

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 407 471

UD 031 708

AUTHOR Marcon, Rebecca A.  
TITLE Influences on Psychosocial Development of Inner-City Early Adolescents.  
PUB DATE Apr 97  
NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (Washington, DC, April 3-6, 1997).  
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Black Students; Child Development; \*Early Adolescents; Elementary Education; Elementary School Students; \*Grade Repetition; Inner City; Junior High Schools; Poverty; \*Self Concept; Special Education; Urban Schools; \*Urban Youth  
IDENTIFIERS African Americans; \*Psychosocial Development

ABSTRACT

The Erikson Psychosocial Inventory (EPSI) (Rosenthal, Gurney, and Moore, 1981) was introduced into a longitudinal study of 234 previously studied inner-city children as they were scheduled to make the transition from elementary to junior high school. Compared to the EPSI original Australian sample, inner-city African American 12- and 13-year-olds rated themselves significantly higher in initiative and identity but lower in intimacy. Although no overall sex differences were found, poverty had an especially negative impact on psychosocial development of males. No significant differences were found between grade levels or type of school students attended. While retention had a negative effect on psychosocial development, placement in special education had minimal impact. However, it is difficult to determine whether generally lower psychosocial development among those who have been retained prior to early adolescence is a cause or consequence of retention. There were early indicators of difficulty in adaptive development among retained children. Introducing the EPSI earlier in future longitudinal studies would be helpful in answering this question. (Contains four tables and nine references.) (Author/SLD)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
\* from the original document. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ED 407 471

Influences on Psychosocial Development of Inner-City Early Adolescents

Rebecca A. Marcon

University of North Florida

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Rebecca Marcon

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Poster presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child

Development, April 3-6, 1997, Washington, D. C. Address inquiries to the author at the

University of North Florida, Department of Psychology, Jacksonville, FL 32224.

(904) 646-2807 Email: rmarcon@unf.edu

UD631 708



## Abstract

The Erikson Psychosocial Stage Inventory (EPSI) was introduced into a longitudinal study of 234 previously studied inner-city children as they were scheduled to make the transition from elementary to junior high school. Compared to the EPSI original Australian sample, inner-city African American 12- and 13-year-olds rated themselves significantly higher in initiative and identity but lower in intimacy. Although no overall sex differences were found, poverty had an especially negative impact on psychosocial development of males. No significant differences were found between grade levels or type of school students attended. While retention had a negative affect on psychosocial development, placement in special education had minimal impact. However, it is difficult to determine whether generally lower psychosocial development among those who have been retained prior to early adolescence is a cause or consequence of retention. There were early indicators of difficulty in adaptive development among retained children. Introducing the EPSI earlier in future longitudinal studies would be helpful in answering this question.

### Influences on Psychosocial Development of Inner-City Early Adolescents

The Erikson Psychosocial Stage Inventory (EPSI) developed by Rosenthal, Gurney, and Moore (1981) and modified by Darling-Fisher and Leidy (1988; MEPSI) appears to be a useful instrument for operationalizing and testing psychosocial development. Because resolution of core conflicts of earlier developmental stages can influence crises of a later developmental period (Erikson, 1950), Rosenthal et al. (1981) believed significantly higher adjustment scores of older students result from having had more time to work through each crises. Some evidence suggests that older adolescents are indeed more successful in resolving psychosocial tasks compared to younger adolescents (Arehart & Smith, 1990). Gender differences in psychosocial development similar to those suggested by Gilligan (1982) and Peck (1986) have also been identified by the EPSI/MEPSI. Adolescent Australian males scored higher on autonomy and initiative, while females scored higher on intimacy (Rosenthal et al., 1981). Darling-Fisher (1987) found a similar autonomy/intimacy difference in young American parents of infants. More recently, Leidy and Darling-Fisher (1995) suspected cohort and cultural effects in gender-related psychosocial differences, suggesting a need for further assessment of different ethnic and cultural groups and various time periods.

The current study introduced the EPSI into a longitudinal study of previously studied inner-city children as they were scheduled to make the transition from elementary to junior high school. Of particular interest were a) comparison of psychosocial development across cultures, b) influence of poverty, c) identification of possible sex

differences in this cohort, and d) impact of educational placements (i.e., grade retention, special education) as potentially major life events in psychosocial development of early adolescents.

