ABSTRACT

              The design and implementation of a broad-based
intervention program for students at risk of school failure are
described, and implications are drawn for efforts to improve the
education of at risk children. The Learning City Program uses
state-of-the-art research to create a facilitating environment in
which the continuous development of children and youth is at the
center of economic and community revitalization efforts that will be
sustainable over time. The delivery system that supports the
implementation of the Learning City Program includes these key
components: (1) a site-specific plan that takes the school's needs
into account; (2) a schoolwide organizational structure that supports
a teaming process for regular and specialist teachers; (3) a
data-based staff development program for ongoing training and
technical assistance; (4) an instructional-learning management system
that focuses on student self-responsibility; (5) an integrated
assessment-instruction process; (6) a family and community
involvement plan; and (7) a school-linked comprehensive health and
human services delivery program. The delivery framework of the
Learning City Program mandates a coherent and coordinated approach
with rooted connections with the family and community in the service
of students. (Contains five references.) (SLD)

Fostering Resilience Among Children
At Risk of Educational Failure

by

Margaret C. Wang
Temple University Center for Research
in Human Development and Education

Paper presented at the 1996 Annual Conference of the American Psychological Association,
August, 1996, Toronto, Canada

2

As Census data from the 1990s showed the United States leading the industrialized world in terms of children living in poverty, the nation's attention was drawn to the plight of children and families in a variety of risk circumstances. Schools today, particularly those in high-poverty communities such as the inner cities and isolated rural communities, are faced with the challenge of serving increasingly diverse populations of students who live in circumstances that place them at risk of educational failure. The quality of life available to these students and their families is threatened by a perilous set of modern morbidities.

Central among these are inadequate learning and competence, compounded by low self-esteem, lack of employment opportunities, stressful life experiences, inadequate education, poor health care, and highly fragmented patterns of services. Disinvestment of all kinds—economic, professional, and social—is the pattern. The problem of widespread academic failure, which could cripple the next generation, tends to be overshadowed by this litany of troubles, placing schools at the center of interconnected social problems.

It seems logical to expect that schools in communities with a high concentration of children and youth living in some of the most adverse situations would serve as a place of refuge and hope for children. To an extent, some do; others valiantly try. But sadly, in the critical matter of basic learning, many schools fail to help their students achieve the high academic standards that are required for work and further learning—adding only greater risks and adversities to the life circumstances of their students. There is simply no justification for inaction in the face of such serious deterioration in the lives of so many of our children and youth.

But this is only half of the full picture. Despite difficult life circumstances, many children and youth manage to rise above the problems and mature into healthy, competent, and well-

1

educated adults. Children are remarkably resilient; they respond readily to caring adults and a supportive community. If only we can find the means to magnify the "positives" in the life of every child, we can rekindle hope for remaking inner-city education into a system that fosters resilience and educational success of all of the increasingly diverse student population in our city schools.

This concern for improving the prospects for educational success and life accomplishments of children and families in circumstances that place them at risk has sparked a program of field-based intervention research on fostering educational resilience among children and youth attending schools in inner-city communities.

The purpose of my presentation today is to discuss the design and implementation of a broad-based intervention program, known as the Learning City Program, and to draw implications from our findings for scaling-up efforts to improve our capacity for development and education of children who are in circumstances that place them at risk or educational failure.

A Call for Action

Nothing can be counted as progress in a community until its children and youth are well served and show healthy development and steady and sustaining advances in learning. When children and youth lack the care they need, when they see too little progress and promise in their own lives, and those of their families and neighbors, they lose the hope and motivation for school success. This is the sad story of many students in our nation's schools, especially in inner-city communities.

Schools must remain the primary focus of efforts to improve the capacity for education. For surely other efforts will come to naught if schools fail to offer powerful forms of instruction to ensure a high standard of academic outcomes for all students. However, significant learning also

2

4

occurs in environments outside the school and the conditions for learning both in school and out are established at home and in the communities. Thus, the search for answers to the fundamental question, "What conditions are required to achieve significant improvements in the learning of children and youth in this country?" must embrace families and all elements of the community. Solutions are sure to require insights drawn from many disciplines and professions, collaboration among a wide range of public and private institutions, and a broad-based commitment to improved learning and competence of all children and the adults who serve them—in short, *a Learning City*.

Basic Premises

Several premises were highly influential in the development and design of the Learning City Program. They are briefly discussed below.

- Recent advances in research and practical knowledge on what makes learning more productive, especially for students with special needs, provide clear directions on how to substantially improve conventional practice. Many research-based, innovative practices can be implemented in scaled-up efforts to improve the capacity of schools to more effectively respond to the diverse learning needs of students. However, current practice in schools falls far short of the state of the art. One reason for this gap is the lack of a systematic knowledge base on how to implement what is known to work in coherent ways in the service of students through an integrated system of delivery. The Learning City Program aims to address this lack.

- The Learning City is a facilitating environment in which the continuous development of children and youth is at the center of economic and community revitalization efforts that are sustainable over time. A basic design consideration of the Learning City is to cull from the

3

research base and the practical know-how to mobilize a full spectrum of school, family, and community resources to more effectively respond to the schooling needs of every student.

