

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 396 816

PS 024 250

AUTHOR Stomfay-Stitz, Aline M.; Hinitz, Blythe F.  
 TITLE Integration of Peace Education into Multicultural Education/Global Education.  
 PUB DATE Apr 96  
 NOTE 20p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the American Educational Research Association (New York, NY, April 8-12, 1996).  
 PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.) (120) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)  
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Classroom Environment; Classroom Techniques; \*Conflict Resolution; Elementary Secondary Education; Global Education; Integrated Curriculum; \*Multicultural Education; \*Peace; Prevention; Violence  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Peace Education

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the view that prevailing resentment against new immigrants and other ethnic minorities has clarified for many educators the need for teaching all students skills to resolve conflicts and reduce violence in schools. The paper advocates that peace education be integrated with multicultural education as a way for students to learn these skills, and elaborates on a multidisciplinary approach to the integration of peace education, including links to psychology and political science. On the premise that a long-term approach to developing peacemakers and conflict resolvers is necessary, as less than half of short-term violence prevention programs have claimed to reduce violence, the paper presents an action plan which includes several approaches: (1) integration of human rights education into the social studies curriculum; (2) enhancement of classroom management/discipline systems that blend cultural diversity with peace education and conflict resolution; and (3) increased use of technology to prepare children and youth to live in harmony with a national and global diversity. A resource directory of 51 curriculum guides, literary resources, and classroom strategies is appended. Contains 35 references. (KDFB)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve  
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-  
ment do not necessarily represent official  
OERI position or policy.

ED 396 816

## INTEGRATION OF PEACE EDUCATION INTO MULTICULTURAL EDUCATION/GLOBAL EDUCATION

By

**Aline M. Stomfay-Stitz, Ed.D.  
Christopher Newport Univ.  
Newport News, VA 23606**

**Blythe F. Hinitz, Ed.D.  
Trenton State College  
Trenton, NJ 08650**

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Aline M. Stomfay-  
Stitz*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

**Paper prepared for Roundtable at Annual Meeting of the  
American Educational Research Association, New York,  
April 1996**

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

024250  
ERIC  
Full Text Provided by ERIC

## **I. Introduction**

As educators contemplate the new century which is just a few short years away, a praiseworthy goal has evolved: that schools, communities, children and youth will be able to reverse the perceived trend from increased, rather than reduced, levels of violence in schools and society. For example, in 1994 for the first time in the history of the Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup poll, respondents viewed violence and poor discipline as "overwhelmingly the most serious problems in their local public schools." In 1995, the poll once again reported that a vast majority of the public believed that violence increased, not only in the nation, but in their local schools as well (Elam & Rose, 1995).

Researchers, educators, psychologists, and others who work closely with schools have already launched serious attempts to change attitudes and behaviors from violent to nonviolent ones. At the same time, there is recognition that America is undergoing a vast societal transformation, one as profound and far-reaching as the change from an agricultural to an industrial age. The changing demographics of increased minority groups which include a wide range of children from different ethnic and racial groups, by native birth or by immigration, have transformed America's classrooms. For example, an elementary school in the Washington, DC area (Fairfax, VA) has 87% of its children classified as minorities, with about 81% speaking one of 28 languages other than English and 60% of them qualified for free lunches. Teachers report that such differences are not a barrier because teachers adjust, using peer translators, drawing messages, and modeling what needs to be done (Baker, 1991). On the other hand, researchers have pointed out that recent developments in society point to increased levels of racism "that permeate our conflict-ridden culture" (Gadlin, 1994). At the same time, others perceive that national political trends may seriously affect minority rights.

While the richnesses of cultures should be appreciated, celebrated and tolerated, this is usually not the case. In today's schools, the peaceable classroom may be an impossible dream to achieve, because of the conflicting needs of children and youth who may be engaged in daily cultural clashes based on misconceptions or stereotypes. These attitudes may be brought from home and are often instilled by parents. Frequently, communities also harbor deep resentments against ethnic groups who have moved in as immigrants and taken jobs, alongside the native residents.

