

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 394 989

TM 024 763

AUTHOR Sanders, James R.
TITLE The Process of Developing National Standards That Meet ANSI Guidelines.
PUB DATE 7 Apr 94
NOTE 13p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 7, 1994).
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Educational Assessment; *Evaluation Methods; Evaluation Problems; Field Tests; Professional Personnel; Program Evaluation; *Standards
IDENTIFIERS American National Standards Institute; *Joint Committee on Standards for Educ Evaluation; *Standard Setting

ABSTRACT

American national standards for the evaluation of educational programs were developed by the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation in 1994. This paper describes the Joint Committee's standard setting process. The Joint Committee is a coalition of professional organizations concerned with the quality of evaluations in education. The operating procedures of the Joint Committee have been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The following standard setting procedures of the Joint Committee have been accepted by the ANSI: (1) initiation of projects by Committee approval; (2) development of a first draft through a national panel; (3) the formation of national and international review panels; (4) field test of the standards; (5) national public hearings; (6) finalization of the standards developed; and (7) consideration of views and opinions. The Joint Committee's standard setting process provides an open consensus process for professionals to use in setting and maintaining evaluation standards. A list of sponsoring organizations is attached. (SLD)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING NATIONAL STANDARDS THAT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

MEET ANSI GUIDELINES¹

James R. Sanders
Western Michigan University

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

JAMES R. SANDERS

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)"

American national standards for evaluations of educational programs have been developed by the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (1994).² The way in which this publication came about is of interest to those who may wish to follow or adapt the Joint Committee procedures in developing other standards or who have an interest in how the Joint Committee developed The Program Evaluation Standards. The purpose of this paper is to describe the Joint Committee's standard setting process.

The Joint Committee is a coalition of professional organizations concerned with the quality of evaluations in education. A list of sponsoring organizations is attached. The Joint Committee was formed in 1975 with a charge to establish standards for evaluations in education. The first set of standards produced by the Joint Committee, called Standards for Evaluations of Programs, Projects, and Materials, was published in 1981 by McGraw-Hill. The procedures that are described in this paper were developed largely during the 1975-1981 time period when the first standards were being developed.

¹ The processes described in this paper are contained in The Operating Procedures for the Development and Approval of Standards of the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. Kalamazoo, MI: The Evaluation Center, Western Michigan University. This paper was presented at the 1994 Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA, April 7, 1994.

² The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (1994). The Program Evaluation Standards. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.

Rather than signaling the end of the work of the Joint Committee, the publication of the 1981 Standards brought a need to sustain and broaden the Committee's mission for the following reasons:

1. The Standards constitute a living set of principles rather than a static set of guidelines. As such, there is need for a mechanism to ensure that they are periodically and systematically update to reflect widely shared views of what constitutes good practice in the rapidly developing field of educational evaluation.
2. Unanticipated gaps and/or problems in interpretation of various standards will come to light and will need the attention of a responsible body.
3. Various users of the Standards will seek clarification from an authoritative source of particular standards in the light of specific applications.
4. Various users will request assistance and need training in the proper use and interpretation of the Standards.
5. Other groups involved in setting standards for evaluation in related areas will need to communicate and cooperate about the Standards with some credible organization. Collaborative efforts between the Committee and these other groups should promote shared understanding, reduce duplicative efforts, and avert the confusion that is engendered by competing sets of standards.
6. Persons who commission, conduct, or employ the results of evaluations to improve education will need to be informed about actual uses and further developments related to the Standards.

7. Some responsible body must seek out necessary funding to maintain an ongoing standard-setting process.

In order to meet the needs outlined above, the Joint Committee chose to reconstitute itself as a standing committee, and adopted a set of rules and procedures to govern the Committee's work and ensure its independence and representativeness. The Joint Committee was incorporated in 1981 as a 401 C.3 nonprofit organization dedicated to promoting high quality evaluations.

Mission

The mission of the reconstituted Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation is to promote concern for evaluations of high quality based on sound evaluation practices and procedures, and to meet existing and emerging needs in the field of evaluation.

The rationale for this mission statement is based on the following assumptions:

1. Lifelong education is important to individuals, institutions within society, and society itself.
2. Evaluation is an integral, inevitable feature of all aspects of education.
3. Evaluations that are properly commissioned, conducted, disseminated, and used help improve education in general, and specific programs, products, and materials in particular.
4. Evaluation standards can play a vital role in upgrading and ensuring the quality of educational evaluations.

The Joint Committee's mission has been concentrated in the following four areas:

1. Provision of training and technical assistance to evaluators, as well as to users of the results of evaluations.

2. Research and development associated with the interpretation and use of the Standards and with the emergence of hitherto unidentified issues, needs, and uses related to the Standards, as well as the development of training and other ancillary materials that can then be tested in the actual training and technical assistance processes.
3. Dissemination of and clearinghouse for information related to the Standards and their use.
4. Revision of the Standards as needed, expansion of their use, and development of standards in directions that relate to educational programs, projects, and materials (e.g., evaluations of educational personnel).