- Implementation of the Learning City requires systematic efforts and focused work performed on a scale never previously attempted. The challenge is to find ways to harness all of the resources, expertise, and energies in linking schools with all other learning environments to transcend single agency auspices—the best information and tools for program design, implementation, and evaluation need to be applied at grassroots levels.

- No single component or practice can account for these improvements. What seems crucial is the way in which successful practices are combined in an integrated system of delivery that considers the needs of the students and the site-specific strengths and constraints at the staff, resource support, policy, and administrative levels Poorly implemented versions of demonstrably successful practices are unlikely to achieve the same success. Furthermore, some practices that work well in some settings and with some students may not have the same effect in others. Nonetheless, the research base on what works provides a promising basis for formulating improvement programs that are both site-specific and strategic.

- Many innovative programs are being envisioned for implementation and a variety of programs are already in place and can be extended. Considering what is known and what is required to achieve improved capacity for serving all students in an inclusive system of service delivery, pervasive and systemic structural changes are necessary. Though difficult, these changes are important and untried opportunities for improvement that must be pursued.

A Framework for Planning and Program Delivery

4

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of an integrated framework for the design and implementation of the Learning City Program. The Learning City Program at a given site is reflective of the educational goals as operationalized through site-specific program design elements being implemented in the schools and connected with a wide range of learning opportunities in varied environments, including the home and the community. Although it is anticipated that the Learning City Program will vary across sites based on site-specific needs and capacities, the program elements shown in Figure 1 are illustrative of the core design elements that are generic to a Learning City.

A centerpiece of the Learning City Program, as shown in Figure 1, is the integrated framework for a collaborative process of uniting people and resources in initiating schoolwide restructuring efforts to ensure student success. At its most effective, the process strengthens the school's capacity to mobilize and redeploy community and school resources to support the implementation of a comprehensive, coordinated, inclusive approach to service delivery.

Thus, a major expected outcome of the implementation of the Learning City Program is the development and demonstration of a capacity-building process for establishing a restructured school organization that strengthens, mobilizes, and redeploys school, family, and community resources to implement and institutionalize a coordinated, inclusive system of education and related service delivery. The focus is on breaking down artificial barriers within the school and across agencies that provide educational and related services for children and families.

Key Features

The specific features incorporated in the Learning City design are highly influenced by over two decades of research and widespread field-based implementation of innovative school programs,

including the Adaptive Learning Environments Model (Wang, 1993) and the School Development Program (Comer, 1985). Building on the research base on fostering educational resilience of children and youth living in circumstances with high concentrations of multiple, co-occurring risks, the Learning City Program forges connections among school, family, and community resources in coordinated ways to significantly improve the capacity for development and education of children and youths in inner cities America (Rigsby, Reynolds, & Wang, 1995; Wang, 1995; Wang & Gordon, 1994).

A key design focus of the Learning City is a coordinated approach to service delivery that involves a restructuring of school, family, and community resources, a revisioning of staff roles, and putting in place a shared responsibility and collaborative teams approach that includes regular and special education teachers and "specialist" professionals such as school psychologists, speech pathologists, and others; a wide variety of service providers; families; and the community.

The implementation of the Learning City Program is supported by a delivery system that includes the following key components.

- A site-specific implementation plan that takes into account the school's program improvement needs, the learning characteristics and needs of the students, staff expertise and staffing patterns, curricular standards and assessment, and other implementation-related concerns.

- A schoolwide organizational structure that supports a teaming process involving regular and specialist teachers in the planning and delivery of instruction in regular classroom settings.

- A data-based staff development program that provides ongoing training and technical assistance tailored to the needs of the individual staff and program implementation requirements.

6

8

- An instructional-learning management system that focuses on the development of student self-responsibility for behavior and learning progress.

- An integrated assessment-instruction process that provides an individualized learning plan for each student that utilizes multiple approaches, including whole-class and small-group instruction as well as one-on-one tutoring, based on an ongoing analysis of student needs, resources, and expediency.

- A family and community involvement plan to enhance communication between the school and families and to forge a shared responsibility partnership and community connections to achieve schooling success of every student.

- A school-linked comprehensive, coordinated health and human services delivery program that focuses on the wellness and learning success of each student.

The Impact of the Learning City Program on Student Learning

The implementation of the Learning City Program seeks to impact on three major areas of student outcomes: (a) improved student achievement, particularly for those at the margins; (b) patterns of active learning and teaching that are consistent with the research base on effective practices; and (c) positive attitudes among students and the school staff toward their school, and most importantly, the expectation that every student has the capacity for educational success.

Findings from implementation studies in a variety of inner-city school settings to date show a general pattern of more positive attitudes among students in the Learning City Program when compared with non-program comparison schools. Students in the Learning City Program tend to perceive better and more constructive feedback from teachers about their work and behaviors, a higher level of aspiration for academic learning, better academic self-concept, and clearer

7

understandings of rules for behaviors and class/school operations. The data also show a positive pattern of changes in achievement scores in math and reading among the Learning City students, and that they outperformed comparison students in both subjects. Other noteworthy findings include the observation that families and the community became increasingly active in a wide range of school activities and in the decision-making process.