An honest appraisal of current attitudes toward those from different ethnic or cultural groups, reveals a wide spectrum of perspectives. These range from open hostility and violence, as for example in Louisiana, where a resurgence of Ku Klux Klan activities has been reported - to greater degrees of tolerance and acceptance, as in Ridgewood, NJ , where the official policies of all schools blend peace education and multicultural education (Cultural Alladay, 1994 ).

In any case, the prevailing mood of meanness and resentment against new immigrants and other ethnic minorities has clarified for many educators the necessity of openly and overtly teaching ALL students the needed skills to resolve conflicts and reduce violence in schools. In the words of Martin Luther King, Jr.: "We have flown the air like birds and swum the sea like fishes, but have yet to learn the simple act of walking the earth as brothers(and sisters)." Through peace education, communications and conflict resolution skills, our newest young citizens could learn skills for successful futures in our democracy.

One hundred years ago, writing in the shadow of the new century, other educators and writers on education for peace, produced an outpouring of literature on the causes of war and posed solutions with a strong voice in favor of peace education. War and militarism were looked down on as an inheritance of

humanity by mere habit, a survival of the most militant tribes. Peace, on the other hand, was viewed as essential for the education of children and all civilized humans (Stomfay-Stitz, 1993). In contrast, peace educators today have moved beyond prevention of war, especially nuclear war as a sole objective, to embrace a broader agenda, which includes the prevention and reduction of violence. Peace education, conflict resolution, and violence prevention, essentially, have meshed with the need for multicultural or anti-bias perspectives.

## **II. A Multidisciplinary Approach for the Integration of Peace Education**

First, a common definition for peace education is essential. Peace education has many facets and includes multiple disciplines where many colleagues are actively involved in theory and practice. The scope of their actions includes teaching nonviolence and conflict resolution, social justice, economic well-being, political participation (citizenship for a democracy, social responsibility) and concern for the environment.

Furthermore, educators have stressed that violence prevention, peace promotion and cultural diversity training should be included in the curriculum at the preschool and primary level (Hinritz, 1995). In recent years, peace education has also been identified by a leading researcher as an "alternative curriculum in Early Childhood Education" (Spodek & Brown, 1993).

Common bonds with several disciplines have already been forged by those working in peace education and research. There have been noteworthy contributions from psychology, anthropology, political science, sociology and social work, along with education. Most of these efforts can relate directly to links for peace education and multicultural education. Clearly, the case for a multidisciplinary action plan has been made with growing recognition of the increased cultural diversity in our schools and society. As a result, strategies that

include sensitivity toward the differences of others have blended slowly into professional practices in some areas.

### **Psychology**

In psychology, we have profited from enlightened contributions from various theoretical perspectives. A Peace Psychology Division is an active group of the American Psychological Association. Colleagues in peace psychology have documented the impact of violence on young victims, especially the trauma of violence experienced by minority children in the inner city (Garbarino, 1994; Garbarino & Kostelny, 1991). The research of pioneering psychologists such as Morton Deutsch (1949, 1973, 1991) and David and Roger Johnson (1979, 1989, 1991, 1995, 1996), among others, has shaped our knowledge of the advantages of the cooperative, rather than competitive relationships in the classroom. These especially can form a nurturing social milieu for the constructive, positive elements that foster conflict resolution and a caring school environment. Emily Werner has enriched our knowledge of the resiliency of children, who can overcome even the trauma of violence, if positive conditions for child rearing or a positive role model are present (Werner, 1992).

Conditions in our schools and communities have deteriorated to a critical point (Noguera, 1995). The American Psychological Association has underscored that violence is a psychological and public health epidemic that needs remediation (APA, 1993). Clearly, the contributions of those working in education and psychology can forge a framework that complements a peace education curriculum.

