

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 403 161

SE 059 672

AUTHOR Klemm, E. Barbara; Iding, Marie K.  
 TITLE Exploring the Use of Visual Learning Logs in an Elementary Science Methods Class.  
 PUB DATE Jan 97  
 NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Association for the Education of Teachers of Science (Cincinnati, OH, January, 1997).  
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Case Studies; \*Constructivism (Learning); Elementary Education; Elementary School Science; Higher Education; \*Methods Courses; Methods Research; \*Preservice Teacher Education; Science Instruction; Teacher Education Programs; \*Visual Learning; Visual Literacy

IDENTIFIERS Preservice Teachers

ABSTRACT

This study presents work in progress that investigates the use of visual learning logs by preservice elementary teachers as one component of developing visual literacy for science teaching and learning. The purpose of the study is to explore the use of visual learning logs as an alternative mode for thinking and communicating about experiences in an elementary science methods course that emphasizes developmentally appropriate, constructivist teaching. Visual learning logs consist of free-form drawings (pictograms) produced by the preservice elementary teachers in the study in conjunction with weekly written journal assignments. Findings suggest that the visual learning logs provide an alternative way to help preservice elementary teachers gain confidence in their science teaching ability and to prepare them in use with unfamiliar constructivist pedagogies. (PVD)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

# Exploring the Use of Visual Learning Logs in an Elementary Science Methods Class

E. Barbara Klemm and Marie K. Iding  
College of Education, University of Hawaii

Presented at the  
Annual Conference of the  
Association for the Education of Teachers of Science  
Cincinnati, Ohio January 1997

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*E.B. Klemm*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.  
 Minor changes have been made to improve  
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-  
ment do not necessarily represent official  
OERI position or policy.

ED 403 161

Visual data such as photographs, drawings, and schematics play a vital role in the scientific enterprise. In the everyday world icons, symbols, and signs are pervasive and powerful forms of visual communication. As children learn to read and write they do so with the aid of pictures and photographs. In science teaching, learners interact with nontextual pictorial elements of instructional materials and are also often asked to sketch or draw their work.

The literature research on visual literacy is related to curriculum and instruction (Braden, 1987), to visual-verbal symbiosis (Braden, 1993), to teaching visual literacy at the elementary, high school and college levels (Case-Grant, 1973; Beauchamp, 1991); to foreign language instruction (Morain, 1976); to international communication of ideas without words (Sondak & Sondak, 1991); and to interpretation of environmental signs (Horsley, 1988). No research was found on the role of visual literacy as a component of science literacy or of science teacher preparation.

The notion of creating visual representations is supported by Paivio's (1990) dual coding theory. Specifically, Paivio (1990) proposes that there are separate visual and verbal stores in memory and that learning is more effective when dual coding takes place. The use of visual learning logs as we have done in this preliminary study also incorporates the advantages of techniques like concept mapping, in conjunction with reflective journal entries.

In this study we present work in progress that investigates the use of visual learning logs by preservice elementary teachers as one component of developing visual literacy for science teaching and learning. Visual learning logs (VLL) consist of free-form drawings (i.e., pictograms) produced by the preservice elementary teachers in the study in conjunction with weekly written journal assignments. The intent of VLL was not to illustrate the written journals, but rather to serve as an alternative to textual descriptions, explanations, interpretations and reflections on the course learning experiences.

### Purpose

The purpose of the study is to explore the use of visual learning logs (VLL) as an alternative mode for thinking and communicating about experiences in an elementary

SECRET



science methods course that emphasizes developmentally appropriate, constructivist teaching. The ultimate aim of VLL is to improve science instruction for young children, including prereaders, early readers and nonnative English speakers. Specific questions investigated are

1. What kinds of information can be conveyed using VLL?
2. What kinds of ideas can be expressed better in VLL than in written journals?

### **Methodology**

Subjects were 20 preservice teachers enrolled in an elementary science methods class at a university in Hawaii. Two were males. The class was culturally diverse, representing the four major ethnic groups in Hawaii: Caucasians, Japanese and Filipino Americans, and Hawaiian and Pacific Islanders, plus other ethnic groups. For this preliminary study, results are aggregated.

### **Procedure**

The preservice elementary science teachers were instructed to submit a visual learning log in conjunction with a written journal entry each week throughout a semester. Their specific instructions were to “draw a pictogram (a visual learning log) showing what you learned and what it means to you. Include feedback on your feelings about your learning experience.” They were told to regard their VLL as an alternative form of a journal. That is, their visual logs should convey their ideas visually and not be dependent on information in their written journals.

The preservice teachers were free to develop their own nontextual representational forms to depict and interpret their learning experiences. For affective representations, the class discussed the possible use of visual affective symbols such as a smiling face or other facial expressions, or stylized affective ratings such as thumbs-up or thumbs-down symbols. To connect the learning activities with time and place in memory, students were encouraged to visually link their classroom experience with something noteworthy about each day.

