### ED398240 1995-10-00 Student Ratings Offer Useful Input to Teacher Evaluations. ERIC/AE Digest.

#### **ERIC Development Team**

www.eric.ed.gov

#### **Table of Contents**

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

Student Ratings Offer Useful Input to Teacher Evaluations. ERIC/AE	
Digest	1
CONCERNS	2
ARGUMENTS FOR USING STUDENT RATINGS	2
EVALUATION FORMS	. 2
NINE POTENTIAL SOURCES OF VALIDITY FOR STUDENT	4
FURTHER READING	5



ERIC Identifier: ED398240
Publication Date: 1995-10-00
Author: Scriven, Michael

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation Washington DC.

# Student Ratings Offer Useful Input to Teacher Evaluations. ERIC/AE Digest.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

Student ratings add a valuable component to the range of input for the evaluation of



teachers. Although many question the validity of such ratings, under certain conditions, results can and should be useful.

Student ratings of instruction are widely used as a basis for personnel decisions and faculty development recommendations in post-secondary education today. This article addresses concerns about their validity and presents a case for the use of student ratings in teacher evaluation. In this discussion, student ratings refer to those in which students are asked to complete a form or write a short free-form evaluation anonymously, either during or immediately after a class period, the final exam, or a session after grades are issued.

#### **CONCERNS**

Oftentimes, student rating forms ask many questions about matters that students do not appear to be in any position to judge reliably. In addition, the fact that the overall rating of teaching merit by students is only statistically related to learning gains is a concern if one believes that statistical indicators should not be used to make personnel decisions. Another concern is that the validation studies that are used to justify student ratings use questionable indicators instead of the true criterion. For example, some of them correlate the student ratings with peer ratings of teacher merit instead of with superior learning gains.

#### ARGUMENTS FOR USING STUDENT RATINGS

There are several strong arguments for using student ratings to evaluate teachers. (See figure titled "Nine Potential Sources of Validity for Student Ratings of Instruction.") Students are in a unique position to rate their own increased knowledge and comprehension as well as changed motivation toward the subject taught. As students, they are also in a good position to judge such matters as whether tests covered all the material of the course.

In addition, students can observe and rate facts (i.e. an instructor's punctuality, the legibility of writing on the board) that are relevant to competent teaching. They can also identify and rate whether the teacher is enthusiastic. Does he or she ask many questions? Encourage questions from students, etc.?

#### **EVALUATION FORMS**

However, the possible lines of argument (see figure above) for the validity of student ratings become invalid if the rating form used is not appropriate for the specific data collection required. Since rating forms vary widely, generalizations about student ratings as a good indicator of learning gains or teacher merit are misleading since they assume there is a common property to all such ratings. Most forms, when used in the most common ways, are invalid as a basis for personnel action. For example, many forms used to make personnel decisions ask questions that may influence the respondent by



ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

mentioning extraneous and potentially prejudicial material (i.e., questions about the teacher's personality or the appeal of the subject matter).

Another problem with the use of rating forms for summative evaluation is that many of them ask the wrong global or overall questions. This is important since it is typically these questions on which most personnel decisions are based. Common examples of this kind of mistake include forms that ask for

- comparisons with other teachers,
- whether the respondent would recommend the course to a friend with similar interests, or
- whether "it's one of the best courses" one has had.

Several pragmatic considerations (logistical, political, economic, psychological), which impact form design, are \*required\* for validity. These include:

- Form length--if forms are too long students may not fill them in or may skip responses.
- Type of question--forms should include the questions students want answered about the courses they are considering taking, thus avoiding resentment and a lack of willingness to complete the forms; \*forms should not include\* questions that students suspect will be used to discriminate against them or that are biased towards favorable (or unfavorable) comments.



The validity of student rating forms is also dependent on the context of how and when they are administered. For student rating results to be valid, they must be obtained from properly administered tests, stringently controlled data collection, and thorough analysis of test results. Frequent errors include

- The use of instructors to collect forms rating their own instructional merit.
- Lack of controls over pleas for sympathy or indulgence by the teacher before forms are distributed.
- Inadequate time to complete forms.
- Failing to ensure an acceptable return rate.



