

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 410 406

CE 074 553

AUTHOR Shirai, Toshiaki
TITLE The Meaning of Being Predominantly Present-Oriented in Middle Age and in Non-Western Context.
PUB DATE 1996-08-00
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the International Society for the Study of Behavioral Development (14th, Quebec City, Quebec, August 12-16, 1996).
PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Adolescents; Cross Cultural Studies; Cultural Differences; Foreign Countries; *Middle Aged Adults; *Non Western Civilization; *Time Perspective; *Western Civilization
IDENTIFIERS Belgium; Japan

ABSTRACT

This paper describes a study of time orientation and preferences of people in western and nonwestern cultures at various life stages. Data were gathered by means of a literature review and a time orientation questionnaire completed by 1,657 individuals in Belgium and Japan. Findings of the study suggest that the time orientation that enhances motivation can vary according to the context. The future orientation is likely to motivate identity development among adolescents who are in the context of attaining independence. The present orientation is likely to be more motivating among middle-aged people. Cross-cultural analysis indicates that western people show future orientation in the context of an individualistic culture that regards the independence and personal competence whereas eastern people show present orientation in the context of a group-oriented culture. In short, adolescents and western people can be considered predominantly future oriented since they are self-centered and want to control and change their situation, while middle-aged and eastern people could be considered predominantly present oriented since they regard harmony with others and acceptance of life situations as more important. (Contains 40 references.) (KC)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

T. Shirai

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The Meaning of Being Predominantly Present-Oriented in Middle Age and in Non-Western Context

SHIRAI Toshiaki

*Department of Psychology, Osaka Kyoiku University, Kashiwara, Osaka 582, Japan
E-mail;shirai@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp*

Introduction

Previous studies have generally mentioned that future can be more important as a cognitive-motivational variable than the present and the past (e.g., Markus & Nurius, 1986; Nuttin & Lens, 1985; Raynor, 1981; Trommsdorff, 1994). This idea tends to result in a positive perception towards the future orientation and in a negative perception towards the present orientation. I call it as "a future oriented bias" if it always assumes the future orientation positively and the present orientation negatively working in any context in the relation to motivation for behavior and development. A future oriented bias examines a present orientation in only an aspect of hedonistic or fatalistic tendency.

I would like to examine the future oriented bias in terms of the integration of developmental and cross-cultural perspective so as to clarify how effects of time orientations on motivation can vary according to contexts.

Examining life-span developmental studies

A future oriented bias is not always wrong because the findings of empirical studies have supported this idea not only on the topics of achievement motivation (Gjesme, 1979; Raynor & English, 1982; Teahan, 1958) but also on identity development (Tsuzuki, 1993). For example, Tsuzuki (1993) found identity achievement status showed more realistic and planned attitude to the future and related to future orientation than other identity statuses among university students.

However, it is important to note that subjects in these studies are adolescents. It is easy to understand that adolescents orientate towards the future because they transit into the career and/or marriage life. Instead of adolescence, examining middle and old age, can we get same findings as it?

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

It is true that, even in old age, a hope and a long distance of future extension show better mental health than lack of them (Lehr, 1967; Kastembaum, 1987). Future time perspective is also important for middle and old age as well as adolescence.

On the other hand, previous studies in life-span developmental perspective can be summarized that adolescence is the predominantly future oriented but middle age is in the transition to the predominantly present oriented in old age (Bortner & Hultsch, 1972; Cameron, 1972; Cameron, Desai, Bahador & Dremel, 1977-78; Hultsch Bortner, 1974; Lomranz, Friedman, Gitter, Shmotkin, Medini, 1985; Nuttin & Grommen, 1975; Shmotkin, 1991).

For middle age, becoming a restricting future extension (Lens, & Gailly, 1980) and changing social role can give a specific feature to their time orientation. Neugarten (1968) indicated "life is constructed in terms of time-left-live rather than time-since-birth. Not only the reversal in directionality but the awareness that time is finite is a particularly conspicuous feature of middle age"(p.97). Ihda & Sato (1988), from their experiences of therapy, suggested that adolescents resolved a conflict by adjusting into social life but a middle aged people could not do without the acceptance of personal limits and death that they were facing. Nurmi, Pulliainen & Salmela-Aro (1992) also described that people came to set more uncontrollable goals and concerns in domains as such health-, self-, offspring, and property-related ones along with age. As the results, middle aged people face to the task of changing time orientation to be suitable for a restricting time perspective and uncontrollable life events. It should be different from the adolescents' future orientation and be possibly one of the present orientation.

