DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 413 041 PS 025 595

AUTHOR Merrithew, Jane E.

TITLE Foster Care Delivery and the Needs of Birth Children of

Foster Parents.

PUB DATE 1996-05-00

NOTE 23p.

PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Biological Parents; Child Welfare; *Childhood Needs; Family

Environment; Family Relationship; *Foster Care; Foster Children; *Foster Family; Placement; Sibling Relationship

IDENTIFIERS *Biological Children; Placement (Foster Care)

ABSTRACT

Examining in particular the impact of fostering on birth children, this article reviews the literature on the needs of birth children of foster parents. Among the findings are that the behavior and emotional difficulties of the foster child, and the difficulties between foster children and birth children in one family are two factors that influence foster placement stability. There is a negative relationship between birth children and placement stability in foster homes. Fostering places four stresses on a foster family: disruption of family equilibrium; coping with a child in transition; dealing with an alien agency; and harboring great expectations. Dealing with troubled children requires special skills. Based on the literature review, it is recommended that foster children be carefully matched to the foster family, that the birth children of foster families be prepared for fostering, and that the whole family be trained and helped to understand their role in foster care delivery. It is also suggested that because the literature on foster family birth children's needs is scarce, more research in this area is needed. (AS)

******* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *

from the original document. *



Running head: FOSTER CARE DELIVERY AND BIRTH CHILDREN'S NEEDS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

Foster Care Delivery and the Needs of

Birth Children of Foster Parents

Jane E. Merrithew

University of Southern Maine

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Jane E. Merrithew

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)





2

Abstract

The literature review focuses on the needs of birth children of foster parents. The impact of fostering on birth children and the special stresses they face are examined. Supportive measures found useful in helping birth children are delineated. The review further explores the relationship between placement stability, foster family retention, and needs of birth children. Indications are that difficulties between birth and foster children influence foster placement stability and foster family retention. The review suggests the needs of birth children have been minimized. However, efforts in meeting those needs are gradually increasing and feedback regarding those efforts has been positive.



Foster Care Delivery and the Needs of Birth Children of Foster Parents

On any given day in the United States, there are nearly 500,000 children living in foster care placements (Reidy, 1995). Foster care is the substitute care provided children when they are deemed unable to live with their own families (New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, 1988). Foster care delivery includes intake, placement, case management, and permanency planning for foster children and their birth families (North American Council on Adoptable Children, 1990). With the passage of the Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980, referred to as P.L. 96-272, children at risk for abuse and neglect are not placed in foster care until every reasonable effort is made to keep their families together. If a child must be placed in foster care, effort is made to place the child in the least restrictive environment with a good match between placement and child (Everett, 1995). Under P.L. 96-272, each child entering the foster care system must have a permanency plan so they do not linger in foster care indefinitely. Family reunification is the most common permanency plan (Everett, 1995). The intent of P.L. 96-272 was to reduce numbers of children in foster care, preserve families, and help children find permanent homes when their own families were not available. There was a temporary decline of children in foster care after passage of P.L. 96-272 (Rovner, 1991). However, the number of children in foster care has increased from 245,000 in 1985 (Evans, 1990) to over 500,000 in 1995 (Reidy, 1995). Many attribute this to increases in alcohol abuse, crack cocaine use, AIDS, homelessness, and teen pregnancies, problems that tend to be more chronic and require more intervention resources than earlier family preservation efforts (Curtis & McCullough, 1993;



