DOCUMENT RESUME

CG 027 998 ED 410 511

Karustis, James L.; Power, Thomas J.; Eiraldi, Ricardo B.; AUTHOR

Rescorla, Leslie A.

Functional Impairments Associated with AD/HD: Comparison by TITLE

Subtypes.

PUB DATE 1997-04-00

19p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National NOTE

Association of School Psychologists (Anaheim, CA, April 2-5,

1997).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage. EDRS PRICE

*Attention Deficit Disorders; *Clinical Diagnosis; DESCRIPTORS

Comparative Analysis; Elementary School Students; Elementary

Secondary Education; Evaluation Methods; Hyperactivity; Secondary School Students; *Symptoms (Individual Disorders)

*Attention Theory; Impulsiveness IDENTIFIERS

ABSTRACT

Numerous studies have provided empirical support for subtyping attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) along two primary dimensions: inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity. Efforts to further specify subtype differences in the functional impairments of children with ADHD are presented in this paper. It was predicted that differences regarding academic and social functioning would resemble those found in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4th ed. (DSM-IV) field trials. The present study tested 125 children (99 boys, 26 girls, ages 7 to 12), who met DSM-IV criteria for two subtypes of ADHD (ADHD Combined Hyperactivity and Impulsivity, and ADHD Predominantly Inattentive) and who had not received psychoactive medication for at least 3 months. Differences between children in the two subgroups were most apparent regarding disruptive and noncompliant behaviors. Mild group differences were found regarding functional impairments in social functioning, suggesting that children with hyperactivity and impulsivity, in addition to inattention, may be at greater risk for peer-interpersonal impairment in school settings. The lack of significant differences as regards academic functioning and internalizing symptoms suggest that children belonging to the ADHD Combined and the ADHD Impulsive subtypes may be more similar in this respect than previously thought. (RJM)

************************ Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENTS

ASSOCIATED WITH AD/HD:

COMPARISON BY SUBTYPES

James L. Karustis, Ph.D. Thomas J. Power, Ph.D. Ricardo B. Eiraldi, Ph.D.

Children's Seashore House/ University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine

Leslie A. Rescorla, Ph.D.

Bryn Mawr College

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced ea received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Karustis

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

302799 ERIC Presented at the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists, Anaheim, California, April 1997

INTRODUCTION

The manner in which the core components of AD/HD should best be categorized has been the subject of significant controversy for many years. A number of factor analytic studies (e.g., Lahey et al., 1988) provided empirical support for subtyping AD/HD along two primary dimensions: inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity. These findings contributed to the reintroduction of subtypes in the DSM-IV, designated as the Combined (AD/HD-COM), Predominantly Inattentive (AD/HD-I), and Predominantly Hyperactive-Impulsive (AD/HD-HI) types.

The DSM-IV field trials for AD/HD (Lahey et al., 1994) suggested that functional impairments associated with AD/HD may differ according to subtype. For instance, teacher ratings of academic performance were lower, and parent ratings of homework problems were higher for children in the AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I groups than for those in the AD/HD-HI group. In addition, children with AD/HD-I had more peer problems than those in the COM and HI groups.

The present study sought to further specify subtype differences in the functional impairments of children with AD/HD. It was predicted that differences regarding academic and social functioning would resemble those found in the DSM-IV field trials. In addition, we hypothesized that children with AD/HD-I would manifest a greater degree of anxiety relative to children with AD/HD-COM. Consistent with previous studies (e.g., Barkley et al., 1990), it was also predicted that children with AD/HD-COM would have more externalizing problems. Children with AD/HD-HI were not expected to present in sufficient numbers for the purposes of subtype comparisons.



METHODS

<u>PARTICIPANTS</u>. The sample included 125 children drawn from the outpatient clinic of a university-based hospital in a large metropolitan area, aged 7 to 12 years, meeting DSM-IV criteria for AD/HD-COM (n = 83) or AD/HD-I (n = 42), who had not received psychoactive medication for a period of at least 3 months. There were 99 boys and 26 girls. All participants had also received both parent and teacher ratings of significant AD/HD symptoms on the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL & TRF). Exclusionary criteria included evidence of overt neurological disorder, PDD, or psychosis, as well as Composite IQ below 80.

