ABSTRACT
                Despite the importance of community college
administrative positions and the recognition of their stressful
nature, they have traditionally received little scholarly or
professional attention. Recently, however, professional associations
have been developed solely for the purpose of providing professional
development opportunities to college administrators. The literature
that does exist suggests that administrators have traditionally come
from faculty ranks, and that since 1970, fewer administrators have
come from outside the community colleges. Personal characteristics of
effective administrators include responsibility, integrity, and
intellectual efficiency, while professional skills are related to
planning, organization, and office management. The most important
ability appears to be having strong interpersonal skills. It has been
suggested that college administrators must move toward more open and
less inhibiting methods of reaching decisions in order to provide
opportunities for faculty and others to become involved in
leadership. While the literature on community college administrators
contains few empirical studies addressing needs, skills, challenges,
and roles, it is fairly clear that as the demographic composition of
the United States changes, so too will the leadership of community
colleges. Finally, there is a tremendous need to develop
administrative research directly applicable to performance and to
develop meaningful dialogue throughout higher education about roles
and functions of different educational bodies. (Contains 17
references.) (HAA)

Administrative Perspectives on Community College Leadership

Dr. Brian J. Garavalia
Assistant Professor
Department of Vocational Education
Valdosta State University
Valdosta, GA 31698-0080
(912) 333-5928
FAX: (912) 333-7167

Dr. Michael T. Miller
Assistant Professor
Higher Education Administration
206 Wilson Hall
University of Alabama
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487
(205) 348-1170
FAX: ·(205) 348-2161
e-mail: mmiller@bamaed.ua.edu

Running Head: Community College Leadership

Academic administration has been described as one of the most stressful occupations in the United States (Cloud, 1992). Despite the prima facia importance of the positions and the identified level of distress, little scholarly or professional work has been done to clarify and improve practice in the administration of community colleges (Twombly & Moore, 1987).

The deficiency of literature on community college administration has a largely undefined base, and only efforts within the past decade have demonstrated the feasibility and necessity of this population. So compelling is the need to examine and devote resources toward community college administration, that professional associations have been developed with the primary purpose of providing professional development opportunities to different administrators, such as department chairs (Seagren & Miller, 1993). Much of the base for the creation of these association and activities is the contention that leadership is vital to the health and success of a community college, and the middle- and upper-level administrator is best suited to display this leadership (Chieffo, 1992). By accepting this contention that administrators are more likely to undertake leadership roles in the colleges as compared to faculty, the current discussion was intended to review and discuss the critical literature related to community college administration.

Leaders in the College

Administrators have traditionally come from faculty ranks, although considerations for the stress of the administrative post have deterred some faculty from pursuing administrative positions (Cloud, 1992). Administrators also traditionally came from external labor markets, such as secondary schools, colleges and universities, and business and industry (Twombly, 1988A; Miller & Seagren, 1995). This trend has changed somewhat in recent years as authority lines have become more structured, and career progression within the college is a greater possibility.

The general concept of academic leadership has received a good deal of attention in both the scholarly and practitioner literature. Few efforts have been exclusively devoted, however, to the community college. Twombly (1988A; 1988B) noted that fewer administrators since 1970 have come from outside of the community college system, and that those who do move in to the administrative ranks of two-year colleges are mostly reliant on personal "contacts" to obtain their position (Twombly & Moore, 1987). These trends suggest that the current community college has a rather internal or self-serving attitude toward hiring. As community colleges face a variety of challenges, ranging from serving business and industry training needs and a changing student body, the need to incorporate external leaders may have never been greater.

Leadership in higher education has often been identified through a variety of characteristics, but fewer efforts have

actually postulated desired characteristics for two-year colleges. Hammons and Guillory (1991) conceded that there a number of grocery lists of leadership skills, but proposed two distinct listings of personal and professional skills needed by those leading community colleges. Personal characteristics of the effective administrator included responsibility, integrity, creativity, self-control, intellectual efficiency, personal relations, leadership, and a motivation to achieve. Professional skills needed were planning skills, knowledge about the position, organizational skills, office management skills, sound judgement, human relations skills, and an ability to recognize quality performance. Chieffo (1992) particularly added vision to the successful administrators repertoire of skills, and Miller (1995) added financial management skills.

Seagren, et al, (1994) also conceded the variety of expectations of the community college administrator, and proposed a four-dimensional model for effective administration, particularly at the departmental or academic unit level. The model which held personal and professional interests as competing ends of a spectrum, contended that challenges and coping strategies conversely pressure the administrator.

Cloud (1992) specifically recognized the need for administrators to respond in a timely way to the social, economic, political, and legal issues which confront their local communities. He went as far as to identify that approximately 75% of the stress in the administrator's life is attributable to

the administrative position. The close proximity of local
governing boards may also add to the pressure of the
administrator, as trustees attempt to convey personal agendas,
micro-manage the college, and "interfere" with the operations of
the college.

