

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 408 134

RC 021 048

AUTHOR Williams, Janice E.  
TITLE Relating Affective and Cognitive Study Strategies to Self-Regulated Learning for Rural At-Risk Students.  
PUB DATE Mar 97  
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Education Research Association (Chicago, IL, March 24-28, 1997).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS Affective Measures; \*Cognitive Style; \*High Risk Students; \*High School Students; High Schools; Learning Strategies; Rural Education; Self Efficacy; \*Student Motivation; Student Surveys; \*Study Skills  
IDENTIFIERS \*Self Regulated Learning

ABSTRACT

Social cognitive theory suggests that students use both affective and cognitive study strategies in directing their own learning processes. This study explored the correspondences between both strategy types and the self-regulated learning of rural at-risk students. Sixty-seven 11th- and 12th-graders from 12 rural high schools completed the self-regulated learning subscale from Bandura's Multidimensional Scales for Perceived Self-Efficacy. Affective and cognitive learning and study strategies were assessed with the Learning and Study Strategy Inventory. Findings indicate that both strategy domains appear necessary to provide a comprehensive picture of self-regulated learning, particularly affective motivation and concentration and cognitive self-testing. Recommendations on how rural educators might foster these strategies include prompting students to record the work they complete during class to foster motivation, breaking study periods into short sessions to aid student concentration, and having students create questions about the material to encourage self-testing.  
(Author/TD)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Relating Affective and Cognitive Study Strategies  
to Self-Regulated Learning for Rural At-Risk Students

Janice E. Willliams  
Oklahoma State University

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Janice E

Williams

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Paper presented at the annual meeting of the  
American Educational Research Association, Chicago

April, 1997

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Abstract

Social cognitive theory suggests that students use both affective and cognitive study strategies in directing their own learning processes. This study explored the correspondences between both strategy types and the self-regulated learning of rural at-risk students. Participants from twelve rural high schools completed the self-regulated learning subscale from Bandura's Multidimensional Scales for Perceived Self-Efficacy. Affective and cognitive learning and study strategies were assessed with the Learning and Study Strategy Inventory. Findings indicated that both strategy domains appear necessary to provide a comprehensive picture of self-regulated learning, particularly affective motivation and concentration and cognitive self-testing.

Relating Affective and Cognitive Study Strategies  
to Self-Regulated Learning for Rural At-Risk Students

Most rural educators would like their students to actively participate in the learning process. Students who believe in their efficacy for self-regulated learning tend to set academic goals and attain higher levels of achievement (Weinstein, Goetz, & Alexander, 1988; Zimmerman, Bandura, & Martinez-Pons, 1992). However, research (e.g., Tuckman, & Sexton, 1989) has shown that students vary in the degree to which they self-regulate the learning process. Determining the specific learning strategies students use as they move toward self-regulation may have important educational implications, particularly for students at risk for school dropout.

This paper presents empirical findings that bear on the relationship between learning and study strategies and self-regulated learning. According to social cognitive theory (Bandura, 1986; 1991), self-regulated learners use both affective and cognitive strategies in directing their own learning processes. Although Paris and Oka (1986) have suggested that self-regulated learning combines motivational will with cognitive skill (see also, Corno, 1986; McCoombs, 1986), little research has been conducted to assess the dual influence of these two components on student self-directed learning. Further, McCaul

(1989) has noted the substantial gap existing in the at-risk literature relative to rural students. Therefore, this study explored the correspondences between both affective and cognitive learning and study strategies, and the self-beliefs of efficacy to regulate learning among rural at-risk students.

#### Method

Participants (N = 67) were primarily 11th- or 12th-graders, all of whom had been designated as at-risk students, from twelve rural public high schools. An academic counselor serving a five-county area administered the "Self-Efficacy for Self-Regulated Learning" subscale (Bandura, 1989), and the Learning and Study Strategies Inventory (LASSI) [Weinstein, Schulte, & Palmer, 1987]. All instruments were voluntarily completed at the beginning of a two-day tutoring and study skills program presented at each student's school.

The Self-Efficacy for Self-Regulated Learning subscale is one component of Bandura's Multidimensional Scales for Perceived Self-Efficacy. Self-regulated learning efficacy is measured with eleven 7-point Likert-type items, with higher scores indicating greater perceived capability to use a variety of self-regulated learning strategies. An estimate of the reliability of this scale for the present sample revealed high internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha = .88).