Shortly, a future oriented bias may overlook the distinctiveness of present orientation that can be available to the middle or old age. Therefore it leads to a question: How can we clarify the distinctiveness of the present orientation in the non-future-oriented context?

Examining cross-cultural studies

Previous cross-cultural studies are summarized that the western culture is likely to orientate towards the future and, in comparison with it, the eastern is likely to orientate towards the present or the past (Kluckhorn & Strodtbeck, 1961; Meade, 1968, 1971; Sundberg, Pool & Tyler, 1983). A future oriented bias perceives the present orientation to be hedonistic or fatalistic and the eastern showing the present orientation cannot be without getting its tendency. Is it really true?

It is true that the future orientation showed higher achievement motivation than the present or past orientation even in the non-western context identified as predominantly present or past oriented (Meade, 1968; Roberts & Greene, 1971; Shannon, 1975).

On the other hand, we should examine if a meaning of time orientation can vary according to socio-cultural contexts (Graves, 1972; Shannon, 1975). Indeed, Raynor (1982) suggested that future orientation might be a western bias since the ability to attain one's future goals was a central part of valued self-image for many in western culture. Therefore it leads to a question: How can we clarify the distinctiveness of the present orientation in non-western cultural context?

The question

I would like to summarize what I have already mentioned and a question.

(1) From the evidences of developmental and cross-cultural studies, it is true that a hope and a long (realistic) distance of extension in future time perspective show more positive attitude towards selves and environment than lack of them. It is likely to be common through age groups and cultural diversity.

(2) However, it may overlook the distinctiveness of likely predominantly present oriented in non-future-oriented context.

(3) The question is "How can we clarify the distinctiveness of the time orientation in non-future-oriented context?" Then, I would like to change it to this question: "How can we measure the time orientation so as to clarify both the commonness and distinctiveness?"

Time Orientation Questionnaire

Time orientation is defined as a rank ordering of the preference in the past, present, or future with a belief system about interrelations between the past, present and future (Shirai, 1996b). It is measured by Time Orientation Questionnaire (TOQ, Shirai, 1996abcd, 1997). Subjects are asked their time orientation with the following open-ended question: "Which is the most important time for you, the future, the present, or the past? Then, please write down why you prefer it."

We classify a preference of the future into "future orientation," a preference of the present into "present orientation," and a preference of the past into "past orientation." Then we examine the reasons of preference in terms of the connection of the present with the future. If they implicate the connection, we label "positive", and, if not, we label "negative." For an example, the description, "I prefer the future since the present is a step to attain the future goals", indicates the connection of the present with the future and it is labelled as "positive". Then it is coded as "Positive Future Orientation (PFO)" since one has preferred the future. For an example of the label "negative" is such a description as "I prefer the future since my present is bitter but my future is unknown." One seems to imagine unrealistic future so as to escape from the bitter present situation and it is labelled as "negative." So, it is coded to "Negative Future Orientation (NFO)."

TOQ codes time orientation by means of the criterion combined by 2 dimensions, namely the most important time and the connection of the present with the future. TOQ classifies time orientation into 5 types: PFO, NFO, Positive Present Orientation (PPO), Negative Present Orientation (NPO), and Past Orientation (PO).

An example of PPO is such case of the description as, "I prefer the present since, if we live with my might now, it leads us to better future." It implicates the future as a consequence of the present effort and it is labelled as "positive." On the other hand, NPO has an example of such description as "I prefer the present since I consider only present pleasure as it is no use to see the future." It looks to be hedonistic or fatalistic and it is labelled as "negative." PO is always grouped into "negative" because regarding the past is supposed to interrupt the immediate connection of the present with the future.

Actual procedure is carried out to classify the answers to TOQ into 22 categories, and then code them into 5 types of time orientation.

We will examine the distribution of types of time orientation by nationality and sex. The proportion of PFO is more in Belgium than in Japan, and that of PPO is more in Japan than in Belgium. NFO is more in Japan than Belgium but it is a slight difference. NPO is also more in Japan than in Belgium but PO is more in Belgium than in Japan. However, these are no statistically significant difference. For these reasons, it can be said that Belgian might orientate towards the future, and Japanese might orientate towards the present.

Content analysis reliability of TOQ, measured by the percentage rate of agreement between the two independent raters excluding the coding of PO because of no label, was 83% (N=1657, Shirai,1996c, 1997). Test-retest reliability, measured 2 weeks later in 75 students, was 80% (Shirai,1996bc, 1997). The validity of this scale has been confirmed by Shirai (1996bc, 1997) that showed the predicted pattern particular to each type of time orientation in the relation with time beliefs and time attitude towards the past, the present and the future.

