DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 402 194 SE 059 503

AUTHOR Fenwick, Leslie T.

TITLE A Perspective on Race Equity and Science and Math

Education: Toward Making Science and Math for All.

PUB DATE 96

NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

Georgia Initiative in Mathematics and Science (GIMS)

(Atlanta, GA, 1996).

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.)

(120) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Curriculum; Educational Change; Elementary Secondary

Education; *Equal Education; Intermediate Grades; Junior High Schools; *Mathematics Education; Middle

Schools; Minority Groups; Poverty; *Science Education; Sex Differences; Teacher Attitudes;

Teacher Qualifications

ABSTRACT

This keynote address focuses on equity in science and mathematics education. The science and math achievement of minority and poor children are discussed from an instructional policy perspective with emphasis on who gets access to what knowledge. The discussion about the politics of knowledge acquisition involves a discussion of race, class, and gender and highlights the fact that even though there have been substantial gains, the fact remains that a student's status as minority or poor or female severely impairs that student's likelihood of acquiring a quality educational experience, particularly with regard to science and math. An analysis of data reveals that with respect to curriculum there are no substandard schools; however, there are significant differences in teacher qualifications and teacher attitudes. Compared to students not in poverty, students in poverty are more likely to have a science teacher who is either without certification at all or not certified in science and are more likely to be taught by teachers who have negative attitudes toward science and do not encourage students to do their best or to do homework. Personal reflections on life as a middle-school science teacher are presented along with a discussion of the goals and activities of the Georgia Initiative in Mathematics and Science (GIMS). (JRH)



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

A Perspective on Race Equity and Science and Math Education: Toward Making Science and Math for All

by Leslie T. Fenwick

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

BDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as eceived from the person or organization originating it.

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.



A Perspective on Race Equity and Science and Math Education: Toward Making Science and Math for All

Good afternoon! I am here in several capacities today. As a university professor, one of my areas of specialization is educational policy. Additionally, I teach a graduate course, the Politics of Education, in which course participants engage in the discussion we are ready to have about race, gender, and access to knowledge. And I am a former middle school science teacher. Much of what I will share with you about the science and math achievement of minority and poor children will be from an instructional policy perspective. And I will talk, like we do in my Politics of Education class, about who gets access to what knowledge.

This discussion about the politics of knowledge acquisition involves a striking discussion of race, class, and gender. The resounding message of this discussion is that though there have been substantial gains, the fact remains that a student's status as "minority" (particularly African American or Hispanic), or as poor (with an average family income of less than \$15,000), or female severely impairs that student's likelihood of acquiring a quality educational experience, particularly with regard to science, math..

Our educational system (from kindergarten to the postdoctoral level) still views and treat many minority, poor, and female students as undeserving of quality math and science instruction. The message of tracking which disproportionately negatively affects Black and poor children is that you will not get the quality instruction nor the quality curriculum. This distressing fact has been documented and re-documented, in persuasive and emotional treatises from Jeannie Oakes to Jonathan Kozol.

Let's look at the data in this regard. The National Center for Educational Statistics 1994 Report and the text Policies for At Risk Students are particularly illuminating. My discussion, drawn from



these works and my own research, will focus on the middle school level, as that is my background as well as a Georgia Initiative in Mathematics and Science (GIMS) focus. First, with regard to students identified as "in poverty" --in urban districts the majority of poor students are Black and Hispanic; in suburbia and rural districts they are white. But across community types the lesson of poverty is the same. When students are poor the quality of educational opportunity declines.

Do students in poverty receive the same kind of curricular offerings as students not in poverty? In terms of curriculum requirements data do not show any substandard schools. Don't be surprised by this finding, however, school district's usually set curriculum requirements and standards for all students -- for example the number of courses required in English, math, science, etc. Where real difference becomes apparent is when we analyze teacher qualifications and attitudes. Across community type - urban, suburban, or rural - students in poverty are more likely to be taught by inexperienced teachers (those with 2 years or fewer in the school) and by teachers who are either without any certification or not certified to teach the subject they are teaching.

Urban poverty students (the majority of whom are Black and Hispanic) are twice as likely (when compared to non poverty students in suburbia or rural districts) to have a science teacher who is either without certification at all, or not certified in science. This disturbing pattern is consistent across three other subject matters as well - math, English, and social studies.

Furthermore, as reported by school administrators in urban and suburban schools, more students in poverty than students not in poverty are taught by teachers who have negative attitudes toward students and are less likely to encourage students to do their best or to do homework. The poverty status of the child alone, dictates the likelihood of that child encountering a teacher who encourages or



expects students to do homework, has high morale, or has a negative attitude about students.

Sixty to seventy percent of the time, poverty students are taught by teachers who do not encourage them to do their best or to do their homework. This, compared to their non poverty peers who, 70 to 80 percent of the time, do have teachers who expect them to do their best and to complete their homework. Only about 20% of teachers of poverty student (across community types) report high morale. More striking and disturbing data reveals that 71% of teachers in urban settings who teach poverty students report having negative attitudes about their own students. And students in this setting are also about 10% less likely to encounter a teacher who responds to the individual needs of the student.

Further, the poverty status of a school (based on the percentage of students who receive free or reduced lunch) also provides information about student achievement on standardized tests. Students in poverty who attend schools with a low concentration of poor students have higher test scores than their counterparts in schools with a high concentration of poor schools. The overwhelming message is that the poverty or non poverty status of a student, alone, dictates the quality of instruction and quality of teacher a student will encounter. This, before we even get to the matter of the child's abilities and potentialities.

