Washington, DC 20064

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1100 West Street, 2d Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

(Rev. 6/96)