MacDonald, 1994; Rovner, 1991). Children coming into foster care are generally more troubled than in the past (Curtis & McCullough, 1993; Evans, 1990; Rovner, 1991; Steinhauer, 1984). At a time when foster families require greater skill in dealing successfully with the deeper problems of children and their families, foster families are leaving the system in great numbers (Evans, 1990). Nationally, fewer than 100,000 foster homes are accepting placements of foster children (Evans, 1993). While the numbers of children in foster care is increasing, the pool of experienced foster families is decreasing (Rovner, 1991). Spar (as cited in Everett, 1995) noted a 47% increase in children in foster care, and a 27% decrease in foster family homes between 1985 and 1990. Foster families point to their own children's needs as one reason for leaving the foster care system (Anderson, 1988). Similarly, foster families often request removals of individual foster children from the home because of difficulties between the foster and birth children (Cautley & Aldridge, 1973). When specific issues are mentioned, parents identified concerns about birth children being abused by foster children, their children being exposed to behaviors they otherwise would not have been, excessive fighting, and limited time available for birth children (Cautley & Aldridge, 1973). Others identified the need for training for birth children (Norgard & Mayhall, 1982; Schatz, 1988). Birth children expressed the need for parental understanding and time alone with parents (Johnston, 1988; Steinhauer, Johnston, & Hornick, 1989).

The purpose of this paper is to conduct a literature review to examine the influence of birth children's needs on foster care delivery. Three questions are addressed in this paper.

They are: (a) How do the perceived needs of birth children influence foster care delivery? (b)

What are the identified needs of birth children? and (c) How are those needs currently met? If



birth children's needs influence foster care delivery, it seems important to identify those needs, examine how and to what degree they influence delivery, and what can be done to improve birth children's ability to cope positively with foster family care. With a foster care system in crisis (National CASA Association, 1989), examining possible improvements from a multitude of perspectives seems prudent and necessary. Birth children's needs are one of these perspectives.

Literature Review

Background

Retention, placement, and prediction studies were reviewed in an attempt to answer the question, "How do the perceived needs of birth children influence foster care delivery?" The studies varied in their definitions of foster care success, populations studied, and the types of foster care service provided, making it difficult to compare them. The second section speaks to the needs of birth children as reported in the literature. It attempts to answer the question, "What are the identified needs of birth children?" Finally, methods of helping birth children deal with the foster care experience are examined. The question, "How are birth children's needs currently met?" is addressed in this final section.

Birth Children and Foster Care Delivery Impact

Anderson (1988) examined foster home retention in the San Francisco Bay Area.

Through an anonymous written survey, she sought foster parent feedback on retention.

Caution must be used with Anderson's findings as the methodology for survey development was not described, tests of significance were not done, and convenience sampling was used.

When Anderson asked foster parents to rank the top three reasons for leaving foster care,



"conflicts, demands on foster biological family" was ranked thirteenth out of twenty one items. This item was not on the original ranking list, instead was added under the "other" category by respondents. When asked the question "What could have been done to prevent foster parents from leaving?" "support for the foster parent's own biological family" was listed sixth out of twenty suggestions. Concern for biological family support was not included in the executive summary. Instead, less frequently mentioned suggestions were emphasized.

Cautley and Aldridge (1973) studied foster placement stability. They wondered whether predictions of foster parent success in dealing with school age children could be made based upon information gathered during the home study process. Success was defined as a foster family not requesting the removal of a placed child. Cautley and Aldridge interviewed sample families and their social workers on a regular basis, using a consistent format. Interviews were taped and reviewed by trained reviewers. The sample consisted of 145 foster parent applicant families who met predetermined criteria. To control for the effect of periodic interviews, a smaller random sample was drawn from the original sample. As a measure of the difficulty level of the child, the Child Behavior Characteristics Schedule was completed periodically by both social workers and foster mothers. According to Cautley and Aldridge, the relative difficulty level of the child at placement, as determined by the Child Behavior Characteristics Schedule, was a statistically significant factor in foster placement stability. Other combinations of child and family characteristics were important predictors of success. Favorable factors were (a) no preschool children in the foster home, (b) the foster child being the youngest of the children in the foster home, and (c) adequate time given to foster home preparation with the inclusion of the foster father in that preparation.