Distribution of time orientation in life-span development

We firstly examine the percentage of the positive or negative future orientation across age groups in the sample of Japanese. PFO shows the peak in early adulthood and then decreases a little bit along with age. NPO also decrease along with age. Total amount of the future orientation decreases from adolescence and early adulthood to middle and old age. Secondly we examine the percentage of the positive or negative present orientation across age groups. PPO shows the peak in middle age and then decreases but NPO increases sharply in old age. The total amount of the present orientation increases along with age. Thirdly we examine the percentage of the past orientation across age groups. PO decreases a little bit along with age to the bottom in middle age and increases in old age. Therefore it is of note that old age shows more

proportion of PO but does not so much.

Accordingly, the amount of future orientation decreases and that of present orientation increases along with age. It is corresponding with the findings of previous studies. At the same time, we find the features of time orientation in each age group as such: Early adulthood is characterized by more proportion of PFO: Middle age is by that of PPO: and old age is by that of NPO.

Time orientation and identity status

Since middle age is said to face the task of identity achievement as well as adolescence (Horiuchi, 1993; Okamoto, 1985; Waterman, 1993), I examine the relationships between time orientation and identity status in order to clarify how effects of time orientation on motivation of development can vary according to context related to age.

Identity statuses were classified into 4 statuses: Achievement was the highest status and referred to the commitment on life goals after crisis in which they explored them. Moratorium was the second and referred to the exploration in crisis. Foreclosure was the third and referred to the commitment on them without crisis. Diffusion was the lowest and referred to non-commitment on them.

For adolescents (Age: $M=20.0$, $SD=1.55$, Range=18-24), achievement shows more proportion of PFO than diffusion. Moratorium shows more proportion of PPO than diffusion. Diffusion shows more proportion of NFO and NPO & PO than others. Therefore, achievement associates with PFO and moratorium does with PPO but diffusion does with NFO and NPO & PO in comparison with each type. This result indicates that to get PFO is likely to lead to identity achievement in adolescence.

For middle aged people (49.3, 4.41, 40-63), achievement shows more proportion of PPO than diffusion but diffusion does more proportion of NPO & PO than achievement. The proportion of PFO is not statistically significantly different from that of NFO. The results indicate that to get PPO is likely to lead to identity achievement in middle age.

Accordingly, the results indicate the followings: (1) The "positive" types of time orientation, which implicate the connection of the present with the future, show more motivation for identity development than the negative types, which lack them; It is found to be common through age groups, and (2), on specifying the types labelled as "positive," the middle age associates identity development with the present orientation while adolescence associates it with the future orientation; The distinctiveness of time orientation particular to each age group is found.

Conclusion

Findings of this study suggest that the time orientation that enhances motivation

can vary according to the context: Developmental study indicates that the future orientation is likely to motivate identity development among adolescents who are in the context of their attaining the independence from their parents and of transition into the social life by themselves. In comparison with adolescence, the present orientation is likely to be more motivating among middle aged people who are in the context with a restricted time perspective and concerns for uncontrollable domains. Cross-cultural study indicates the western people show the future orientation in the context of individualistic culture that regards the independence and personal competence while the eastern people show the present orientation in the context of group-oriented culture that regards interpersonal relationships and self-control.

As a consideration, findings can be examined with respect to the frame work of primary-secondary control (Rothbaum, Weisz & Snyder, 1982; Trommsdorff, 1994) and independent-interdependent self (Markus & Kitayama, 1991): If speaking again, adolescents and western people could be predominantly future-oriented since independent self finds meaning by reference to its own internal thoughts, feelings and actions and needs to change the situation; In contrast to it, middle aged people and eastern people could be predominantly present-oriented since they regards more interdependent self which main goal is to harmonize with others and one accepts a situation as it is and learns to live with it.

As a summary to show the effect of time orientation on motivation depending on the context, PFO may be more motivating in the context of independence and controllability but PPO may be more motivating in the context of interdependence and uncontrollability. NFO, NPO and PO are not motivating because of the lack of the connection of the present with the future.

Finally, the findings suggest that previous studies might have mainly committed on the dimension of the connection of the present with the future and dealt with the commonness or universality. For a result, they conclude that the future orientation, which means connectedness-with-future, is mostly important to motivation for behavior and development. However, it fails to deal with the distinctiveness or indigenouness. I would like to suggest to pay an attention also to second dimension, which leads to better understanding of the people in non-future-oriented context.

Acknowledgment:

I want to express thanks to Prof. Willy Lens for his comments on the draft.

