

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 402 318

TM 025 810

AUTHOR Boser, Judith A.; And Others
 TITLE Reviewing the Research on Mail Survey Response Rates: Alternate Approaches (Symposium).
 PUB DATE Apr 96
 NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New York, NY, April 8-12, 1996). For related papers, see TM 025 810-812.
 PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS Comparative Analysis; Databases; Effect Size; *Mail Surveys; *Meta Analysis; *Research Methodology; *Response Rates (Questionnaires); Responses; Sample Size; *Sampling
 IDENTIFIERS *Descriptive Research

ABSTRACT

The research on response facilitation factors in mail surveys is reviewed, and background is developed to enable the comparison of results obtained by two analytic approaches, a descriptive analysis and a meta-analysis, using the same set of studies. Specific criteria for sample and methodology were applied to studies extracted from four CD-ROM databases using search terms for mail survey combined with response rate. Multiple publications of the same study were deleted. The final listing of articles to be used in the reviews contained a total of 208 publications. After final agreement was reached on the studies that were to be included, the two reviews were conducted independently. A list of the 208 sources of studies is included. (Contains 11 references.) (SLD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

Reviewing the Research on Mail Survey Response Rates: Alternate Approaches (Symposium)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Chair:

Marsha Niebuhr, Anderson, Niebuhr & Associates, Inc.

Participants:

Judith A. Boser, University of Tennessee
Sheldon B. Clark, Oak Ridge Institute for Science & Education
Kathy Green, University of Denver
Susan R. Hutchinson, University of Denver

Discussants:

Jack Anderson, Anderson, Niebuhr & Associates, Inc.
Marc Braverman, University of California, Davis

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

JUDITH A. BOSER

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

ED 402 318

The purpose of this session is to review the research on response facilitation factors in mail surveys and to compare the results obtained by two approaches, a descriptive analysis and a meta-analysis, using the same set of research studies.

Reviews on this topic have been conducted in the past using various methods, with some discrepancies in the findings (Boser & Clark, 1993). The reasons for the differences in findings may be at least partially due to the method used and/or the specific studies that served as a basis for the reviews.

The only variables for which there has been universal agreement about their improvement of response rates are the use of follow-up contacts and incentives. None of the previous reviews have used the same set of research studies as a basis as will be done in this investigation, and it is possible that some of the differences in findings may be the result of basing the reviews on different original studies. In addition to searching for answers to the long-standing question about which methods are most helpful in promoting response rates in mail surveys, this presentation will offer an opportunity to examine the effect of the method used to review the findings of multiple studies when the body of studies is held constant.

Some reviews, like those of Yu and Cooper (1983), have not isolated mail surveys but have included studies of response rate improvement techniques in telephone and/or face-to-face interview surveys. Still others have given no description of any criteria for the selection of the studies that were included in the review (Duncan, 1979; Harvey, 1987; Kanuk & Berenson, 1975), leaving the credibility of the findings to the judgment of the reader. Some reviews have focused on a single variable or a set of variables decided upon in advance of undertaking the investigation.

Reviews of research literature on mail survey methods have been facilitated by the development of computerized search procedures to locate relevant studies. Recent adaptations including the access of researchers to the databases via CD-ROM have further encouraged such reviews.

The methods used to compare and combine the results of various independent investigations are varied and have developed over time. The early reviews, such as those of Linsky (1975) depended on a descriptive approach. More recently, regression (Heberlein & Baumgartner, 1978), logit regression (Bruvold & Comer, 1988) and meta-analysis (Fox, Crask & Kim, 1988; Yammarino, Skinner, & Childers, 1991). While more sophisticated analytic approaches may provide more rapid results, they sometimes sacrifice specificity by having to collapse categories in order to obtain the numbers necessary for analysis.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Method

For purposes of this investigation, the following criteria were established for studies to be included:

1. The study was focused on identification of variables that facilitate response rates in mail surveys.
2. The study used a split sample approach or an experimentally manipulated variable while the other procedures were held constant across groups.
3. Individuals were randomly or systematically assigned to groups.
4. The survey was done in the United States with a target population in this country.
5. The survey reported the response rate for each group.
6. The sample size was provided.
7. The written account of the survey was obtainable through published sources and written in the English language.
8. Studies in which the only manipulation was a comparison of mail surveys versus other procedures were inadmissible because they did not provide ways to improve mail surveys.
9. Surveys conducted by VAX or disk were not included.

The reference lists of previously published reviews on this topic were examined for studies that fit the inclusion criteria. In particular, reviews by Heberlein and Baumgartner (1978), Fox, Crask and Kim (1988), and Yammarino, Skinner and Childers (1991) served as initial sources because they used only studies that included an experimental design with one or more manipulated variables. The references cited in other reviews, such as those by Linsky (1975) and Bruvold and Comer (1988), were also examined for inclusion.

Computer searches of four CD-ROM databases were conducted using the search terms "mail survey" or "Mail surveys" combined with "response rate" or "response rates." The ABI/Inform database contains articles in marketing and business publications. Sociofile represents social science, PSYCLit the field of psychology, and ERIC the field of education. The number of citations identified in each search are listed below:

Sociofile	January 1974 through April 1995	86
ABI/Inform	January 1994 - June 1995	19
	January 1992 - December 1993	24
	January 1986 - December 1991	82
PSYCLit	January 1990 - June 1995	37
	January 1974 - December 1989	88
ERIC	January 1992 - March 1995	48*
	January 1982 - December 1991	107*
	January 1966 - December 1981	20*

*Includes documents on microfilm as well as published articles

Studies which met the criteria for inclusion were identified by the researchers from the sources listed below, and a list of studies was developed. As the researchers coded the studies for analysis, additional studies were found not to meet the inclusion criteria. For purposes of analysis it was necessary to be able to isolate the effects of a particular variable, either through inclusion of a control group that was not subjected to the variable, or a comparison group that received another treatment.