<u>MEASURES</u>. <u>AD/HD</u>. AD/HD diagnoses were determined via structured parent interview (DICA-R-P). Participants were assigned to an AD/HD subtype based upon parent (DICA-R-P) and teacher report (the Inattention and Overactivity factors of the *Child Attention Profile*).

Externalizing problems were assessed using the CBCL and TRF Externalizing factors.

Internalizing symptoms. Anxiety symptoms were assessed using the Anxiety subscale of the *Devereux Scales of Mental Disorders* (DSMD), Parent Form, and the Worry/Oversensitivity subscale of the *Revised Children's Manifest Anxiety Scale* (RCMAS). Depression symptoms were assessed using the DSMD Depression subscale, as well as the Anhedonia subscale of the *Children's Depression Inventory* (CDI).

Academic functioning was measured with the following: 1) The Mathematics and 2) Reading subtests of the Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement (K-TEA), Brief Form; 3) Homework Problems Checklist (HPC); and 4) Academic Performance factor of the Academic Performance Rating Scale (APRS).

Social functioning was measured with the following: 1) CBCL and 2) TRF Social Problems factors; and 3) CBCL Social Competence factor.



DATA ANALYSIS

Differences between the AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I groups were examined using a MANCOVA with measures of academic functioning as the dependent variables. Composite IQs from the Kaufman Brief Test of Intelligence (K-BIT) were used as the covariate.

Separate MANOVAs were performed for social functioning, externalizing problems, and internalizing symptoms in the comparison of the AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I groups.



RESULTS

Means and standard deviations for the dependent variable measures and IQ are presented in Tables 1 through 3. As shown, children in the AD/HD-COM group were rated overall by parents and teachers as displaying significantly elevated externalizing problems and social problems, by parents as having significant homework problems and poor social competence, and by teachers as displaying significant academic productivity deficits.

Children in the AD/HD-I group also received high mean ratings of homework problems and teacher ratings suggestive of academic productivity deficits, as well as significantly elevated parent ratings of social problems. On the other hand, children with AD/HD-I were rated in the overall normal range by parents and teachers for externalizing problems, by teachers for social problems, and by parents for social competence.

Mean scores for children in both groups were in the average range regarding Composite IQ, Reading, and Mathematics. Mean scores for both groups were in the normal range for both parent- and self-report of anxiety and depression.

Multivariate analysis of variance test results are outlined in Table 4.



Consistent with findings from the DSM-IV field trials, there were no group differences regarding academic functioning.

The MANOVA for social functioning indicated that children in the AD/HD-COM group were rated as displaying more social problems than children in the AD/HD-I group. Roy-Bargmann stepdown analysis indicated that the differences were largely accounted for by TRF Social Problems scores (Table 5).

As expected, children in the AD/HD-COM group received higher ratings for externalizing problems. As noted in Table 6, this was true both for parent (CBCL) and teacher report (TRF).

In contrast with several earlier studies that suggested a relationship between internalizing problems and ADD without Hyperactivity (e.g., Lahey et al., 1984; Barkley et al., 1990), the present study did not find differences between the AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I groups regarding either anxiety or depression (Table 4).



DISCUSSION

Differences between children in the AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I groups were *most* apparent regarding disruptive and noncompliant (i.e., externalizing) behaviors. The mild group differences found regarding functional impairments in social functioning should not be overinterpreted, although they suggest that children with hyperactivity and impulsivity in addition to inattention may be at greater risk for peer-interpersonal impairment in school settings.

The lack of significant differences found pertaining to academic functioning and internalizing symptoms suggest that children belonging to the AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I subtypes may be more similar in these areas than previous research might indicate.

Intervention programming for children with AD/HD-COM, then, would appear to be optimally designed when targets include the child's functional impairments in compliance and disruptive behaviors, as well as in school peer problems. The present study also suggests that problems related to anxiety and depression may not be more salient among many children with AD/HD than among the general population of elementary school children.

In general the results of this study are supportive of diagnostic subtyping regarding AD/HD, although differences in functional impairments were not as apparent and



meaningful as research using previous DSM criteria would suggest.

In future research group comparisons including children in the AD/HD-HI group will help to further delineate the functional significance of subtyping children with AD/HD using DSM-IV criteria.

REFERENCES

- Barkley, R.A., DuPaul, G.J., & McMurray, M.B. (1990). Comprehensive evaluation of attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity as defined by research criteria. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 58, 775-789.
- Lahey, B.B., Pelham, W.E., Schaughency, E.A., Atkins, M.S., Murphy, H.A., Hynd, G.W., Russo, M., Hartdagen, S., & Lorys-Vernon, A. (1988). Dimensions and types of attention deficit disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27, 330-335.
- Lahey, B.B., Applegate, B., McBurnett, K., Biederman, J., Greenhill, L., Hynd, G.W., Barkley, R.A., Newcorn, J., Jensen, P., Richters, J., Garfinkel, B., Kerdyk, L., Frick, P.J., Ollendick, T., Perez, D., Hart, E.L., Waldman, I., & Shaffer, D. (1994). DSM-IV field trials for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 1673-1685.



Table 1

Descriptive Statistics for Academic Functioning and IQ (N = 125)

| · | AD/HD | AD/HD Subtype |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Variable | AD/HD-COM (n = 83) | AD/HD-I (n = 42) |
| K-BIT Composite IQ M (SD) | 100.81 (11.30) | 100.05 (11.84) |
| For the Froblems Checklist $M(SD)$ | 34.04 (11.02) | 29.34 (11.47) |
| APRS Academic Performance ^b <i>M (SD)</i> | 23.95 (6.39) | 23.73 (6.95) |
| K-TEA Reading SS M (SD) | 100.58 (13.62) | 102.29 (13.89) |
| K-TEA Mathematics SS M (SD) | 98.90 (14.47) | 99.24 (15.08) |

^a Raw Scores used (possible range: 0-60), and were roughly normally distributed. Mean raw score for the standardization sample of children grades 2-4 was 10.50 (SD = 8.03). Higher scores indicate more problems.



0

^b Raw Scores used (possible range: 9-45), and were roughly normally distributed. Raw score means for the standardization sample of children grades 1-6 ranged from 42.40 to 48.77 (SD range: 7.82 to 12.47). Lower scores indicate lesser performance.

(**

Table 2

Descriptive Statistics for Social Functioning

| | AD/HD | AD/HD Subtype |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Variable | AD/HD-COM | AD/HD-I |
| CBCL Social Problems M (SD) | 63.28 (10.44) | 64.00 (10.31) |
| TRF. Social Problems <i>M (SD)</i> | 62.87 (7.74) | 59.10 (6.90) |
| CBCL Social Competence M (SD) | 38.42 (9.05) | 41.14 (8.38) |

Note. T-scores used. For CBCL and TRF Social Problems scores, higher scores reflect greater severity of problems. For CBCL Social Competence scores, lower scores reflect poorer competence.

Table 3

ERIC Full fax t Provided by ERIC

Descriptive Statistics for Externalizing & Internalizing **Problems**

| · | AD/HD Subtype | ubtype |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Variable | AD/HD-COM | AD/HD-I |
| CBCL Externalizing M (SD) | 64.25 (9.13) | 57.05 (11.48) |
| TRF Externalizing M (SD) | 65.35 (8.04) | 54.50 (6.90) |
| DSMD Anxiety M (SD) | 56.29 (11.67) | 52.55 (8.78) |
| RCMAS Worry/Oversensitivity ^a M (SD) | 10.12 (3.36) | 9.55 (3.58) |
| DSMD Depression M (SD) | 59.01 (12.45) | 56.12 (12.64) |
| CDI Anhedonia M (SD) | 54.95 (12.82) | 51.24 (10.42) |

^a Scaled scores used (M = 10 and SD = 3). T-scores used for other measures in this table.



