DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 409 853 IR 018 442

AUTHOR Marcinkiewicz, Henryk R.; Clariana, Roy B.
TITLE Text Design: The Influence of Headings on Multiple-Choice Tests.
PUB DATE 97
NOTE 4p.; In: Proceedings of Selected Research and Development Presentations at the 1997 National Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (19th, Albuquerque, NM, February 14-18, 1997); see IR 018 421.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Adults; Evaluation Criteria; Evaluation Methods; Higher Education; *Measurement Techniques; *Multiple Choice Tests; Performance; *Test Construction; *Text Structure; Undergraduate Study; Use Studies
IDENTIFIERS *Headings

ABSTRACT

This study extends earlier research on headings use in undergraduate testing, and examined whether headings within multiple-choice tests positively impact test performance. Participants were employees (n=143) of a large manufacturing plant in the western United States. The experimental group received a multiple-choice recertification test with headings included; the control group received the same test without headings. Findings indicated that headings within multiple-choice tests did positively affect test performance and suggest that headings may differentially impact test items of differing difficulty. Future research should focus on increasing heading specificity. (Contains 27 references.) (AEF)

Text Design: The Influence of Headings on Multiple-Choice Tests

Henryk R. Marcinkiewicz
Ferris State University

Roy B. Clariana
Jostens Learning Corporation

Background

There is a substantial research base considering the effects of headings within text, however there are almost no studies involving the use of headings during testing. Headings in text research results have been mixed. Headings in text appear to affect the encoding of certain kinds of information including declarative information and recall of concepts, but has been shown to be nominally effective for recall of logical relations. Also, lessons including headings seem to benefit less able readers, older readers, and field dependent readers. Learner-generated headings positively impact delayed recall of inference especially for higher-ability learners.

The effects of headings during testing were investigated by Townsend, Moore, Tuck, and Wilton (1990). A sample of undergraduate students (n = 287) enrolled in an introductory educational psychology course received a 41 item multiple-choice examination during the first quarter of the course that was 20% of their final course grade. The students were randomly assigned to receive either the version with headings or the version without headings. The headings were based on the title of the chapters covered during each part of the course. Field dependence-independence and student attitude towards the use of headings during testing were also considered. Headings did not facilitate (or hinder) test performance and no association was observed between field dependence-independence and headings. Interestingly, the students overwhelmingly reported that they felt that headings during testing would positively influence their performance.

Aims

Do headings within multiple-choice tests impact test performance? It has been suggested that headings within multiple-choice tests positively affect test performance, however, there is little research to date to either support or reject this hypothesis. This study (*Marcinkiewicz & Clariana, 1997) replicates and extends Townsend *et al.* (1990) by utilizing a different population and by including a covariate to increase statistical power.

Sample

The available sample for this study included employees of a large manufacturing plant in the western part of the United States (n=143). Participants ranged in age from 26 to 64 years old, the median age was 44 years old.

Method

Participants were randomly assigned to the experimental or control treatments. The experimental group received a multiple-choice recertification test with headings included; the control group received the same test without headings. The test consisted only of questions; no text passages were included. The multiple-choice test items were developed by a panel of subject-matter experts (SMEs) and test specialists. The items were pilot-tested and revised by a second group of SMEs. The final test version was approved by SMEs and plant management. The test included five content areas: Mathematics, Physics and Nuclear Physics, Radiation Biology and Exposure Control, Dosimetry, and Radiation Instrumentation. The KR-20 reliability was 0.79.

The test items were grouped by content area. The words used as headings for each item grouping were the same as the content areas listed above. This type of heading is approximately equivalent to the use of chapter titles as headings in the Townsend *et al.* (1990) study.

Results

The data were analyzed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), a second similar test served as the covariate. A significant difference was obtained for the treatment main effect, $F(1, 140) = 4.33$ ($p < .04$), with a calculated effect size for headings ($x = 76.4$) over no headings ($x = 74.7$) of es = 0.33 (adjusted). A *post hoc* analysis of the influence of headings on test items of differing difficulty was conducted. This analysis indicates that headings in

tests have little effect on difficult test items, but a powerful effect on easier items (see Table 1). *Table 1. Impact of headings by test item difficulty.*

item difficulty:		difficult	moderate	easy
No-headings group	x =	51.8	73.5	89.8
	s.d.	(10.5)	(8.7)	(3.8)
Headings group	x =	51.6	77.3 *	93.3 *
	s.d.	(6.6)	(7.4)	(2.8)
effect size		*0.02*	*0.44*	*0.92*

*Note: * significant at p<0.05 level; No-headings group, n of subjects = 60, Headings group, n of subjects = 83*

Conclusions

Contrary to a similar earlier study by Townsend *et al.* (1990), the findings of the present study indicate that headings within multiple-choice tests positively affect test performance. As in the Townsend study, the headings used in the present study apply at the "macro" level of the tested content. In both studies the tests were relatively important to the participants. Though the participants in both studies were adults, the populations were very different. Also, the use of ANCOVA in the present study allowed for increased power of discrimination compared to the earlier study.