Also, multiple publications of the same study were uncovered, and duplicative presentations were eliminated so that each study was included only once. The most extreme example is the study that had been published in three different journals. The final listing of articles to be used in the reviews contained a total of 208 publications. It was not unusual for an article to describe more than one separate study, and studies frequently considered more than one independent variable.

The researchers have made every effort to avoid making inferences and basing their judgments only on facts. For instance, two articles in which Faria is the first author do not state the population surveyed. The authors are based in Canada, which may well mean that the studies were conducted on Canadian populations. Since there was no direct evidence regarding population and nothing in the writing that would suggest they were not done in the United States, they were not deleted.

After final agreement was reached on studies that were to be included, the two reviews were conducted independently, one pair of researchers using a meta-analytic approach, the other a descriptive or qualitative approach.

References

Boser, J. A., & Clark, S. B. (1993). Response rates in mail surveys: A review of the reviews. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 356 278)

Bruvold, N. T., & Comer, J. M. (1988). A model for estimating the response rate to a mailed survey. Journal of Business Research, 16(2), 101-116.

Duncan, W. J. (1979). Mail questionnaires in survey research: A review of response inducement effects. Journal of Management, 5, 39-55.

Fox, R. J.; Crask, M. R.; & Kim, J. (1988). Mail survey response rate: A meta-analysis of selected techniques for inducing response. Public Opinion Quarterly, 52, 467-491.

Harvey, L. (1987). Factors affecting response rates to mailed questionnaires: A comprehensive literature review. Journal of the Market Research Society, 29, 341-353.

Heberlein, T. A., & Baumgartner, R. (1978). Factors affecting response rates to mailed questionnaires: A quantitative analysis of the published literature. American Sociological Review, 43, 447-462.

Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (1990). Methods of meta-analysis. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Kanuk, L., & Berenson, C. (1975). Mail surveys and response rates: A literature review. Journal of Marketing Research, 12, 440-453.

Linsky, A. S. (1975). Stimulating responses to mailed questionnaires: A review. Public Opinion Quarterly, 39, 82-101.

Yammarino, F. J., Skinner, S. J.; & Childers, T. L. (1991). Understanding mail survey response behavior: A meta-analysis. Public Opinion Quarterly, 55, 613-639,

Yu, J. & Cooper, H. (1983). A quantitative review of research design effects on response rates to questionnaires. Journal of Marketing Research. 20, 36-44.

Sources of Studies Used in the Reviews

Adams, L. LaMar, & Gale, Darwin. (1982). Solving the quandary between questionnaire length and response rate in educational research. Research in Higher Education, 17(3), 231-240.

Albaum, Gerald. (1987, Fall). Do source and anonymity affect mail survey results? Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 15, 74-81.

Anderson, John F., & Berdie, Douglas R. (1975). Effects on response rates of formal and informal questionnaire follow-up techniques. Journal of Applied Psychology, 60(2), 255-257.

Anderson, John F., Niebuhr, Marsha A., & Gum, Greg S. (1987, April). The effectiveness of express mail as a response stimulator in mail surveys of difficult populations. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, DC. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 283 878)

Andreasen, Alan R. (1970). Personalizing mail questionnaire correspondence. Public Opinion Quarterly, 34, 273-277.

Armstrong, J. Scott, & Yokum, J. Thomas. (1994, April). Effectiveness of monetary incentives. Industrial Marketing Management, 23(2), 133-136.

Armstrong, Steven S. (1991, Summer). Another note on survey return rates. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 8(3), 57-62.

Balakrishnan, P. V., Chawla, Sudhir K., Smith, Mary F., & Michalski, Brian Paul. (1992, Summer). Mail survey response rates using a lottery prize giveaway incentive. Journal of Direct Marketing, 6(3), 54-59.

Berdie, Douglas R. (1973). Questionnaire length and response rate. Journal of Applied Psychology, 58(2), 278-280.

Berry, Sandra H., & Kanouse, David E. (1985). Physician response to a mailed survey: An experiment in timing of payment. Public Opinion Quarterly, 51, 102-114.

Biner, Paul M., & Barton, Deborah L. (1990, Fall). Justifying the enclosure of monetary incentives in mail survey cover letters. Psychology and Marketing, 7(3), 153-162.

Biner, Paul M., & Kidd, Heath J. (1994). The interactive effects of monetary incentive justification and questionnaire length on mail survey response rates. Psychology and Marketing, 11(5), 483-492.

Blumenfeld, Warren S. (1973). Effect of appearance of correspondence on response rate to a mail questionnaire survey. Psychological Reports, 32(1), 178.

Boser, Judith A. (1990a). Surveying alumni by mail: Effect of booklet/folder questionnaire format and style of type on response rate. Research in Higher Education, 31(2), 149-159.

Boser, Judith A. (1990b, April). Variations in mail survey procedures: Comparison of response rate and cost. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 319 803)

Brennan, Robert D. (1958, January). Trading stamps as an incentive in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing, 22, 306-307.

Brown, Morton L. (1965). Use of a postcard query in mail surveys. Public Opinion Quarterly, 29, 635-637.