Alternatively, one third of the new families in this study requested removal of a child (Cautley & Aldridge, 1973). This was considered a placement failure. New families requesting removal were divided into early removal families (before 9 months) and later removal families (12 months on). The social workers and foster families involved in removals were asked about their perceptions of reasons for removal and whether social worker input would have been beneficial. Both social workers and foster parents reported difficulty between foster children and foster parents' birth children with greater frequency in families requesting removals than in those families with placements lasting over 18 months. When examining the raw data more closely, 23 homes requested removal 9 months or earlier into the placement. Of those 23 homes, 17 (74%) mentioned problems between birth children and foster children as the explanation for removal. Of the six remaining homes, three had no birth children, one had no interview as the placement only lasted 16 days, and the other two did not mention problems with their birth children. Calculations reveal 85% of the early removal families having birth children, requested removal because of difficulties concerning foster children and biological children. Similarly, of the sixteen late removal families, six (37%) requested removal due to problems involving the birth child and foster child. Seven (43%) removals were due to difficulty handling the child. When all the families in the study who requested removals are considered, 59% did so because of problems between birth and foster children. Included in the raw data was a category regarding whether social worker input would be worth the effort in averting removal. From social workers, phrases such as "lack of commitment" and "too much broadening needed" appeared repeatedly. In interviews with families, among the important kinds of help and support judged to be a good investment of



worker effort were reassurance during times of doubt, pre-placement preparation, help in handling rivalry with own children, and preparation sessions for the entire family.

While the Cautley prediction study examined many kinds of placement factors, an earlier prediction study done in Great Britain by Parker (1966) looked only at information known at placement and placement outcome. He reasoned there was a wealth of information contained in case records which if analyzed could make for better decision making in the future, leading to greater placement stability. Through a data collection method designed to eliminate preconceived ideas of relevance and increase reliability of statistics, he found 83 items of child related information and 33 items of foster parent related information. From the analysis of that data, Parker found that placements with older children, children with behavior problems, those with mental disability, or those spending more than three years in institutional living were more likely to fail. Regarding the foster home, Parker found a statistically significant association between failure and the presence of foster parents' birth children in the home, particularly if that child is under five years of age or is within five years of the placed child. Rates of failure were not statistically significant when there were other children, who were not the foster parents' offspring, living in the home.

Unlike the studies mentioned thus far, Gruber (1978) found that foster parents requested removal due to problems with other children only 4.8% of the time. The largest percentage of removal requests, 25.4% were due to the child's behavior. Gruber's figures concern only children who had experienced multiple removals. His methodology was quite unlike others. He did a massive one day survey, attempting to gather data on every child in foster home care in Massachusetts (public and private) on November 18, 1971. The study was



divided into three phases, the first being a questionnaire to social workers, which had a 98.8% return. This was followed by the second and third phases which involved random selection and separate research instruments for parents, and finally a fourth phase which examined policies.

A foster care stability study frequently cited in the literature was done by Pardeck (1982). Pardeck only examined the foster child's characteristics, the foster child's natural family characteristics, and the agency providing the foster care service. He did not consider birth children's presence as a variable. Pardeck found the variable having the greatest impact on foster care stability was the foster child, not the natural family or the agency. Pardeck found children with behavioral or emotional problems have a tendency to experience unstable care.

Summary

A common finding running throughout the Anderson (1988) retention study, and the Cautley and Aldridge (1973), Parker (1966), Gruber (1978), and Pardeck (1982) placement and prediction studies was the placed child's behavioral and emotional difficulties influence placement stability. Likewise, with the exception of the Pardeck study which did not include birth children as a variable, difficulties between birth children and foster children in the home influenced foster placement stability.