Perhaps most important, the findings of this study suggest that headings may differentially impact test items of differing difficulty. Thus, uncontrolled item difficulty effects may account for the lack of significance in the Townsend study. Further, previous mixed results for headings in text may be due to uncontrolled item difficulty effects. A meta-analysis of headings in text studies should consider item difficulty. Future headings within test (and text) research should control item difficulty.

It is advised that selecting appropriate headings to use during testing is important. Headings that are highly specific to each item could reasonably be expected to obtain a greater effect than the generalized or "meta" level of headings used in this study. Future research should focus on increasing heading specificity. Possibly learner generated headings may provide the highest level of specificity with the greatest effect.

References

Marcinkiewicz, H. R., & Clariana, R, B. (1997). The performance effects of headings within multiple choice tests. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 67, 113-119.

Townsend, M. A. R., Moore, D. W., Tuck, B. F., & Wilton, K. M. (1990). Headings within multiple-choice tests as facilitators of test performance. The British Journal of Educational Psychology, 60, 153-159.

Additional References

Anderson, R. C., Spiro, R. J., & Anderson, M. C. (1978). Schemata as scaffolding for the representation of information in connected discourse. American Educational Research Journal, 15, 433-440.

Araujo, J. & Semb, G. (1979). The Effects of Item Order on Student Performance. Paper presented at the 87th Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Association, New York, NY, September 1-5, 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185086)

Berelson, B. & Steiner, G. A. (1964). Human behavior: An inventory of scientific findings. New York: Harcourt, Brace, & World.

Bormuth, J. R. (1970). On the theory of achievement test items. The University of Chicago Press.

Brooks, L. W., Dansereau, D. F., Holley, C. D., & Spurlin, J. E. (1983). Generation of descriptive text headings. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 8, 103-08.

Brooks, L. W., Dansereau, D. F., Spurlin, J. E., & Holley, C. D. (1983). Effects of headings on text processing. Journal of Educational Psychology, 75, 292-302.

Carlson, J. L., & Ostrosky, A. L. (1992). Item Sequence and Student Performance on Multiple-Choice Exams: Further Evidence. Journal of Economic Education, 23, 232-35.

Eysenck, M. W., & Keane, M. T. (1990). Cognitive psychology: A student's handbook. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Gagné, R. M. (1978). Long-term retention of information following learning from prose. Review of Educational Research, 48, 629–665.

Hartley, J. (1987). Designing instructional text (2nd ed.). New York: Nichols.

Jonassen, D. H. (1983). Blocking and types of headings in text: Effects on recall and retrieval. Paper presented at the 67th Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal, Quebec, April 11-15, 1983. (ERIC Document Reproduction Number ED 228313)

Jonassen, D. H., Hartley, J., & Trueman, M. (1985). The effects of learner-generated versus experimenter-provided headings on immediate and delayed recall and comprehension. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (68th, Chicago, IL, March 31–April 4, 1985). (ERIC Document Reproduction Number ED 254 567)

Loman, N. L., & Mayer, R. E. (1983). Signaling techniques that increase the understandability of expository prose. Journal of Educational Psychology, 75, 402–412.

Lorch, R. F., Lorch, E. P., & Inman, W. E. (1993). Effects of signaling topic structure on text recall. Journal of Educational Psychology, 85, 281-290.

Martuza, V. R. & Bassett, D. (1974). The Effects of Organization and Adjunct Pre-Questions on Performance on Three Types of Test Items Based on the Information in Bar Graph Stimuli. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, Illinois, April 1974. (ERIC Document Reproduction Number ED 098260)

Mayer, R. E. (1980). Elaboration techniques that increase the meaningfulness of technical text: An experimental test of the learning strategy hypothesis. Journal of Educational Psychology, 72, 770–784.

Mayer, R. E. (1987). Educational Psychology: A cognitive approach. Boston: Little, Brown.

Meyer, B. J. F., Brandt, D. H., & Bluth, G. J. (1980). Use of top-level structure in text: Key for reading comprehension of ninth-grade students. Reading Research Quarterly, 16, 72–103.

Meyer, B. J. F., & Rice, G. E. (1981). Information recalled from prose by young, middle, and old adults. Experimental Aging Research, 7, 253–268.

Meyer, B. J. F., Young, C. J., & Bartlett, B. J. (1989). Memory improved. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Schriesheim, C. A., Kopelman, R. E., & Solomon, E. (1989). The effect of grouped versus randomized questionnaire format on scale reliability and validity: A three study investigation. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 49, 487-508.

Thompson, M. E., & Thompson, M. E. (1987). Field dependence-independence and learning from instructional text. Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (Atlanta, GA, February 26–March 1, 1987). (ERIC Document Reproduction Number ED 285 563)

Waller, R. (1982). In D. H. Jonassen (Ed.), The technology of text: Principles for structuring, designing and displaying text (pp. 137–166). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Ed Tech Publications.

Wesman, A. G. (1971). Writing the test item. In Thorndike, R. L. (Ed.). Educational Measurement (2nd ed.). Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

NOTICE

REPRODUCTION BASIS

☒ This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.

☐ This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").