Carpenter, Edwin H. (1974). Personalizing mail surveys: A replication and reassessment. Public Opinion Quarterly, 38, 614-620.

Champion, Dean J., & Sear, Alan M. (1969). Questionnaire response rate: A methodological analysis. Social Forces, 47, 335-339.

Chawla, Sudhir K., Balakrishnan, P. V., & Smith, Mary F. (1992). Mail response rates from distributors. Industrial Marketing Management, 21(4), 307-310.

Chawla, Sudhir K., & Natarajan, Rajan. (1994). Does the name of the sender affect industrial mail response? Industrial Marketing Management, 23, 111-115.

Childers, Terry L., & Ferrell, O. C. (1979). Response rates and perceived questionnaire length in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 16, 429-431.

Childers, Terry L., Pride, William M., & Ferrell, O. C. (1980). A reassessment of the effects of appeals on response to mail surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 17, 365-370.

Childers, Terry L., & Skinner, Steven J. (1979). Gaining respondent cooperation in mail surveys through prior commitment. Public Opinion Quarterly, 43, 558-561.

Childers, Terry L., & Skinner, Steven J. (1985). Theoretical and empirical issues in the identification of survey respondents. Journal of the Market Research Society, 27(1), 39-53.

Clark, Gary L., & Kaminski, Peter F. (1988, Winter). How to get more for your money in mail surveys. Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing, 3(1), 17-23.

Clausen, John A., & Ford, Robert N. (1947). Controlling bias in mail questionnaires. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 42, 497-511.

Cook, James R., Schoeps, Nancy, and Kim, Sehwan. (1985). Program responses to mail surveys as a function of monetary incentives. Psychological Reports, 57, 366.

Cookingham, Frank G. (1985, April). Effect of mailing address style on survey response rate. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 259 015)

Corcoran, Kevin J. (1985, Spring). Enhancing the response rate in survey research. Social Work Research and Abstracts, 21(1), 2.

Cox, Eli P., III, Anderson, W. Thomas, Jr., & Fulcher, David G. (1974). Reappraising mail survey response rates. Journal of Marketing Research, 11, 413-417.

Denton, Jon J., & Tsai, Chiou Yueh. (1991, Summer). Two investigations into the influence of incentives and subject characteristics on mail survey responses in teacher education. Journal of Experimental Education, 59(4), 352-366.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Dillman, Don A. (1972). Increasing mail questionnaire response in large samples of the general public. Public Opinion Quarterly 36, 254-257.

Dillman, Don A., & Frey, James H. (1974). Contribution of personalization to mail questionnaire response as an element of a previously tested method. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59(3), 297-301.

Dillman, Don A., Sinclair, Michael D., & Clark, Jon R. (1993). Effects of questionnaire length, respondent-friendly design, and a difficult question on response rates for occupant-addressed census mail surveys. Public Opinion Quarterly, 57, 289-304.

Dommeyer, Curt J. (1985). Does response to an offer of mail survey results interact with questionnaire interest? Journal of the Market Research Society, 27(1), 27-38.

Dommeyer, Curt J. (1987). The effects of negative cover letter appeals on mail survey response. Journal of the Market Research Society, 29(4), 445-451.

Dommeyer, Curt J. (1988). How form of the monetary incentive affects mail survey response. Journal of the Market Research Society, 30(3), 379-385.

Dommeyer, Curt J. (1989). Offering mail survey results in a lift letter. Journal of the Market Research Society, 31(3), 399-408.

Dommeyer, Curt J., Elganayan, Doris, & Umans, Cliff. (1991). Increasing mail survey response with an envelope teaser. Journal of the Market Research Society, 33(2), 137-140.

Duhan, Dale F., & Wilson, R. Dale. (1990). Prenotification and industrial survey responses. Industrial Marketing Management, 19(2), 95-105.

Echternacht, Gary J., & McCaffrey, Patty J. (1973). The use of certified mail in mail surveys. Research memorandum No. 73-25. Princeton, New Jersey: Educational Testing Service. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 097 339)

Elkind, Melissa, Tryon, Georgiana S., & DeVito, Anthony J. (1986). Effects of type of postage and covering envelope on response rates in a mail survey. Psychological Reports, 59(1), 279-283.

Enger, John M., Manning, Tom G., Shain, Russell E., Talbert, Lonnie E., & Wright, Donald E. (1992, November). Response rate effects of three questionnaire formats. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association, Knoxville, TN. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 353 333)

Erdos, Paul L., & Regier, James. (1977). Visible vs. disguised keying on questionnaires Journal of Advertising Research, 17(1), 13-18.

Etzel, Michael J., & Walker, Bruce J. (1974). Effects of alternative follow-up procedures on mail survey response rates. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59(2), 219-221.

Faria, A. J., & Dickinson, John R. (1992). Mail survey response, speed, and cost. Industrial Marketing Management, 21(1), 51-60.

Faria, A. J., Dickinson, John R., & Filipic, Timothy V. (1990). The effect of telephone versus letter prenotification on mail survey response rate, speed, quality and cost. Journal of the Market Research Society, 32(4), 551-568.

Feild, Hubert S. (1975). Effects of sex of investigator on mail survey response rates and response bias. Journal of Applied Psychology, 60(6), 772-773.

Ferrell, O. C., Childers, Terry L., & Reukert, Robert W. (1984). Effects of situational factors on mail survey response. In R. W. Balk et al (Ed.), Educators' Conference Proceedings (pp. 364-367). Chicago: American Marketing Association.