### **Political Science**

Valuable contributions from political science also offer guidelines for the integration of peace education and multicultural education. Studies on the political socialization of young children, for example, have shown that the child's

concern for social issues includes "a construction of knowledge that takes place in a social context of age mates, both in the classroom and outside." (Torney-Purta, 1995). These are important guidelines that could reshape intercultural relationships within the school milieu. Experienced teachers have generally accepted the positive social gains of cooperative learning, where children from different ethnic groups are placed in mixed-racial groups. Learning to work and live together, alongside those who may be viewed negatively with stereotypical attitudes, offers an enriched agenda as well as a daunting challenge for both multicultural education and peace education.

## **II. Peace Education, Conflict Resolution and Violence Prevention with an Agenda for Resolving Intercultural Conflicts**

With the proliferation of violence prevention and conflict resolution programs, it is tempting to believe that these can solve the problems of school violence and intercultural conflicts. A survey of 51 violence prevention programs showed that less than half claimed to have reduced levels of violence (Wilson-Brewer, Cohen, O'Donnell & Goodman, 1991). Furthermore, it is only consistent, long-term training to be peacemakers and conflict resolvers, that offers hope. These programs and interventions should also include planned periods for reteaching. This is essential in order to improve student expertise (Johnson & Johnson, 1995).

Classroom teachers report that the nurturing of mutual respect in their students is a basic first step in promoting a classroom that is free of violence and strife. In such a setting, each student values the other with a recognition that there are strengths and positive aspects in each member of their group. At the same time, teachers report that the necessary theories and skills for prejudice reduction, teaching for tolerance, and conflict resolution were not included in their teacher

education programs. There are only a handful of programs for preservice teachers that do include conflict resolution (Wheelock, Lesley Colleges, University of Delaware, for example). Yet, these theories and practices are essential for a peaceable classroom that respects cultural differences.

Respect, tolerance, and understanding form the building blocks for a bias-free classroom and school community. These goals may be complicated by the fact that growing numbers of students from ethnic groups may also present barriers to mutual understanding because of several different languages being spoken, as described previously.

For all children in a school, even where there may be only one culture that is predominant, the principles of tolerance can be taught. Teaching ALL children the skills to resolve conflicts can be the beginning of long-term changes in violent behavior. It is especially in schools where students are from different cultures or ethnic groups or speak different languages, that classroom conflicts could become overwhelming. Instead, suspicions and fears should be faced with differences explained and recognized as valid (Johnson & Johnson, 1995).

For early childhood and elementary children, progress has been made with inclusion of the Anti-Bias Curriculum developed by Louise Derman-Sparks of Pacific Oaks College (Pasadena, CA). Here, teachers imbed respect for ALL children (who present a variety of skin colors, hair textures, or dialects) throughout the children's day as a way to counteract negative comments or stereotypes. A proactive stance is taken. In a similar way, a four-step method which functions as a personal response to prejudiced statements was formulated by Fennimore (1994). Students can be coached to state beliefs in an assertive manner and make a positive statement about the "specific subjects of the prejudice." Practice with role playing situations where teachers need to confront prejudiced attitudes and statements would assist future teachers in making



assertive responses as classroom role models. Sadly, many teachers do not know how to react to prejudiced statements. As stated by Fennimore, "all of us share the responsibility of standing up against prejudice."(Fennimore, 1994).

### III. An Action Plan

Several approaches should be considered that would enhance the integration of multicultural education and peace education:

(1) integration of human rights education into the social studies curriculum; (2) enhancement of classroom management/discipline systems that blend cultural diversity with peace education and conflict resolution; (3) increased use of technology to prepare children and youth to live in harmony with a national and global diversity.