We clearly see that several consequences exist in schools which serve poverty students. Effort should continue to focus on diminishing these deficiencies. School based programs should emphasize:

- 1. recruiting and retaining credentialed, able, and motivated teachers;
- 2. state-of-the-art math and science curricular and instructional materials;
- 3. curricular and instructional materials which highlight the contributions of African American and female mathematicians and scientists;



- 4. recruiting and retaining African American and female math and science teachers:
- 5. curricular and instructional materials which make science and math knowledge relevant to every day life.

I'd like to share some brief reflections on my life as a middle school science teacher. I taught in Ohio, in an urban school which served poor, minority (African American) children. The school had over 90% of its students on free/reduced lunch. There was a 92% request for transfer rate among teachers. My experiences were both challenging and rewarding. My reflections include the following. We, as educators, would be wise to:

- 1. attend to science as an opportunity to answer questions about life; to identify science as an endeavor of African American (Hispanic and Asian) people and females;
- 2. access African American graduate students, physicians, professors, and other science/math professionals to regularly visit classrooms, conduct experiments, give lectures, and take students on tours of the local medical school/university, laboratories, and science centers.
- 3. talk positively about college; going through the specific "steps to success"; teaching and testing students on what it means if you say you want to be a doctor, lawyer, teacher, scientist (I recall one of my sixth graders, Darius, saying that he wanted to study medical ethics in college as a result of a classroom discussion which evolved when we did a dissection of a fetal pig). Many students and their parents are totally unfamiliar with postsecondary education and do not understand what is necessary to become a physician, etc.
- 4. have regular contact with parents/guardians via weekly evaluation folders which contain samples of the student's work, grade averages, awards/recognition, behavior concerns, and the like.

Finally, as a professor at an Historically Black College/University (HBCU) I would be remiss if I didn't discuss the critical, essential role



of HBCU's in preparing this country's cadre of African American scientists, engineers, mathematicians, and physicians. At this time when federal funding and support of Howard University in Washington, D.C. (one of the nation's most preeminent institutions) and other HBCU's is threatened, it is important that you, as educational leaders, have vital information.

First, let's look at the record of HBCU's. Which universities award the most number of baccalaureate degrees to African-Americans? Howard University and Hampton University. The most master's degrees (all fields combined)? Howard University, Columbia University, Clark Atlanta University, and Ohio State University(#9). Most baccalaureates in Engineering/Computer Science/Math? The top 5: North Carolina A&T, Prairie View A&M, Southern University, Howard University, (and in a tie for 5th place) Tuskegee, and Florida A&M. The most number of master's degrees in science fields? Georgia Technical Institute, Howard University, our own Clark Atlanta University (#8). The most science doctorates? Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), and Howard University.

Here again, the message is clear. First, HBCU's, particularly Howard University, have an immensely Herculean record and have been an untouchable and exemplary model for preparing African American scientists, mathematicians, engineers, scientists, and physicians. Howard University School of Medicine and Meharry Medical College alone are almost single handedly responsible for producing African American physicians. Secondly, if you destroy Howard University and other HBCU's (via funding cuts) you seek to destroy the highly competitive cadre of scientists and mathematicians, engineers, physicians, and Ph.D.'s who graduate from these esteemed schools.

In summary I am very encouraged by the goals and activities of GIMS. We as educators much keep a constant vigil. Many children suffer daily because those of us who create and implement educational policy are either unaware or uninterested.



We each have a vested interest in the progress of all children. It is sad to think, that five years from a new millennium, that promises to usher in all kinds of miraculous technological advances, most are still largely unconvinced that little black boys and little black girls can and should be leading this nation's and the world's technological revolution. We don't need unusual curricular or instructional materials or facilities for this to happen. What the data tells us, is that we do need the structures of poverty, racism and sexism to be annihilated so that all of us and our children are free to move forward. We need to muster the will to educate all the children!





U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specifio Document)

		~~·	18.61	= 1 📂	-		TIE	-	TIA	L C .
١.	U	ノしし	JIVII	2 IV I	IL	JEN	111	ICA	TIOI	N:

I. DOCUMENT IDE	INTIFICATION:				
	A Perspective on Race Equity and Science and Math Education: Toward Making Science and Math for All				
Author(s): Leslie T	Fenwick Ph.D.		annanamana tirinarararara di antungga di maga qa qa diyar		
Corporate Source:			lication Date:		
in the monthly abstract jour paper copy, and electronics given to the source of each	e as widely as possible timely and significant in all of the ERIC system. Resources in Educational media, and sold through the ERIC Dolladorment, and, if reproduction release is grand to reproduce and disseminate the identified. The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents. PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL. HAS BEEN GRANTED BY GAMPIE TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	tion (RIE), are usually made available to us ocument Reproduction Service (EDRS) or o unted, one of the following notices is affixed	ers in microliche, reproduced ther ERIC vendors. Credit is to the document. wing two options and sign at Check here For Level 2 Release: Permitting reproduction in microliche (4" x 6" film) or		

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

	1					
	ÖrganizatkorvAddress; 201 Clement Hall	Telephone:	FAX:			
	eparrtment of Educational Leadership	404-880-6126 E-Mail Address:	404-880-6081			
	lark Atlanta University Atlanta, GA 30314		12-14-96			



ERIC FACILITY ID:301-953-0263 OCT 14'96 12:23 No.004 P.06

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are algorithmatically more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		
	N/A	
Price:		
		T/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
Name:	N/A	
Address:		
V. WHERE TO	SEND THIS FORM:	
Send this form to the follow	wing ERIC Clearinghouse:	

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 1100 West Street, 2d Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfec@inet.ed.gov

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

(Rev. 6/96)

contributed) to:

