

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 408 574

CS 012 856

AUTHOR Mauer, Daria; Stevens, Brenda
TITLE Language and Literacy Skills of Young Children with High and Low Phonological Awareness.
PUB DATE 97
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Chicago, IL, March 24-28, 1997).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Comparative Analysis; Family Environment; *Language Skills; *Preschool Children; Preschool Education; *Reading Difficulties; Reading Research
IDENTIFIERS Phonemic Awareness; *Phonological Awareness; Print Awareness

ABSTRACT

A study explored whether "typical" children (i.e., those who have not been identified as language-delayed but who have a low level of phonological awareness) differ in aspects of oral language and orthographic processing skills from those children with higher phonological awareness. Subjects were 17 children (ranging in age from 3 years 10 months to 5 years) identified as having high phonological awareness and 26 children having low phonological awareness. All children were typically developing in other domains and were randomly selected from private and public preschool classes in one county in the midwestern United States. After selection, the children were assessed in three pre-literacy measures and were videotaped during three different play scenarios. Results indicated that significant differences existed between the high and low phonological awareness groups that centered primarily around the development of print awareness tasks rather than the other variables involving oral language, play, and home environment. Findings represent a first step in answering the question of why some apparently typically developing children are later identified as having reading and writing difficulties. (Contains eight references and a table of data.) (RS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

Language and Literacy Skills of Young Children with High and Low

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Phonological Awareness

Daria Mauer & Brenda Stevens

Miami University

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy.

There is a body of research suggesting that a major difference between good and poor beginning readers lies in the extent of their awareness of the sounds of language and the relationships between these sounds and the way in which they are symbolically represented in print (Catts, 1989). Children with identified language disabilities are considered to be especially at risk for early academic failure in reading and may later be reclassified by schools as learning disabled (Snyder, 1984). There are many children, however, who appear to have language and literacy skills that are within the typical range who later have difficulty learning to read. One indicator of potential difficulties in these children is their lack of phonological awareness; that is, the children may not be aware of the relationship between the sounds of language and the way in which these sounds are represented by letters (Adams, 1991). Whether varying levels of phonological awareness in "typically developing" young children is a major predictor of later reading difficulty has yet to be determined. There may be other evidence of language or literacy differences between children with high and low phonological awareness. Moreover, a combination of characteristics, including oral language and orthographic processing problems, home environment factors, and quality of symbolic play together may help to make the prediction of potential reading problems possible. If predictions

could be made during the preschool years, the possibility of early experiences that could enhance language and literacy development for this often overlooked "typical" population might prevent later reading difficulties. One part of this problem explored by this study is whether "typical" children (i.e., those who have not been identified as language delayed but who have a low level of phonological awareness) differ in aspects of oral language and orthographic processing skills from those children with higher phonological awareness.

Method

Subjects

One hundred children ranging in age from 3 years 10 months to 5 years were prescreened for phonological awareness level and placed into high and low groups based on their performance. The screening consisted of measures of sound categorization, sound elision, and rapid naming of letters/numbers/colors. An audiological screening to rule out hearing problems and a standardized language test (PLS-3) to identify those children who were within normal language development range were also conducted. Although the overall study contains three groups of preschoolers, two "typical" and one "language impaired" group, this study focused only on the two groups of "typical" children: the High Phonological Awareness group (HA) (N=17), and the Low Phonological Awareness group (LA) (N=26). All the children were typically developing in other domains and were randomly selected from private and public preschool

classes in one county in the midwest. No significant differences were found between the groups for sex ratio or age.

Procedures

After selection, the children were assessed on three pre-literacy measures, including the Concepts about Print (CAPT, Clay, 1979), the Test of Early Written Language (TEWL, Hresko, 1988), and the Test of Early Reading Ability (TERA-2, Reid et al., 1989). A language sample was also collected and coded for conversational moves (pragmatics), types of words used (semantics), and mean length of utterance (syntax). The children played with a graduate student in speech-language pathology for three different play scenarios which varied in structure and object abstractness. One hundred utterances were taken from each segment and analyzed. A symbolic play-based observational assessment was also collected. Forty minutes of child play activity for each child were videotaped during the free play period in the preschool programs. The proportion of total play time spent in literacy related play was coded. A home environment instrument (Preschool Reading Environment Scale, PRES) was administered via a telephone interview to one parent or the primary caretaker of each subject. The PRES (adapted by Stevens, 1991) is a 42-item multiple choice questionnaire designed to ascertain the home environment of the child and the relationship between parent and child concerning education and reading.

Analysis of Data

A one-way ANOVA was done to test the hypothesis of group differences, with the dependent variables being scores on the CAPT, TEWL, TERA-2, PRES, symbolic play, and oral language abilities.

Results/Discussion

Table 1 presents means and standard deviations of the two groups on all of the measures and indicates where differences occurred. There were significant differences between the HA and LA groups on the CAPT $F(1,41) = 6.12, p < .05$; TEWL $F(1,41) = 6.76, p < .01$; and TERA-2 $F(1,41) = 25.26, p < .001$. The groups did not significantly differ on the environmental, symbolic play, or oral language measures.

