

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 410 520

CS 012 857

TITLE Highlights of the NYSUT Telephone Survey of Elementary Teachers on Reading.

INSTITUTION New York State United Teachers.

PUB DATE 1997-05-00

NOTE 14p.; For related document, see CS 012 858.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Early Intervention; Elementary Education; *Elementary School Teachers; Parent Participation; Public Schools; Reading Achievement; *Reading Difficulties; *Reading Instruction; Reading Tests; *Teacher Attitudes; Teacher Surveys; Telephone Surveys

IDENTIFIERS *New York; New York State United Teachers; *Teaching Perspectives

ABSTRACT

New York State United Teachers (NYSUT) conducted a statewide telephone survey of 531 randomly selected kindergarten through fourth-grade public school teachers to obtain information on how schools prevent and address reading problems. Results indicated that (1) 95% of respondents said remediation should begin at grade 1 or earlier, but less than half of the respondents in New York City reported that intervention and remediation actually begins at grade 1 or earlier; (2) students in kindergarten through grade 4 spend an average of 68 minutes each day in formal reading instruction; (3) 94% of respondents said there should be specific indicators of what students are expected to learn in reading at their grade level, but 40% report that their school or district has not established such indicators; (4) 28% of respondents indicated there was not a coordinated reading program at their school; (5) only 10% reported that there was a reading test or assessment that a student must pass to be promoted into their grade level; (6) statewide, grade 1-4 teachers report that 33% of their students were reading below grade level; (7) statewide, 22% of grade 1-4 students who were reading below grade level had English as a second language; (8) statewide, 24% of respondents did not have the assistance of a reading specialist; (9) factors critical in helping all students learn to read that were identified by two-thirds or more of all teacher respondents were early intervention, parent involvement, and reduced class size; and (10) statewide, respondents had an average of 20 credit hours of undergraduate or graduate college courses in the teaching of reading. (RS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *

* from the original document. *

*Highlights of the
NYSUT Telephone Survey of Elementary Teachers
on Reading*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

L. Russell Platt

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

*NYSUT Division of Research and Educational Services
May 1997*

Highlights of the NYSUT Telephone Survey of Elementary Teachers on Reading

NYSUT conducted a statewide telephone survey of 531 randomly selected kindergarten through fourth-grade public school teachers.

The purpose of the survey was to obtain information on how schools prevent and address reading problems, and to collect data that would help determine what school districts must do to meet higher reading standards being proposed by the State Education Department.

The survey was designed to be representative at the state level. When data from subgroups smaller than "Entire State" are displayed (e.g., for grade level, New York City, Rest of State), it is important to keep in mind that, because of the small sample size in each of these subgroups, the data are descriptive of the teachers interviewed and cannot be inferred to that subgroup's population.

EARLIEST GRADE FOR REMEDIATION AND INTERVENTION

Statewide, 95% of respondents say remediation should begin at grade 1 or earlier. (93% in New York City; 96% in Rest of State)

In both remediation and intervention, there are startling contrasts between New York City and Rest of State —

Teachers interviewed in New York City report that remediation begins later — only 48% of teacher respondents in New York City report remediation begins at grade 1 or earlier, compared to 84% in Rest of State.

Teachers interviewed in New York City report that reading intervention *also* begins later — only 41% of respondents in New York City report intervention begins at grade 1 or earlier, compared to 75% in Rest of State.

Figure 1				
	GRADE	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: What is the <u>earliest</u> grade level at which your school <u>generally</u> provides students with remedial reading instruction in the form of additional assistance from a reading specialist?	K	10%	1%	15%
	1	61%	47%	69%
	2	16%	25%	11%
	3	7%	14%	3%
	4	0%	1%	0%

Figure 2				
	GRADE	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: In your professional opinion, at what grade level <u>should</u> this remedial reading instruction begin?	P	2%	1%	2%
	K	32%	22%	37%
	1	61%	70%	57%
	2	3%	5%	2%
	3	1%	1%	1%
	4	0%	0%	0%

Figure 3				
	GRADE	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: What is the earliest grade level at which your school provides reading <u>intervention?</u> (prevention)	P	1%	0%	1%
	K	16%	4%	22%
	1	47%	37%	52%
	2	10%	19%	5%
	3	8%	14%	4%

TIME SPENT IN FORMAL READING INSTRUCTION

How much time do your students spend each day in formal reading instruction?

Statewide, students in kindergarten through grade 4 spend an *average* of 68 minutes each day in formal reading instruction.

Statewide, the *median* for kindergarten through grade 4 is 60 minutes each day.

Among teachers interviewed, there is little difference between New York City and Rest of State on this item.

Figure 4			
Question: How much time do your students spend each day in formal reading instruction?	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Average in minutes.....	68	69	67
Median in minutes	60	60	60

By Grade Level

Among teachers interviewed, the most time spent on formal reading instruction is in the first grade (average of 80 minutes daily, median of 90 minutes daily) and the number of minutes drops off gradually from grade 1 to grade 4.

The lower numbers reported for kindergarten may indicate that at this age, more time is spent in readiness activities and in enjoyment of literature rather than in "formal" reading instruction.

Figure 5					
Question: How much time do your students spend each day in <u>formal</u> reading instruction?	K	1	2	3	4
Average number of minutes.....	52	80	74	66	65
Median in minutes	45	90	60	60	60

SPECIFIC READING SKILL INDICATORS

Statewide, 94% of all respondents said there should be specific indicators that make it clear what students are expected to learn in reading at their grade level; however, 40% report that their school or district has not established such indicators.

Among teachers interviewed, there is little difference here between New York City and Rest of State on this item.

Statewide, over half (57%) of respondents say their school or district has established specific indicators at their grade level.

Figure 6				
		ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question:				
Do you think there should be specific indicators that make it clear what students are expected to learn in reading at <u>your</u> grade level?				
Yes		94%	92%	95%
No		6%	7%	5%
Question:				
Has your school or district established these <u>specific</u> indicators for reading at your grade level?				
Yes		57%	49%	61%
No		40%	45%	37%

By Grade Level

Among teachers interviewed, a large majority of teachers at *each* grade level (K-4), feel there should be specific indicators for reading at their grade level.

Over half of the respondents at each grade level indicate their school or district has established these indicators at their grade level.

Figure 7						
		K	1	2	3	4
Question:						
Do you think there should be <u>specific indicators</u> that make it clear what students are expected to learn <u>in reading</u> at <u>your</u> grade level?	Yes	86%	96%	92%	98%	96%
Question:						
Has your school or district <i>established</i> these <u>specific indicators</u> for reading at your grade level?	Yes	61%	56%	54%	59%	55%

CONSISTENCY IN READING PROGRAMS

Statewide, 28% indicate there is **not** a coordinated reading program at their school that is sequential from grade to grade.

Figure 8				
		ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question:				
Is the <u>reading</u> program at your school coordinated so that it is sequential from <u>grade to grade</u> ?	Yes	70%	61%	75%
	No	28%	36%	24%

By Grade Level

Among teachers interviewed, within-grade consistency drops in grade 3. Less coordination and sequencing of the program are reported by third grade teachers. See Figure 9.

Figure 9						
	K	1	2	3	4	
Question:						
Is the <u>reading</u> program at your school coordinated so that it is sequential from <u>grade to grade</u> ?	Yes	67%	72%	72%	68%	72%

READING TESTS OR ASSESSMENTS REQUIRED FOR PROMOTION

The survey asked respondents questions that dealt with reading tests or assessments that students **MUST PASS** in order to be promoted.

*Is there a reading test or reading assessment that a student **MUST PASS** in order to be promoted into your grade level?*

*Do you think there should be a reading test or reading assessment that a student **MUST PASS** at your grade level before being promoted to the next grade level?*

Statewide, only 10% report there is a reading test or reading assessment that a student **MUST PASS** in order to be promoted into their grade level. Among teachers interviewed, this is more common in New York City than in Rest of State.