The LASSI is a standardized self-report measure consisting

of ten subscales which measure both affective (Attitude, Motivation, Time Management, Anxiety, Concentration) and cognitive (Information Processing, Selecting Main Ideas, Study Aids, Self-Testing, Test Strategies) learning and study strategies. Each subscale is comprised of from eight to ten statements which students rate along a five-point agreement scale. Internal consistency estimates for this sample were good, with alphas of .75 for affect and .81 for cognition.

#### Results

Pearson correlations computed between the variables of interest are shown in Table 1. All bivariate indices reached statistical significance thus supporting the contention that both affective and cognitive strategies related to student efficacy perceptions of self-regulated learning. Affective motivation and concentration were most strongly associated with self-regulated learning efficacy; on average, about 35% of the variance between the measures was shared. Cognitive self-testing shared 31% of the self-directed learning variance. Taken together, these findings indicate that rural educators might foster these three strategies, which were closely linked to self-regulated learning. For example, motivation might be enhanced by prompting students to record the work they complete during class. Breaking study periods into short sessions might aid student concentration, whereas activities in which students create questions covering

the material could encourage self-testing.

#### Conclusions

Part of the self-regulating process in academic settings concerns the use of appropriate learning and study skills (Bandura, 1986). Both affective and cognitive strategy domains appear necessary to provide a more comprehensive picture of self-regulated learning. Such information could prove useful to educators challenged with keeping rural at-risk students in school. Additionally, although the question of causal ordering was not the focus here, this issue requires clarification. The present study, which demonstrated the covariation of study strategies and self-regulated learning, may serve as an initial step toward clarifying the causal connection between these educational constructs.

## References

Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Bandura, A. (1989). The multidimensional self-efficacy scales. Unpublished test.

Bandura, A. (1991). Social cognitive theory of self-regulation. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 50, 248-287.

Corno, L. (1986). Self-regulated learning: A volitional analysis. In B.J. Zimmerman & D.H. Schunk (Eds.), Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice (pp.111-141). New York: Springer.

McCaul, E. (1989). Rural public school dropouts: Findings from high school and beyond. Research in Rural Education, 6, 19-24.

McCombs, B. (1986). The role of the self-system in self-regulated learning. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 11, 314-332.

Paris, S.G., & Oka, E.R. (1986). Self-regulated learning among exceptional children. Exceptional Children, 53, 103-108.

Tuckman, B.W., & Sexton, T.L. (1989). The relation between beliefs and self-regulated performance. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.



Weinstein, C.E., Goetz, E.T., & Alexander, P.A. (1988).

Learning and study strategies: Issues in assessment instruction and evaluation. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Weinstein, C.E., Schulte, A.C., & Palmer, D.R. (1987).

Learning and Study Strategies Inventory (LASSI). Clearwater, FL: H & H Publishing.

Zimmerman, B.J., Bandura, A., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1992).

Self-motivation for academic attainment: The role of self-efficacy beliefs and personal goal setting. American Educational Research Journal, 29, 663-676.

Table 1

Correlations between Affective and Cognitive Study Strategies  
and Self-Efficacy for Self-Regulated Learning Scores

Scale	Self-Regulated Learning		
	<u>r</u>	prob.	shared variance
-----			
Affective Learning and Study Skills			
Attitude	.508	.000	26%
Motivation	.579	.000	34%
Time Management	.431	.001	19%
Anxiety	.341	.005	12%
Concentration	.604	.000	36%
Cognitive Learning and Study Skills			
Information Processing	.427	.001	18%
Selecting Main Idea	.535	.000	27%
Study Aids	.506	.000	26%
Self-Testing	.559	.000	31%
Testing Strategies	.463	.000	21%
-----			



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



**REPRODUCTION RELEASE**  
(Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

Title: <i>Relating Affective and Cognitive Study Strategies to Self-Regulated Learning for Rural At-Risk Students</i>	
Author(s): <i>Janice E. Williams</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Oklahoma State University</i>	Publication Date: <i>April, 1997</i>

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



**Check here**

Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Sample*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Sample*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

**or here**

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.

**Sign Here, Please**

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Janice E. Williams</i>	Position: <i>Asso. Professor</i>
Printed Name: <i>Janice E. Williams</i>	Organization: <i>Oklahoma State Univ.</i>
Address: <i>422 Willard Hall-OSU Stillwater, OK 74075</i>	Telephone Number: <i>(405) 744-9457</i>
	Date: <i>4-10-97</i>