

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 402 057

PS 024 827

AUTHOR Taylor, Karen; Hege, Steve
 TITLE The Impact of Significant Life Events on Children during their First Three Years of School.
 PUB DATE Jun 96
 NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Head Start National Research Conference (3rd, Washington, DC, June 20-23, 1996).
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
 DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement; Behavior Development; Behavior Problems; Child Behavior; Child Psychology; Early Childhood Education; Emotional Adjustment; Family (Sociological Unit); Family Environment; *Family Life; *Life Events; Performance Factors; *Stress Variables; Well Being; Young Children
 IDENTIFIERS *Life Events Scale; Project Head Start; Stability (Social Relationships)

ABSTRACT

The impact of significant family life events on child educational outcomes was examined for a sample of 204 families in Chapel Hill, North Carolina, whose children began kindergarten in 1992, 1993, or 1994. These children and families were part of the Head Start Transition Demonstration Project, a longitudinal study to assess benefits of services like those provided by Head Start to families and children during the first few years of public school. Families were interviewed, during which parent questionnaires--styled similarly to Sarason et al (1978) Life Event Scale--were completed. The most frequently occurring events were change in employment, death in the close family, gaining a new family member, and separation of the responding parent and her/his partner. Preliminary results included a significant negative relationship between the total number of life events a child experiences and his or her achievement scores. Children who experience more major life events achieve less in school. (Contains 10 references.) (BGC)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED 402 057

The Impact of Significant Life Events on Children During Their First Three Years of School

Karen Taylor and Steve Hege

Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center
University of North Carolina
and
Chapel Hill-Carrboro City Schools

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Karen B.
Taylor

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Introduction

Can children who experience the death of someone close to them, or the marriage of their parent, or a move to a new home be expected to succeed in school at the same rate as their peers who do not experience such events? How are the events that occur at home connected to what a child does in the classroom? Presented here is preliminary information on the connection between significant life events that children and families face and the impact of those events on children's educational outcomes. Data discussed in this paper are based on a sample of 204 families in Chapel Hill, North Carolina, whose children began kindergarten in 1992, 1993, or 1994. These children and families are participating in the Head Start Transition Demonstration Project. This project, currently operating in 31 sites nationwide, is a longitudinal study to assess the benefits of providing services like those provided in Head Start to families and children during the child's first few years of public school. In the North Carolina Transition Project children and families receive assistance from a Family Services Coordinator in the areas of social services, health, and parent involvement. An Educational Coordinator works with each

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PS 024827
ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

child, family, and school, to provide educational support through individual instruction, group enrichment programs for children and families, and teacher training opportunities.

Sample and Procedure

A randomization procedure divided the six local schools into three that receive services and three that do not. Both treatment and control groups include families whose children attended Head Start, and those who would have been eligible but did not attend. Extensive data are being gathered about child academic achievement and social adjustment, and family background and functioning. As part of a lengthy family interview parents are asked 15 questions about events that have occurred in their lives in the past 12 months. The questionnaire is styled somewhat like the Sarason, et al. (1978) Life Event Scale, but it is not as comprehensive. Parents are asked the same questions annually for four years. The questions cover any changes in marital status, shifts in family membership, illness, death, relocation and employment changes.

All events were considered to be events that would effect the child's life in some way. Some events were clearly negative (the death of someone important to the child); others were either positive or neutral (parent being married); and some were clearly not good, but may not have strongly affected the child (family member going to jail). An overall summary of the data collected to date is shown in Table 1.

Literature Review

Current literature indicates that there is a significant relationship between child outcomes (for example: scores on achievement tests, school attendance, and social skills) and the number of significant life events that a family experiences. Some researchers

have used life events as one element in creating a “risk index” or measure of stress. Sameroff, et al. (1993) used a variety of information, including stressful life events, to create a family risk score and found that the amount of risk present in a child’s life was a determinant of the child’s intellectual development. Reynolds, et al. (1992) found significant life events to be related to competence behavior, problem behavior, and school absences for inner-city kindergartners and first graders.

In a study of children who had transferred to new schools, Filippelli and Jason (1992) found that children with two or more negative life events showed lower scores on academic and self-concept measures than students with no negative life events. Berden, et al. (1990) examined the relationship between major life events and changes over two years in behavioral and emotional problems of children, as reported by their parents. Children with lower socioeconomic status showed a higher incidence of negative life events and those children who had experienced more events had more behavioral and emotional problems. Wills, et al. (1992) have shown that negative life events are related to higher levels of substance use among 11 to 13 year olds. A study of psychological symptoms of children by Kliewer and Sandler (1992) showed that young girls (aged 8-16 years old) who had low self-esteem showed high psychological maladjustment when faced with many major life events.

