

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 410 507

CG 027 994

AUTHOR Liu, Hsin-tine Tina; McCarthy, Christopher J.  
TITLE The Relationship of Attachment and Gender to Cognitive Appraisal of Family Conflicts.  
PUB DATE 1997-03-00  
NOTE 16p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Chicago, IL, March 24-28, 1997).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Affective Behavior; \*Attachment Behavior; \*Cognitive Psychology; Cognitive Restructuring; College Students; Counseling Theories; \*Family Counseling; \*Family Problems; Higher Education; Parent Child Relationship  
IDENTIFIERS Gender Issues

ABSTRACT

The importance of cognitions and attachment in family therapy has long been recognized but attachment to parental figures apparently does not affect the way that family events are cognitively processed. Given the large body of literature suggesting the importance of attachment and cognition, McCarthy speculated that the lack of significance for attachment may have been due to limitations in methodology (such as restricting samples to females and measuring events not necessarily relevant). The replication and extension of the McCarthy study, and an examination of attachment and gender on cognitive processes, are presented here. Participants, 254 college students, were grouped based on a percentile split of attachment scores. Results indicate that, contrary to the McCarthy study, appraisals of conflict with primary caregivers may be influenced by attachment to that person. The present study found that Roseman's (1990) model of appraisals can be used to explain the relationship between cognitive appraisals and emotional states with family-related events. Moreover, it is suggested that cognitive family therapists may need to take into account the effects of gender and attachment to different family members. Contains 12 references. (RJM)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ED 410 507

Running Head: Attachment and Gender

The Relationship of Attachment and Gender  
to Cognitive Appraisal of Family Conflicts

Hsin-tine Tina Liu, B.A.

University of Texas at Austin

Christopher J. McCarthy, Ph.D.

University of Texas at Austin

Poster presented at the 1997 Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association,  
Chicago, IL, March 24-28, 1997.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

H. Liu

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

## The Relationship of Attachment and Gender to Cognitive Appraisals of Family Conflicts

Roseman et al. (1990) demonstrated that specific cognitive appraisals lead to specific emotional responses. The research of the present authors has suggested that this model may be useful in a variety of clinical settings, including individual therapy (McCarthy, Beaton, & Brack, 1993; McCarthy, Brack, Brack, & Beaton, in press), couples supervision (Brack, Brack, & McCarthy, in press), and group work (McCarthy & Hill Carlson, 1997). Family therapists who use cognitive approaches are especially concerned with the relationship of cognitions to emotions and believe that it is distorted thinking which leads to problematic emotional states in the family such as anxiety and depression (Wright & Beck, 1989). Family attachment has also shown to be a critical factor in how people cognitively process their family experiences (Armsden & Greenberg, 1987; Bluestein, Walbridge, Friedlander, & Palladino, 1991). The importance of cognitions and attachment in family therapy was previously tested by McCarthy, Brack, & Brack (in press), and support was found for the usefulness of Roseman's model in predicting family emotions. But surprisingly, attachment to parental figures did not affect the way family events were cognitively processed. Given the large body of literature suggesting the importance of attachment and cognition, McCarthy et al. (in press) speculated the lack of significance for attachment may have been due to limitations in their methodology. These limitations included: 1) the restriction for their sample to females and 2) measuring events which were not necessarily relevant to differing levels of attachment. The former limitations seemed especially important given that gender

differences have been found in emotional attachment (McGrath, Keita, Strickland, & Russo, 1990). The present study was designed to replicate and extend McCarthy et al.'s (in press) study and examine the effects of attachment and gender on cognitive processes.

### **Review of Related Literature**

#### Cognitive Appraisal Theory

Cognitive behavioral theorists have long suggested that affect is linked to how emotional events are cognitively processed (Ellis, 1967; Beck, 1976; Safran & Greenberg, 1982). However, even well developed theories, such as those proposed by Ellis (1967) do not define exactly what thoughts lead to specific emotions.