Table 4

MANCOVA/MANOVA Results Comparing AD/HD-COM and AD/HD-I Groups

| Dependent Variable Domain | Value ^a | df . | F | d |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Academic Functioning | 90. | 4, 120 | 1.72 | .151 |
| Social Functioning | 60. | 3, 121 | 4.09 | 800. |
| Externalizing | .34 | 2, 122 | 31.31 | <.001 |
| Internalizing | .04 | 4, 120 | 1.22 | .306 |

^a All multivariate test values reflect Pillai Trace statistics.



~ □

Table 5

Roy-Bargmann Stepdown Analyses for Social Functioning

| Variable | Mean Square Errors | fp | F | d |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|------|------|
| TRF Social Problems | 55.85 | 1, 123 | 7.11 | 600° |
| CBCL Social Competence | 78.65 | 1, 122 | 2.46 | 611. |
| CBCL Social Problems | 92.59 | 1, 121 | 2.50 | 711. |

Table 6

Roy-Bargmann Stepdown Analyses for Externalizing Problems

| Variable | Mean Square Errors | fp | F | d |
|---------------|--------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| CBCL | | | | |
| Externalizing | 99.53 | 1, 123 | 14.55 | < .001 |
| TRF | | | | |
| Externalizing | 58.15 | 1, 122 | 43.09 | .<.001 |





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

| i. DO | COMEIN IDE | MINICATION. | _ | | |
|--------|------------|-------------|------------|------|----|
| Title: | Functional | Impairments | Associated | with | AD |

AD/HO: Comparison

by Subtypes

IMENT IDENTIFICATION.

Author(s): James L. Karustis, Ph.O, Thomas J. Power Ah.D. Ricardo B. Eiraldi, Ph.O. & Leslie A.

Corporate Source:

Publication Date:

4/97

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in
microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media
(e.g., electronic or optical)
and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

____sample ___

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY



TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



Check here For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature:

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Sign here→ please

Diganization/Address: Seashare House
Children's Seashare House
Dept. of Dediotric Psychology
3 405 Civic Center Blud.
Philadelia PA 19114

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Tames L. Karus Yis, Ph.D.

Psychology Clinician
Telephone:

Telephone:

Telephone: 7 FAX 215 895 3727 27

E-Mail Address: JKarusti@childrens -Seashore. org

e: 8/25/97





COUNSELING AND STUDENT SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE

May 30, 1997

Dear 1997 NASP/CASP Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Student Services invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of the presentation you made at the 1997 annual convention of the National Association of School Psychologists and California Association of School Psychologists in Anaheim, California April 1-5. Papers presented at professional conferences represent a significant source of educational material for the ERIC system. We don't charge a fee for adding a document to the ERIC database, and authors keep the copyrights.

As you may know, ERIC is the largest and most searched education database in the world. Documents accepted by ERIC appear in the abstract journal Resources in Education (RIE) and are announced to several thousand organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, counselors, and educators; provides a permanent archive; and enhances the quality of RIE. Your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of RIE, through microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the country and the world, and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). By contributing your document to the ERIC system, you participate in building an international resource for educational information. In addition, your paper may be listed for publication credit on your academic vita.

To submit your document to ERIC/CASS for review and possible inclusion in the ERIC database, please send the following to the address on this letterhead:

- (1) Two (2) laser print copies of the paper,
- (2) A signed reproduction release form (see back of letter), and

ERIC

(3) A 200-word abstract (optional)

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC. Finally, please feel free to copy the reproduction release for future or additional submissions.

Sincerely,

Jillian Barr Joncas

Acquisitions and Outreach Coordinator

School of Education 201 Ferguson Building

University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Greensboro, NC 24412-5001

800/414.9769 910/334.4114

FAX: 910/334.4116

-mail: ericcas2@dewey.uncg.edu