Figure 10				
		ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question:				
Is there a <u>reading</u> test or reading assessment that a student MUST PASS in order to be promoted into your grade level?	Yes	10%	16%	7%
	No	76%	61%	85%

By Grade Level:

Among teachers interviewed, this reading "entrance standard" is applied more often with advancement of grade level. At grades 2, 3 and 4 this "entrance standard" is reported by 12%, 12%, and 22% of respondents, respectively. See Figure 11.

Figure 11						
		K	1	2	3	4
Question:						
Is there a <u>reading</u> test or reading assessment that a student MUST PASS in order to be promoted into your grade level?	Yes	3%	4%	12%	12%	22%
	No	43%	86%	88%	86%	78%
	Not Applicable	54%				

Question:

*Do you think there should be a reading test or reading assessment that a student **MUST PASS** at your grade level before being promoted to the next grade level?*

Statewide, the majority of teacher respondents (58%) favor a reading "exit standard" for students leaving their grade level.

Figure 12				
		ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: Do you think there should be a reading test or reading assessment that a student MUST PASS at <u>your</u> grade level before being promoted to the <u>next</u> grade level?	Yes	58%	62%	56%
	No	36%	35%	36%

By Grade Level

Among kindergarten teachers interviewed, the majority (55%) oppose a reading test or assessment that students must pass before they can be promoted to the next grade.

For grades 1, 2, 3 and 4 — 60%, 62%, 71% and 60%, respectively, favor requiring a reading test for promotion to the next grade.

Figure 13						
		K	1	2	3	4
Question: Do you think there should be a reading test or reading assessment that a student MUST PASS at your grade level before being promoted to the <u>next</u> grade level?	Yes	38%	60%	62%	71%	60%
	No	55%	37%	31%	21%	35%
	Not Applicable	5%				

STUDENTS READING BELOW GRADE LEVEL

Question:

How many students in your class this year are reading below grade level?

When teachers were asked the above question, no definition of grade level performance was provided. It is thought, therefore, that this was a criterion-referenced response; i.e., teachers were judging their students' reading performance based on how well these students were reading grade level material.

Statewide, grade 1-4 teachers report that 33% of their students are reading below grade level.

Among teachers interviewed, a higher percent of below-grade readers is reported in New York City than in Rest of State — 40% and 29%, respectively.

Figure 14				
	GRADE	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: How many students in your class this year are reading below grade level?	Kindergarten Grades 1-4	21% 33%	21% 40%	21% 29%

By Grade Level

Among teachers interviewed, the number of their students reading below grade level increases from kindergarten through grade 2 (from 21% to 37%), then stabilizes at 33% in grades 3 and 4.

Figure 15						
		K	1	2	3	4
Question: How many students in your class this year are reading below grade level?	Entire State	21%	29%	37%	33%	33%
	New York City	21%	34%	49%	39%	39%
	Rest of State	21%	25%	30%	28%	29%

ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

Question:

Referring to students who are reading below grade level, for how many of these students is English a second language?

Statewide, 22% of the grade 1-4 students who are reading below grade level have English as a second language. The percent reported by New York City teachers is much higher than Rest of State; 34% and 10%, respectively.

Statewide, 21% of the kindergarten students who are reading below grade level have English as a second language. The percent is much higher in New York City compared to Rest of State; 34% and 11% respectively.

Figure 16				
	GRADE	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: (referring to students who are reading below grade level) For how many of <u>these</u> students is English a second language?	Kindergarten	21%	34%	11%
	Grades 1-4	22%	34%	10%

ASSISTANCE OF A READING SPECIALIST

For Diagnostic Student Assessment:

Statewide, almost one in four respondents (24%) do not have the assistance of a reading specialist available to them for diagnostic student assessment.

Among teachers interviewed in New York City, this figure is 41%; for Rest of State it is 15%.

For Direct Student Instruction:

Statewide, almost one-third of respondents (31%) do not have the assistance of a reading specialist available to them for direct student instruction.

Among teachers interviewed in New York City, this figure is 47%; for Rest of State it is 22%.

For General Classroom Advice and Consultation

Statewide, one-fifth of respondents (21%) do not have the assistance of a reading specialist available to them for general classroom advice, consultation on teaching techniques, etc.

Figure 17				
		ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
Question: Is the assistance of a reading specialist available...				
a. for diagnostic student assessment	Yes	75%	58%	84%
	No	24%	41%	15%
b. for direct student instruction	Yes	68%	52%	77%
	No	31%	47%	22%
c. for general classroom advice and consultation on teaching techniques, organization of instructions, etc.	Yes	78%	66%	85%
	No	21%	33%	14%

FACTORS CONSIDERED CRITICAL IN HELPING ALL STUDENTS LEARN TO READ

Question:

I'm now going to read a list of activities, resources and policies. For each item, please tell me how important you think it is in helping all your students learn to read.

*Please tell me if you think each item is —
Critical (meaning it is essential),
Very Important,
Somewhat important, or
Not very important.*

Statewide, three factors were identified by two-thirds or more of all teacher respondents as critical in helping all students learn to read:

1. Early intervention and remediation for poor readers (79%),
2. Parent involvement in the education of their children (72%), and
3. Reduced class size (66%).

Among teachers interviewed in Rest of State, three factors (in addition to the statewide list above) were considered critical by more than 40% of respondents:

1. Staff development to help the teacher become more proficient in the teaching of reading (47%),
2. A remedial reading pull-out program coordinated with classroom instruction

(for example, the Reading Recovery program) (45%), and

3. Parent awareness regarding the knowledge and skills their children are learning at your grade level (44%).

Among teachers interviewed in New York City, two factors (in addition to the statewide list above) were considered critical by more than 40% of respondents:

1. Parent awareness regarding the knowledge and skills their children are learning at grade level (50%), and
2. Adult literacy classes for parents of students who are experiencing reading difficulties (42%).

Figure 18
Factors Identified As Critical In Helping All Students Learn To Read

	ENTIRE STATE	NEW YORK CITY	REST OF STATE
1. Early intervention and remediation for poor readers	79%	74%	81%
2. Parent involvement in the education of their children	72%	73%	72%
3. Reduced class size	66%	76%	61%
4. Parent awareness regarding the knowledge and skills their children are learning at your grade level	46%	50%	44%
5. Staff development to help you become more proficient in the <u>teaching of reading</u>	41%	30%	47%
6. A remedial reading pull -out program in which a reading specialist coordinates instruction with the classroom teacher and provides additional assistance to your students outside of the classroom (for example, the Reading Recovery program)	39%	28%	45%
7. Adult literacy classes for parents of your <u>students</u> who are experiencing reading difficulties.....	33%	42%	28%
8. The assistance of a certified English as a Second Language (ESL) teacher to help teach students with limited English proficiency	33%	27%	36%

TRAINING IN THE TEACHING OF READING

Statewide, teacher respondents have an average of 20 credit hours of undergraduate or graduate college courses in the teaching of reading. The median is 15 credit hours.

Not counting these courses, 76% of respondents report having had inservice training or professional development in the last 5 years that focused on the teaching of reading. (Among those interviewed in New York City, 81%; in Rest of State, 73%.)

Among these teachers who had inservice training, they average 54 clock-hours of training on the teaching of reading over the last 5 years.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Highlights of The NYSUT Telephone Survey of Elementary Teachers on Reading</i>	
Author(s):	
Corporate Source: <i>NYSUT</i>	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> _____ _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign
here→
please

Signature: <i>Linda Rosenblatt</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Director, Media Relations/Comm.</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>New York State United Teachers 159 Wolf Road Albany NY 12212-5008</i>	Telephone: <i>518-454-5400 x4313</i>	FAX: <i>518-454-6487</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>lrosenbl@nysut.org</i>	Date: <i>7-22-97</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	<i>Requisition</i> ERIC/REC 2805 E. Tenth Street Smith Research Center, 150 Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47408
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
~~1100 West Street, 2d Floor~~
~~Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598~~

~~Telephone: 301-497-4080~~
~~Toll-Free: 800-799-3742~~
~~FAX: 301-953-0263~~

~~e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov~~
~~WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com~~