Roseman et al.'s (1990) model provides a comprehensive theory connecting specific cognitive appraisals with specific discrete emotional states. According to this theory, there are six specific appraisal dimensions which lead to sixteen discrete emotions. The development of such a theory would seem to have important implications for cognitively-oriented family therapists who recommend efforts to ferret out and change the beliefs or appraisals responsible for a client's unpleasant emotions. Specifically, the work of Roseman et al. (1990) suggests that therapists can work backwards from the emotional responses of clients to the specific dimensions of their thinking that account for their responses.

Roseman et al. (1990) postulated that we make appraisals based on six specific cognitive dimensions: situational state, an appraisal of whether a specific event is consistent or inconsistent with what is desired by the individual; motivational state, which refers to whether the individual is seeking something positive or striving to avoid something painful; probability, which is the perceived likelihood that the event will occur; power, which is the degree to which individuals

believe they are capable of coping with a given situation; legitimacy, which refers to whether or not the individual believes they deserved the event, and agency, which refers to whether the event is caused by the individual, another person, or some other agency. This appraisal dimension consists of three separate sub-dimensions: 1) agency-self, the degree to which an event is perceived as caused by oneself; 2) agency-other, the degree to which the event is perceived as caused by another person; and 3) agency-circumstance, the degree to which the event is perceived as caused by external circumstances.

Roseman et al. (1990) found that by measuring appraisals along each of these dimensions an individual's emotional reaction could be predicted. The theory includes ten specific negative emotions: disgust, distress, sadness, fear, unfriendliness, anger, frustration, shame, regret, and guilt. The six positive emotions were joy, relief, affection, pride, hope, and surprise. Figure 1 illustrates the hypothesized relationship between appraisals and discrete emotional states (Roseman et al., 1990).

-----  
 Insert Figure 1  
 -----

The emotions in the boxes in Figure 1 are the result of the appraisals which appear along the border. The appraisal dimension of power is listed on the right side of Figure 1 using the descriptors weak (low power) and strong (high power). Along the left side of Figure 1 are the descriptors associated with the agency dimension (circumstance-caused, other-caused, and self-caused). The agency dimension is further divided to account for the probability dimension (certain/uncertain). The situational state appraisal dimension (using the descriptors motive-

consistent and motive-inconsistent) is represented along the top of Figure 1. The situational state dimension is further divided to reflect appraisals on the motivational state dimension (appetitive/aversive). By tracing down or across from the appraisals made of an event, one can determine the predicted emotion (see Figure 1).

As an example, an event that is motive-inconsistent (low on the situational state dimension), one in which the individual felt strong (high on the power dimension), and one caused by circumstances (high on the agency-circumstance dimension) results in frustration. However, if the agency appraisal changes so that the event is perceived as caused by another person (high on agency-other), not circumstance, the resulting emotion would be anger, not frustration.

### Attachment Theory

Research has shown that an adult's family attachment is a critical factor in how people cognitively process their experiences (Armsden & Greenberg, 1987; Lapsley, Rice, & FitzGerald, 1990). Emotional adjustment was found to be positively correlated with college adjustment, economic independence, social and personal identity, self-esteem, career development, and life satisfaction (Armsden & Greenberg, 1987; Palladino, 1991). Belitsky and Jacobs (1986) postulated that attachment may be a risk factor in pathological grief and can provide some explanation of the natural course of uncomplicated bereavement.

Adult attachment is believed to be functionally related to early attachment experiences (Bowlby, 1988). Bowlby's continuity hypothesis states that early attachment experiences are functionally related to adult attachment styles and competencies. While this has only been tested indirectly through retrospective accounts (Lopez, 1995), attachment theorists believe that adult

accounts of attachment styles should be consistent with attachment levels in childhood and early adolescence.

The potential role that an individual's family attachment plays in developing one's appraisal style, however, remains relatively unexplored. Armsden, McCauley, Greenberg, Burke, and Mitchell (1990) state that poor attachment to one's parents and peers is a risk factor in developing maladaptive cognitive attribution styles. That is, these individuals may perceive their world in a negative way and may thus be at higher risk for depression. Armsden et al. (1990) suggested that poorly attached individuals may have systematic biases in the way they think about their experiences. In fact, Armsden and Greenberg (1987) believe that individuals with lower levels of attachment will show more negative effects of life stress because of these faulty attributional styles. Is it then possible that differences in attachment lead to systematic differences in the way that one appraises family-related events?

Previous research has also found that adult attachment differs by gender (Kenny & Donaldson, 1991). Therefore, it seems important to consider that differing levels of attachment and gender may influence appraisals about family related events. The specific research questions are: 1) Do differing levels of attachment to one's mother and father influence appraisals of conflict with that parent (or parent figure)? and 2) Do males and females differ in their cognitive appraisals of family conflict with their mother and father?

## Method

### Instruments and Procedures:

A two part questionnaire was used to appraise the most recent family conflict with the subject's mother and father. A separate inventory was used to measure attachment of the subject to father, mother, and peers.

**Cognitive Appraisals:** Cognitive appraisals of family conflict were measured using a two part questionnaire adapted from Roseman et al. (1990). Part I of the questionnaire included two forms. On one form of the questionnaire, the participant was asked to write about a family conflict experienced towards the mother (or mother figure). The other form of the questionnaire asked for a family conflict experienced with the father (or father figure). Participants received both forms of the questionnaire in randomized order. Part II of the questionnaire was a 17-item inventory designed to measure appraisals. Each appraisal dimension in the Roseman et al. (1990) model was measured on a scale consisting of 3 items (2 items for the legitimacy scale); each item asked the participant to rate the experience of terms of a particular appraisal on a nine-point scale. Questions were ordered randomly on the questionnaire.

**Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment (IPPA):** The IPPA was used to measure attachment to mother, father, and peers (Armsden & Greenberg, 1987). The IPPA is a 75 item questionnaire in which respondents indicate how often a statement is true for them on a five-point Likert scale ranging from "almost never or never", "seldom", "sometimes", "often", and "almost always or always", with a reversal of some items to prevent response bias. There are 25 items on each of three scales measuring attachment to the mother, father, and peers. Scores can range from 25 to 125 on each scale. With regard to parental attachment, respondents were asked to make



responses based on their feelings about the parent in question or the person of the same sex who acted as that parent. Participants who had more than one person act as the parent figure in question were instructed to respond on the basis of the person they felt most influenced them. With regard to peer attachment, participants were asked about their feelings about relationships with their close friends. The scores regarding attachment to parents were used in this study. Armsden and Greenberg (1987) reported good construct validity and reported reliability alphas to be 0.93 for parent attachment and 0.86 for peer attachment on a sample of 18 to 20 year-olds.

#### Data Source:

Participants in this study were 254 students enrolled in a large, Southwestern university. The students in the sample were enrolled in undergraduate psychology and educational psychology classes and were completing the study as partial course credit. Participants included 30.1% and 69.5% females with an average age of 21.68. The ethnic breakdown is as follows: 68.4% European American, 13.7% Latino(a), 9.8% Asian American, 2.7% African American, and 4.7% identifying themselves as belonging to other ethnic categories.

#### **Results**

According to procedures used by McCarthy et al. (in press), participants were grouped based on a percentile split into low (lower 33%), medium (middle 33%), and high (upper 33%) attachment scores. To answer the research questions in the study, two 2X3 Multiple Analysis of Variance (MANOVAS) were conducted for attachment to father and mother. One MANOVA used three levels of attachment (high, medium, low) to the father and gender as independent variables and the Roseman (1990) appraisal dimensions as the dependent variables. The other MANOVA also used three levels of attachment (high, medium, low) to the mother and gender as

the independent variables and the Roseman (1990) appraisal dimensions as the dependent variables. For attachment to mother, there was a significant interaction of gender by attachment ( $F(16, 418)=1.67, p=.05$ ). Post-hoc univariate F-tests for the interaction of gender by attachment to mother, each with (2,215 df), showed a significant difference for the appraisal dimensions of probability and the three agency dimensions. There was also a significant main effect of attachment to mother ( $F(16, 418)=2.95, p<.0001$ ) but no significant main effect of attachment to father ( $F(16, 392)=2.13, p<.01$ ). Post hoc-univariate F-tests for attachment to father, each with (2,202 df), showed a significant difference for the appraisal dimensions of situational state, legitimacy, and agency-self. There was also a significant main effect of gender on attachment ( $F(8, 195)=2.55, p=.01$ ). Post-hoc univariate F-tests for attachment to father and gender on appraisals, each with (2, 202 df), showed a significant difference for the appraisal dimensions of situational state, probability, power, and legitimacy. Tukey's HSD test was conducted for all significant univariate tests for attachment to determine which means were significantly different from each other.

### Conclusions

The present study tested an individual's level of attachment to parents (or parent figures), his/her gender, and the influence of these two factors on the appraisals of family conflict through Roseman et al.'s (1990) model.

The results of this study, contrary to McCarthy et al.'s (in press) study, suggest that appraisals of conflict with primary caregivers may be influenced by attachment to that person. In McCarthy et al.'s (in press) study, attachment was not found to directly alter the appraisal process. This study finds that Roseman's model of appraisals can be used to explain the

relationship between cognitive appraisals and emotional states with family related events.

Moreover, cognitive family therapists may also need to take into account the effects of gender and attachment to different family members.

#### Limitations of the study

There are several limitations to the generalizability of this study. First, the sample was limited to undergraduates at a southwestern university. These results would need to be replicated with a more diverse population to generalize to other groups. Second, because correlation based analysis were used, we could not infer causality from these results. Third, although tentative support was found for a relationship between appraisals and emotions, only the most frequently chosen emotions were tested in the analysis. Future studies would examine more of the emotions to further explore the relevance of appraisal theory.

#### Educational importance of the study:

The level of attachment that an individual has for family members was found to be a significant factor in appraisals of situations involving that primary caregiver. As suggested previously, Roseman et al.'s (1990) model may be a useful tool for cognitive family therapists seeking to help clients understand the appraisal patterns which are maintaining problematic states. Family therapists working with clients reporting these negative emotions about family issues might benefit by considering the appraisals their clients are making. Does the client experiencing anger or frustration make systematic biases in their appraisals of the environment? If so, Roseman et al.'s (1990) model could serve as a guide to the appraisals that would have to change to alter these feeling states. It may also be possible for a therapist to work backwards using Roseman et al.'s (1990) technology to take a client's presenting emotional state and hypothesize as to the

specific thought dimensions which are underlying and maintaining the emotion. The results of the study also suggest that other variables such as family attachment gender may bias family members' appraisals of family conflict and must be taken into account when attempting to change these processes.

Positive Emotions

Negative Emotions

Motive-Consistent

Motive-Inconsistent

	Appetitive		Aversive		
Circumstance-Caused	Appetitive		Aversive		
Unknown	Surprise				
Uncertain	Hope		Fear		Weak
Certain	Joy	Relief	Sadness	Distress, Disgust	
Uncertain	Hope		Frustration		Strong
Certain	Joy	Relief			
Other-Caused	Liking		Dislike		Weak
Uncertain			Anger		Strong
Certain					
Self-Caused			Pride		Shame, Guilt
Uncertain	Regret				Strong
Certain					

### References

Armsden, G. & Greenberg, M. (1987). The inventory of parent and peer attachment: individual differences and their relationship to psychological well-being in adolescence. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 16(5), 427-454.

Armsden, G., McCauley, E., Greenberg, M., Burke, P., & Mitchell, J. (1990). Parent and peer attachment in early adolescent depression. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 18(6), 683-697.

Beck, A. T. (1976). Cognitive therapy and emotional disorders. New York: International Universities Press.

Belitsky, R., & Jacobs, S. (1986). Bereavement, attachment theory, and mental disorders. Psychiatric Annals, 16(5), 276-280.

Bluestein, D., Walbridge, M., Friedlander, M., & Pallandino, D. (1991). Contributions of psychological separation and parental attachment to the career development process. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 38(1), 39-50.

Bowlby, J. (1988). Developmental psychiatry comes of age. The American Journal of Psychiatry, 145, 1-10.

Brack, G., Brack, C., & McCarthy, C. A model for helping novice therapists to integrate their affective reactions and cognitive appraisals in supervision. Accepted for publication in The Clinical Supervisor.

Ellis, A. (1967). Rational-emotive psychotherapy. In D. Arbuckle (Ed.), Counseling and Psychotherapy: New York: McGraw-Hill.

Kenny, M., & Donaldson, G. (1991). Contributions of parental attachment and family structure to the social and psychological functioning of first-year college students. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 38(4), 479-486.

Lapsley, D., Rice, K., & FitzGerald, D. (1990). Adolescent attachment, identity, and adjustment to college: implications for the continuity of adaptation hypothesis. Journal of Counseling & Development, 68, 561-565.

Lopez, F. (1995). Contemporary attachment theory: An introduction with implications for counseling psychology. The Counseling Psychologist, 23(3), 395-415.

McCarthy, C., Beaton, R., & Brack, G. (1993, November). A new therapeutic model for integrating affect and cognition. Paper presented at the Division 17 Southeast Regional Conference, Gainesville, Florida.

McCarthy, C., Brack, G., & Brack, C. The relationship of cognitive appraisals and attachment to emotional events within the family of origin. Accepted for publication in The Family Journal.

McCarthy, C., Brack, G., Brack, C., & Beaton, R. A new therapeutic model for integration affecting cognitions. Manuscript accepted for publication in Psychotherapy.

McCarthy, C., & Hill Carlson, M. (1997, January). Cognitive Appraisal Theory: Linking Cognitions with Emotion in Group Settings. Poster presented at the 5th National Group Work Conference, Association for Specialists in Group Work, Athens, GA.

McGrath, E., Keita, G., Strickland, B., & Russo, N. (1990). Women and Depression: Risk Factors and Treatment Issues. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Palladino, D. (1991). Contributions of psychological separation and parental attachment to the career development process. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 38(1), 39-50.

Roseman, I., Spindel, M., & Jose, P. (1990). Appraisals of emotion-eliciting events: testing a theory of discrete emotions. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 59(5), 899-915.

Safran, J. & Greenberg, L. (1982). Cognitive appraisal and reappraisal: Implications for clinical practice. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 6(3), 251-258.

Wright, J., & Beck, A. (1989). Family cognitive therapy with inpatients. New York: Guilford Press.





U.S. Department of Education  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>The Relationship of Attachment and Gender to Cognitive Appraisal of Family Conflicts</i>	
Author(s): <i>Hsin-tine Tina Liu &amp; Christopher J. McCarthy</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>University of Texas at Austin</i>	Publication Date:

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here  
For Level 1 Release:  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here  
For Level 2 Release:  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>Hsin-tine Tina Liu</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Hsin-tine Tina Liu (student)</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>University of Texas at Austin SZB 262 College of Education / Sanchez Bldg. Austin, TX 78712</i>	Telephone: <i>(512) 708-0942</i>	FAX:
	E-Mail Address: <i>htliu@mail.utexas.edu</i>	Date: <i>5/18/97</i>



**THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA**

*Department of Education, O'Boyle Hall*

*Washington, DC 20064*

*800 464-3742 (Go4-ERIC)*

April 25, 1997

Dear AERA Presenter,

Hopefully, the convention was a productive and rewarding event. We feel you have a responsibility to make your paper readily available. If you haven't done so already, please submit copies of your papers for consideration for inclusion in the ERIC database. If you have submitted your paper, you can track its progress at <http://ericae2.educ.cua.edu>.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are soliciting all the AERA Conference papers and will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and set **two** copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can mail your paper to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to:                   AERA 1997/ERIC Acquisitions  
                              The Catholic University of America  
                              O'Boyle Hall, Room 210  
                              Washington, DC 20064

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.  
Director, ERIC/E



Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation