

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 406 588

CG 026 496

AUTHOR Manning, Trisha; Nicoloff, Jennifer  
 TITLE Comparison of Attitudes toward Gay Men and Lesbians among Students at Bowling Green State University.  
 PUB DATE 95  
 NOTE 18p.  
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)  
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Analysis Of Variance; \*College Environment; Graduate Students; Higher Education; \*Homophobia; \*Homosexuality; Lesbianism; Social Bias; \*Student Attitudes; Undergraduate Students  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Bowling Green State University OH

ABSTRACT

This study examined the attitudes toward gay men and lesbians among male and female undergraduate students and graduate students at Bowling Green State University (Ohio). The subject pool included 99 students between the ages of 18 and 48. The sample included 47 males, 52 females, 60 undergraduate students, and 39 graduate students. A questionnaire measuring attitudes toward gay men and lesbians was administered to the subjects. Two-way analysis of variance was used to determine significant relationships between the independent variables, gender, level of education, and the combination of the two factors, and the dependent variable, the homophobic scale. Although a significant relationship between the level of education and the combination of gender and the level of education failed to be found, gender did seem to affect the final score on the homophobic scale. Specifically, males tend to hold more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than females. Though the results suggest that gender plays a role in attitudes toward homosexuals, the study did not find the reasons behind this result. The survey instrument is attached. Contains 15 references.  
 (Author/LSR)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

Running head: ATTITUDES TOWARD GAY MEN AND LESBIANS

ED 406 588

Comparison of Attitudes Toward Gay Men and Lesbians  
Among Students at Bowling Green State University  
Trisha Manning and Jennifer Nicoloff  
Bowling Green State University

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Nicoloff

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

026496



Abstract

This study examined the attitudes toward gay men and lesbians among male and female undergraduate students and graduate students at Bowling Green State University. The subject pool included 99 students between the ages of 18 and 48. The sample included 47 males, 52 females, 60 undergraduate students, and 39 graduate students. A questionnaire measuring attitudes toward gay men and lesbians was administered to the subjects. Two-way ANOVA was used to determine significant relationships between the independent variables, gender, level of education, and the combination of the two factors, and the dependent variable, the homophobic scale. In conclusion, although a significant relationship between the level of education and the combination of gender and the level of education failed to be found, gender did seem to affect the final score on the homophobic scale. Specifically, males tend to hold more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than females.

Comparison of Attitudes Toward Gay Men and Lesbians  
Among Students at Bowling Green State University

Homophobia, defined as the "persistent and irrational fear of homosexuality" (Croteau & Morgan, 1989) or "anti-homosexual prejudice" (Van de Ven, 1994), has existed for centuries. Only during the recent decades, however, has homophobia been in-depthly studied. This fear and negativity of homosexuality facilitates the discrimination, prosecution, and intolerance of gay men and lesbians. Throughout the last decade, some of the nation's universities measured the occurrence of negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians among their students. While a number of studies comparing the genders exist, few studies comparing the genders in addition to the level of education, undergraduate students verse graduate students, have been conducted at a rural Midwestern college campus. Thus, this study seeks to measure the attitudes toward homosexuality among male and female undergraduate and graduate students at the rural setting of Bowling Green State University in Bowling Green, Ohio.

For centuries, gay men and lesbians have dealt with prejudice, stereotyping, myth, and misconception about their lifestyles. Due to these negative attitudes and beliefs, gay men and lesbians have often been persecuted and oppressed by the heterosexual majority. Although most studies find gay men and lesbians comprising close to ten percent of today's population (Schaecher, 1989), much of the general public still

seems to harbor negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians. The manifestation of homophobia can be found in several different facets of American society including the military and the institution of marriage. Such an example of homophobic prejudice present within today's American society is the recent reported increase in crimes against gay men and lesbians (Harry, 1990). To further demonstrate the extent of prejudice toward gay men and lesbians, the judicial system, despite this increase in hate crimes, still continues to give lighter sentences to these anti-gay men and -lesbian criminals than to criminals who commit similar crimes against heterosexuals (Minkowitz, 1992). One specific group accounts for most of this violence against gays: late teen-age and early twenty-year old men (Harry, 1990).

The finding that men tend to commit more anti-gay crimes than women does not seem very surprising according to research comparing homophobia between the sexes. Researchers found that males tend to hold more negative attitudes toward homosexuality than females (Britton, 1990; Herek, 1984); and, according to Kurdek (1992), males also demonstrate greater hostility toward gay men and lesbians than females which may help account for the anti-gay crimes committed by males. Evidence suggests that other groups, in addition to males, tend to hold negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians. These groups who also show homophobic tendencies include people who are older and less well educated (Britton, 1990; Herek, 1984). Specifically, some research conducted at Midwestern universities found gender

differences in attitudes toward gay men and lesbians among their undergraduate students (Pratte, 1992). At other universities, researchers interested in the comparison of level of education and homophobic beliefs found that "education attainment has...shown to be inversely related to homophobia" (Van de Ven, 1994). Understanding the impact of homophobia, we set out to measure the attitudes toward gay men and lesbians among the students at Bowling Green State University. Based upon previously mentioned research results, it is predicted that males will tend to hold more negative attitudes toward and gay men and lesbians than females; and, undergraduate students will hold more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than graduate students.

#### Method

##### Participants

The subject pool comprised of 99 undergraduate and graduate students between the ages of 18 and 48 ( $M = 25.08$ ,  $SD = 6.68$ ) enrolled at Bowling Green State University in Bowling Green, Ohio. The breakdown of gender is as follows: 47 males and 52 females. The following is the breakdown for levels of education: 60 undergraduate students and 39 graduate students.

##### Materials

A twenty item likert questionnaire designed to identify possible negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians was developed and administered. Examples of the negative attitudes included justification of hate crimes against gay men and

lesbians, banning gay men and lesbians from military service, and lack of association with gay men and lesbians. In addition, participants were asked to complete some demographical information which included age, gender, level of education, and sexual preference. For an example questionnaire and demographical sheet see Appendix A. All participants filled out identical questionnaires and demographical information. Each item offered a choice of four responses ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. To help validate the questionnaire, some questions were reverse scored (see Appendix A). In efforts to establish the validity of the items, the questionnaire was administered to two gay men who regarded the questions as valid. Using the Cronbach Alpha computation, this measure's reliability was 0.89. To score the twenty items, each response was given a numerical value ranging from 1 to 4 with the more positive response receiving 4. Total scores were broken down and analyzed on a 1 to 4 scale, with 1 classified as homophobic, 2 as slightly homophobic, 3-4 as not homophobic, or more positive and accepting attitudes.

#### Procedure

The experimenters administered the surveys and demographical sheet to randomly selected classes from a variety of fields and asked each student to complete both sheets. The total amount of time to administer the measures took approximately 10 minutes. Once the participant finished, the questionnaire and demographical sheet were returned together.

## Results

To determine if a relationship between gender and/or level of education with negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians exists, the study utilized two types of statistical analyses. First, descriptive statistics were performed upon the two independent variables, gender and level of education, indicating the total mean and standard deviation based upon the homophobic scale for each subgroup. Second, inferential statistics were used to determine the amount of variability between the factors and the dependent variable, the homophobic scale.

The application of descriptive statistics to the gender factor found these score breakdowns: males ( $M=2.73$ ,  $SD=.46$ ) and females ( $M=3.08$ ,  $SD=.51$ ). The following indicates the results found for the different levels of education: undergraduate students ( $M=2.89$ ,  $SD=.55$ ) and graduate students ( $M=2.94$ ,  $SD=.46$ ).

The data was further analyzed using the 2x2 ANOVA with gender and level education as the two independent factors and the score on the homophobic scale as the dependent variable. It was hypothesized that the 47 male students would hold more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than the 52 female students. In addition, the 60 undergraduate students would hold more negative attitudes than the 39 graduate students. The results indicated that a significant difference using gender ( $\underline{r}=.0007$ ,  $p<.05$ ) as a factor appeared while neither level of education ( $\underline{r}=.79$ ,  $p<.05$ ) nor the combination of gender and level



of education ( $r=.20$ ,  $p<.05$ ) produced any significant difference for the 99 participants. The results of this study suggest that males at Bowling Green State University tend to harbor more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than females. For the calculations of the F Ratio table, refer to Table 1.

-----  
Insert Table 1 about here  
-----

Discussion

The hypothesis predicted that males tend to hold more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than females as well as undergraduate students will hold more negative attitudes toward these groups of people than graduate students. Although results suggest that a significant relationship between gender, specifically males, and negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians exists at Bowling Green State University, the findings did not lend support for the variable of level of education. No evidence of a significant relationship between the level of education and negative attitudes toward homosexuality resulted. Although this finding fails to support the notion that people who are less well educated tend to harbor more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians (Britton, 1990; Herek, 1984), the results may be limited by the small number of graduate students (39) surveyed in comparison to the amount of undergraduate students (60).

Other limitations of this study may relate to what the

designed questionnaire was actually measuring. Most likely our questionnaire was measuring only negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians which may not actually indicate homophobia or the fear of homosexuality at all. However, we do believe that negative attitudes tend to facilitate prejudice. And, by using the definition of homophobia as "anti-homosexual prejudice", we believe that this survey may be measuring some homophobic tendencies. To ensure that we are measuring homophobia, in addition to negative feelings in the future, other surveys such as the Affective Reactions to Homosexuality Scale (Ernulf & Innala, 1987) and the Homophobic Behaviour of Students Scale (Van de Ven, 1994) should be administered along with our questionnaire.

In addition, some specific statements on the questionnaire proved questionable. Thus, adding more limitations to this study. The first statement in question was: "I would feel more comfortable around lesbians than gay men." Statistical results indicated that this statement did not significantly correlate with the total score on the homophobic scale. We believe our questionnaire would be more reliable if this question was thrown out. The second statement in question was: "Homosexuality has become too much of an issue in this country." This statement negatively correlated with the total score. This may be due to the ambiguous wording of the statement allowing many different interpretations. If the statement was reverse scored, the statement also may have proven more reliable.

This statement should be reworded or thrown out. The final statement in question, "I have no problem with a man and woman holding hands in public", also did not significantly correlate with the total score. Rather than include this question in the scoring, we believe it should have been used solely as a validity check against the questions: "Lesbians shouldn't hold hands in public." and "I feel that two gay men holding hands in a public place is acceptable." This statement was not measuring homophobia as we defined it, but addressing the participant's feelings toward public display of affection in general. Therefore, because the statement does check the validity of other statements on the questionnaire, it should remain on the questionnaire but not be scored.

Although no significant date was obtained by using level of education, results did indicate that males at Bowling Green State University did exhibit more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than females. Researchers have examined some possible reasons for this pattern. Specifically, due to the perception that gay men and lesbians do not follow traditional sex roles (Laner & Laner, 1979, 1980), people who value such sex roles tend to demonstrate negative attitudes toward gays (Newman, 1989). Oliver and Hyde (1993) found that males judge sex role deviation harsher than females. These findings may help explain the fact that the male students at Bowling Green State University tend to hold more negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians than the female students.

Our results suggest gender plays a role in attitudes toward gay men and lesbians. The study, however, did not find the reasons behind this result. Some researchers argue that gender role attitudes rather than gender dictate the attitudes toward gay men and lesbians (Kerns & Fine, 1994). Because our study did not measure gender role attitudes, it may prove that in fact gender does not indicate negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians at Bowling Green State University. We believe that more detailed research needs to be continued in the area of why homophobic attitudes and prejudice exists. Only once we understand the reasons for homophobia, can we begin to combat it effectively.

## References

- Britton, D. M. (1990). Homophobia and homosociality: An analysis of boundary maintenance. Sociological Quarterly, 31, 423-439.
- Croteau, J. & Morgan, S. (1989). Combating homophobia in AIDS education. Journal of Counseling and Development 68, 86-91.
- Ernulf, K. E., & Innala, S. M. (1987). The relationship between affective and cognitive components of homophobic reaction. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 16, 501-509.
- Harry, J. (1990). Conceptualizing anti-gay violence. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 5, 350-358.
- Herek, G. M. (1984). Beyond "homophobia": A social psychological perspective on attitudes toward lesbians and gay men. Journal of Homosexuality, 10, 1-21.
- Kerns, J. & Fine, M. (1994). The relation between gender and negative attitudes toward gay men and lesbians: Do gender role attitudes mediate this relation? Sex Roles, 31, 297-307.
- Kurdek, L. A. (1988). Correlates of negative attitudes toward homosexuals in heterosexual college students. Sex Roles, 18, 727-738.
- Laner, M. R., & Laner, C. A. (1979). Personal style or sexual preference: Why gay men are disliked. International Review of Modern Sociology, 9, 215-228.
- Laner, M. R., & Laner, C. A. (1980). Sexual preference or personal style? Why lesbians are disliked. Journal of Homosexuality, 5(4), 339-356.

Minkowitz, D. (1992). Murder will out--But: It's still open season on gays. Nation, 254. 368-370.

Newman, B. S. (1989). The relative importance of gender role attitudes to male and female attitudes toward lesbians. Sex Roles, 21, 451-465.

Oliver, M. B., & Hyde, J. S. (1993). Gender differences in sexuality: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 114, 29-51.

Pratte, T. (1993). A comparative study of attitudes toward homosexuality: 1986 & 1991. Journal of Homosexuality, 26, 77-83.

Schaecher, R. (1989). Reducing homophobia among educators and students. Education Digest, 54, 58-61.

Van de Ven, P. (1994). Comparisons among homophobic reactions of undergraduates, high school students, and young offenders. The Journal of Sex Research, 31, 117-124.

## Appendix A

The purpose of this study is to examine attitudes and beliefs toward homosexuality among students attending Bowling Green State University. Please answer each question openly and honestly. If you have any questions or concerns about the actual study, the questionnaire, or would like to know the results, you may contact Jennifer Nicoloff or Trisha Manning through the graduate counseling program in the Department of Educational Foundations and Inquiry office at 372-7322. Please leave a message and we will return your call. Thank you for your participation in this research project.

Jennifer Nicoloff  
Trisha Manning

Please answer the following demographical questions.  
Circle the correct response where appropriate.

1. Male      Female
2. Undergraduate      Graduate Student
3. Age \_\_\_\_\_
4. Sexual Orientation/Preference \_\_\_\_\_

please go to page 2.....

\*\*The term "HOMOSEXUALITY" is used loosely throughout this questionnaire and refers to both gay men and lesbians.

Please circle the appropriate response to EACH QUESTION following this scale:

1=STRONGLY DISAGREE 2=DISAGREE 3=AGREE 4=STRONGLY AGREE

1. I know one or more homosexual people.  
1      2      3      4
2. I feel comfortable being around or associating with homosexuals.  
1      2      3      4
- \* 3. Homosexuality is a sin.  
1      2      3      4
4. I feel that two gay men holding hands in a public place is acceptable  
1      2      3      4
- \* 5. Hate crimes against homosexuals are justified.  
1      2      3      4
- \* 6. I am uncomfortable with homosexuality.  
1      2      3      4
7. I support the gay rights movement.  
1      2      3      4
8. Homosexual couples engaging in sexual relations in private is fine.  
1      2      3      4
- \* 9. I would feel more comfortable around lesbians than gay men.  
1      2      3      4
- \* 10. Lesbians shouldn't hold hands in public.  
1      2      3      4
11. Homosexuality has become too much of an issue in this country.  
1      2      3      4
12. Homosexuals deserve the right to have legal marriages in all states.  
1      2      3      4
- \* 13. I would rather not associate with homosexuals.  
1      2      3      4

go to last page.....



1=STRONGLY DISAGREE 2=DISAGREE 3=AGREE 4=STRONGLY AGREE

14. I have no problem with a man and a woman holding hands in public.  
1 2 3 4
- \* 15. It bothers me to see gay couples portrayed in movies or on TV.  
1 2 3 4
- \* 16. Homosexuals should be banned from military service.  
1 2 3 4
17. I would question family or friends who told gay jokes in my presence.  
1 2 3 4
- \* 18. Homosexual behavior in public or private is intolerable.  
1 2 3 4
19. I avoid close relationships with people of my own sex so no one thinks I am gay.  
1 2 3 4
20. I don't consider myself homophobic.  
1 2 3 4

THANK YOU!!!!!!

Note: \* indicates statements that are reverse scored.

Table 1

F-Table for the Gender, Level of Education, and Gender and Level Combined Variables

---

SOURCE	DF	TYPE I SS	MEAN SQUARE	F VALUE	PR F
Gender	1	2.9983143	2.9983143	12.49	0.0006
Level	1	0.0044553	0.0044553	0.02	0.8919
Gender*Level	1	0.4031165	0.4031165	1.68	0.1982

SOURCE	DF	TYPE III SS	MEAN SQUARE	F VALUE	PR F
Gender	1	2.3290425	2.3290425	9.70	0.0024
Level	1	0.0164295	0.0164295	0.07	0.7942
Gender*Level	1	0.4031165	0.4031165	1.68	0.1982



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT (DERI)  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE (Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

Comparison of  
Title: Homophobic Attitudes Among Students at Bowling Green State University

Author(s): JENNIFER A. NICOLOFF, TRISHA MANNING

Corporate Source (if appropriate): \_\_\_\_\_

Publication Date: \_\_\_\_\_

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche and paper copy (or microfiche only) and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the options and sign the release below.

CHECK  
HERE

Microfiche  
(4" x 6" film)  
and paper copy  
(8 1/2" x 11")  
reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_  
(PERSONAL NAME OF ORGANIZATION)

\_\_\_\_\_  
AS APPROPRIATE

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

OR



Microfiche  
(4" x 6" film)  
reproduction  
only

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

\_\_\_\_\_  
(PERSONAL NAME OF ORGANIZATION)

\_\_\_\_\_  
AS APPROPRIATE

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed in both microfiche and paper copy.

SIGN  
HERE

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction of microfiche by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: J. Nicoloff, Trisha Manning

Printed Name: JENNIFER A NICOLOFF / Trisha Manning

Organization: Bowling Green State University

Position: Graduate Student

Address: BGSU College of Education, Dept. of EDFI,  
Bowling Green, Ohio

Tel. No.: (419) 353-7708

Zip Code: 43403

Date: June 30, 1995

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (Non-ERIC Source)

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents which cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Price Per Copy: \_\_\_\_\_

Quantity Price: \_\_\_\_\_

IV. REFERRAL TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

\_\_\_\_\_

make ERIC information more accessible to users, all ERIC Clearinghouses and support components will operate toll-free lines by February 1994. Users within the United States will call the Clearinghouse 800 numbers to speak directly with friendly, knowledgeable staff familiar with ERIC and, more specifically, familiar with the coverage of their subject area(s) in the ERIC database. Each Clearinghouse keeps a separate mailing list for disseminating its publications such as newsletters, product lists, and Digests. For search strategy assistance or to be placed on a Clearinghouse mailing list, contact the related Clearinghouse below.

### CLEARINGHOUSES

**Adult, Career, and Vocational Education**  
The Ohio State University  
1900 Kenny Road  
Columbus, OH 43210-1090  
Toll Free: (800) 848-4815  
Telephone: (614) 292-4353  
Internet: ericacve@magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu

**Assessment and Evaluation**  
The Catholic University of America  
210 O'Boyle Hall  
Washington, DC 20064  
Telephone: (202) 319-5120  
Internet: eric\_ae@cua.edu

**Community Colleges**  
3051 Moore Hall  
University of California at Los Angeles  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1521  
Toll Free: (800) 832-8256  
Telephone: (310) 825-3931  
Internet: ceh3use@uvs.ucla.edu

**Counseling and Student Services**  
University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
School of Education  
1000 Spring Garden Street  
Greensboro, NC 27412-5001  
Toll Free: (800) 414-9769  
Telephone: (919) 334-4114  
Internet: bleuefj@iris.uncg.edu

**Disabilities and Gifted Education**  
Council for Exceptional Children  
1920 Association Drive  
Reston, VA 22091-1589  
Toll Free: (800) 328-0272  
Telephone: (703) 264-9474  
Internet: ericcc@inet.ed.gov

**Educational Management**  
University of Oregon  
1787 Agate Street  
Eugene, OR 97403-5207  
Toll Free: (800) 438-8841  
Telephone: (503) 346-5043  
Internet: ppiele@uoregon.unregon.edu

**Elementary and Early Childhood Education**  
University of Illinois  
805 West Pennsylvania Avenue  
Urbana, IL 61801-4897  
Toll Free: (800) 583-4135  
Telephone: (217) 333-1386  
Internet: ericece@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu

**Higher Education**  
The George Washington University  
One Dupont Circle NW, Suite 630  
Washington, DC 20036-1183  
Telephone: (202) 296-2597  
Internet: eriche@inet.ed.gov

**Information & Technology**  
Syracuse University  
4-194 Center for Science and Technology  
Syracuse, NY 13244-4100  
Toll Free: (800) 464-9107  
Telephone: (315) 443-3640  
Internet: eric@eritc.syr.edu  
AskERIC (Internet-based question-answering service): askerie@eritc.syr.edu

**Languages and Linguistics**  
Center for Applied Linguistics  
1118 22nd Street NW  
Washington, DC 20037-0037  
Telephone: (202) 429-9292  
Internet: eric@cal.org

**Reading, English, and Communication**  
Indiana University  
Smith Research Center, Suite 150  
2805 East 10th Street  
Bloomington, IN 47408-2698  
Toll Free: (800) 759-4723  
Telephone: (812) 855-5847  
Internet: ericcs@ucs.indiana.edu

**Rural Education and Small Schools**  
Appalachia Educational Laboratory  
1031 Quarrier Street  
P.O. Box 1348  
Charleston, WV 25325-1348  
Toll Free: (800) 624-9120  
Telephone: (304) 347-0400  
Internet: u56c1@wvwm.vwnet.edu

**Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education**  
The Ohio State University  
1929 Kenny Road  
Columbus, OH 43210-1080  
Telephone: (614) 292-6717  
Internet: erices@osu.edu

**Social Studies/Social Science Education**  
Indiana University  
Social Studies Development Center  
2805 East 10th Street, Suite 120  
Bloomington, IN 47408-2698  
Toll Free: (800) 266-3815  
Telephone: (812) 855-3838  
Internet: ericssc@ucs.indiana.edu

**Teaching and Teacher Education**  
American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education  
One Dupont Circle NW, Suite 610  
Washington, DC 20036-1186  
Telephone: (202) 293-2450  
Internet: ericstp@inet.ed.gov

**Urban Education**  
Teachers College, Columbia University  
Institute for Urban and Minority Education  
Main Hall, Room 303, Box 40  
525 West 120th Street  
New York, NY 10027-9998  
Toll Free: (800) 601-4868  
Telephone: (212) 678-3433  
Internet: eric-cue@columbia.edu

### ADJUNCT CLEARINGHOUSES

*Adjunct Clearinghouses are associated with the ERIC Clearinghouse whose scope overlaps the narrower scope of the Adjunct. They provide free reference and referral information in their subject areas and maintain their own mailing lists.*

**Chapter 1**  
Chapter 1 Technical Assistance Center  
2601 Fortune Circle East  
One Park Fletcher Building, Suite 300-A  
Indianapolis, IN 46241-2237  
Toll Free: (800) 456-2380  
Telephone: (317) 244-8160

**Clinical Schools**  
American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education  
One Dupont Circle NW, Suite 610  
Washington, DC 20036-1186  
Telephone: (202) 293-2450  
Internet: iahdallia@inet.ed.gov

**Consumer Education**  
National Institute for Consumer Education  
207 Rackham Building, West Circle Drive  
Eastern Michigan University  
Ypsilanti, MI 48197-2237  
Toll Free: (800) 336-6423  
Telephone: (313) 487-2292  
Internet: esc\_bonner@cmumix.cmich.edu

**ESL Literacy Education**  
Center for Applied Linguistics  
1118 22nd Street NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
Telephone: (202) 429-9292, Extension 200  
Internet: nle@cal.org

**Law-Related Education**  
Indiana University  
Social Studies Development Center  
2805 East 10th Street, Suite 120  
Bloomington, IN 47408-2698  
Toll Free: (800) 266-3815  
Telephone: (812) 855-3838  
Internet: ericcs@ucs.indiana.edu

**Test Collection**  
Educational Testing Service (ETS)  
Princeton, NJ 08541  
Telephone: (609) 734-5737

**U.S.-Japan Studies**  
Indiana University  
Social Studies Development Center  
2805 East 10th Street, Suite 120  
Bloomington, IN 47408-2698  
Toll Free: (800) 266-3815  
Telephone: (812) 855-3838  
Internet: cahrooks@ucs.indiana.edu

### SUPPORT COMPONENTS

*Three ERIC support components produce, publish, and disseminate ERIC products and services.*

**ACCESS ERIC**  
1600 Research Boulevard  
Rockville, MD 20850-3172  
Toll Free: (800) LET-ERIC (538-3742)  
Telephone: (301) 251-5264  
Internet: accerice@inet.ed.gov

**ERIC Document Reproduction Service**  
CHS Federal Inc.  
7420 Fullerton Road, Suite 110  
Springfield, VA 22153-2852  
Toll Free: (800) 443-ERIC (3742)  
Telephone: (703) 440-1400  
Internet: edrs@gwuvm.gwu.edu

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility**  
ARC Professional Services Group  
Information Systems Division  
1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 300  
Rockville, MD 20850-4305  
Toll Free: (800) 799-ERIC (3742)  
Telephone: (301) 258-5500  
Internet: ericfac@inet.ed.gov