Some of the questions on the TERA-2 include phonological components, therefore, it is not surprising that there was a difference between the two groups on phonological awareness skills. The other measures, however, do not have high phonological components and may therefore be potential indicators of other factors that are relevant for determining early literacy problems.

A follow-up was done on the children who completed kindergarten last year. Significant differences still existed between the groups on the CAPT, and TERA-2. All the children were now able to write their full name and the alphabet. A longitudinal study of language and literacy development continues.

Results of this study indicate that significant differences between the high and low phonological awareness groups centered primarily around the development of print awareness tasks rather

than the other variables involving oral language, play, and home environment. The print awareness tasks involved measures of the children's awareness of the conventions of working with print and books, beginning writing skills, and early literacy skills such as the identification of letters of the alphabet, signs, and words.

Educational Implications:

The important question to be answered is whether those children who were in the LA group will have "caught up" in subsequent years or whether their initially lower phonological and language/literacy awareness levels are precursors to later reading difficulty. If these assessment measures show a predictive capacity, then the educational implications are extensive. Methods are available to teach phonological awareness, print awareness, rhyming, and emerging literacy skills (alphabet, sight words, writing name). These methods have been used to effectively with children who have identified language disabilities (see Jenkins & Bowen, 1994, for review). These methods could also help those "typical" children who have less obvious delays that may impact their ability to learn to read and write in the primary grades. This study is a first step in answering the question of why some apparently typically developing children are later identified as having reading and writing difficulties.

Table 1. Group Means (and Standard Deviations) of low and high phonological awareness groups on literacy assessments, oral language sample, symbolic play analysis, and environmental factors.

Measures	Group Low	High	Group Differences
Concepts About Print Test	4.16(1.7)	5.82 (2.8)	*
Test of Early Written Language	1.96 (1.8)	3.59 (2.3)	**
Test of Early Reading Abilities-2	7.39 (3.1)	15.29 (7.1)	***
Oral Language	47.33 (.97)	47.53 (1.1)	n.s.
Symbolic Play	49.59 (20.8)	52.08 (21.2)	n.s.
Environment Total	85.24 (10.7)	79.44 (17.8)	n.s.

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$

*** $p < .001$

References

- Adams, M.J. (1991). Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Catts, H. (1989). Phonological processing deficits and reading disabilities. In A. Kamhi & H. Catts (Eds.), Reading disabilities: A developmental perspective. Boston, MA: College-Hill Press.
- Clay, M. (1979). *Stones -- The concepts about print test*. Exeter, NH: Heinemann.
- Hresko, W. (1988). *Test of early written language*. Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
- Jenkins, R., & Bowen, L. (1994) Facilitating development of preliterate children's phonological abilities. Topics in Language Disorders, 14 (2). 26-39.
- Reid, K., Hresko, W. & Hammill, D. (1989). *Test of early reading ability 2nd Edition*. Austin, TX: PRO-ED.
- Snyder, L. (1984). Developmental language disorders: Elementary school age. In A. Holland (Ed.), Language disorders in children: Recent advances. San Diego: College-Hill Press.
- Stevens, B. A. (1991). *Preschool Reading Environment Scale (PRES). In Home influence on kindergarten reading. Dissertation Abstracts International*, 52, (12) (University Microfilms No. 92-12798.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Language and Literacy Skills of Young Children with High and Low Phonological Awareness	
Author(s): Daria Mauer and Brenda Stevens	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents</p>	<p>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: 200px; margin: 0 auto;"> <p align="center">PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center">_____ <i>Sample</i> _____</p> <p align="center">TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: 200px; margin: 0 auto;"> <p align="center">PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY</p> <p align="center">_____ <i>Sample</i> _____</p> <p align="center">TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)</p> </div>	<p>Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.</p>
<p>Level 1</p>	<p>Level 2</p>		

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here→
please

Signature: <i>Daria M Mauer</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: Daria Mauer/Assistant Professor	
Organization/Address: Department of Communication Miami University Oxford, Ohio 45056	Telephone: 513-529-2503	FAX: 513-529-2502
	E-Mail Address: Mauerdm@muohio.edu	Date: June 26, 1997



THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

Department of Education, O'Boyle Hall

Washington, DC 20064

800 464-3742 (Go4-ERIC)

April 25, 1997

Dear AERA Presenter,

Hopefully, the convention was a productive and rewarding event. We feel you have a responsibility to make your paper readily available. If you haven't done so already, please submit copies of your papers for consideration for inclusion in the ERIC database. If you have submitted your paper, you can track its progress at <http://ericae2.educ.cua.edu>.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are soliciting all the AERA Conference papers and will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and set **two** copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can mail your paper to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to: AERA 1997/ERIC Acquisitions
 The Catholic University of America
 O'Boyle Hall, Room 210
 Washington, DC 20064

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.
Director, ERIC/E