

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 402 791

FL 024 356

AUTHOR Steffensen, Margaret S.; And Others  
 TITLE Imaginative Aspects of Comprehension in First and Second Language.  
 PUB DATE Apr 96  
 NOTE 6p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New York, NY, April 8-12, 1996).  
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)  
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Bilingualism; College Students; Comparative Analysis; \*Emotional Response; \*English (Second Language); Foreign Countries; Higher Education; \*Imagery; Interlanguage; Mandarin Chinese; \*Reading Comprehension; Second Language Learning; \*Second Languages; \*Transfer of Training  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Biliteracy; China

ABSTRACT

A study investigated the effect of the language in which a text is written on the imagery and emotional response of biliterate readers. Subjects were 64 native Mandarin-speaking university students majoring in English in a Chinese university. Subjects read a letter describing a typical trip on a third-class train in either English or Chinese, then listed and rated the strength (none to vivid) of the mental images they formed during reading. They also described and rated affective responses, and indicated the degree of difficulty they had in reading the letter. Results indicate that readers of the Chinese text reported more imagery and emotional response than readers of English, although ratings of these factors did not vary greatly across languages. Reading time was almost three times as great for the English text. These results contrasted sharply with those of an earlier study, in which subjects had lived in the United States. Contains 14 references. (MSE)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED 402 791

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Margaret S. Steffensen*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

## Imaginative Aspects of Comprehension in First and Second Language

Margaret S. Steffensen  
Illinois State University

Ernest T. Goetz  
Texas A&M University

Xiaoguang Cheng  
Liaoning Normal University

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York, April, 1996

51-024356

## Objective and Rationale

The objective of this study was to examine the effect of the language in which a text is written on the imagery and emotional response of biliterate readers. Recent research has shown that imaginative processes (i.e., imagery and emotional response) are an inherent, central part of reading (e.g., Goetz, Sadoski, Fatemi, & Bush, 1994; Goetz, Sadoski, Stowe, Fetsco, & Kemp, 1993; Sadoski, Goetz, & Fritz, 1993; Sadoski, Goetz, & Kangiser, 1988; Sadoski & Quast, 1990). This research supports the view that reading involves dual coding in the verbal and nonverbal systems (e.g., Goetz & Sadoski, in press; Paivio, 1971, 1986; Sadoski & Paivio, 1994; Sadoski, Paivio, & Goetz, 1991).

Although this research has employed a variety of texts (e.g., short stories, newspaper articles), it has failed to address the possible relationship between the language in which a text is written and the experience that the text produces in biliterate readers. This study investigates the imaginative component of comprehension and how it varies in first and second language reading. Our subjects were native speakers of Mandarin who were bilingual speakers/readers of English.

There is considerable evidence that cultural background affects reading comprehension (e.g., Anderson, Reynolds, Schallert, & Goetz, 1977; Bartlett, 1932; Reynolds, Taylor, Steffensen, Shirey, & Anderson, 1981; Steffensen, Joag-dev, & Anderson, 1979). When someone reads a culturally familiar text, reading is faster, more material is recalled, and appropriate elaborations are more frequent. Conversely, a culturally unfamiliar text is read more slowly, less is recalled, and distortions are more common.

In the present study, a culturally familiar text was used. However, there is also evidence that reading in a second language is slower than in a first language, even if the reader is highly proficient and even if the text is based on familiar background knowledge. This can be explained by differences in processing required in the two languages. For native readers of Mandarin, for example, significant changes must be made to accommodate alphabetic vs. logographic processing. This suggests that more attention is directed to the perceptual and linguistic processes required by the verbal system and less is available for imagery and emotional response in the nonverbal system.

## Method

### Subjects

The subjects were 64 undergraduates majoring in English at Liaoning Normal University in Dalian, China. Forty-seven were women, 17 men. (The disproportionate number of women reflects enrollment in English at the university.) All spoke Mandarin as their first language and English as their second language. Students were asked to participate in the study by their professors, but participation was voluntary.

### Materials

A letter was written in Chinese which described a typical trip on a third-class train. It was then translated into English, and the equivalence of the two versions was assessed through back-translation. After adjustments were made, the resulting text was three single-spaced pages long in English (14 paragraphs).