Preliminary work reported in this session examines VLL collected over a sampled two-week period, plus end-of-semester written comments from the students on the usefulness of VLL. To determine the kinds of information preservice teachers considered important in their visual representations of the science methods class, a categorization system was devised by the authors to carry out content analyses of the VLL. The categories are listed under Data Analysis and Findings.

Students were asked at the end of the semester to look back over both drawn VLL and written textual journals and respond to “What kinds of ideas can you better express using a pictogram, not a written journal?” Responses were analyzed using a modification of the coding system used for VLL content analysis, then summarized.

### Data Analysis and Findings

Data analyses consisted of calculating frequencies for each of the content analysis categories. Results indicated that the majority of VLL images consisted of depictions of science activities carried out in class (22%), group learning activities (22%), affect (13%), and science education concepts (11%). Images in other categories with lower percentages included descriptions of events in the daily environment (10%), nature of science (8%), activities chosen by groups (5%), general education concepts (5%), and science teaching resources (3%). Uncodeable responses were less than 1%.

These coded VLL findings indicate that preservice teachers are experiencing and remembering science teaching in their methods class as active learning. Additionally, science is experienced as a social learning process and science content and process are connected to real world situations. These findings are consistent with course objectives.

Examination of written comments at the end of the semester revealed that students felt that compared to written journals, VLL better express

1. An immediate way for the teacher to see at a glance what the learner has experienced and selected as important. Commented a student, "When I look at the picture it reminds me and I can clearly picture the activity done in class that day."
2. Affective and aesthetic dimensions of science teaching and learning. They particularly noted that young prereaders could provide affective feedback with such images as smiling or frowning faces. One preservice elementary teacher said, "Using a pictogram, you can express aesthetic, emotional responses to activities and lessons that cannot otherwise be expressed in writing. Another said, "You can also tell what lessons were great for students because of the ratings that are used on the drawings."
3. The steps or processes involved in a hands-on activity. Visual depiction makes the process appear more realistic than using words alone. As stated by a student, "I could express my ideas using a pictogram better by drawing out the activities done."
4. Observations and details that are "hard to describe in words" including the use of realistic colors. As one student said, "You can show colors and results rather than just writing about them." Said another, "Pictograms show more detail on what something looks like."
5. An alternative means of expression for visual, nonverbal learners. As one of the male students said, "I just think overall it helps students who have trouble expressing themselves with writing. I personally enjoyed the pictograms compared to our written journals." Others mentioned "Greater opportunity for individual expression" and "Greater variety." Another said, "This also helps children who have a different learning style through color/pictures rather than just words."
6. Alternative methods for teaching and learning, including

- Engaging nonreaders, prereaders and ESL students in science learning.
- Reviewing lessons and sequences of lessons
- Evaluating and monitoring student progress, and
- Teacher's self-evaluation of lesson effectiveness.

### **Working Conclusions**

Within the context of the science methods class, elementary preservice teachers are often "science shy." They have limited prior experience in science courses, and most often these courses focus on traditional teacher-centered pedagogy including lectures, note-taking, and multiple-choice tests. Findings from this study suggest that VLL provide an alternative way to help preservice elementary teachers gain confidence in their science teaching ability and to prepare them in use of unfamiliar constructivist pedagogies. Because VLL are not dependent on text, including the use of scientific or educational terminology, from the outset of the course methods students have a way of recording, reflecting, and conversing on what they learned, how it was learned, and how it was taught. VLL supported written learning logs and use of concept maps in the methods class. Findings thus far suggest that VLL

1. Create alternative ways for preservice elementary science teachers to meaningfully depict their science methods class experiences and to portray their own emerging understandings of science teaching and learning.
2. Support constructivist approaches to science teaching and draw upon multiple modalities for learning.
3. Provide a means of combining minimal verbal oral and written expression with concrete visual representations of complex ideas. Suggested here is that learners can engage with complex ideas before and during the process of mastering associated verbal learning.
4. Allow an outlet for succinct affective feedback. This is an area where young children often lack effective verbal skills. This suggests that visual learning logs may be a useful tool for young children as well as their teachers.
5. Can be used to promote reflection, for summary or review, and for evaluation.

### **Further Research**

These preliminary findings point to the need for further research on the effectiveness of VLL as heuristic devices both in elementary science methods classes and with prereaders, nonreaders and ESL students. Further research is needed comparing the effectiveness of VLL and written learning logs in preservice elementary science methods classes. Research is also needed on the links between visual literacy and scientific literacy and on the learning effectiveness on learning of dual coding using visual and

verbal memory. Additional research is needed on preparing teachers for visual dimensions of science teaching and learning.