#### **(1) Integration of human rights education into the social studies curriculum**

Human rights education encompasses the international laws that are codified in documents such as the Declaration of Human Rights, the Charter of the United Nations, and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. Since 1978, UNESCO has mandated that "human rights education and teaching based on the principles" of the aforementioned documents be an emphasis for students. In many parts of the world, human rights education is taught as a conceptual approach in the peace education curriculum. Students are especially urged to know about and protect their rights and freedoms (Human Rights Education: The Fourth R, 1994). These generally include: speech, expression, assembly, freedom, participation, peace, equality, respect, safety, food, and shelter. A national organization, Partners in Human Rights Education, was formed in 1992 in Minneapolis to teach human rights education in the schools, through teams of teachers, lawyers, and community resource people.(Partners in Human Rights Education, 1995). ALL children, should be taught about their human rights

including civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights. The experiences in the Minneapolis project have been especially enriching and positive "empowering students and teachers to become community participants."(Partners, 1995). Efforts such as these would enhance a peace education and conflict resolution curriculum with a definite impact on multicultural perspectives.

**(2) Enhancement of classroom management/discipline systems with peace education and conflict resolution theories and practices**

Classroom management/discipline interventions have been used increasingly to influence the social behavior of students and especially to define the emotional/affective environment of the classroom. Methods such as cooperative learning, conflict management and peer mediation have been viewed in recent years as methods that can enhance communications and social skills(DeVries & Zan, 1994). A detailed, systematic approach can be used to help students deal with their disputes(Schrumpf,Crawford & Usadel, 1991).

In recent years, authors of classroom management texts have recognized the value of the inclusion of conflict resolution and peacemaking skills(Fields & Boesser, 1994; Gordon & Browne, 1996). Two leading authors view the classroom as an "ecosystem" with student diversity "a reality and increasingly, a mirror of the United States at large." Teachers are urged to view this fact as making their classrooms "growing places" for all(Zabel & Zabel, 1996). Learning the skills of peacemaking, according to another researcher "involves incorporating activities about the self, conflict with others, children's family and cultural roots" and teaching tolerance and cooperation(Gordon & Browne, 1996). Clearly, recognition of the synergy of peace education, conflict resolution, and multicultural education is apparent.

**(3) Increased use of technology to prepare children and youth to live in harmony with national and global diversity**

In the shadow of the new century, telecommunication networks and projects have made an indelible impression on America's classrooms. In growing numbers, teachers and students have literally expanded their global understanding and used the electronic highway to provide access to others in far corners of the globe. Peace educators should view such technological advances as serendipity. The means to expand understanding of the principles of peace education, multicultural perspectives or human rights education, present a new medium for understanding.

Educators should encourage using telecommunications to increase students' knowledge base of other cultures. For example, one school in Virginia has formed an "electronic penpal" relationship with a tribal school in Arizona. Exchanges of audio and videotapes, presents during holidays and even collaboration on a science project in the two communities, have linked young people from diverse cultures.

**Summary**

Never before in American history has the need been greater for a positive linkage of peace education and conflict resolution with multicultural education. The frequent news of hate crimes against political and religious groups, the spread of hatreds against children and youth from different cultures, the denial of the adverse effects of prejudice against the young - all emphasize that education must begin with our children. We must teach the valuing of all who are different from us. We can learn to live together in peace and mutual respect. After all, we are truly more alike than different. We are all fellow humans sharing the same fragile planet Earth. To teach our children and youth to live together, share the

same space in a classroom without violence towards each other may well be the biggest challenge faced by the teachers of the new century.

We should not overlook that Piaget has led the way, informing us that our children and youth develop and continually refine their ideas and feelings about themselves and others. They express their understanding of racial identity at an early age and often model attitudes acquired from their own parents, for better or worse. As influential role models in the classroom, the teacher is in a pivotal position - the one who well may shape children's first learning environments.

The vision of "multicultural peace" articulated by Bey & Turner(1996) includes "a sense of urgency to renew curriculum content or replace it with multicultural content." Schools should search for ways to reduce the social and cultural gaps that exist between school and home, they reasoned. Educators can craft successful schools that promote diversity and "nurture multicultural peace among students" in schools where ethnic diversity would be valued and where students, faculty and staff care about each other. It is a vision worthy of the concentrated, unified efforts of all who work with our younger generation.