Objectives and Responsibilities

The Joint Committee is incorporated exclusively for setting evaluation standards and promoting their use. It performs its work on behalf of persons and groups involved in educational evaluations. Its purposes include promoting the cause of education by identifying, articulating, and promoting the assimilation of standards which allow those involved in commissioning, conducting, using, and disseminating educational evaluations to use their judgment and creativity responsibly, but within the boundaries of "sound evaluation practice," which has been professionally defined.

The objectives and responsibilities of the Joint Committee include:

1. Serving as the governing body, articulating policies by which the Joint Committee sets standards and carries out associated functions, and determining priorities in carrying out Committee responsibilities.

2. Systematically obtaining feedback and advice from all directly and materially affected persons during the process of standard setting.
3. Articulating standards (a main responsibility of the Joint Committee involving assessment of needs for standards, drafting trial standards, obtaining reactions and advice from the field, studying relevant literature, and finalizing standards).
4. Promoting the sound use of Standards (through researching their use, issuing interpretations in response to persuasive issues and specific problem areas, and promoting and assisting training).
5. Ensuring that the Standards are appropriately evaluated (in terms of use, effects, currency, and adequacy).
6. Informing sponsoring and cooperating organizations, involving them in the work of the Joint Committee, and helping them use and disseminate the Standards.

The Standards Setting Process

The Joint Committee's operating procedures were accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in 1988. What this means is that any standards established by the Joint Committee following these procedures can be submitted to ANSI for review and approval. Once approved the standards become American national standards. What follows are the Joint Committee procedures accredited by ANSI.

1. Initiation of Projects

Prompt consideration by the Joint Committee is given to any reasonable recommendations made for developing new standards or revising or withdrawing existing standards. Once the Joint Committee has voted to accept a new project, the members prepare and approve a proposal

outlining the appropriate objectives, policies, work schedules, and budget for implementing such a project. The development of this proposal is guided by the exchange of views of the Joint Committee members, their review of relevant literature, and their joint and individual experiences.

2. Development of the First Draft

The Joint Committee selects, recruits, and informs a National Panel of Writers, consisting of approximately fifty experts in various aspects of the subjects to be covered in the standards, and commissions them to develop initial versions of the documents. The Committee chooses the members of the Panel using a list of nominees obtained from the presidents and executive secretaries of the Sponsoring Organizations.

Each panelist is provided with approximately four topical statements and asked to write each standard in accordance with a given format. In addition, all writers are given a full list of topics and invited to draft standards beyond those specifically assigned in which they are especially interested. Also, if important gaps exist, the writers are encouraged to add topics to the list. The initial assignment of topics is such that at least two alternative versions of each standard are prepared for review by the Joint Committee.

Once these write-ups have been completed, they are reviewed, critiqued, and rewritten at a working session by the members of the Joint Committee and the Project Staff. The rewritten documents are compiled into a first draft volume of the standards.

3. National and International Review Panels

The first draft of the standards is then critiqued by a National Review Panel and an International Review Panel. Each panel includes approximately sixty persons, equal numbers of which are nominated by each Sponsoring Organization. Specifically, the panelists are asked to

critique the first draft, applying a number of criteria, and to offer suggestions for improvement. The criteria include at least the following: need for the document; responsiveness to concerns in the field; scope of the standards; validity of the content of the standards; practicality, political viability, legality, clarity, and depth of treatment; and appropriateness of language.

The Project Staff collects and analyzes the results of the reviews and formulates a second draft. Based on this information, the Joint Committee, in a working session, develops a field test version of the standards and approves plans for field tests and public hearings.

4. Field Tests

Field testing of the standards are arranged in order to obtain case descriptions and critiques of the standards based on a variety of applications. A stratified sample of participants are chosen based on a field test plan; nominations for field test participants are made by the Joint Committee members and officials in their Sponsoring Organizations. The field test draft of the standards is then distributed based on written agreements ensuring that the Committee will receive feedback. The Committee strives to obtain the results from approximately forty field tests. A revised draft of the standards is produced using the field test results.

5. National Public Hearings

National public hearings are held to provide an open forum for discussion and critique of the revised draft of the standards. Hearing schedules are publicized and all interested parties are encouraged to participate. In addition, Sponsoring Organizations are asked to select members of their organization and encourage them to respond to the draft through the vehicle of the hearings. The hearings are used to prepare a final draft of the standards for the Joint Committee's review.

If the standards under consideration are to be submitted to the American National Standards Institute for approval as American national standards, the Joint Committee arranges for announcement of the final draft in ANSI's STANDARDS ACTION for comment, in accordance with the ANSI Procedures for the Development and Coordination of American National Standards.