Ferriss, Abbott L. (1951). A note on stimulating response to questionnaires. American Sociological Review, 16, 247-249.

Fields, D. Michael, & Paksoy, Christie H. (1991). Geographical differences in personalized mail survey response. Mid-Atlantic Journal of Business, 27(2), 153-166.

Finlay, Jim, & Thistlethwaite, Paul C. (1992). Applying mail response enhancement techniques to health care surveys: A cost-benefit approach. Health Marketing Quarterly, 10(1,2), 91-102.

Finn, David W. (1983, Winter). Response speeds, functions, and predictability in mail surveys. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 11(2), 61-70.

Ford, Neil M. (1967, May). The advance letter in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 4, 202-204.

Ford, Neil M. (1968). Questionnaire appearance and response rates in mail surveys. Journal of Advertising Research, 8(3), 43-45.

Frazier, George, & Bird, Kermit. (1958, October). Increasing the response of a mail questionnaire. Journal of Marketing, 23, 186-187.

Frey, James H. (1991). The impact of cover design and first questions on response rates for a mail survey of skydivers. Leisure Sciences, 13(1), 67-76.

Friedman, Hershey H., & Goldstein, Larry. (1975). Effect of ethnicity of signature on the rate of return and content of a mail questionnaire. Journal of Applied Psychology, 60(6), 770-771.

Friedman, Hershey H., & San Augustine, Andre J. (1979). The effects of a monetary incentive and the ethnicity of the sponsor's signature on the rate and quality of response to a mail survey. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 7(2), 95-101.

Fuller, Carol. (1974). Effect of anonymity on return rate and response bias in a mail survey. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59(3), 292-296.

Furse, David H., & Stewart, David W. (1982). Monetary incentives versus promised contribution to charity: New evidence on mail survey response. Journal of Marketing Research, 19, 375-380.

Furse, David H., Stewart, David W., & Rados, David L. (1981). Effects of foot-in-the-door, cash incentives, and followups on survey response. Journal of Marketing Research, 18, 473-478.

Furst, Lyndon G., & Blichington, W. Peter. (1979). The use of a descriptive cover letter and secretary pre-letter to increase response rate in a mailed survey. Personnel Psychology, 32(1), 155-159.

Futrell, Charles M., & Hise, Richard T. (1982, October). The effects of anonymity and a same-day deadline on the response rate to mail surveys. European Research, 10, 171-175.

Futrell, Charles M., & Lamb, Charles W., Jr. (1981). Effect on mail survey return rates of including questionnaires with follow-up letters. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 52, 11-15.

Futrell, Charles M., & Swan, John E. (1977). Anonymity and response by salespeople to a mail questionnaire. Journal of Marketing Research, 14, 611-616.

Gelb, Betsy D. (1975). Incentives to increase survey returns: Social class considerations. Journal of Marketing Research, 12, 107-109.

Gillpatrick, Thomas R., Harmon, Robert R., & Tseng, L. P. Douglas. (1994). The effect of a nominal monetary gift and different contacting approaches on mail survey response among engineers. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 41(3), 285-289.

Gitelson, Richard J., & Drogin, Ellen B. (1992). An experiment on the efficacy of a certified final mailing. Journal of Leisure Research, 24(1), 72-78.

Gitelson, Richard, Kerstetter, Deborah, & Guadagnolo, Frank. (1993). Research note: The impact of incentives and three forms of postage on mail survey response rates. Leisure Sciences, 15, 321-327.

Glisan, George, & Grimm, Jim L. (1982). Improving response rate in an industrial setting: Will traditional variables work? Southern Marketing Association Proceedings, 20, 265-268.

Goldstein, Larry, & Friedman, Hershey H. (1975). A case for double postcards in surveys. Journal of Advertising Research, 15(2), 43-47.

Goulet, Waldemar M. (1977). Efficacy of a third request letter in mail surveys of professionals. Journal of Marketing Research, 14(1), 112-114.

Green, Kathy E., Jacobi, M. Donna, Lam, Tony C. M., Boser, Judith A., & Hall, Carroll L. (1993, April). The effects of two types of appeal on survey response rates. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Atlanta.

Green, Kathy E., & Kvidahl, Robert F. (1989). Personalization and offers of results: Effects on response rates. Journal of Experimental Education, 57(3), 263-269.

Green, Kathy E., & Stager, Susan F. (1986). The effects of personalization, sex, locale, and level taught on educators' responses to a mail survey. Journal of Experimental Education, 54(4), 203-206.

Greer, Thomas V., & Lohtia, Ritu. (1994). Effects of source and paper color on response rates in mail surveys. Industrial Marketing Management, 23(1), 47-54.

Gullahorn, Jeanne E., & Gullahorn, John T. (1963). An investigation of the effects of three factors on response to mail questionnaires. Public Opinion Quarterly, 27(2), 294-296.

Gullahorn, John T., & Gullahorn, Jeanne F. (1959). Increasing returns from nonrespondents. Public Opinion Quarterly, 23, 119-121.

Hammond, E. Cuyler. (1959). Inhalation in relation to type and amount of smoking. American Statistical Association Journal, 54, 35-51.

Hancock, John W. (1940). An experimental study of four methods of measuring unit costs of obtaining attitude toward the retail store. Journal of Applied Psychology, 24, 213-230.

Hansen, Robert A. (1980). A self-perception interpretation of the effect of monetary and nonmonetary incentives on mail survey respondent behavior. Journal of Marketing Research, 17, 77-83.