### References

Beauchamp, D. G., Ed. 1991. Investigating Visual literacy: Selected readings from the Annual conference of the International Visual Literacy Association, Bloomington/Normal, Illinois.

Braden, R. A., Ed. 1993. Examining Visual Verbal Relationships. In Art, Science & Visual Literacy: Selected readings from the Annual conference of the International Visual Literacy Association, Pittsburg, PA.

Braden, R. A., Ed. 1987. Visible and Viable: The Role of Images in Instruction and Communication. Readings from the Annual Conference of the International Visual Literacy Association, Commerce, TX.

Case-Grant, A. 1973. Visual Literacy: An Exciting Environmental Adventure. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED071448.)

Horsley, A. D., 1988. The Unintended Effects of a Posted Sign on Littering Attitudes and Stated Intentions. *Journal of Environmental Education* 19(3) 10-14.

Morain, G. 1976. Visual Literacy: Reading Signs and Designs in the Foreign Culture. *Foreign Language Annals* 9(3) 120-216.

Paivio, A. 1990. *Mental representations: A Dual Coding Approach*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sondak, N. and E. Sondak. 1991. Ideas without Words--Internationalizing Business Presentations. Paper presented at the Eastern Michigan University conference on Languages and Communication for World Business and Professions, Ypsilanti, Michigan.



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Exploring the Use of Visual Learning Logs in an Elementary Science Methods Class</i>	
Author(s): <i>E. Barbara Klemm and Marie K. Iding</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>College of Education University of Hawaii</i>	Publication Date: <i>January 1997</i>

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.



Check here

### For Level 1 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here

### For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here → please

Signature: <i>E. Barbara Klemm</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>E. Barbara Klemm Associate Professor</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>Dept. Teacher Education + Curriculum Studies, College of Education University of Hawaii 1776 University Ave W# 2-222B Honolulu HI 96822</i>	Telephone: <i>808-956-3823</i>	FAX: <i>808-956-3918</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>klemm@hawaii.edu</i>	Date: <i>1/26/97</i>

# Share Your Ideas With Colleagues Around the World

**Submit your conference papers or other documents to the world's largest education-related database, and let ERIC work for you.**

The Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) is an international resource funded by the U.S. Department of Education. The ERIC database contains over 850,000 records of conference papers, journal articles, books, reports, and non-print materials of interest to educators at all levels. Your manuscripts can be among those indexed and described in the database.

## **Why submit materials to ERIC?**

- **Visibility.** Items included in the ERIC database are announced to educators around the world through over 2,000 organizations receiving the abstract journal, *Resources in Education (RIE)*; through access to ERIC on CD-ROM at most academic libraries and many local libraries; and through online searches of the database via the Internet or through commercial vendors.
- **Dissemination.** If a reproduction release is provided to the ERIC system, documents included in the database are reproduced on microfiche and distributed to over 900 information centers worldwide. This allows users to preview materials on microfiche readers before purchasing paper copies or originals.
- **Retrievability.** This is probably the most important service ERIC can provide to authors in education. The bibliographic descriptions developed by the ERIC system are retrievable by electronic searching of the database. Thousands of users worldwide regularly search the ERIC database to find materials specifically suitable to a particular research agenda, topic, grade level, curriculum, or educational setting. Users who find materials by searching the ERIC database have particular needs and will likely consider obtaining and using items described in the output obtained from a structured search of the database.
- **Always "In Print."** ERIC maintains a master microfiche from which copies can be made on an "on-demand" basis. This means that documents archived by the ERIC system are constantly available and never go "out of print." Persons requesting material from the original source can always be referred to ERIC, relieving the original producer of an ongoing distribution burden when the stocks of printed copies are exhausted.

## **So, how do I submit materials?**

- Complete and submit the *Reproduction Release* form printed on the reverse side of this page. You have two options when completing this form: If you wish to allow ERIC to make microfiche and paper copies of print materials, check the box on the left side of the page and provide the signature and contact information requested. If you want ERIC to provide only microfiche or digitized copies of print materials, check the box on the right side of the page and provide the requested signature and contact information. If you are submitting non-print items or wish ERIC to only describe and announce your materials, without providing reproductions of any type, please contact ERIC/CSMEE as indicated below and request the complete reproduction release form.
- Submit the completed release form along with two copies of the conference paper or other document being submitted. There must be a separate release form for each item submitted. Mail all materials to the attention of Niqui Beckrum at the address indicated.

## **For further information, contact...**

Niqui Beckrum  
Database Coordinator  
ERIC/CSMEE  
1929 Kenny Road  
Columbus, OH 43210-1080

1-800-276-0462  
(614) 292-6717  
(614) 292-0263 (Fax)  
ericse@osu.edu (e-mail)