6. Finalization of Standards

At its next meeting, the Joint Committee reviews, revises, and votes on the final version of the standards and finalizes plans for publication. A record of Joint Committee votes on each standard is kept as part of the meeting minutes. All absent Joint Committee members receive mail ballots to record their votes. The returned ballots become part of the official minutes of the meeting.

7. Consideration of Views and Objections

Joint Committee meetings are open to the public, and those wishing to raise issues concerning standards are invited to obtain time on the agenda for discussion of their views. Users of the standards are encouraged to provide comments and recommendations for improvement of the standards. These comments are reported to the Joint Committee at its next meeting. The Joint Committee considers the comments received, and develops a position regarding revision of the standards, or addition of new standards, during the revision cycle. Each commenter is advised of the disposition of the objection or comment and the reasons therefore. Unresolved objections and any substantive changes made in a standard to resolve objections are reported to the Joint Committee in order to afford all members an opportunity to respond, reaffirm, or change their vote.

The Joint Committee encourages, facilitates, and responds to comments received on its standards. In addition, the Joint Committee can include a section on controversial issues and minority reports to address existing unresolved issues in its standards volumes if the need for such a section arises.

Appeals. Directly and materially affected persons (individuals, companies, organizations, government agencies) who believe they have been or will be adversely affected by a standard within the Joint Committee, or by the lack thereof, have the right to appeal any substantive or procedural action or inaction of the Committee.

Any person or group may file a written complaint to the Joint Committee at any time. The complaint shall state the nature of the objection(s), the standard at issue, and specific remedial actions(s) that would satisfy the concerns. The Chairperson of the Joint Committee, upon receipt of a complaint, responds in writing within 30 days. If the complaint cannot be resolved informally, the Chairperson of the Joint Committee schedules a hearing with an appeals panel on a date agreeable to all participants, giving at least ten working days notice. The appeal panel consists of three individuals who have not been directly involved in the dispute, and who will not be materially or directly affected by any decision made in the dispute. At least two members shall be acceptable to the appellant and at least two shall be acceptable to the Chairperson of the Joint Committee. The appeals panel renders its decision in writing within 30 days, stating findings of fact and conclusions with reasons. If the appellant gives notice that further appeal to ANSI is intended, a full record of the complaint, response, hearing, and decision is submitted by the Chairperson of the Joint Committee to ANSI.

Records. Complete historical documentation of each standards project is maintained on file in the Joint Committee's offices for a period of not less than 5 years after approval of the standards. These files include all standards that were considered, and all reports and documents that were developed in relation to the project, and are made available to the public.

Validation. A special Validation Panel is commissioned to report publicly on the results of their validity checks on the standards. The specific functions of this Panel are to identify and examine the assumptions underlying the Joint Committee's work, to critique and report on the Committee's validation process, to assess the applicability of the standards in various national and international contexts, to confront the Committee with issues and ideas drawn from pertinent theoretical analyses and empirical research, and to report publicly on the results of their validity checks. Six persons are selected to serve on this panel. The Joint Committee establishes a systematic selection process with assistance from the project staff; it defines the qualifications of each panelist and obtains nominations and supporting information from the president of each Sponsoring Organization. The Committee reviews and discusses the recommendations and rank orders nominees for each position. Subsequently, the Project Staff recruits the panelists in accordance with the Joint Committee's specifications.

The Validation Panel is supplied with pertinent documentation throughout the standards development process, including draft standards. Near the end of the project, the Panel is convened for a final assessment and for a final report to the Joint Committee. The Joint Committee will hear and discuss the report and will have it published in the form submitted by the Panel. This publication will present potential users of the standards with one relatively independent, although preliminary, assessment of the validity of the standards.

Summary

The Joint Committee takes action to revise, reaffirm, or withdraw each of its standards at least every five years, or sooner if required. It continues to attend to its objectives listed in this paper. Most important, it provides a public, participatory, open, consensus process for professionals to use in setting and maintaining evaluation standards. The purpose of this paper was to describe the process of developing national standards that meet ANSI guidelines. The procedures that have been described have been accredited by ANSI, and consequently lead to the establishment of American national standards when followed. Questions or comments about the standards setting process followed by the Joint Committee are welcomed, and should be sent to: The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation, The Evaluation Center, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008.

The Sponsoring Organizations of the Joint
Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation

American Association of School Administrators

American Educational Research Association

American Evaluation Association

American Federation of Teachers

American Psychological Association

Association for Assessment in Counseling

Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development

Canadian Evaluation Society

Canadian Society for the Study of Education

Council of Chief State School Officials

Council on Postsecondary Accreditation

National Association of Elementary School Principals

National Association of Secondary Principals

National Council on Measurement in Education

National Educational Association

National School Boards Association