Many students have difficulty achieving schooling success and need better help than they are now receiving. If all students are to successfully complete a basic education through equal access to a common curriculum, the way in which schools respond to the diversity of student needs must undergo major conceptual and structural changes. Improvement efforts must take into consideration the learning context and require collaboration and coordination among professionals on a scale never previously attempted. The Learning City Program provides a delivery framework that mandates a coherent and coordinated approach with rooted connections with the family and the community in the service of the students.

Discussion

A variety of innovative programs have emerged across the country, emphasizing coherent and seamless child and family services that seek to improve education and life circumstances of children and youth placed at risk. These programs range from local, grassroots community efforts to state- and federal-level initiatives that seek to transform fragmented, inefficient systems of service delivery into a network of coordinated partnerships that cross programmatic and agency lines. However, despite unprecedented national attention and a myriad of programmatic initiatives at all levels, solid information is glaringly lacking on ways to bring what is known to work to bear in addressing the problem of inadequate learning among children and youth.

8

The work of the Learning City Program represents one attempt to find ways to reduce the co-occurring risks that surround many inner-city children and families. Our preliminary findings suggest the feasibility and potential for significant improvements.

Based on findings and experience of implementing the Learning City program across varied sites, we have identified several categories of imperatives to successful implementation of a comprehensive, coordinated approach to educational and related service delivery, such as the Learning City Program.

Planning for Implementation

- identify the primary purpose of the collaborative and its goals

- identify clientele (e.g., universal coverage, children and families in at-risk circumstances, only children enrolled in the school and their families, all children and families in the community served by the school)

- establish a "new" culture that evolves as problems and needs are identified in the local site—not a "top-down" mandate

- receive support from top levels of educational and agency hierarchies

- develop a "shared vision" that is facilitated by a lengthy planning period

- disallow any one agency or the school from dominating the collaborating partners

- include key stakeholders in planning

A Client-focused Approach

- resolve issues of client confidentiality, so that cooperating agencies and the school can share client and family data when necessary

- use of confidentiality waivers

- use of case management procedures

- demonstrate sensitivity to clients' cultural backgrounds

9

- focus on clients' multiple, co-occurring risks

Conditions That Promote Interprofessional Collaboration

- provide frequent opportunities for collaborators to interact

- clarify the evolving roles of teachers, school administrators, and members of the interdisciplinary team to reduce interprofessional conflicts

- prepare formal interagency agreements or educational policy trust agreements to help negotiate new roles among the interprofessional team

- establish common eligibility criteria among the collaborating agencies

- share the management of collaborative operations

- establish guidelines for shared data collection

Resource Allocation and Redeployment

- provide adequate space and resources for program operation

- provide technical assistance to collaborators

- identify funding sources that provide stability during the implementation phase and sufficient resources to foster real change

Conclusion

Few educational reforms have generated the same level of ground-swelling support as the comprehensive approach to coordinated educational and related services for children as a key school improvement agenda. The ultimate goal, one that the Learning City Program espouses, is to foster development and educational resilience and to promote the learning success of children and youth requiring greater-than-usual educational and related service supports. Of course, schools must remain the primary focus in efforts to find ways to improve this nation's capacity for education; for surely other efforts will come to naught if we fail to offer powerful forms of education in our schools. However, educational reforms of the 1990s that aim to address the

10

12

deepening problems faced by children and families in a variety of at-risk circumstances, must

provide a broad-based coherent approach including family, school, and other community resources.

References

Comer, J. P. (1985). *The School Development Program: A nine-step guide to school improvement* [paper]. New Haven, CT: The Yale Child Study Center.

Rigsby, L. C., Reynolds, M. C., and Wang, M. C. (Eds.) (1995). *School-community connections: Exploring issues for research and practice.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Wang, M. C. (1993). *Adaptive education strategies: Building on diversity.* Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes.

Wang, M. C. (1995). *Next steps in inner-city education: Focusing on resilience development and learning success* (An impact report). Philadelphia: National Center on Education in the Inner Cities.

Wang, M. C., & Gordon, E. W. (Eds.) (1994). *Educational resilience in inner-city America: Challenges and prospects.* Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

®

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

[ERIC logo]

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

UD031 366

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:
Fostering Resilience Among Children at Risk of School Failure

Author(s): Margaret C. Wang

Corporate Source:	Publication Date:
	Aug. 1996

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 1** documents

☒

Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) *and* paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

———— Sample ————

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 2** documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

———— Sample ————

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

☐

Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign here→ please

Signature: *Monica N. Athill*	Printed Name/Position/Title: Monica Athill / Editor
Organization/Address: Center for Research in Human Development and Education 941 Ritter Annex (13th st & Cecil B. Moore Ave. Philadelphia, PA 19122	Telephone: (215)204 - 1442 FAX: (215) 204 -5130
	E-Mail Address: mathill@vm.temple.edu Date: 10/10/96

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:

Address:

Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:

Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2d Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

(Rev. 6/96)