Hansen, Robert A., & Robinson, Larry M. (1980). Testing the effectiveness of alternative foot-in-the-door manipulations. Journal of Marketing Research, 17, 359-364.

Harris, James R., & Guffey, Hugh J., Jr. (1978). Questionnaire returns: Stamps versus business reply envelopes revisited. Journal of Marketing Research, 15, 290-293.

Hawes, Jon M., Crittenden, Vicky L., & Crittenden, William F. (1987, September). The effects of personalization, source and offer on mail survey response rate and speed. Akron Business & Economic Review, 18(2), 54-63.

Hawkins, Del I. (1979). The impact of sponsor identification and direct disclosure of respondent rights on the quantity and quality of mail survey data. Journal of Business, 52(4), 577-590.

Heaton, Eugene E., Jr. (1965). Increasing mail questionnaire returns with a preliminary letter. Journal of Advertising Research, 5(4), 36-39.

Hendrick, Clyde, Borden, Richard, Giesen, Martin, Murray, Edward J., & Seyfried, B. A. (1972). Effectiveness of ingratiation tactics in a cover letter on mail questionnaire response. Psychonomic Science, 26(6), 349-351.

Henley, James R., Jr. (1976). Response rate to mail questionnaires with a return deadline. Public Opinion Quarterly, 40(3), 374-375.

Hensley, Wayne E. (1974). Increasing response rate by choice of postage stamps. Public Opinion Quarterly, 38, 280-283.

Hesseldenz, Jon S., & Smith, Barbara G. (1977). Computer-prepared questionnaires and grouping theories: Considerations for mail surveys in academic settings. Research in Higher Education, 6(1), 85-94.

Hewett, W. C. (1974). How different combinations of postage on outgoing and return envelopes affect questionnaire returns. Journal of the Market Research Society, 16, 49-50.

Hinrichs, J. R. (1975). Effects of sampling, follow-up letters, and commitment to participation on mail attitude survey response. Journal of Applied Psychology, 60(2), 249-251.

Hopkins, Kenneth D., Hopkins, B. R., & Schon, Isabel. (1988). Mail surveys of professional populations: The effects of monetary gratuities on return rates. Journal of Experimental Education, 56(4), 173-175.

Hoppe, Donald J. (1952). Certain factors found to improve mail survey returns. Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science, 59, 374-376.

Hornik, Jacob. (1981). Time cue and time perception effect on response to mail surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 18, 243-248.

Hornik, Jacob. (1982). Impact of pre-call request form and gender interaction on response to a mail survey. Journal of Marketing Research, 19, 144-151.

Horowitz, Joseph L., & Sedlacek, William E. (1974). Initial returns on mail questionnaires: A literature review and research note. Research in Higher Education, 2, 361-367.

House, James S., Gerber, Wayne, & McMichael, Anthony J. (1977). Increasing mail questionnaire response: A controlled replication and extension. Public Opinion Quarterly, 41, 95-99.

Houston, Michael J., & Jefferson, Robert W. (1975). The negative effects of personalization on response patterns in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 12, 114-117.

Houston, Michael J., & Nevin, John R. (1977). The effects of source and appeal on mail survey response patterns. Journal of Marketing Research, 14, 374-378.

Hubbard, Raymond, & Little, Eldon L. (1988a). Cash prizes and mail survey response rates: A threshold analysis. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 16(3-4), 42-44.

Hubbard, Raymond, & Little, Eldon L. (1988b). Promised contributions to charity and mail survey response: Replication with extension. Public Opinion Quarterly, 52(2), 223-230.

Huck, Schuyler W., & Gleason, Edwin M. (1974). Using monetary inducements to increase response rates from mailed surveys. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59(2), 222-225.

Jacobs, Lucy Cheser. (1986, April). Effect of the use of optical-scan sheets on survey response rate. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 273 660)

James, Jeannine M., & Bolstein, Richard. (1990). The effect of monetary incentives and follow-up mailings on the response rate and response quality in mail surveys. Public Opinion Quarterly, 54(3), 346-361.

James, Jeannine M., & Bolstein, Richard. (1992). Large monetary incentives and their effect on mail survey response rates. Public Opinion Quarterly, 56(4), 442-453.

Johnson, Timothy P., Parsons, Jennifer A., Warnecke, Richard B., & Kaluzny, Arnold D. (1993). Dimensions of mail questionnaires and response quality. Sociological Focus, 26(3), 271-274.

Jones, Wesley H., & Lang, James R. (1980). Sample composition bias and response bias in a mail survey: A comparison of inducement methods. Journal of Marketing Research, 17, 69-76

Jones, Wesley H., & Linda, Gerald. (1978). Multiple criteria effects in a mail survey experiment. Journal of Marketing Research, 15, 280-284.

Kamins, Michael A. (1989). The enhancement of response rates to a mail survey through a labelled probe foot-in-the-door approach. Journal of the Market Research Society, 31(2), 273-283.

Kawash, Mary B., & Aleamoni, Lawrence M. (1971). Effect of personal signature on the initial rate of return of a mailed questionnaire. Journal of Applied Psychology, 55(6), 589-592.

Kephart, William M., & Bressler, Marvin. (1958). Increasing the responses to mail questionnaires: A research study. Public Opinion Quarterly, 22, 123-132.

Kerin, Roger A. (1974). Personalization strategies, response rate and response quality in a mail survey. Social Science Quarterly, 55(1), 175-181.

Kerin, Roger A. (1983). Effects of preliminary contacts on volunteering in mail surveys: Another review. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 57(3, Pt 2), 1282.