Needs of Birth Children, Specific to Foster Care

The entrance of another child, whether emotionally troubled or not, impacts a family (Wolfe, 1987). In a practice oriented article, Wilkes (1974) examined the influence of fostering on the foster family. He identified four stresses: disruption of family equilibrium;



coping with a child in transition; dealing with an "alien agency;" and harboring great expectations. According to Wilkes, disruptions in family equilibrium resulted in birth children coping with changes in simple roles and habits, time available from family members, the number of relationships within the family, and their position in the new number of possible family groups. Children in foster families experienced feelings of abandonment when a new foster child arrived. Birth children experienced stress, yet were expected to be nice to the new child. Expressions of hostility were generally discouraged. Wilkes stated problems experienced in transition will decrease if birth children's problems are understood and appropriate adjustments are made in the family. At a time when the birth child was transitioning and feeling emotionally vulnerable, he or she was expected to cope with a child also in transition. Coping can be especially difficult if the child entering the family was exhibiting problematic defenses, or if the birth child sensed discrepancies in discipline or expectations. Wilkes further described how agencies often make decisions about placement without giving children in the home any prior opportunity to voice their opinions, concerns, or objections. Birth children were found to be passive recipients of decisions regarding who comes and goes in their families.

Kaplan's (1988) pilot study of biological children of foster parents supported Wilkes' work. She explored psychological issues arising in foster parents' birth children. She hypothesized there would be a difference between mother's and their children's perceptions and understandings of the fostering experience. Specifically, mothers would overestimate the child's acceptance of fostering explanations and minimize separation anxiety and superego conflicts aroused by fostering. Through a series of separate one hour semi-structured



interviews done with 15 birth children and their mothers, Kaplan examined birth children's emotional issues. These included ideas of intentional abandonment, separation anxiety, superego conflicts, adaptive or nonadaptive fantasies regarding the fostering experience, and maternal attunement to the child's concerns. Kaplan clearly stated the sample was not representative of foster families nationally. She found more than half the children expressed ideas of intentional abandonment. This was more consistent for children aged 6-8 years, than children aged 9-12 years. Separation anxiety and superego conflicts were high in most of the children. The younger children's separation anxiety centered on concern for their own security, while the older children's anxiety was related to empathy for the foster child. Regarding superego conflict, younger children were concerned they might be abandoned because of being bad. Older children had a tendency to have guilt feelings. Nonadaptive fantasy material elicited through a mutual storytelling technique was associated with high superego conflict scores. Nonadaptive fantasies included stories that were frightening, sad, without resolution of conflict, or non-existent. There was an apparent inverse relationship between the degree of maternal attunement and the degrees of separation anxiety and superego conflict. Kaplan concluded that fostering may be more stressful for birth children under eight years, possibly due to their egocentricity, weaker logical tools, smaller repertoire of defenses for coping, and less sensitization to fostering. She felt her original hypotheses were supported.

In addition to the emotional stress of coping with fostering and family changes in general, birth children are often challenged directly and indirectly by the difficult behaviors of their new foster siblings. Among behaviors commonly seen in troubled children are temper tantrums, enuresis, lack of concentration, destructiveness, and stealing (Rowe, Cain, Hundleby,



& Keane, 1984). Rosenthal (1987) reported behaviors of many abused and neglected children as a ritualistic undoing of vulnerabilities they felt. Defenses against the vulnerabilities were seen in children who were domineering, controlling, compulsive, aggressive towards others, conceited, never to blame, and hoard objects (Rosenthal, 1987). Similarly, Kaufman (1970) saw problematic behaviors of impulsivity, excessive inhibition, aggressive behavior, and sexual acting out, as ways foster children attempted to deal with the painful feelings they experienced. Kunstal (1995) explained how the sibling roles many foster children developed in their families of origin left them ill-prepared to relate normally and naturally to other children. Kunstal (1995) admonished parents to protect children from the pathology of the new child. Each child's needs must be considered. Otherwise, the potential exists for a weakened emotional bond between parent and child where there once was a strong one (Kunstal, 1995).

Reports from a support group for birth children described many frustrations, difficulties, and disappointments birth children experience while fostering difficult children (Johnston, 1988; Steinhauer, Johnston, & Hornick, 1989). Steinhauer, Johnston, and Hornick (1989) found birth children expressed an intellectual understanding of the importance of foster care and their parents continued love for them. Emotionally, birth children felt ignored, unloved, resentful, relegated to second place, invaded, and conflicted (Steinhauer, Johnston, & Hornick, 1989). Anecdotal information from adults whose parents were fostering as they were growing up reveal stories of difficulty, trauma, and pain (B. Jordan, personal communication, 1991; J. Merrithew, personal communication, 1994). Many (Kaplan & Seitz, 1980; Kaufman, 1970; Mitchell, 1990; Phelps, 1989; Radinsky, 1970; Schaffer & Dangerfield, 1990;



Steinhauer, 1984, 1983; Woolf, 1990) have advocated more training for foster parents to deal successfully with the troubling behaviors of foster children.