The results of existing research and study tend to portray a
vastly confused and perhaps overly segmented approach to the
attributes of "leadership" and "management." The skill which has
appeared to transcend practitioner and research reports is the
that of strong interpersonal skills. Chieffo (1992) noted that a
"people" orientation to an administrative post has the highest
correlation to job satisfaction and organizational commitment.
Johns and Taylor (1987) supported this contention by claiming
that those who work in fund raising and contract and grant
management are strongly motivated by a desire to affiliate with
others in their office setting and those connected with the
institution. The same conclusion was reached by Reyes and
Twombly (1987) in their discussion of decision making and
governance in the community college. Their contention held that
although bureaucratic lines of authority are typically well
defined, a "political bureaucracy" seemed the appropriate
classification for effective governance, as consensus building
and faculty and administrator loyalties were paramount to
decision implementation.

Alternatively, Harris (1996) described empowerment as the
key to developing, cultivating, and building leadership in the

community college. She wrote that leaders exist throughout the
college, and that the difficulty in fostering this leadership
potential is the provision of opportunities for faculty and
others to become involved in leadership opportunities. To better
accomplish this, she contended, community college administrators
must move from lockstep management strategies to more open and
less inhibiting methods of reaching decisions. Marsick and
Watkins (1992) similarly advocated this practice, particularly in
relation to providing professional continuing education
opportunities for employees to participate in reflective
practice. This concept supports employees accomplishing tasks
related to their jobs, reflecting on their actions and the
methods utilized in these actions, and then granting the
employees opportunities to modify their actions and methods to
reach more effective and efficient processes and outcomes.

Similarly, Cross (1993) noted that the recent
conceptualization of quality management in higher education is
predicated on the assumption that individuals "manage themselves
to assume individual responsibility toward common ends" (p. 16).
To this end, allusions are made to involving community college
faculty in the governance process, a process which requires a
different set of skills than those in private sector management.
Boggs (1994) furthered this notion, contending that leadership in
community colleges can arise from anywhere in the institution,
and to develop this leadership potential there must be a

clarification of the expectations of faculty early in the
decision-making process.

Discussion

The purpose for conducting the current literature review was
to identify some of the critical findings and contemporary
thoughts regarding the administration of community colleges.
Despite numerous citations available on the subject, few
empirical studies specifically addressed the needs, skills,
challenges, and roles of community college administrators.
Although studies such as Twombly's prove valuable to
understanding labor markets, there seems to be a lack of direct
research into the these important positions.

As the demographic composition of the nation and individual
communities change, so too will the leadership and focus of
community colleges in order to fulfill local needs.
Undergraduate enrollments suggest that the community college is
fast becoming the education of choice. Kent (1996) noted that
nearly one-half of all first-year students enroll in community
colleges. As any institution experiences continual and rapid
growth exhibited through growing enrollments and expectations,
growth pains will accompany, requiring specific adjustments in
attitudes, behaviors, and administrative structures. Roueche
(1996) emphasized the need for trustees, administrators, and
faculty to recognize and understand that colleges will never
again be like they were in the 1960's, 70's, and 80's. Societal

and economic changes that are affecting the world are also affecting higher education's direction. Future leadership will need to be visionary and adaptive to change. In essence, gone are the days of the small make-shift administration, patch-worked with faculty part-time managing small enrollments, budgets, and facilities in a loosely structured academic environment.

Acknowledging the community college's expansive efforts, leadership will need to be more exact and skilled in areas of administration and management, resulting in what has been described as a 'collegial profession,' and not simply an add-on to faculty duties. The results of the dearth of literature is a need to develop administrative research which is directly applicable to performance. Research and literature in community college administration identified here appeared to be a dynamic body of work reliant on the collaboration of both scholars and practitioners. Subsequently, scholars must not scorn this literature, but rather, embrace it as an opportunity to further understand the philosophy of higher education.

As both academic and applied understanding of administrative positions and behaviors evolves, so must community college leadership. Various research methodologies and dissemination activities must be employed in the future to fully develop the administrative potential of administrators and leaders alike. This research may take the form of "best-practice" of administrators, and then utilize this expertise to train mid-level managers, such as department chairs who will become future

senior leaders in the college. And as administrators and leadership issues are addressed, possibilities for leadership development may be through faculty senates/councils or other joint decision-making bodies.

There is a strong need to begin concerted efforts to develop administration from within the system instead of relying on it to evolve or eventually 'float to the top.' Also, traditional practices have shown administrative positions being filled from outside of the college system, often inviting personnel in from the local community, or filling positions from university-level personnel administrative ranks. Perhaps there are means for community colleges to begin and sustain the production of their own leadership.

Finally, there is a tremendous need for meaningful dialogue throughout higher education about roles and functions of different educational bodies. Professional associations such as the Council on Universities and Colleges which combine practitioners and scholars may prove to be one of the most effective means to enhancing this dialogue. Also, the American Association of Community Colleges (AACC) has shown efforts to create a community college research agenda to provide better reflective information defining purpose, self, and structure (Boggs, 1994).