Kerin, Roger A., & Harvey, Michael G. (1976). Methodological considerations in corporate mail surveys: A research note. Journal of Business Research, 4(3), 277-281.

Kernan, Jerome B. (1971). Are 'bulk-rate occupants' really unresponsive? Public Opinion Quarterly, 35, 420-422.

Kimball, Andrew E. (1961, October). Increasing the rate of return in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing, 25, 63-64.

King, Francis W. (1970). Anonymous versus identifiable questionnaires in drug usage surveys. American Psychologist, 25, 982-985.

Labrecque, David P. (1978, October). A response rate experiment using mail questionnaires. Journal of Marketing, 42, 82-83.

LaGarce, Raymond, & Kuhn, Linda D. (1995). The effect of visual stimuli on mail survey response rates. Industrial Marketing Management, 24(1), 11-18.

Lam, Julie A., Malaney, Gary D., & Oteri, Lisa A. (1990). Strategies to increase student response rates to mail surveys. Journal of Marketing for Higher Education, 3(1), 89-105.

Linsky, Arnold S. (1965). A factorial experiment in inducing responses to a mail questionnaire. Sociology and Social Research, 49, 183-189.

Little, Eldon L., & Engelbrecht, Edwin G. (1990). The use of incentives to increase mail survey response rates in a business environment: A field experiment. Journal of Direct Marketing, 4(4), 46-49.

London, Sandra J., & Dommeyer, Curt J. (1990). Increasing response to industrial mail surveys. Industrial Marketing Management, 19(3), 235-241.

Longworth, Donald S. (1953). Use of a mail questionnaire. American Sociological Review, 18, 310-313.

Lorenzi, Peter, Friedmann, Roberto, & Paolillo, Joseph G. P. (1988). Consumer mail survey responses: More (unbiased) bang for the buck. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 5(4), 31-40.

Martin, Charles L. (1994). The impact of topic interest on mail survey response behaviour. Journal of the Market Research Society, 36(4), 327-338.

Martin, J. David, & McConnell, Jon P. (1973). Mail questionnaire response induction: The effect of four variables on the response of a random sample to a difficult questionnaire. Social Science Quarterly, 51, 409-414.

Martin, Warren S., Duncan, W. Jack, & Sawyer, Jesse C. (1984). The interactive effects of four response rate inducements in mailed questionnaires. College Student Journal, 18, 143-149.

Mason, Ward S., Dressel, Robert J., & Bain, Robert K. (1961, Summer). An experimental study of factors affecting response to a mail survey of beginning teachers. Public Opinion Quarterly, 25, 296-299.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Matteson, Michael T. (1974). Type of transmittal letter and questionnaire color as two variables influencing response rates in a mail survey. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59(4), 535-536.

May, Robert C. (1960, November). What approach gets the best return in mail surveys. Industrial Marketing, 45, 50-51.

McCrohan, Kevin F., & Lowe, Larry S. (1981). A cost-benefit approach to postage used on mail questionnaires. Journal of Marketing, 45, 130-133.

McDaniel, Stephen W., & Jackson, Ralph W. (1984). Exploring the probabilistic incentive in mail survey research. In R. W. Belk, R. Peterson, G. S. Albaum, M. B. Holbrook, R. A. Kerin, N. K. Malhotra, and P. Wright (Eds.), 1984 AMA Educators' Proceedings (pp. 372-375). Chicago: American Marketing Association.

McDaniel, Stephen W., & Rao, C. P. (1981). An investigation of respondent anonymity's effect on mailed questionnaire response rate and quality. Journal of the Market Research Society, 23(3), 150-160.

McGinnis, Michael A., & Hollon, Charles J. (1977). Mail survey response rate and bias: The effect of home versus work address. Journal of Marketing Research, 14, 383-384.

McKee, Daryl O. (1992). The effect of using a questionnaire identification code and message about non-response follow-up plans on mail survey response characteristics. Journal of the Market Research Society, 34(2), 179-191.

Miller, Margaret M. (1994). The effects of cover letter appeal and nonmonetary incentives on university professors' response to a mail survey. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 372 093)

Mizes, J. Scott, Fleece, E. Louis, & Roos, Cindy. (1984). Incentives for increasing return rates: Magnitude levels, response bias, and format. Public Opinion Quarterly, 48, 794-800.

Moore, Clarence Carl. (1941, October). Increasing the returns from questionnaires. Journal of Educational Research, 35, 138-141.

Munger, Gail F., & Loyd, Brenda H. (1988). The use of multiple matrix sampling for survey research. Journal of Experimental Education, 56(4), 187-191.

Murphy, Paul R., Daley, James M., & Dalenberg, Douglas R. (1991). Exploring the effects of postcard prenotification on industrial firms' response to mail surveys. Journal of the Market Research Society, 33(4), 335-341.

Myers, James H., & Haug, Arne F. (1969). How a preliminary letter affects mail survey returns and costs. Journal of Advertising Research, 9(3), 37-39.

Nevin, John R., & Ford, Neil M. (1976). Effects of a deadline and a veiled threat on mail survey responses. Journal of Applied Psychology, 61(1), 116-118.

Newman, Sheldon W. (1962). Differences between and early and late respondents to a mailed survey. Journal of Advertising Research, 2, 37-39.

Nichols, Robert C., & Meyer, Mary Alice. (1966). Timing postcard follow-ups in mail questionnaire surveys. Public Opinion Quarterly, 30(2), 306-307.