### References

- American Psychological Association (1993). Violence and youth: Psychology's response. Vol. 1: Summary Report of the APA Commission on Violence and Youth. Washington, DC: APA.
- Baker, P. (1991, January 27). One school's balancing act of cultural diversity. Washington Post, B, 1:1.
- Bey, T.M. & Turner, G.Y. (1996). Making school a place of peace. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Byrnes, D.A. & Kiger, G., Eds. (1992). Common bonds: Anti-Bias teaching in a diverse society. Wheaton, MD: Association for Childhood Education International.

- Cultural Alladay (1994). Diversity Newsletter, Spring 1994. Peace Pole Dedication. Ridgewood, NJ: Ridgewood Public Schools.
- Derman-Sparks, L.M. and the A.B.C. Task Force(1989). Anti-Bias curriculum: Tools for empowering children. Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) and the A.B.C.Task Force.
- Deutsch, M. (1949). A theory of cooperation and competition. Human Relations 2, 129-152.
- \_\_\_\_\_(1973). The resolution of conflict. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_. (1991). Educating beyond hate. Peace, Environment, and Education. 2(4),3-19.
- DeVries, R. & Zan, B. (1994). Moral classrooms, moral children: Creating a constructivist atmosphere in early education. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Elam, S. M. & Rose, L.C. (1995, September). The 27th annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll of the public's attitudes toward the public schools. Phi Delta Kappan 77(1), 41-54.
- Fields, M.V. & Boesser, C. (1994). Constructive guidance and discipline: Preschool and primary education. New York: Merrill/Macmillan.
- Gadlin,W. (1994, January). Conflict resolution, cultural differences, and the culture of racism. Negotiation Journal. 10(1), 33-37.
- Garbarino, J. (1994, Summer). Protective responsibility as an antidote to a socially toxic environment. Wingspread Journal(Johnson Foundation), 16(2), 1, 10.
- \_\_\_\_ & Kostelny,K. (1991). No place to be a child: Growing up in a war zone. New York: Lexington Books.
- Gordon, A. & Browne, K.W. (1996). Guiding young children in a diverse society. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Hinitz, B.F. (1995, Fall). Educating young children for peace. ERS Spectrum 222-227.

Human Rights Education: The Fourth R. Chicago, IL: Amnesty International.

Johnson, R. & Johnson, D.(1979). Circles of learning. Edina, MN: Interaction.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1989). Cooperation and competition: Theory and research. Edina, MN: Interaction Book.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1995). Reducing school violence through conflict resolution. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1991). Teaching students to be peacemakers. Edina, MN: Interaction.

Martinelli-Zaun, K. (1993, February). Bridging classrooms with electronic learning. The Computing Teacher,20(5), 53+.

National Council for the Social Studies (1992, September). Curriculum guidelines for multicultural education. Social Education, 274-294.

Noddings, N. (1993). The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education. New York: Teachers College Press.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1995, May). Teaching themes of care. Phi Delta Kappan, 76(9), 675-679.

Noguera, P.A. (1995, Summer). Preventing and producing violence: A critical analysis of responses to school violence. Harvard Educational Review, 65(2), 189-212.

Partners in Human Rights Education (1995). Brochure. Minneapolis, MN: Partners in Human Rights Education.

Schrumpf, F., Crawford, D. & Usadel, H. (1991). Peer mediation and conflict resolution in schools. Champaign, IL: Research Press.

Spodek, B. & Brown, P.C. (1993). Curriculum alternatives in early childhood education. In B. Spodek, (Ed.,) Handbook of research on the education of young children(pp. 91-104). New York: Macmillan.