Reference

- Bortner, R. W., & Hultsch, D. F. (1972). Personal time perspective in adulthood. *Developmental Psychology*, 7, 98-103.
- Cameron, P. (1972). The generation gap: Time orientation. *The Gerontologist*, 12, 117-119.

- Cameron, P., Desai, K. G., Bahador, D., & Dremel, G. (1977-78). Temporality across the life-span. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 8, 229-259.
- Gjesme, T. (1979). Future time orientation as a function of achievement motives, ability, delay of gratification, and sex. *The Journal of Psychology*, 101, 173-188.
- Graves, T. D. (1972). Urban Indian personality and the "culture of poverty". Unpublished manuscript, University of California, Los Angeles. In L. Shannon (1975). Development of time perspective in three cultural groups: A cultural difference or an expectancy interpretation. *Developmental Psychology*, 11, 114-115.
- Horiuchi, K. (1993). Ego identity changes reported by middle-aged women: Comparison among housewives, nurses and school teachers. *The Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology*, 41, 11-21. (In Japanese with English Summary)
- Hultsch, D., & Bortner, R. (1974). Personal time perspective in adulthood: A time sequential study. *Developmental Psychology*, 10, 835-837.
- Ihda, S., & Sato, A. (1988). Notes on the therapy of the middle aged. *Japanese Journal of Psychotherapy*, 14, 322-333. (In Japanese)
- Kastembaum, R. (1987). Past versus future orientation in psychotherapy for the elderly. *Psychotherapy in Private Practice*, 5, 115-121.
- Kluckhohn, F. R., & Strodtbeck, F. L. (1961). *Variations in value orientations*. Evanston, IL: Row, Peterson & Company.
- Lehr, U. (1967). Attitudes towards the future in old age. *Human Development*, 10, 230-238.
- Lens, W., & Gailly, A. (1980). Extension of future time perspective in motivational goals of different age groups. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 3, 1-17.
- Lomranz, J., Friedman, A., Gitter, G., Shmotkin, D., & Medini, G. (1985). The meaning of time-related concepts across the life-span: An Israeli sample. *International Journal of Aging and Human Development*, 21, 87-107.
- Markus, H. & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98, 224-252.
- Markus, H. & Nurius, P. (1986). Possible selves. *American Psychologist*, 41, 954-969.
- Meade, R. D. (1968). Realism of aspiration levels in Indian and American college students. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 75, 169-173.
- Meade, R. D. (1971). Future time perspectives of college students in America and in India. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 83, 175-182.
- Neugarten, B. L. (1968). The awareness of middle age. In B. L. Neugarten (Ed.), *Middle age and aging* (pp.93-98). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Nurmi, J.-E., Pulliainen, H., & Salmela-Aro, K. (1992). Age differences in adults' control beliefs related to life goals and concerns. *Psychology and Aging*, 7, 194-196.
- Nuttin, J., & Grommen, R. (1975). Zukunftsperspektive bei Erwachsenen und älteren

Menschen aus drei sozioökonomischen Gruppen. [Future time perspective in adulthood and old age in three socio-economic groups.] In U. M. Lehr & F. E. Weinert (Eds.), *Entwicklung und Persönlichkeit: Beiträge zur Psychologie intra- und Inter-individueller Unterschiede* (pp.183-197). [Development and personality: Contributions to psychology of intra- and interindividual differences.] Stuttgart: Verlag W. Kohlhammer.

- Nuttin, J., & Lens, W. (1985). *Future time perspective and motivation: Theory and research method*. Leuven: Leuven University Press; Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Okamoto, Y. (1985). A study on ego identity in middle age. *The Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology*, 33, 295-306. (In Japanese with English Summary)
- Raynor, J.O. (1981). Future orientation and achievement motivation: Toward a theory of personality functioning and change. In G. d'Ydewalle & W. Lens (Eds.), *Cognition in human motivation and learning* (pp.199-231). Leuven: Leuven University Press; Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Raynor, J.O. (1982). Self-possession of attributes, self-evaluation, and the future orientation: A theory of adult competence motivation. In J.O. Raynor, & E.E. Entin (Eds.), *Motivation, career striving, and aging* (pp.207-226). Washington, D.C.: Hemisphere Publishing Corp.
- Raynor, J.O., & English, L. D. (1982). Relationships among self-importance, future importance, and self-possession. In J. O. Raynor, & E. E. Entin (Eds.), *Motivation, career striving, and aging* (pp.197-205). Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corp.
- Roberts, A. H., & Greene, J. E. (1971). Cross-cultural study of relationships among four dimensions of time perspective. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 33, 163-173.
- Rothbaum, F., Weisz, J. R., & Snyder, S.S. (1982). Changing the world and changing the self: A two-process model of perceived control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 42, 5-37.
- Shannon, L. (1975). Development of time perspective in three cultural groups: A cultural difference or an expectancy interpretation. *Developmental Psychology*, 11, 114-115.
- Shirai, T. (1995). Time perspective and motivation: Does the future motivate behavior? *Japanese Psychological Review*, 38, 194-213. (In Japanese with English Summary)
- Shirai, T. (1996a). *Terminology of time perspective and its measurement*. The paper presented at 26th International Congress of Psychology, Montreal, Canada, August.
- Shirai, T. (1996b). Time orientation and identity in adolescence and middle age. *Memoirs of Osaka Kyoiku University (Ser.4)*, 45(2), 207-226.
- Shirai, T. (1996c). Time perspective and school types in different social systems: Comparison of Japanese with Belgian Adolescents. *Bulletin of the Institute for*

Educational Research (Osaka Kyoiku University), 31,59-73.

- Shirai, T. (1996d). Unterschiede in der Zeitorientierung bei belgischen und japanischen Jugendlichen. [Differences of time orientation between Belgian and Japanese adolescents.] In G. Trommsdorff & H.-J. Kornadt (Eds.), *Gesellschaftliche und Individuelle Entwicklung in Japan und Deutschland* (pp.289-298). [Societal and individual development in Japan and Germany.] Konstanz: Universitaetsverlag Konstanz.
- Shirai, T. (1997). *Jikantekitembo no syogaihattatsushinrigaku*. [Life-span developmental psychology of time perspective.] Tokyo:Keisosyobo.
- Shmotkin, D. (1991). The role of time orientation in life satisfaction across the life span. *Journal of Gerontology: Psychological Sciences*, 46, 243-250.
- Sundberg, N., Pool, M. E., & Tyler, L. (1983). Adolescents' expectations of future events: A cross-cultural study of Australians, Americans, and Indians. *International Journal of Psychology*, 18, 415-427.
- Teahan, J. E. (1958). Future time perspective, optimism, and academic achievement. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 57, 379-380.
- Trommsdorff, G. (1994). Future time perspective and control orientation: Social conditions and consequences. In Z. Zaleski (Ed.), *Psychology of future Orientation* (pp.39-62). Lublin : Towarzystwo Naukowe KUL.
- Tsuzuki, M. (1993). Relation between ego identity and time perspective in adolescents. *The Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology*, 41, 40-48. (In Japanese with English Summary)
- Waterman, A. S. (1993). Developmental perspectives on identity formation: From adolescence to adulthood. In J. E. Marcia, A. S. Waterman, D. R. Matteson, S. L. Archer, & J. L. Orlofsky, *Ego identity: A Handbook for psychosocial research* (pp.42-68). New York: Springer Verlag.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: The meaning of being predominantly present-oriented in middle age and in non-western context
Author(s): SHIRAI Toshiaki
Corporate Source:
Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here -> please

Signature: Shirai Toshiaki
Printed Name/Position/Title: SHIRAI Toshiaki / Prof. / Ph.D
Organization/Address: Osaka Kyoiku University, Asahigaoka 4-698-1, KASHIWARA, OSAKA, 582 JAPAN
Telephone: 0729-78-3468
FAX: 0729-78-3468
E-Mail Address: shirai@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp
Date: 31/7/97



University of Illinois
at Urbana-Champaign



Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education

805 West Pennsylvania Avenue
Urbana, IL 61801-4897

217 333-1386
217 333-3767 fax
800-583-4135
ericeece@uiuc.edu e-mail

August 16, 1996

Dear Colleague:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education is increasing its efforts to collect and disseminate information relating to all aspects of children's development, care, and education. Your presentation at the **XIVth Biennial Meetings of the International Society for the Study of Behavioural Development** held in Quebec City, Quebec, on August 12-16, 1996, is eligible to be considered for inclusion in the ERIC database and microfiche collection, **IF**:

- * it is at least 8 pages long;
- * it has not been published elsewhere; and,
- * you will give us your permission to include it in ERIC.

ERIC, the world's largest database on education, is built from the contributions of its users. We hope you will consider submitting to ERIC/EECE your presentation or any other papers you may have completed within the last two years related to this educational level.

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, and reproduction quality. We will let you know within six weeks if your paper has been accepted. Please complete the reproduction release on the back of this letter and return it to ERIC/EECE with your paper by July 31, 1997. If you have any questions, please contact me by fax 217-333-3767, or by e-mail <ksmith5@uiuc.edu>.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karen E. Smith".

Karen E. Smith
Acquisitions Coordinator