Supportive Measures Available to Birth Children

In her foster care training needs assessment, Schatz (1988) surveyed Colorado foster care providers concerning their perceived training needs. Every foster mother interviewed stated the need for training which included the whole family, not just the adults. Yet the training modules developed from the needs assessment did not address the needs of their children.

Norgard and Mayhall (1982) reported on a similar process in Arizona. Like the Colorado survey, a need for inclusion of the whole family was identified. However in this case, that need was directly addressed by developing the Arizona Foster Family Institute. Feedback on all training modalities indicated the family institute received the highest ratings of effectiveness.

The NOVA University curriculum (Woolf, 1987) used throughout the United States as a training program for prospective foster parents, was reviewed. Of the six sessions outlined, one full session is devoted to foster family impact. This session is geared to parents helping their family adjust. There are no specifics written to help children directly. Within the last five years there have been workshops offered at the state and regional levels which focus on foster parents helping their birth children cope with fostering (Jordan, 1991; Merrithew, 1991, 1992, 1994). In addition, Jordan (1991a, 1991b) has developed two curriculums designed specifically for birth children of foster parents. One addresses fostering in general (Jordan, 1991b) and the other addresses special issues which arise when living with a child who has



been sexually abused (Jordan, 1991a).

Written resources available to the average foster parent were reviewed. General books introducing parents to foster care (Kaplan & Seitz 1980; State of Maine Department of Human Services, 1986) acknowledged fostering will impact birth children. The books did not go into detail about what that impact will be, nor how to cope with it. One article mentioned bibliotherapy as useful to foster children (Pardeck & Pardeck, 1987). While not specific to birth children, facets of the experiences portrayed in the books listed are also familiar to birth children.

Besides training and written resources, support groups were sometimes mentioned as a resource. While examining the differences between group and individual support methods for foster parents, Steinhauer et al. (1989) allowed the development of a support group for the birth children of foster parent participants. It developed as a natural outgrowth of an adult support group. The authors reported children found the group quite useful as they learned coping methods, expressed feelings, and realized they were not alone.

Discussion

There appears to be a negative relationship between the presence of birth children in a foster home and placement stability. Given the stress placed on the foster care agency and the foster child when a placement change becomes necessary, it is logical to examine this relationship more closely. Additional indications are behavior problems of foster children also may be related in some way to placement stability. Problem behaviors can impinge on children in the family. Further investigation into the relationship between birth children's needs and foster children's behaviors may lead to the development and testing of strategies for



alleviating problems and strengthening coping. Likewise, if concern about the effects of fostering on birth children leads parents to discontinue fostering, or if birth children are being hurt by fostering, it is reasonable to develop positive ways of helping birth children cope and grow from the experience. It is possible that as birth children improve their coping, more foster families might be retained. With higher retention rates, the number of experienced foster families increases. A larger pool of foster families allows for better matching of foster child to foster families. As foster home care moves towards therapeutic care, it seems beneficial to have experienced parents and experienced siblings available, who know how to cope with disturbed children from disorganized backgrounds.

Dealing with troubled children in a useful way requires special skill. The behaviors children display can be difficult for adults, taxing their patience, knowledge, and abilities. Presumably, adults have developed a variety of coping skills, and have a wealth of life experiences from which to draw when dealing with difficult children. How children cope with the difficult, sometimes pathological, behaviors of foster siblings remains unanswered. Birth children's special strengths, difficulties, and challenges in dealing with such behaviors remain unexamined. However, as the system is flooded with more and more troubled children, the situation itself will force an examination of this issue. Whether it is a proactive examination or a reactive one is unclear at this point. Proactive examination has a better chance of being more complete and thoughtful.