One of the reasons that life events may have an impact on child functioning is that they diminish the parent’s capacity for consistent and involved parenting. McLoyd (1990) found that economic hardship rendered parents more vulnerable to negative life events, and that economic hardship affects children’s socioemotional functioning.

Results and Discussion

A summary of the data shows that the average of the total number of life events per child during their kindergarten and first grade years is 3.03. The most frequently occurring events are change in employment (32%), death in the close family (27%), gaining a new family member (21%) and separation of the responding parent and her/his partner (25%). Many families have experienced serious illness (24%) and 11% of families have a close family member in jail (see Table 1). Data presented here cover the first two years of school: Kindergarten and first grade for Cohort I (n = 86) and Cohort II (n = 67); and only Kindergarten for Cohort III (n = 51). Participants will remain with the project for four years, so more data must be collected for all groups before final analysis can be done. Results presented here are preliminary, and may not show the same outcomes when all of the data are included.

With this caveat, correlations show interesting initial results. There is a significant negative relationship between the total number of life events a child experiences and his/her achievement scores (see Table 2). Children who experience more major life events achieve less in school. The fact that the relationship is significant for all 4 Subtests of the Woodcock - Johnson Tests of Achievement and the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test strengthens this finding, although the analysis will not be complete until all of the information has been added to the data base. Contrary to some of the literature on life events, correlations between total number of life events and social skills factors (cooperation, assertiveness, responsibility, or self control) or number of days absent from school did not show significant relationships. The number of respondents varies

between correlations somewhat due to attrition and the fact that not all families responded to every question each time data was collected.

There is also a positive, moderately weak relationship ($r = .236, p = .0039$) between the number of events that a family has at the initial interview and the number of events that a family has at the follow-up interview (see Table 3). Families that experience more major life events are likely to continue to experience more of these events than families who do not experience many major events. If this trend holds true for a family over time, and if the child's academic outcomes are affected by the number of major life events that he/she faces, then the child's chances of good long-term educational outcomes may be at risk.

Because this measure does not lend itself well to factor analysis due to the dichotomous nature of the responses, the questions were empirically grouped into three categories that distinguish between very serious negative events (death, serious illness, etc.), less serious negative events (separation from family member, etc.), and neutral events (marriage of parent, move, etc.). There is a significant positive moderate relationship between the number of very serious negative events that a child faces at entry into the study, and the number of very serious negative events that the child will face two years later ($r = .23, p < .0046$). Not only do those families who have many major life events continue to have many events, but those families who have very serious negative events continue to have very serious negative events over time. Considering the correlations that show that major life events have a significant negative impact on a child's educational outcome, it may be difficult to mitigate such events with the kind of

“treatment” that is available through the Transition Project or with a traditional educational curriculum. Program results, or lack thereof, may be explained to some degree by the level of stress a family or child is facing, regardless of the amount of “treatment” they receive.

There is also a significant positive moderate relationship ($r = .23, p < .0013$) between the number of events the family experiences and the parent’s description of their frequency of depression (see Table 2). Parents who experience more events say that they are more frequently depressed. Many studies have shown the negative effect of maternal depression and maternal distress on child outcomes. In a study of behavior problems of young children Rose, et al. (1989) found that maternal depression and negative life events correlated strongly with problem behaviors for 4 and 5 year old children.

Many researchers combine such factors as maternal depression and the number of stressful life events to create risk indices. This kind of analysis will be done with these data when the study is complete. As noted by Rutter (1992), “..advances in life events research need to ...take the social context of events into account, ... appreciate the importance of long term difficulties as well as acute events, and examine the role of vulnerability and protective mechanisms in determining individual differences in response to life events.”

Table 1

Listed below are the frequencies by percent for the 203 respondents. Families were asked these questions each year, but were asked not to repeat describing any event which they had noted the previous year, so that no event should be included more than once.