- Stomfay-Stitz, A.M. (1993). Peace education in America: Sourcebook for education and research. Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow Press.
- Torney-Purta, J. (1995, Winter). Psychological theory as a basis for research. Perspectives on Political Science: Symposium Issue, 24(1), 23-33.
- Werner, E. (1992). Overcoming the odds: High risk children birth to adulthood. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Wilson-Brewer, R., Cohen, S., et al. (1991). Violence Prevention for Young Adolescents: A Survey of the State of the Art. ED 356 442.
- Zabel, R.H. & Zabel, M.K. (1996). Classroom management in context: Orchestrating positive learning environments. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

## APPENDIX A - RESOURCE DIRECTORY

**CURRICULUM GUIDES, RESOURCES IN LITERATURE,  
CLASSROOM STRATEGIES, NK-GR. 12, FOR PEACE  
EDUCATION, CONFLICT RESOLUTION, VIOLENCE  
PREVENTION, AND MULTICULTURAL EDUCATION (1989-1996)**

Beaty, J.J.(1995). Converting conflicts in preschool. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.

Bennett, L.B. (1996, March/April). The universal rights of the child: Key concepts for instruction with young learners. Social Studies and the Young Learner: A Quarterly for Creative Teaching in Grades K-6. 8(4), POF 1-4.

Berman, S. (1993). Promising practices for social responsibility. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Bey, T.M. & Turner, G.Y. (1996). Making school a place of peace. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Brody, E.J., Goldspinner, K., Green, R., et al.(1991). (eds). Spinning tales, weaving hope: Stories, storytelling and activities for peace, justice and the environment. Philadelphia, PA: New Society Publishers.

Byrnes, D.A. & Kiger, G. Eds. (1992). Common Bonds: Anti-Bias teaching in a diverse society. Wheaton, MD: Association for Childhood Education International.

Carlsson-Paige, N. & Levin, D.E. (1990). Who's calling the shots: How to respond effectively to children's fascination with war play and war toys. Philadelphia, PA: New Society Publishers.

Castelle, K. (1989). In the child's best interest: A primer on the U.N. Convention on the Rights of the Child. New York: Defense for Children International USA & East Greenwich, RI: Foster Parents' Plan International.

Christie, D.J. (1991). The measurement of psychological constructs in peace education. ED 347 105.

Cohen, R.(1995). Students resolving conflict: Peer mediation in schools, Grades 6-12. New York: GoodYear Books.



Concerned Educators Allied for a Safe Environment. (1993, June). Violence as a product of injustice: CEASE's statement on violence. Cambridge, MA: Author.

Derman-Sparks, L. & the A.B.C. Task Force. (1992). Antibias Curriculum: Tools for empowering young children. Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young Children.

Diaz, C. (1992). Multicultural education for the 21st century. Washington, DC: National Education Association.

Fine, E.S., Lacey, A. & Baer, J. (1995). Children as peacemakers. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Fry-Miller, K.M. & Domer-Shank, J. (1990). Peace works: Young peacemakers' project book II. Elgin, IL: Brethren Press.

Grant, C. & Sleeter, C.E. (1991). Turning on learning, five approaches for multicultural teaching plans for race, class, gender and disability. Columbus, OH: Merrill.

Hail, N.S. & Rhomberg, V. (1995). The affective curriculum: Teaching the anti-bias approach to young children. Toronto, Canada: Nelson Canada, and International Thomson Publishing.

Harris, I.M. (1991). The challenge of peace education: Do our efforts make a difference? ED 339 669.

Heitler, S. (1990). From conflict to resolution. New York: Norton.

Helbig, A.K. & Perkins, A.R. (1994). This land is our land: A guide to multicultural literature for children and young adults (1985-1993). Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.

Hinitz, B. (1989, March). What the Convention on the Rights of the Child means to U.S Conference Journal - Delaware Valley Association for the Education of Young Children. Philadelphia, PA, 7-9.

Hopkins, S. & Winters, J. eds. (1990). Discover the world: Empowering children to value themselves, others and the earth. Santa Cruz, CA: New Society Publishers.

Hudson, D.L. (1991). Develop and implement a peace education curriculum for elementary school students through a planned program of instruction. ED 347 112.