To ensure the validity of results, errors in data processing, report design, and interpretation must also be avoided. Common errors include:



- The use of averages alone, without regard to the distribution;
- Failure to set up appropriate comparison groups so that the usual tendency for ratings to be higher in graduate professional schools can be taken into account;
- Treating small differences as significant, just because they are statistically significant;
- Using factor analysis without logical/theoretical validation;
- Ignoring ceiling/floor effects;
- Using the ratings as the sole basis for either formative or summative evaluation.

Although student ratings are an important source of data for the evaluation of teaching merit, they should not be the only source. Similarly, student ratings form an essential part of the data for the evaluation of courses, workshops, degree programs, etc., but they cannot carry the entire burden. It is essential to look at the data relating to other dimensions of merit such as needs, demand, opportunities for symbiosis, content, and costs, and estimate their relative importance.

Student ratings must be considered very carefully in the context in which they are given. The educational administrator interested in the improvement of instruction--whether by improving courses themselves, or the performance or the composition of the faculty--and instructors and students with the same interest will benefit from the use of a sound system of student ratings.

## NINE POTENTIAL SOURCES OF VALIDITY FOR STUDENT

RATINGS OF INSTRUCTION1. The positive and statistically significant correlation of student ratings with learning gains.

- 2. The unique position and qualifications of the students in rating their own increased knowledge and comprehension.
- 3. The unique position of the students in rating changed motivation (a) toward the subject taught; perhaps also (b) toward a career associated with that subject; and perhaps also (c) with respect to a changed general attitude toward further learning in the subject area, or more generally.
- 4. The unique position of the students in rating observable matters of fact relevant to competent teaching, such as the punctuality of the instructor and the legibility of writing on the board.



ERIC Resource Center www.eric.ed.gov

5. The unique position of the students in identifying the regular presence of teaching style indicators. Is the teacher enthusiastic; does he or she ask many questions, encourage questions from students, etc.?

- 6. Relatedly, students are in a good position to judge--although it is not quite a matter of simple observation--such matters as whether tests covered all the material of the course.
- 7. Students as consumers are likely to be able to report quite reliably to their peers on such matters of interest to them as the cost of the texts, the extent to which attendance is taken and weighted, and whether a great deal of homework is required--considerations that have little or no known bearing on the quality of instruction.
- 8. Student ratings represent participation in a process often represented as "democratic decisionmaking."
- 9. The "best available alternative" line of argument. This digest was condensed from "Using Student Ratings in Teacher Evaluation," by Dr. Michael Scriven, Project Director, Teacher Evaluation Models Project, Center for Research on Educational Accountability and Teacher Evaluation (CREATE)

#### **FURTHER READING**

Abrami, P.C.(1989). How Should We Use Student Ratings to Evaluate Teaching? "Research in Higher Education," 30 (2), 221-227.

Abrami, P.C., d'Apollonia, S., & P.A. Cohen (1990). Validity of Student Ratings of Instruction: What We Know and What We Do Not Know. "Journal of Educational Psychology," 82 (2), 219-231.

L'Hommedieu, R. Menges, R.J. & K.T. Brinko (1990) Methodological Explanations for the Modest Effects of Feedback from Student Ratings. "Journal of Educational Psychology," 82 (2), 232-241.

Scriven, M. (1994) Using Student Ratings in Teacher Evaluation, "Evaluation Perspectives" (Newsletter of The Center for Research on Educational Accountability and Teacher Evaluation), 4(1), 1-4.

----

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract RR93002002. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the U.S. Department of Education. Permission is granted to copy and distribute



#### this ERIC/AE Digest.

**Title:** Student Ratings Offer Useful Input to Teacher Evaluations. ERIC/AE Digest.

Note: Condensed from "Using Student Ratings in Teacher Evaluation."

**Document Type:** Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs)

(071); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

**Available From:** ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation, The Catholic University of America, Department of Education, O'Boyle Hall, Washington, DC 20064

(free).

Descriptors: College Faculty, College Students, Data Collection, Decision Making,

Higher Education, Instructional Improvement, Student Evaluation of Teacher

Performance, Teacher Effectiveness, Validity

**Identifiers:** ERIC Digests

###



[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]

