DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 408 019 PS 025 135

AUTHOR George, Yvetta; And Others

TITLE Peer Mediation Training: A Solution to Violence in Schools.

PUB DATE Nov 96

NOTE 5p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Mid-South

Educational Research Association (Tuscaloosa, AL, November,

1996).

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative (142) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Administrator Attitudes; Behavior Problems; *Conflict

Resolution; Elementary Education; *Program Attitudes; Program Effectiveness; Program Evaluation; Student

Attitudes; *Student Behavior; Training Methods; Violence

IDENTIFIERS Mediators; *Peer Mediation

ABSTRACT

To ameliorate violence in schools, many programs are being developed to provide students with tools to help them successfully resolve conflicts. However, there is a limited amount of quantitative data supporting their effectiveness. This study was an effort to add to the pool of research on the effectiveness of peer mediation training in schools. The study evaluated the Peaceful Solutions Peer Mediation Training Program (George and Keiter, 1993) by surveying student and administrator attitudes about the program. Participants were third, fourth, and fifth graders at seven schools in Florida who received 13.5 hours of training as peer mediators. Approximately 1 month after being trained they were asked to respond to a 6-item survey constructed to solicit their perceptions regarding conflict, peer mediation, and the training process. At the end of the 1995-96 school year, 14 administrators also responded to a survey to determine their perceptions of the effect of peer mediation at their schools. Results showed that the overwhelming majority of student peer mediators would become a mediator again if given the choice. They reported that the best part of being a mediator was resolving conflicts, while the most difficult part was describing and paraphrasing the conflict and brainstorming solutions. Those who tried to resolve conflicts were generally successful, but about half had not participated in conflict resolutions due largely to low solicitation from their peers. All administrators felt that the peer mediation had made a positive impact on the general atmosphere of their schools and was cost effective, with administrative referrals down. (Contains 14 references.) (EV)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made



PEER MEDIATION TRAINING: A SOLUTION TO VIOLENCE IN SCHOOLS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official DERI position or policy. Yvetta George, Nova Southeastern University
Donna Dagnese, Florida Atlantic University
Glennelle Halpin and Gerald Halpin, Auburn University
Joel Keiter, Nova Southeastern University

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

G. Yvotla George

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South NFORMA Educational Research Association, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, November, 1996

According to data from the National League of Cities cited by Johnson and Johnson (1995), violence in schools increased by 33% between 1990 and 1994. Causes for this increase have been attributed to changing family patterns and society's acceptance of violence as normal. Abt and Seesholtz (as cited in Johnson & Johnson, 1995) also reminded us that many television shows blur boundaries between good and evil, thereby contributing to the acceptance of violence in schools as the norm. Intervention programs are needed.

Morton Deutsch developed a theoretical framework for conflict resolution programs. Deutsch maintained that conflict is a natural occurrence within a variety of settings. Therefore, rather than try to prevent conflicts, teachers and others should teach students ways to deal with conflict in a creative, constructive manner (Inger, 1992).

More recently, Deutsch articulated a plan for "educating for a peaceful world" (as cited in Webne-Behrman, 1993). This plan has four key components: cooperative learning, conflict resolution training, the constructive use of controversy in teaching subject matter, and the creation of dispute settlement centers within schools.

Whatacts of violence are most prevalent in schools? Johnson and Johnson (1995) identified the types of conflicts occurring in schools as being physical aggression and access to or possession of valued resources such as playground equipment, computers, or books. In another survey of crime and violent acts, 42% of high school seniors reported having had property stolen at school, 14% reported being injured without a weapon being used, and 6% said they were injured with a weapon (Ostertag, 1996).

To ameliorate violence in schools, many programs are being developed to provide students with tools to help them successfully resolve conflicts. However, there is a limited amount of quantitative data supporting their effectiveness (Cutrona & Guerin, 1994). To date, most documentation for program effectiveness comes from studies conducted by Johnson and Johnson (1995). In their studies, it was found that prior to conflict resolution training, elementary students referred most of their conflicts to the teacher, used destructive strategies, and lacked problem-solving knowledge (Johnson and Johnson & Dudley, 1992).

One of the resolution strategies used during training in the Johnson and Johnson (1995) research was peer mediation. Trained peer mediators follow prescribed procedures to assist disputants in resolving conflicts. They act as neutral third parties promoting active listening, cooperation between disputants, and creative problem solving.

This is the same process used by lawyers and mediators to help adults negotiate solutions to conflicts. In this light, the question may be asked, "Can elementary students learn the mediation process?" George, Keiter, Halpin, Halpin and Dagnese (1995) found that students in third through fifth grades were able to learn mediation vocabulary, as well as knowledge of the mediation process. It was also found that girls showed a greater gain than boys and students from low socioeconomic schools had a wider margin of improvement than students from middle and higher socioeconomic schools on tests administered before and after peer mediation training.

Determining if a school peer mediation program is successful may be accomplished by comparing behavior referrals for similar time periods before and after program inception. Results of one such study indicated that, following negotiation and mediation training, conflicts that teachers had to manage dropped 89% after the training and the number of conflicts referred to the principal was reduced to zero (Johnson and Johnson, Dudley, & Acikgoz, 1994).

Woo (1996) feels that a peer mediation program will free teachers and administrators to concentrate on educating students, rather than spending an inordinate amount of time resolving student conflicts. Woo's training model includes role playing different conflict scenarios, which provides trainees practice in handling similar situations.

An important goal of peer mediation is for the skill of resolving conflicts to transfer to other settings. In a study conducted with 227 elementary students, Johnson, Johnson, Dudley, Ward, and Magnuson (1995) found through analysis of self-report data that students used negotiation and mediation strategies learned at school in the home. The types of conflicts children

Positive Connections, Inc.©

become involved in at home and at school was another variable examined in this study. Conflicts over preferences/values and possession/access were more frequent in the home than the school (82% versus 54%). Physical fights and verbal insults made up 25% of the conflicts at school but only 8% of the conflicts at home.

In yet another study examining transfer of knowledge and skills of peer mediation training to the home setting, Gentry and Beneson (1992) found that the number of siblings in the home and socioeconomic level of the family had an effect on the way children resolve conflicts. Children with three siblings tended to react less intensely to sibling conflict than those children with one sibling. Also, parents with a family income of \$26,000 or more reported a greater incidence of adult intervention in conflicts between their children than parents with lower levels of income.

Currently, administrators are looking at the cost effectiveness of mediation programs. Most funding for mediation training comes from federal or state agencies. However, many federal funds are being cut and local money often is diverted to more pressing school needs. This situation paints a rather bleak picture for the future of conflict resolution programs (Kmitta, 1996).

It has been suggested that institutionalizing conflict resolution theory and practices by absorbing them into the administrative and managerial structure of the school and infusing them into as much of the curricula as possible would contain costs and help the school as a whole (staff, parents, and students) integrate resolution into their lives (Inger, 1992).

If conflict resolution programs are to become infused into school curricula, there should be evaluation information available to help make sound program choices. According to Burton's book, <u>Conflict: Practice in Management, Settlement, and Resolution</u>, "There is almost no research into the effects of these programs, and evaluation is heavily affected by wishful thinking. More research, and more critical analysis, must precede any satisfactory evaluation" (cited in Kmitta, 1994, p. 32).

The paucity of research on conflict resolution programs is being alleviated somewhat by Dr. Tricia Jones, Temple University, who is conducting a major study comparing effectiveness of school-based conflict resolution programs in four large cities in the United States. This three year study is in the initial stage of implementation, and preliminary results should be reported in the near future (Waters, 1996).

The present study is another effort to add to the pool of research on the effectiveness of peer mediation training in schools. The <u>Peaceful Solutions Peer Mediation Training Program</u> (George and Keiter, 1993) was developed to teach elementary students conflict resolution skills. Reported herein are results of an evaluation of this program.

Research questions for this study are as follows:

- 1. Following 13 1/2 hours of training would students (a) choose to become peer mediators again, if given the choice? (b) use mediation skills at home or with friends? (c) find that peers try to get them into conflicts? (d) think the best part of being a mediator is helping peers resolve conflicts?
- 2. Following 1, 2, or 3 years of having the <u>Peaceful Solutions Peer Mediation Training Program</u> in their schools, would administrators (a) feel that the program has made a positive impact on the general atmosphere of the school? (b) feel that teachers support the program? (c) feel that administrative referrals have decreased? (d) feel that parents have shown an interest in peer mediation? (e) feel that the money spent for training is cost effective?

Method

Students in the third $(\underline{n} = 38)$, fourth $(\underline{n} = 61)$, and fifth $(\underline{n} = 60)$ grades at seven schools in Florida were participants in this study. In this group, there were 98 females and 61 males. Their socioeconomic status was high (28.3%), middle (47.8%), and low (23.9%).

These students were trained in their respective schools by the researchers to be peer mediators. The <u>Peaceful Solutions</u> <u>Peer Mediation Training Program</u> (George & Keiter, 1993) was used.

Approximately 1 month after being trained, these peer mediators were asked to respond to a six-item survey constructed to solicit their perceptions regarding conflict, peer mediation, and the training process.

At the end of the 1995-96 school year, administrators from elementary schools in which students were trained in the <u>Peaceful Solutions Peer Mediation Training Program</u> were given a survey to determine their perceptions of the effect of peer mediation at their schools. Fourteen administrators responded.

Results

For the student survey, frequencies and percentages were determined for each item. Results are as follows:

1. The most difficult part of the mediation process is

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
(a) the opening statement	29	18.2
(b) describing and paraphrasing the conflict	55	34.6



Positive Gonnections, Inc.®

		~ ~	246	
	(c) solution storming	55	34.6	
	(d) mapping agreement	20	12.6	
2.	If given a choice, would you become a mediator again?		ъ.	
		<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	
	(a) Yes	154	96.9	
	(b) No	5	3.1	
3.	I have tried to resolve conflicts at home and			
	•	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	
	(a) was successful	46	28.9	
	(c) it didn't work	28	17.6	
	(c) have not tried	85	53.5	
4.	I have tried to resolve conflicts with my friends and			
	·	Number	<u>Percent</u>	
	(a) was successful		33.3	
	(b) it didn't work	28	17.6	
	(c) have not tried	78	49.1	
5.	Since I became a peer mediator, others have tried to get me into	a conflict		`
٥.	one recurred poor medical, emercial and a german and	Number	Percent	
	(a) Yes	39	24.5	
	(b) No	120	75.5	
6.	The best part of being a peer mediator is	120	75.5	
0.	The best part of being a peer mediator is	Number	<u>Percent</u>	
	(a) resolving conflicts	99	62.3	
	(b) getting out of class	34	21.4	
		26	16.3	
	(c) being in charge	20	10.5	
				nws.
1	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were			ows:
1.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were	also determined for e	ach item. Results are as follo	ows:
1.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for	also determined for e <u>Number</u>	ach item. Results are as follo <u>Percent</u>	ows:
1.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year	also determined for e Number 2	ach item. Results are as follo <u>Percent</u> 14.3	ows:
1.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years	also determined for e Number 2 9	ech item. Results are as follows: Percent 14.3 64.3	ows:
	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years	also determined for e Number 2	ach item. Results are as follo <u>Percent</u> 14.3	ows:
1. 2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years	Number 2 9 3	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4	ows:
	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program.	Number 2 9 3 Number	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent	ows:
	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes	Number 2 9 3 Number 9	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school.	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation.	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes Somewhat	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9 5	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3 35.7	ows:
 3. 4. 	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes Somewhat No	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3	ows:
2.	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes Somewhat No	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9 5	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3 35.7 0	ows:
 3. 4. 	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes Somewhat No Administrative referrals have decreased this year.	Number Number Number Number Sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9 5 0 Number	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3 35.7 0 Percent 64.3 95.7 0 Percent	ows:
 3. 4. 	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes Somewhat No Administrative referrals have decreased this year. Yes	Number 2 9 3 Number 9 5 sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9 5 0 Number 8	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3 35.7 0 Percent 57.1	ows:
 3. 4. 	For the administrator survey, frequencies and percentages were This school has been a mediation school for 1 year 2 years 3 years This school has another behavior/values program. Yes No Peer mediation has made a positive impact on the general atmost Yes Somewhat No Teachers support peer mediation. Yes Somewhat No Administrative referrals have decreased this year.	Number Number Number Number Sphere of the school. Number 10 4 0 Number 9 5 0 Number	Percent 14.3 64.3 21.4 Percent 64.3 35.7 Percent 71.4 28.6 0 Percent 64.3 35.7 0 Percent 64.3 95.7 0 Percent	ows:



6. The peer mediation program will continue.

		<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
	Yes	13	92 .9
	No	1	. 7. 1
7 .	Parents have shown an interest in peer mediation.		
	•	Number	Doroont

		<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Yes		4	28.6
Somewhat		7	50
No	•	3	21.4

8. The money for training is cost effective.

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Yes	11	78 .6
Somewhat	2	14.3
No	. 1	7.1

Discussion

The overwhelming majority of the student peer mediators would become a peer mediator again if given the choice. The best part of being a peer mediator, they reported, was resolving conflicts. The most difficult parts of the process were describing and paraphrasing the conflict and brainstorming solutions. Of those who tried to resolve conflicts at home or with friends, substantially more found that they were successful than unsuccessful. However, it should be noted that approximately half of the peer mediators had not at the time of the survey participated in conflict resolutions. This finding could be attributable in part to the fact that, for the majority of the peer mediators, others had not tried to get them involved in conflict.

All of the administrators responding to the survey felt that peer mediators had made a positive impact on the general atmosphere at their schools. Administrative referrals had decreased, and teachers were supportive of the program. Agreeing that peer mediation was cost effective, the overwhelming majority indicated that the peer mediation program would be continued. Some additional outreach might be needed, however, to stimulate more parental interest.

References

Cutrona, C., & Guerin, D. (1994, Winter). Confronting conflict peacefully. <u>Educational Horizons</u>, 72, 95-104. Gentry, D. B., & Beneson, W. A. (1992). School-age peer mediators transfer knowledge and skills to home setting. <u>Mediation Quarterly</u>, 10, 101-109.

George, G. Y., & Keiter, J. E. L. (1993). Peaceful Solutions Mediation Training Program. Fort Lauderdale: Positive Connections, Inc.

George, G. Y., Keiter, J. E. L., Halpin, G., Halpin, G., & Dagnese, D. (1955, November). Effect of Peaceful Solutions Mediation Training Program on knowledge and skills of elementary students. Paper presented at the meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association, Biloxi, MS.

Inger, M. (1992). Conflict resolution programs in schools. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 338791) Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., (1995). Resolving school violence through conflict resolution. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., Dudley, B., & Acikgoz, K. (1994) Effects of conflict resolution training on elementary students. Journal of Social Psychology, 134, 803-817. (1992).

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., Dudley, B. (1992). Effects of peer mediation training on elementary students. Mediation Quarterly, 10 (1), 89-99.

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., Dudley, B., Ward, M., & Magnuson, D. (1995). The impact of peer mediation training on the management of school and home conflicts. <u>American Educational Research Journal</u>, 32, 829-844.

Kmitta, D. (1996). There's no research like no research: Finding for JROTC lacks empirical base. The Fourth R, 62, 22-23.

Ostertag, V. (1996). Course guide for curriculum and instruction (3rd ed.). Fort Lauderdale: Nova Southeastern University.

Waters, B. (1996-August). Telephone report on N.A.M.E. conference held in Baltimore, MD., July, 1996.

Webne-Behrman, H. M. (1993). Restructuring education: Mediation as a framework for the peaceable classroom and the democratic school. The Fourth R, 46, 5-12.

Woo, E. (1996, January 4). Schools enlist student mediators to stem violence. Los Angles Times, p. A1.







U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION)N:	(TIC	CA	iFi(ITI	E١	ID	IT	N	ΛF	IN	CI	DO	1
----------------------------	-----	------	----	------	-----	----	----	----	---	----	----	----	----	---

Title: PEER MEDIATION TRAINING: A SOLUTION TO VIOLENCE IN SCH	HOOLS
Author(s) Yvetta George, Donna Dagnese, Glennelle Halpin, Ger	ald Halpin, &
Comporate Source: Joel Keiter	Publication Date.
Positive Connections, Inc.	Feb. 15, 1997

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER

COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Check here For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign here→ please

Organization Address:

Signature:

Positive Connections, Inc. 6278 N. Fed. Hwy. Suite 155 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33308

Printed Name/Position/Title:

G. Yvetta George, Director

Telephone:

E-Mail Address:

(561) 483-5589

<u>(561) 482-6368</u>

2-18-97

FÄX:

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributo	r:		
Address:	······································		
Price:	·		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
W DEFEDE	MAL OF EDIO TO CODYD		
		RIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER: other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and	

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

KAREN E. SMITH ACQUISITIONS COORDINATOR ERIC/EECE 805 W. PENNSYLVANIA AVE. URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801-4897

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