Jewett, J. (1992). Aggression and cooperation: Helping young children develop constructive strategies. ERIC Digest EDO-92-10, Urbana, IL: University of Illinois.

Johnson, D.W. & Johnson, R.T. (1991). Teaching children to be peacemakers. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Co.

King, E.W., Chipman, M. & Cruz-Janzen, M. (1994). Educating young children in a diverse society. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Kreider, W.J. (1990). Elementary perspectives: Teaching concepts of peace and conflict. Cambridge, MA: Educators for Social Responsibility.

\_\_\_\_\_. (1994). Teaching conflict resolution through children's literature. New York: Scholastic Professional Books.

Levin, D.E. (1994). Teaching young children in violent times: Building a peaceable classroom. Cambridge, MA: Educators for Social Responsibility.

McCart, L. (1994). Kids and violence. Washington, DC: National Governors' Association on Children and Violence. ED 365921.

McCormick, T.M. (1994). Creating the nonsexist classroom: A multicultural approach. New York: Teachers College Press.

McGinnis, E. & Goldstein, A.O. (1990). Skill-streaming in early childhood: Teaching prosocial skills to the preschool and kindergarten child. Champaign, IL: Research Press.

Macdonald, M.R. (1992). Peace tales: World folktales to talk about. Hamden, CT: Linnet Books.

Miller, L., Steinlage, T. & Printz, M. (1994). Cultural cobblestones: Teaching cultural diversity. Metuchen, NJ: Scarecrow Press.

Nass, M. & Nass, M. (1993). Songs for peacemakers: Conflict resolution. Freeport, NY: Educational Activities, Inc.

Oboodiat, F. (1992). Young children's understanding of peace concepts: Negative peace, nonviolent-conflict resolution, and positive peace. ED 349 106.

O'Reilley, M.R. (1993). The peaceable classroom(secondary).  
Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Peterson, J.P. (1993). Teaching nonviolent living skills in preschool:  
Parental perspective. ED 347 749.

Prothrow-Stith, D. & Weissman, M. (1991). Deadly consequences:  
How violence is destroying our teenage population and a plan to begin  
solving the problem. New York: Harper Perennial.

Reardon, B. & Norland, E. eds. (1994). Learning peace: The promise  
of ecological and cooperative education. Albany, NY: State University of  
New York Press.

Rochman, H. (1992). Against borders: Promoting books for a  
multicultural world. Chicago: American Library Association.

Sadalla, G., Holmberg, M. & Halligan, J. (1990). Conflict resolution:  
An elementary school curriculum. San Francisco, CA: Community Board  
Program, Inc.

Satchel, B.B. (1992). Increasing prosocial behavior of elementary  
students in grades K-6 through a conflict resolution management program.  
ED 347 607.

Schrumpf, F., Crawford, D & Usadel, H. (1991). Peer mediation and  
conflict resolution in schools. Champaign, IL: Research Press.

Shatles, D. (1992). Conflict resolution through children's literature.  
ED 334 976.

Siraj-Biatchford, I. (1994). The early years: Laying the foundations  
for racial equality. Stoke-on-Trent, England: Trentham Books.

Slaby, R.G., Roedell, W.D., et al. (1995). Early violence prevention:  
Tools for teachers of young children. Boston, MA: Education Development  
Center & Washington, DC: National Association for the Education of Young  
Children.

Smith, C.A. (1993). The peaceful classroom: 162 activities to teach  
preschoolers compassion and cooperation. Mt. Rainier, MD: Gryphon  
House.

Sterling, M.E. (1992). Thematic unit: Peace. Huntington Beach, CA:  
Teacher Created Materials, Inc.

**Walter, V.A. (1993). War & peace literature for children and young adults: A resource guide to significant issues. Phoenix, AZ: Oryx Press.**

**Wolf, A.D. (1991). (illustrated by children). Our peaceful classroom. Altoona, PA: Parent Child Press.**