Experimental booklets were prepared in English and Chinese, consisting of initial instructions, the letter, and instructions for imaginative process

reports. The language used in the booklets was consistent throughout.

### Procedure

Students were randomly assigned to the English or Chinese language materials (tested separately), and asked to read the letter. They were told that they would be timed, but that it was not a test of reading speed and that they should read for comprehension.

After reading the passage, subjects encountered a set of instructions asking them to list the mental images they formed during reading. They then rated the strength of their images for 24 segments of text (determined by topic shifts) on a five-point Likert scale, with 1 indicating no mental imagery and 5 indicating vivid imagery.

Similar procedures were followed in eliciting affective responses: Subjects first completed a free-report of emotional responses, then rated the strength of their emotional responses to the 24 text segments on a five-point Likert scale. Following the emotional response ratings, subjects rated the difficulty of understanding the letter and provided information about themselves and their linguistic background.

Each session of 10-20 subjects was conducted in the language used in the experimental booklet. The sessions took approximately one hour. At the conclusion of each session, subjects were debriefed and the experiment was briefly discussed.

### Results

Multivariate analysis of variance was used to test the effect of text language for the variables summarized in Table 1. In this analysis, the effect of text language was significant,  $F(5, 57) = 97.2, p < .0001$ . Follow-up univariate analyses revealed that readers of the Chinese text produced more imagery and emotional response reports than readers of English. Imagery and emotional response ratings (averaged across text units for each subject) did not differ between the two languages, although ratings were slightly higher for readers of Chinese. Reading times were nearly three times longer for the English text.

### Conclusion

The results of this study contrast sharply with those we reported last year. In that study, we found no difference in the number of imagery and emotional response reports reported by readers of English and Chinese texts. In that study, however, the subjects were graduate students who had lived and studied in the United States for some time and had extensive experience and expertise with English. Taken together, these two studies suggest that although imagery and emotional response occur at various levels of second language proficiency, limited proficiency can limit access to the imaginative aspects of comprehension.

These results add to previous theory and research that has argued that theories of reading which focus exclusively on comprehension and recall, ignoring the imaginative processes, provide an incomplete and inadequate account of the reading experience (e.g., Goetz & Sadoski, in press; Sadoski & Paivio, 1994; Sadoski, Paivio, & Goetz, 1991). Access to imagery and

emotional response while reading in a second language may facilitate the accumulation of sufficient experience to become competent in second language reading. By the same token, the development of proficiency sufficient to provide a full, rich reading experience may be an important objective of second language learning programs.

## References

- Anderson, R.C., Reynolds, R.E., Schallert, D.L., & Goetz, E.T. (1977). Frameworks for comprehending discourse. American Educational Research Journal, 14, 367-381.
- Bartlett, F.C. (1932). Remembering. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Goetz, E.T., & Sadoski, M. (in press). Imaginative processes in literary comprehension: Bringing the text to life. In R. J. Kreuz & M.S. MacNealy (Eds.), Empirical approaches to the study of literature and aesthetics. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Goetz, E.T., Sadoski, M., Fatemi, Z., & Bush, R. (1994). That's news to me: Readers' responses to brief newspaper articles. Journal of Reading Behavior, 26, 125-138.
- Goetz, E.T., Sadoski, M., Stowe, M.L., Fetsco, T.G., & Kemp, S.G. (1993). Imagery and emotional response in reading literary text. Poetics, 22, 35-49.
- Paivio, A. (1971). Imagery and verbal processes. New York: Holt, Reinhart, & Winston. (Reprinted in 1979 by Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ)
- Paivio, A. (1986). Mental representations: A dual coding approach. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Reynolds, R.E., Taylor, M.A., Steffensen, M.S., Shirey, L.S., & Anderson, R.C. (1982). Cultural schemata and reading comprehension. Reading Research Quarterly, 17, 353-366.
- Sadoski, M., Goetz, E.T., & Fritz, J.B. (1993). Impact of concreteness on comprehensibility, interest, and memory for text: Implications for dual coding theory and text design. Journal of Educational Psychology, 85, 291-304.
- Sadoski, M., Goetz, E.T., & Kangizer, S.M. (1988). Imagination in story response: Relationships between imagery, affect, and structural importance. Reading Research Quarterly, 23, 320-336.
- Sadoski, M., & Paivio, A. (1994). A dual coding view of imagery and verbal processes in reading comprehension. In R.B. Rudell, M.R. Ruddell, & H. Singer (Eds.), Theoretical models and processes of reading (4th ed.) (pp. 582-601). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Sadoski, M., Paivio, A., & Goetz, E.T. (1991). A critique of schema theory and a dual coding alternative. Reading Research Quarterly, 26, 463-484.
- Sadoski, M., & Quast, Z. (1990). Reader response and long-term recall for journalistic text: The roles of imagery, affect, and importance. Reading Research Quarterly, 25, 256-272.
- Steffensen, M.S., Joag-dev, C., & Anderson, R.C. (1979). A cross-cultural perspective on reading comprehension. Reading Research Quarterly, 15, 10-29.

Table 1

Means (Standard Deviations) and Significance Tests for Readers of Chinese and English Texts

<u>Text Language</u>	<u>No. of Image Reports</u>	<u>No. of Affect Reports</u>	<u>Imagery Rating</u>	<u>Affect Rating</u>	<u>Reading Time (Minutes)</u>
Chinese n = 32	16.72 (8.18)	8.56 (3.72)	3.80 (0.55)	3.84 (0.50)	3.48 (0.65)
English n = 32	6.03 (2.62)	4.69 (2.33)	3.65 (0.69)	3.55 (0.69)	9.42 (1.39)
F(1, 62) p	49.6 <.0001	24.9 <.0001	0.98 >.30	3.92 =.052	469 <.0001



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
 Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)  
 Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



**REPRODUCTION RELEASE**  
 (Specific Document)

**I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:**

Title: <i>Imaginative Aspects of Comprehension in First and Second Language.</i>	
Author(s): <i>Margaret S. Steffensen, Ernest T. Goetz, Xiaoquang Cheng</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

**II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:**

*AERA, April, 1996.*

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



**Check here**

Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY \_\_\_\_\_ *Sample* \_\_\_\_\_ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY \_\_\_\_\_ *Sample* \_\_\_\_\_ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

**or here**

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.

**Sign Here, Please**

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Margaret S. Steffensen</i>	Position: <i>Professor Dept. of English</i>
Printed Name: <i>Margaret S. Steffensen</i>	Organization: <i>Illinois State University</i>
Address: <i>Illinois State University Dept. of English Campus Box 4240 Normal, IL 61790-4240</i>	Telephone Number: <i>(309) 438-7704</i>
	Date: <i>September 16, 1996</i>





**THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA**

*Department of Education, O'Boyle Hall*

*Washington, DC 20064*

*202 319-5120*

February 27, 1996

Dear AERA Presenter,

Congratulations on being a presenter at AERA<sup>1</sup>. The ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of your presentation.

Abstracts of papers accepted by ERIC appear in *Resources in Education (RIE)* and are announced to over 5,000 organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, provides a permanent archive, and enhances the quality of *RIE*. Abstracts of your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of *RIE*. The paper will be available through the microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the world and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service.

We are gathering all the papers from the AERA Conference. We will route your paper to the appropriate clearinghouse. You will be notified if your paper meets ERIC's criteria for inclusion in *RIE*: contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality.

Please sign the Reproduction Release Form on the back of this letter and include it with **two** copies of your paper. The Release Form gives ERIC permission to make and distribute copies of your paper. It does not preclude you from publishing your work. You can drop off the copies of your paper and Reproduction Release Form at the **ERIC booth (23)** or mail to our attention at the address below. Please feel free to copy the form for future or additional submissions.

Mail to:                   AERA 1996/ERIC Acquisitions  
                              The Catholic University of America  
                              O'Boyle Hall, Room 210  
                              Washington, DC 20064

This year ERIC/AE is making a **Searchable Conference Program** available on the AERA web page (<http://tikkun.ed.asu.edu/aera/>). Check it out!

Sincerely,

Lawrence M. Rudner, Ph.D.  
Director, ERIC/AE

---

<sup>1</sup>If you are an AERA chair or discussant, please save this form for future use.