### Method

A sample of 234 children ( $M$  age = 149.3 months) from two cohorts (Classes of 2000 and 2001) currently enrolled in 82 different public schools in Washington, D.C., (an urban school district) completed the 72-item, 5-point Likert scale EPSI. The sample was 98% African American and 52% female. Most children (77%) qualified for subsidized lunch based upon low family income, and 63% lived in single parent homes. Most children had attended both pre-kindergarten and kindergarten (Pre-K), with the remaining 18% entering school for the first time as kindergartners (K-only). Although these cohorts should have been in either the sixth or seventh grade, 36% had been previously retained (a rate characteristic of this urban system) and 14% were receiving special education services.

Australian colloquialisms in the original EPSI were replaced with more typical American expressions. The measure still included 12 statements (6 positive, 6 negative resolutions) for each of Erikson's first six psychosocial crises (Trust, Autonomy, Initiative, Industry, Identity, Intimacy). High scores indicated positive resolution of a psychosocial crisis. The EPSI was individually administered (outside the classroom) by minority college interns working in the school district's secondary unit.

## Results

As shown in Table 1, this younger, ethnic sample of American adolescents differed somewhat from Australian youth. Inner-city African American 12- and 13-year-olds rated themselves significantly higher in initiative and identity, but lower in intimacy. Effect sizes were moderate.

---

Insert Tables 1 and 2 about here

---

Poverty had a negative impact on psychosocial development (see Table 2). Adolescents who qualified for subsidized lunch rated themselves significantly lower in autonomy, initiative, industry, and identity. Their aggregate EPSI score was also significantly lower than adolescents living in more economically advantaged families. Poverty-related effect sizes were moderate. While no overall sex differences were found, a 'sex by poverty' interaction was evident. Poverty had an especially negative impact on the psychosocial development of boys (aggregate EPSI:  $F(1, 228) = 5.56, p < .01$ ), possibly through its link with school failure. Both males [ $\chi^2(1) = 3.65, p < .05$ ] and low income children [ $\chi^2(1) = 15.36, p < .001$ ] were more likely to be retained. Subsequent analyses used a covariate (eligibility for subsidized lunch) to control for the influence of poverty.

No significant differences in EPSI scores were found between grade levels or the type of school (elementary, middle, junior high) students attended. However, retention

did have a negative affect on psychosocial development. As shown in Table 3, students who had been retained prior to seventh grade were significantly lower in autonomy and industry, and also tended to be lower in identity, intimacy, and overall adjustment. Effect sizes were moderate. Unlike retention, placement in special education had minimal impact on psychosocial development. No sex or poverty-related differences were found, and only identity may have been negatively affected ( $p < .08$ ) by special education placement.

---

Insert Table 3 about here

---

Further examination of retention found early indicators of social difficulties. During their first year in school, future retainees were rated by teachers as having significantly poorer work habits ( $p < .01$ ) and lower overall adaptive behavior ( $p < .01$ ). K-only children who would eventually be retained also had some academic difficulties ( $p < .01$ ) during their first year in school. No 'sex by retention' interactions were found in early social or academic measures of either Pre-K or K-only children.

Table 4 shows an interesting contrast between Pre-K and K-only children. Compared to all other children, K-only females who had been retained rated themselves higher in resolution of all six crises, while their male counterparts rated themselves lower in all six areas. Significant 'sex by retention' interactions were found for trust, initiative, intimacy, and overall psychosocial adjustment.

---

Insert Table 4 about here

---

### Discussion

Moderately higher levels of initiative and identity among African American inner-city adolescents most likely reflect cultural and/or ethnic differences between this study's sample and the EPSI's Australian sample. Lower levels of intimacy probably reflect age differences between the samples because 12- and 13-year-olds are not yet universally involved in forming intimate relationships. The surprising lack of sex differences in psychosocial development of these early adolescents could reflect the cohort and/or ethnic differences suspected by Leidy and Darling-Fisher (1995). Also, contrary to findings by Pickar and Tori (1986), special education adolescents in this sample did not show notably lower resolution of the psychosocial stages. In contrast, students who had failed a grade were having some difficulty. Failure had an especially negative impact on the psychosocial development of boys who had not attended preschool. However, it is difficult to determine whether generally lower psychosocial development among those who have been retained prior to early adolescence is a cause or consequence of retention. There were earlier indicators of difficulty in adaptive development among retained children. Introducing the EPSI earlier in future longitudinal studies would be helpful in answering this question.



Another finding needing further clarification is the sex difference in retention's impact on those who did not attend preschool. Why retention would enhance the development of K-only females and hinder that of K-only males is unclear. As a group, these K-only females did not differ economically from their male counterparts. The increased trust and intimacy reported by retained K-only females may be partially explained by Miller's relational theory (1991) of personality development. However, socialization does not fully account for noted differences because Pre-K females who had been retained do not show a corresponding increase in intimacy. Further studies using the EPSI/MEPSI with early adolescents in different cultures and time periods are warranted.

## References

- Arehart, D. M., & Smith, P. H. (1990). Identity in adolescence: Influences on dysfunction and psychosocial task issues. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, *19*, 63-72.
- Darling-Fisher, C. S. (1987). The relationship between mothers' and fathers' Eriksonian psychosocial attributes, perceptions of family support, and adaptation to parenthood. Dissertation Abstracts International, *48*, 1640B.
- Darling-Fisher, C. S., & Leidy, N. K. (1988). Measuring Eriksonian development in the adult: The Modified Erikson Psychosocial Inventory, Psychological Reports, *62*, 747-754.
- Erikson, E. H. (1950). Childhood and society. NY: Norton.
- Gilligan, C. (1982). In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Leidy, N. K., & Darling-Fisher, C. S. (1995). Reliability and validity of the Modified Erikson Psychosocial Inventory in diverse samples. Western Journal of Nursing Research, *17*, 168-187.
- Miller, J. B. (1991). The development of women's sense of self. In J. V. Jordan, A. G. Kaplan, J. B. Miller, I. P. Stiver, & J. L. Surrey (Eds.), Women's growth in connection: Writings from the Stone Center. NY: Guilford Press.
- Peck, T. A. (1986). Women's self-definition in adulthood: From a different model? Psychology of Women Quarterly, *10*, 274-284.
- Pickar, D. B., & Tori, C. D. (1986). The learning disabled adolescent: Eriksonian psychosocial development, self-concept, and delinquent behavior. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, *15*, 429-440.

**Table 1**  
*Comparison of EPSI Scores in an Australian and American Sample*

	<i>Australian (1981)</i> [Grades 9 and 11]		<i>African American (1995)</i> [Grades 6 and 7]		t-test ( <i>df</i> = 854)	<i>Effect Size</i>
	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>		
<i>Subscales</i>						
Trust	3.40	(.55)	3.37	(.50)	.75	.05
Autonomy	3.66	(.50)	3.75	(.56)	- 1.28	-.18
Initiative	3.61	(.50)	3.76	(.57)	- 3.75 **	-.30
Industry	3.63	(.62)	3.63	(.59)	.00	.00
Identity	3.67	(.61)	3.84	(.59)	- 2.43 *	-.28
Intimacy	3.46	(.58)	3.33	(.42)	- 3.61 **	.22
<i>Aggregate</i>						
EPSI	3.57	(.56)	3.60	(.42)	- .83	-.05

\* *p* < .05

\*\* *p* < .001

**Table 2**  
*Impact of Poverty and Sex on Psychosocial Development*

	<i>Lunch Status</i>				<i>ANOVA</i> <i>F</i> (1, 229)	<i>Effect Size</i>	<i>Sex</i>					
	<i>No Subsidy</i>		<i>Subsidized</i>				<i>Males</i>		<i>Females</i>		<i>ANOVA</i> <i>F</i> (1, 229)	<i>Effect Size</i>
	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>		<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>			
<i>Subscales</i>												
Trust	3.38	(.56)	3.36	(.48)	.10	.04	3.42	(.46)	3.32	(.53)	1.97	.22
Autonomy	3.94	(.58)	3.69	(.55)	8.30 **	.43	3.79	(.57)	3.72	(.56)	.87	.12
Initiative	3.97	(.56)	3.70	(.57)	9.79 **	.48	3.72	(.59)	3.80	(.57)	1.23	-.14
Industry	3.79	(.60)	3.59	(.58)	4.90 *	.33	3.62	(.61)	3.64	(.58)	.05	-.03
Identity	4.00	(.61)	3.78	(.58)	5.87 **	.36	3.86	(.62)	3.81	(.57)	.45	.08
Intimacy	3.40	(.41)	3.31	(.42)	1.95	.22	3.53	(.42)	3.31	(.42)	.62	.52
<i>Aggregate</i>												
EPSI	3.74	(.46)	3.55	(.41)	8.19 **	.41	3.62	(.44)	3.58	(.42)	.40	.09

\* *p* < .05

\*\* *p* < .01

**Table 3**  
*Impact of Retention Prior to Seventh Grade and Special Education on Psychosocial Development*

	No Prior Retention		Prior Retention		ANCOVA <i>F</i> (1, 227)	Effect Size	Regular Education		Special Education		ANCOVA <i>F</i> (1, 227)	Effect Size
	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>			<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>SD</u>		
<i>Subscales</i>												
Trust	3.36	(.54)	3.38	(.42)	.60	-.04	3.38	(.50)	3.42	(.48)	.15	-.08
Autonomy	3.83	(.58)	3.62	(.53)	4.17 *	.36	3.76	(.56)	3.71	(.60)	.13	.09
Initiative	3.80	(.58)	3.69	(.58)	.44	.19	3.77	(.58)	3.78	(.60)	.05	-.02
Industry	3.72	(.60)	3.48	(.56)	6.31 **	.40	3.65	(.60)	3.52	(.67)	.94	.22
Identity	3.90	(.62)	3.71	(.54)	3.18 +	.31	3.85	(.58)	3.63	(.67)	3.13 +	.38
Intimacy	3.37	(.43)	3.26	(.40)	2.92 +	.26	3.33	(.41)	3.30	(.43)	.05	.07
<i>Aggregate</i>												
EPSI	3.65	(.45)	3.51	(.37)	3.13 +	.31	3.60	(.43)	3.56	(.48)	.13	.09

+ *p* < .10

\* *p* < .05

\*\* *p* < .01

**Table 4**  
*Sex by Retention Interaction for Pre-K vs. K-Only Children*

	Attended Pre-K				ANCOVA <i>F</i> (1, 197)	Attended K-Only				ANCOVA <i>F</i> (1, 33)
	Males		Females			Males		Females		
	OK	Failed	OK	Failed		OK	Failed	OK	Failed	
<i>Subscales</i>										
Trust	3.38	3.40	3.32	3.41	.19	3.38	3.25	3.11	3.53	3.94 *
Autonomy	3.71	3.66	3.81	3.88	.50	3.42	3.32	3.45	3.96	3.77 +
Initiative	3.80	3.59	3.83	3.74	.52	3.80	3.49	3.53	4.05	5.96 *
Industry	3.60	3.45	3.73	3.77	1.21	3.54	3.27	3.40	3.76	2.38
Identity	3.66	3.75	3.88	3.93	.07	3.75	3.50	3.73	4.18	3.03 +
Intimacy	3.15	3.36	3.38	3.31	4.25 *	3.26	3.09	3.12	3.64	5.62 *
<i>Aggregate</i>										
EPSI	3.54	3.52	3.64	3.67	.08	3.48	3.28	3.40	3.86	7.43 **

+ *p* < .10

\* *p* < .05

\*\* *p* < .01



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

UD031708

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Influences on Psychosocial Development of Inner-City Early Adolescents
Author(s): Rebecca A. Marcon
Corporate Source:
Publication Date: April 1997

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here

For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here

For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
Sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here -> please

Signature: Rebecca A. Marcon
Printed Name/Position/Title: Rebecca A. Marcon Associate Professor
Organization/Address: University of North Florida Dept of Psychology Jacksonville, FL 32224
Telephone: (904) 646-2807
FAX:
E-Mail Address: rmarcon@unf.edu
Date: 4/4/97

to: ERIC/EECE, children's Research Center, 51 Gerty Drive (over)