Nitecki, Danuta A. (1978). Effects of sponsorship and nonmonetary incentive on response rate. Journalism Quarterly, 55(3), 581-583.

Ogborne, Alan C., Rush, Brian, & Fondacaro, Rocco. (1986). Dealing with nonrespondents in a mail survey of professionals: The cost-effectiveness of two alternatives. Evaluation and the Health Professions, 9(1), 121-128.

Parsons, Robert J., & Medford, Thomas S. (1972). The effect of advance notice in mail surveys of homogeneous groups. Public Opinion Quarterly, 36(2), 258-259.

Peterson, Robert A. (1975, July). An experimental investigation of mail survey responses. Journal of Business Research, 3, 199-210.

Peterson, Robert A., Albaum, Gerald, & Kerin, Roger A. (1989). A note on alternative contact strategies in mail surveys. Journal of the Market Research Society, 31(3), 409-418.

Phillips, William M., Jr. (1951). Weaknesses of the mail questionnaire: A methodological study. Sociology and Social Research, 35, 260-267.

Powers, Donald E., & Alderman, Donald L. (1982). Feedback as an incentive for responding to a mail questionnaire. Research in Higher Education, 17(3), 207-211.

Pressley, Milton M. (1978). Care needed when selecting response inducements in mail surveys of commercial populations. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 6(4), 336-343.

Pressley, Milton M., & Tuller, William L. (1977, February). A factor interactive investigation of mail survey response rates from a commercial population. Journal of Marketing Research, 14, 108-111.

Pucel, David J., Nelson, Howard F., & Wheeler, David N. (1971, March). Questionnaire follow-up returns as a function of incentives and respondent characteristics. Vocational Guidance Quarterly, 19, 188-193.

Roberts, Robert E., McCrory, Owen F., & Forthofer, Ronald N. (1978). Further evidence on using a deadline to stimulate responses to a mail survey. Public Opinion Quarterly, 42, 407-410.

Robertson, Dan H., & Bellenger, Danny N. (1978). A new method of increasing mail survey responses: Contributions to charity. Journal of Marketing Research, 15, 632-633.

Robin, Donald P., & Walters, C. Glenn. (1976). The effect on return rates of messages explaining monetary incentives in mail questionnaire studies. Journal of Business Communication, 13(3), 49-54.

Roscoe, A. Marvin, Lang, Dorothy, & Sheth, Jagdish N. (1975, April). Follow-up methods, questionnaire length, and market differences in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing, 39, 20-27.

Rucker, Margaret, Hughes, R., Thompson, R., Harrison, A., & Vanderlip, N. (1984). Personalization of mail surveys: Too much of a good thing? Educational and Psychological Measurement, 44(4), 893-905.

Rudd, Nancy M., & Maxwell, Nan L. (1980). Mail survey response rates: Effects of questionnaire topic and length and recipients' community. Psychological Reports, 46(2), 435-440.

Schewe, Charles D., & Cournoyer, Norman G. (1976). Prepaid vs. promised monetary incentives to questionnaire response: Further evidence. Public Opinion Quarterly, 40(1), 105-107.

Scott, Frances Gillespie. (1957). Mail questionnaires used in a study of older women. Sociology and Social Research, 41, 281-284.

Senf, Janet H. (1987). The option to refuse: A tool in understanding nonresponse in mailed surveys. Evaluation Review, 11(6), 775-781.

Shale, Doug. (1987). The combined effect of personalized appeal and precoding of personal data on questionnaire returns. Research in Higher Education, 26(3), 299-310.

Shank, Matthew D., Darr, Brian D., & Werner, Thomas C. (1990). Increasing mail survey response rates: Investigating the perceived value of cash versus non-cash incentives. Applied Marketing Research, 30(3), 28-32.

Sheth, Jagdish N., LeClaire, Arthur, Jr., & Wachspress, David. (1980, Winter). Impact of asking race information in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing, 44, 67-70.

Shuttleworth, Frank K. (1931). A study of questionnaire technique. Journal of Educational Psychology, 22, 652-658.

Simon, Raymond E. (1967). Responses to personal and form letters in mail surveys. Journal of Advertising Research, 7, 28-30.

Sirken, Monroe G., Pifer, James W., & Brown, Morton L. (1960). Survey procedures for supplementing mortality statistics. American Journal of Public Health, 50(11), 1753-1764.

Sletto, Raymond F. (1940, February). Pretesting of questionnaires. American Sociological Review, 15, 193-200.

Smith, Edward M., & Hewett, Wendell. (1972, July). The value of a preliminary letter in postal survey response. Journal of the Market Research Society, 14, 145-151.

Smith, Kerry, & Bers, Trudy. (1987). Improving alumni survey response rates: An experiment and cost-benefit analysis. Research in Higher Education, 27(3), 218-225.

Snyder, Marshall, & Lapovsky, David. (1984). Enhancing survey response from initial non-consenters. Journal of Advertising Research, 24(3), 17-20.

Spry, Vivien M., Hovell, Melbourne F., Sallis, James G., Hofstetter, C. Richard, Elder, John P., & Molgaard, Craig A. (1989). Recruiting survey respondents to mail surveys; Controlled trials of incentives and prompts. American Journal of Epidemiology, 130(1), 166-172.

Stafford, James E. (1966). Influence of preliminary contact on mail returns. Journal of Marketing Research, 3, 410-411.

Steele, Thomas J., Schwendig, W. Lee, & Reilly, Michael D. (1989). Modes of address and response rates in mail surveys. Applied Marketing Research, 29(1), 19-21.