YES %

6.9	1.	Did you get married?
12.8	2.	Did you become engaged to be married?
2.5	3.	Did you get divorced?
25.1	4.	Did you separate from your partner (spouse, girl/boy friend)?
21.2	5.	Did you gain a new family member (through birth, adoption, someone moving in)?
8.4	6.	Did you separate from a family member (older child or other relative moved out)?
26.6	7.	Was there a death of someone important in the child's life?
25.6	8.	Was there a major change in your living conditions (move, other)?
6.4	9.	Has a family member been the victim of a violent crime?
8.9	10.	Has your child lived with someone else at some point during the past year?
23.6	11.	Has a family member had a serious illness?
10.8	12.	Has a family member been jailed or in prison?
32.0	13.	Has there been a change in your work (new job, lost job, new location)?
12.8	14.	Has there been a change in your partners work (new job, lost job, new location)?
13.8	15.	Have there been any other event(s) which you think have affected you or your child in the past year? (often includes custody disputes, being ignored by absent parent)

Table 2

Pearson Product Moment Correlations Between Number of Major Life Events and Child and Parent Outcomes.

Outcomes	r	p	n
Child Outcomes			
Peabody Score	-.18	.0102	202
WJ Letter-Word Identification	-.20	.0037	202
WJ Passage Comprehension	-.21	.0028	202
WJ Calculation	-.24	.0006	202
WJ Applied Problems	-.24	.0005	201
Parent Outcomes			
Times Depressed in past week	.23	.0013	201
Family Income	-.22	.0020	196

Table 3

Means and Standard Deviations for Categories of Events per Year with Pearson Correlations for Categories for Entry Data vs. Follow-up at 21-24 months later.

	Mean (n=203)	Pearson Correlations, Entry vs. Follow-up		
		r	p	n *
Total Number of Life Events	3.03 (2.81)	.236	.0039	152
Number of Neutral Events	1.42 (1.57)	.132	.1093	152
Number of Less Serious Negative Events	0.67 (0.98)	.179	.0293	152
Number of Very Serious Negative Events	0.94 (1.20)	.232	.0046	152

* Only Cohorts I and II have Follow-up Data at this point.

References

- Berden, G.F., Althaus, M. & Verhulst, F.C. (1990). Major life events and changes in the behavioral functioning of children. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 31(6), 949-959.
- Filippelli, L.A. & Jason, L.A. (1992). How life events affect the academic adjustment and self-concept of transfer children. Journal of Instructional Psychology, 19(1), 61-65.
- Kliwer, W. & Sandler, I.N. (1992). Locus of control and self-esteem as moderators of stressor-symptom relations in children and adolescents. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 20(4), 393-413.
- McLoyd, V.C. (1990). The impact of economic hardship on Black families and children: Psychological distress, parenting, and socioemotional development. Special Issue: Minority children. Child Development, 61(2), 311-346.
- Rose, S.L., Rose, S.A. & Feldman, J.F. (1989). Stability of behavior problems in very young children. Development and Psychopathology, 1(1), 5-19.
- Reynolds, A., Weissber, R. P., Kaspro, W. J. (1992). Predictions of early social and academic adjustment of children from the inner city. American Journal of Community Psychology, 20 (5), 599-624.
- Rutter, M. & Sandberg, S. (1992). Psychosocial stressors: Concepts, causes and effects. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 1 (1), 3-13.
- Sameroff, A. J., Seifer, R., Baldwin, A. & Baldwin C. (1993). Stability of intelligence from preschool to adolescence: The influence of social and family risk factors. Child Development, 64(1), 80-97.
- Sarason, I.G., Johnson, J.H. & Seigel, J.M. (1978). Assessing the impact of life changes: Development of the life experiences survey. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 46, 932-946.
- Wills, T.A., Vaccaro, D. & McNamara, G. (1992). The role of life events, family support, and competence in adolescent substance use: A test of vulnerability and protective factors. American Journal of Community Psychology, 20(3), 349-374.



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>The Impact of Significant Life Events on Children During Their First Three Years of School</i>	
Author(s): <i>Karen Taylor and Steve Hege</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>FRANK PORTER GRAHAM CHILD Development Center, Univ. of NC, Chapel Hill</i>	Publication Date: <i>6/96 - Head Start conference</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

_____ Sample _____

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.

Level 1

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature: <i>Karen B. Taylor</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: KAREN B. TAYLOR
Organization/Address: Frank Porter Graham Child Devel. Center Univ. of North Carolina at Chapel Hill CB No. 8180, 105 Smith Level Rd. Chapel Hill, NC 27599-8180	Telephone: 919 966-3559 FAX: 919 966-7532 E-Mail Address: TAYLOR.FPG@MHS.UA Date: 11-11-96

24822
24822
24822
24822
24822
24822
24822
24822
24822
24822

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse: KAREN E. SMITH ACQUISITIONS COORDINATOR ERIC/EECE 805 W. PENNSYLVANIA AVE. URBANA, IL 61801-4897

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080

Toll Free: 800-799-3742

FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>

(Rev. 6/96)