The call to look at birth children's needs has been sporadically addressed throughout the last thirty years (Johnston, 1988; Jordan, 1991a, 1991b; Kaplan, 1988; Norgard & Mayhall, 1982; Parker 1966; Steinhauer, Johnston, & Hornick, 1989). Initial attempts to meet



those needs have begun (Jordan, 1991a, 1991b; Merrithew, 1991, 1992, 1994; Norgard and Mayhall, 1982; Steinhauer et al., 1989; Woolf, 1987). It seems that while needs are recognized, they also are downplayed, nebulous, and not addressed in any systematic way. Birth children's needs are downplayed by the children themselves who do not feel free to express conflictual feelings. Foster parents may minimize difficulties by attributing them to a normal part of family living. Social workers may not understand the depth of grief foster parents experience watching their own children hurt by the fostering experience.

Birth children's needs apparently have been downplayed by researchers. There were literally thousands of interviews, questionnaires, and case reviews represented in the literature reviewed for this project. Only 15 were with birth children of foster parents. Kaplan (1988), who interviewed those 15 children, clearly states the sample was not representative of foster families nationally. Accordingly, the findings were not definitive. In some of the studies, the data regarding birth children's needs were minimized. The Anderson (1988) study for instance, mentioned retention factors of less frequent occurrence then birth children's needs in her summary. Despite the 100% expressed need by foster parents to include children in foster care training, the Schatz (1988) study indicated this was ignored. Even in the Cautley and Aldridge (1973) study which acknowledged the importance of birth children to prediction of foster family success, the impact of the families' stressors related to birth children's needs appeared minimized.

While research on birth children's needs is scarce, it does seem to indicate birth children of foster parents face special stressors, and need help and support in dealing with those stressors. Some recommendations indicated by the literature include careful matching of



foster child to foster family, and preparation of birth children for fostering. Once fostering, the literature suggests training which incorporates the whole family, including children. It is suggested birth children need help in understanding foster care and their family's role in foster care delivery. Additionally, they may need help in developing coping skills specific to fostering. As recommended by Kunstal (1995), birth children need help in protecting themselves from the pathology of some of the foster children with whom they may share a home. It is recommended that birth children have opportunities to be heard and supported in the very important contribution they make to the foster care system. Support can come from foster parents, social workers, and support groups. The questions regarding birth children's needs and their influence on foster care delivery are just beginning to be asked and answered. Much more research is needed to address these issues fully.



References

Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C.S. 671 et seq. (The Public Health and Welfare Lawyers Cooperative Publishing Co. 1985)

Anderson, S. (1988). Foster home retention survey: Findings from foster parents in ten Bay Area counties. San Francisco: Zellerbach Family Fund.

Cautley, P., & Aldridge, M. (1973). <u>Predictors of success in foster care.</u> Madison, WI: Wisconsin Department of Health and Social Services.

Curtis, P., & McCullough, C. (1993). The impact of alcohol and other drugs on the child welfare system. Child Welfare, 72(6), 533-542.

Evans, G. (1990). <u>Foster care facts and figures</u> [informational handout]. Houston, TX: National Foster Parent Association.

Evans, G. (1993). Viewpoint. National Advocate, 1, (2), 15.

Everett, J. (1995). Child foster care. In R. Edwards (Ed., et al.). (1995). Encyclopedia of social work (19th ed., Vols. 1-2). Washington, D.C.: National Association of Social Workers.

Gruber, A. (1978). Children in foster care destitute. neglected...betrayed. New York: Human Sciences Press.

Johnston, M. (1988). How self-help groups can help natural children cope with the stress of foster children in the family: Two different formats. Unpublished report, Children's Aid Society of Metropolitan Toronto.

Jordan, B. (1991a). Living with the sexually abused child: A curriculum for the foster parents' own children. King George, VA: American Foster Care Resources, Inc.