Stevens, Robert E. (1974). Does precoding mail questionnaires affect response rates? Public Opinion Quarterly, 38, 621-622.

Sutton, Robert J., & Zeits, Linda I. (1992). Multiple prior notifications, personalization, and reminder surveys: Do they have an effect on survey response rates? Marketing Research: A Magazine of Management and Applications, 4(4), 14-21.

Swan, John E., & Epley, Donald E. (1981). Completion and response rates for different forms of income questions in a mail survey. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 52(1), 219-222.

Swan, John E., Epley, Donald E., & Burns, William L. (1980). Can follow-up response rates to a mail survey be increased by including another copy of the questionnaire? Psychological Reports, 47(1), 103-106.

Taylor, Barbara G., & Anderson, Lane K. (1989). Mail survey incentives: The benefits of pretesting. Applied Marketing Research, 29(3), 25-28.

Taylor, C. L., Summerhill, W. R., Israel, G. D., & Ruppert, K. C. (1987, October). Effects of familiarity with sender on response rate of mail questionnaires and their implications for program evaluation. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Evaluation Association, Boston. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 291 782)

Tedin, Kent L., & Hofstetter, C. Richard. (1982). The effect of cost and importance factors on the return rate for single and multiple mailings. Public Opinion Quarterly, 46, 122-128.

Tollefson, Nona, Tracy, D. B., & Kaiser, Javaid. (1984, April). Improving response rates and response quality in educational survey research. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 247 305)

Tyagi, Pradeep K. (1989). The effects of appeals, anonymity, and feedback on mail survey response patterns from salespeople. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 17(3), 235-241.

Veiga, John F. (1974, April). Getting the mail questionnaire returned: Some practical research considerations. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59, 217-218.

Vocino, Thomas. (1977, October). Three variables in stimulating responses to mailed questionnaires. Journal of Marketing, 41, 76-77.

Wagner, William G., & O'Toole, William M. (1985). The effects of cover letter format on faculty response rate in mail survey research. Educational and Psychological Research, 5(1), 29-37.

Waisanen, F. B. (1954, Summer). A note on the response to a mailed questionnaire. Public Opinion Quarterly, 18, 210-212.

Walker, Bruce J., & Burdick, Richard K. (1977). Advance correspondence and error in mail surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 14(3), 379-382.

Watson, John J. (1965). Improving the response rate in mail research. Journal of Advertising Research, 5, 48-50.

Webb, Melvin W. (1989, March). Toward development of a model for predicting mail survey response rates of college bound high school students. Results of a national survey. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 307 309)

Weilbacher, William M., & Walsh, H. Robert. (1952, January). Mail questionnaires and the personalized letter of transmittal. Journal of Marketing, 16, 331-336.

Welch, Joe L., & Massey, Tom K. (1987). A data collection alternative for service professionals: The 'Answer Check.' Journal of Professional Services Marketing, 3(1-2), 35-45.

Whitehead, John C. (1991). Environmental interest group behavior and self-selection bias in contingent valuation mail surveys. Growth & Change, 22(1), 10-21.

Whitmore, William J. (1976). Mail survey premiums and response bias. Journal of Marketing Research, 13, 46-50.

Wilde, Judith Busch, Tonigan, J. Scott, & Gordon, Wayne I. (1988, April). Survey research: Why respond? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 302 552)

Wildman, Richard C. (1977). Effects of anonymity and social setting on survey responses. Public Opinion Quarterly, 41, 74-79.

Wiseman, Frederick. (1973). Factor interaction effects in mail survey response rates. Journal of Marketing Research, 10(3), 330-333.

Woodward, Joanne M., & McKelvie, Stuart J. (1985). Effects of topical interest and mode of address on response to mail survey. Psychological Reports, 57(3, Pt. 1), 929-930.

Worthen, Blaine R., & Valcarce, Rebecca W. (1985). Relative effectiveness of personalized and form covering letters in initial and follow-up mail surveys. Psychological Reports, 57, 735-744.

Wotruba, Thomas R. (1966, November). Monetary inducements and mail questionnaire response. Journal of Marketing Research, 3, 398-400.

Wynn, George W., & McDaniel, Steven W. (1985). The effect of alternative foot-in-the-door manipulations on mailed questionnaire response rate and quality. Journal of the Market Research Society, 27(1), 15-26.

Zusman, Barbara J., & Duby, Paul. (1987). An evaluation of the use of monetary incentives in postsecondary survey research. Journal of Research and Development in Education, 20(4), 73-78.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Reviewing the Research on Mail Survey Response Rates; Alternate Approaches</i>	
Author(s): <i>Judith A. Boser, Sheldon Clark, Kathy Green, Susan Hutchinson</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Univ. of Tennessee, Cats Ridge</i>	Publication Date: <i>April, 1996</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following options and sign the release below.



Sample sticker to be affixed to document

Sample sticker to be affixed to document



Check here

Permitting microfiche (4"x 6" film), paper copy, electronic, and optical media reproduction

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 1

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Level 2

or here

Permitting reproduction in other than paper copy.

Sign Here, Please

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Judith A. Boser</i>	Position: <i>Computer System Specialist</i>
Printed Name: <i>Judith A. Boser</i>	Organization: <i>University of Tennessee</i>
Address: <i>215 Claxton Addition University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN 37996-3400</i>	Telephone Number: <i>(423) 974-2137</i>
	Date: <i>May 10, 1996</i>