#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 402 892 HE 029 835

AUTHOR

Willardson, J. D.

TITLE Faculty Supplemental Contracts. Compensation to

Faculty.

PUB DATE

[96]

NOTE

5p.

PUB TYPE

Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

\*Compensation (Remuneration); Contracts; \*Contract Salaries; \*Faculty Workload; Full Time Faculty; \*Higher Education; Staff Utilization; \*Teacher

Salaries; Working Hours

#### **ABSTRACT**

This brief report surveys the various ways in which institutions of higher learning compensate supplemental faculty workload. The survey, which achieved a 91 percent response rate, was conducted of 42 universities enrolling over 18,000 students. The survey asked about the length of academic terms and the methods used to write faculty supplemental contracts for teaching in the Spring or Summer terms. Tables show: academic terms; lengths of periods used for supplemental contract; and compensation methods. Analysis of survey responses found that the majority of institutions used less than half of their full-time faculty to teach beyond the regular academic year. The two main compensation methods used were: (1) percentage of faculty's regular contract for a given period, and (2) percentage of the regular contract per credit hour taught, with the majority using the former method. (CH)



## FACULTY SUPPLEMENTAL CONTRACTS

Compensation to Faculty

A Study Conducted by J. D. Willardson

# **BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

JOHN D. WILLARDSON

2 TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

#### FACULTY SUPPLEMENTAL CONTRACTS

Compensation to Faculty

A Study Conducted by J. D. Willardson

How faculty are compensated for teaching beyond their regular academic contracts is of special interest for faculty and administrators alike. How their compensation for overload compares to that of colleagues at other institutions can impact morale and influence their willingness to accept overload assignments. If administrators know the different ways institutions compensate faculty for overload assignments they can more effectively provide a system that will help meet faculty expectations and satisfy institutional requirements.

To examine the various ways that institutions of higher learning compensate supplemental faculty workload, a survey was conducted of 42 universities with student enrollment of over 18,000 FTE. The survey requested responses on the length of academic terms and the methods used in writing faculty supplemental contracts for teaching in the Spring or Summer terms. A 91 percent response rate from the 42 institutions revealed a strong interest in this subject.

One of the main reasons for differences in the methods used in determining compensation for overload assignments is the difference in the length of academic terms, depending on whether the institution uses a quarter, semester, or trimester term. The length of an overload assignment is a function of the type of academic term selected by the institution.

The most common academic term for the 42 universities participating in the survey is the semester. Of the respondents to the survey 79 percent of the respondents use this term. Of those institutions using the semester, 64 percent use a 16-week term, with 36 percent using a shortened 15-week semester.

Of the 16 percent of the colleges and universities that use a quarter term, a little more than half, or 57 percent use a 10-week period, compared to 47 percent that use a 11-week period. Only two of the institutions surveyed use a trimester term for their academic year.

The academic terms that are used by the survey participants are indicated below:



#### Academic Terms:

### <u>Semester</u> (33 of 42 or 79 %)

Term <u>Period</u>	Percent
15 weeks	36 %
16 weeks	<u>64 %</u>
Total	100 %
	=====

#### Ouarter (7 of 42 or 16 %)

Term <u>Period</u>	Percent
10 weeks	57 %
11 weeks	<u>43 %</u>
Total	100 %
	=====

The length of the academic year turned out to be the greatest area of similarity among the institutions included in the survey. Of the institutions surveyed, 92 percent indicated using a 9-month calendar, while the remaining 8 percent use a 10-month academic year.

Regarding the use of full-time faculty for supplemental teaching assignments, the survey indicated that only 22 percent use more than half of their faculty for overload teaching appointments. The majority of the institutions use less than half of their faculty to teach beyond the regular academic year.

The length of the periods used for supplemental contracts are as follows:

<u>Period</u>	Percent
<pre>1 month 2 months 3 months Various length from 1 to 3 months</pre>	13 % 26 % 18 % 43 % 100 % =====



The results of the survey indicated that institutions determine compensation for supplemental contracts mainly by two methods: (1) using a percentage of the faculty's regular contract for a given period or (2) using a percentage of the regular contract per credit hour taught. The following schedule indicates the methods institutions use to determine compensation for supplemental contracts:

Method	Percent
<pre>1/3 of basic contract 2/9 of basic contract 1/9 of basic contract 3 % of basic contract per credit hour Combination of various criteria Not included in survey response     Total</pre>	5 % 25 % 14 % 25 % 28 % 3 % 100 %

Although no specific method seems predominant for determining compensation offered in faculty supplemental contracts, the survey results indicated a tendency to use the basic annual contract as a base. Results of the survey also indicated that the majority of full-time faculty contracts for the regular academic year are written for a term of nine months. The most prevalent method of determining supplemental pay is the combination of 2/9 or 1/9 of the basic annual contract.

The purpose of this study was to provide information on the criteria and methods involved in writing supplemental contracts for faculty overload. Being aware of the methodology and processes used by other institutions of higher learning should help administrators make more informed decisions on ways to compensate faculty for overload assignments.





### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION:		
Title:			
FACULTY SUPP	PLEMENTAL CONTRACTS - C	COMPENSATION TO FACU	LTY
Author(s): J.D. U	JILLARDSON		**************************************
Corporate Source:			Publication Date:
. N/A			NA
II. REPRODUCTI	ON RELEASE:		_
in the monthly abstract jo paper copy, and electroni	ate as widely as possible timely and significal urnal of the ERIC system, <i>Resources in Edu</i> c/optical media, and sold through the ERIC ch document, and, if reproduction release is	ocation (RIE), are usually made available Document Reproduction Service (EDRS	e to users in microfiche, reproduced S) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is
If permission is gran the bottom of the page.	ted to reproduce and disseminate the identif	ied document, please CHECK ONE of t	he following two options and sign at
	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents.	The sample sticker shown below affixed to all Level 2 docume	
1	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PA COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED	APER 1
Check here	\@ ·		Check here

For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical)

and paper copy.

sample——

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

For Level 2 Release:

Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries." Sign Printed Name/Position/Title: Signature: JOHN D. WILLARDSON *here*→ ASSIS . PROF. please Telephone: 801-378-3600 EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP SCHOOL OF FOUCATION E-Mail Address: Date: BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY WILLARDJ @ACOL BYU 27 NOU 1996 PROVO. 846 oz

## III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

ublisher/Distributor:
ddress:
rice:
V. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address
ame:
ddress:
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
end this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