Jordan, B. (1991b). <u>Preparing foster parents' own children for the fostering experience.</u>

King George, VA: American Foster Care Resources, Inc.



Jordan, B. (1991, May 11). <u>Preparing the foster family's own children for the fostering experience</u>. Workshop presentation at the National Foster Parent Association 21st Annual Education Conference, Minneapolis, MN.

Kaplan, B., & Seitz, M. (1980). The practical guide to foster family care. Springfield, IL: Charles C Thomas.

Kaplan, C. (1988). The biological children of foster parents in the family. Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal. 5, 281-299.

Kaufman, I. (1970). Psychological costs of foster home care. In H. Stone (Ed.), <u>Foster care in question</u>: A national reassessment by twenty-one experts (pp. 250-255). New York: Child Welfare League of America.

Kunstal, F. (1995). Foster parenting: Allegation innoculation. National Advocate. 2.(3), 16-17.

MacDonald, H. (1994). The ideology of "family preservation." Public Interest. 115, 45-60.

Merrithew, J. (1991, April). Effects of fostering on birth children in the family and strategies for coping. Workshop presentation at the New Hampshire Foster Parent Association Annual Conference, Concord, NH.

Merrithew, J. (1992, fall). Addressing the needs of birth children of foster parents.

Workshop presentation at the National Foster Parent Association Region 1 Conference, Nashua,

NH.

Merrithew, J. (1994, spring). <u>Strengthening the foster family</u>. Workshop presentation at the New Hampshire Foster Parent Association Annual Conference, Concord, NH.

Mitchell, L. (1990, November). Living with the sexually abused child: Understanding and coping with their behaviors. Workshop presentation at the National Foster Parent Association



Region 1 Conference, Burlington, VT.

National CASA Association (1989). A system in crisis? The Connection. 5(2), 1-6.

New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services (1988). Foster parenthood: a guide for licensed foster parents. Concord, NH: New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services.

Norgard, K., & Mayhall, P. (1982). Everybody counts: The Foster Family Institute. Child Welfare. 61, 239-246.

North American Council on Adoptable Children (1990). The first ten years. St. Paul, MN: North American Council on Adoptable Children.

Pardeck, J. (1982). The forgotten children: A study of the stability and continuity of foster care. Washington, DC: University Press of America, Inc.

Pardeck, J., & Pardeck, J. (1987). Bibliotherapy for children in foster care and adoption.

Child Welfare, 66, 269-278.

Parker, R. (1966). <u>Decision in child care: A study of prediction in fostering</u>. London, England: George Allen & Unwin LTD.

Phelps, D. (1989). Home recruitment and retention: A success story. Children Today, 18.

Radinsky, E. (1970). Provisions for care: Foster family care. In H. Stone (Ed.), Foster care in question: A national reassessment by twenty-one experts. (pp. 52-81). New York: Child Welfare League of America.

Reidy, E. (1995). From the editor's desk. National Advocate, 2, (4), 3.

Rosenthal, K. (1987). Rituals of undoing in abused and neglected children: The foster care dilemma [Special issue]. Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal, 4, 226-236.



Rovner, J. (1991). Children in crisis overwhelm foster-care programs. <u>Congressional</u>

<u>Ouarterly Weekly Report. 49(13)</u>, 795-801.

Rowe, J., Cain, H., Hundleby, M., & Keane, A. (1984). Long term foster care. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Schaffer, M., & Dangerfield, D. (1990, November). The ABC's of dealing with aggressive children. Workshop presentation at the National Foster Parent Association Region 1 Conference, Burlington, VT.

Schatz, M. (1988, April). A foster care training curriculum model: Learning from rural communities. Paper presented at the Meeting of the Colorado National Association of Social Workers, Boulder, CO.

State of Maine Department of Human Services (1986). A handbook fro foster parents: A guide to parenting children in foster care. Augusta, ME: State of Maine Department of Human Services.