Community colleges as a whole, and specifically administrative and faculty groups, must begin to take the initiative for developing their own talents, skills, and future

leadership. For too long, community colleges have relied on others to conduct research about their nature, purpose, and direction. Additionally, other sources have served as personnel hiring banks. If community college administration and institutions are going to develop and mature, perhaps the following should be considered:

1.   Bring the level of the community college and leadership up to a nationally recognized respectable level. Two-year colleges have often had to deal with political appointments rather than focusing on quality administrative leadership. Additionally, Kent (1996) noted that "community colleges are not so much unsung as unknown as a national institution" (p. 32).

2.   Professionals within the community college confines should conduct their own self-reporting leadership research from their empirical environment and situational circumstances. Research not directed from an outside agenda will prove beneficial to the entire system in the long-run.

3.   There should be a concerted effort to identify, target, and recruit promising junior faculty and mid-level administrators, with intentions of tracking them into future leadership roles. Community college leadership development will excel as intentions (and) _are_ purposefully sought, embraced, nurtured to maturation, and actions become habit instead of after-thought.

References

Boggs, G. R. (1994). The value of community college research. Community College Journal, 64(6), 3-4.

Chieffo, A. M. (1992). Factors contributing to job satisfaction and organizational commitment of community college leadership teams. Community College Review, 19(2), 15-24.

Cloud, R. C. (1992). Thoughts on stress and college administration. Community College Review, 19(1), 24-29.

Cross, P. K. (1993). Involving faculty in TQM. Community College Journal, 63(2), 14-19.

Hammons, J. O., & Guillory, K. (1991). Suggestions about the criteria used to evaluate administrators. Community College Review, 18(1), 5-11.

Harris, Z. M. (1996). Leadership in action: Leading collectively at Parkland College. Community College Journal, 66(5), 10-12.

Johns, E. F., & Taylor, R. (1987). Personal investment and job satisfaction among community college resource development officers. Paper presented at the 95th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, New York.

Kent, N. (1986). Reflecting the national face of community colleges. Community College Journal, 66(5), 32-36.

Marsick, V. J., & Watkins, K. E. (1992). Continuous learning in the workplace. Adult Learning, 3(4), 9-12.

Miller, M. T., & Seagren, A. T.   (1995).   Providing student

services in the community college department: The chair's

role.   Michigan Community College Journal, 1(2), 59-66.

Reyes, P., & Twombly, S. B.   (1987).   Perceptions of contemporary

governance in community colleges: An empirical study.

Community College Review, 14(3), 4-11.

Roueche, J. E.   (1996).   Leadership challenges for 2000.

Community College Journal, 66(5), 12-13.

Seagren, A. T., & Miller, M. T.   (1993).   Academic leaders and

the community college: A North American profile.   Academic

Leadership Journal of the National Community College Chair

Academy, 1(1), 6-11.

Seagren, A., Wheeler, D., Creswell, J., Miller, M., & Grassmeyer,

K.   (1994).   Academic leadership in community colleges.

Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska.

Twombly, S. B.   (1988A).   Administrative labor markets a test of

the existence of internal labor markets in two-year

colleges.   Journal of Higher Education, 59, 668-689.

Twombly, S. B.   (1988B).   The importance of beginnings: The

relationship of entry positions to career outcomes for

two-year college presidents.   Community College Review,

15(2), 14-21.

Twombly, S. B., & Moore, K. M.   (1987).   Job search: Career

changes among community college administrators.   Review of

Higher Education, 11, 17-37.

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

REPRODUCTION RELEASE
(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title:

Administrative Perspectives on Community College Leadership

Author(s): Dr. Brian J. Garavalia and Michael T. Miller

Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

<table>
<tr>
<td>☒

⬆

Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) *and* paper copy.</td>
<td>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 1** documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
_____
Sample
_____
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1</td>
<td>The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all **Level 2** documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
_____
Sample
_____
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2</td>
<td>☐

⬆

Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.</td>
</tr>
</table>

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at **Level 1**.

"*I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.*"

Sign here→ please	Signature: *Brian J. Garavalia*	Printed Name/Position/Title: Brian J. Garavalia, Asst. Professor
	Organization/Address: Valdosta State University Dept. of Vocational Educ. Education Center Valdosta, GA 31698	Telephone: (912) 333-5928 / FAX: (912) 333-7167
		E-Mail Address: bgaraval@ grits. valdosta.peachnet.edu
		Date: 11-4-96

(over)

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

> **ERIC** Clearinghouse for Community Colleges
> 3051 Moore Hall
> University of California, Los Angeles
> P.O. Box 951521
> Los Angeles, CA 90095-1521   EE 45

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1301 Piccard Drive, Suite 100
Rockville, Maryland 20850-4305

Telephone: 301-258-5500
FAX: 301-948-3695
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov

3/96/96)