Steinhauer, P. (1983). Issues of attachment and separation: Foster care and adoption. In P. Steinhauer and Q. Rae-Grant (Eds.), <u>Psychological problems of the child in the family</u> (pp. 69-101). New York: Basic Books.

Steinhauer, P. (1984). The management of children admitted to Child Welfare Services in Ontario: A review and discussion of current problems and practices. <u>Canadian Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 29, 473-484.

Steinhauer, P., Johnston, M., & Hornick, J. (1989). The foster care research project: Clinical impressions. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 59, 430-441.

Wilkes, J. (1974). The impact of fostering on the foster family. Child Welfare, 53, 373-379.



Woolf, G. (1990). An outlook for foster care in the United States. Child Welfare. 69. 75-81.

Woolf, G. (1987). <u>Preparation for fostering: A preservice manual for foster families.</u>
Originally developed by Eileen Mayers Pasztor. Plantation, FA: Nova University.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION—OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT (OERI)

(OERI) EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) REPRODUCTION RELEASE (Specific Document)

	1.	DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION
		Title: <u>Foster Care Delivery and the Needs of Birth</u> Children of Foster Parents
		Author(s): Jane E. Merrithew
		Corporate Source (if appropriate): N/A
		Publication Date: May 1996
	II.	REPRODUCTION RELEASE In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche and paper copy (or microfiche only) and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.
		If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the options and sign the release below.
HECK ERE	\(\sigma	Microfiche (4" x 6" film) and paper copy (8 1/2" x 11") reproduction To the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)." PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY (4" x 6" film) reproduction only PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY [PERSONAL NAME OR ORGANIZATION. AS APPROPRIATE] TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."
		Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed in both microfiche and paper copy.
IGN ERE	⇔	"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries." Signature: Printed Name: Tane E, Merrithew Organization: New England Salem Children's Trust Position: Therapist
		Address: P0 Box 56 Tel. No.: (603)786-9427
		Rumney, NH Zip Code: 03266 Date: 2/12/97
M	111.	DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (Non-ERIC Source) If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS.)
$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$		Publisher/Distributor: N/A
' '		Address:
		Price Per Copy: Quantity Price:
	IV.	REFERRAL TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address: N / A
\mathcal{D}		£1.
		ERIC/CAPS Counselor Education Partner
. •		Institution: University of Southern Department: Human Resource Developmen

Maine



Counseling and Personnel Services Clearinghouse

2108 School of Education, The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1259 (313) 764-9492

October 1990

Dear Counselor Education Partner:

In fulfilling its responsibilities as an ERIC/CAPS Counselor Education Partner, your department has agreed to submit approximately 10 documents per year to be considered for inclusion in the ERIC database. Examples of appropriate documents include: annotated bibliographies, high quality student term papers, literature reviews, masters' theses, conference presentations, curriculum materials, project report, etc. In return, ERIC/CAPS is committed to giving high priority and quick turnaround time in its review of comments submitted by faculty and students of ERIC/CAPS Partners. To insure that your department receives priority attention and that your department gets credit for all RIE submissions, we ask that you use the special reproduction release form printed on the back of this letter.

Instructions for Submitting Papers to ERIC/CAPS

Please send the following:

- (1) Two (2) clearly typed or printed black-on-white copies of the paper,
- (2) A signed reproduction release form, and
- (3) A 200-word abstract (optional).

Before sending, please check the completeness of your paper (e.g., data tables, graphs, reference lists, etc.). Any editorial changes must be made before sending papers to ERIC. Accepted papers are reproduced "as-is."

Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC.

Please note that ERIC also accepts unsolicited papers for review and inclusion in the ERIC database. If you have any other papers you wish to submit, please photocopy this release form and send one release form with each paper submitted.

Please address your submissions to:

Counselor Education Partner ERIC/CAPS Acquisitions Department The University of Michigan 2108 School of Education Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1259

ERIC/CASS
School of Education
101 Park Bldg.
Unif. of North Carolina-Greensboro
Greensboro, NC 27412-50001

