DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 393 172 EA 027 425

AUTHOR Heger, Herbert K.

TITLE Practical Low Cost On Line Survey Administration.

PUB DATE Jan 96

NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the

Southwest Educational Research Association (New

Orleans, LA, January 25-27, 1996).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Tests/Evaluation

Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Computer Software; Computer Uses in Education; *Data

Analysis; *Database Management Systems; *Data Collection; Information Technology; Intermediate Grades; Junior High Schools; *Management Information Systems; Middle Schools; Regression (Statistics);

Surveys

IDENTIFIERS El Paso Independent School District TX

ABSTRACT

In response to the accountability movement, schools must develop appropriate data sources and use them for decision making. This paper describes a data-collection and data-analysis procedure that can be used at the school building level. The process uses software for survey administration and data analysis for conducting practical automated evaluation routines. The paper offers step-by-step instructions for administering a needs-assessment survey, which was actually conducted in an El Paso, Texas, middle school. The process used Winstar software (Anderson-Bell, 1995) to create a survey, allow respondents to enter data online, and print out a report. Forty-nine teachers completed the survey. Because the software has macro capability, subsequent administrations of a survey can be completely automated. The software can also calculate cross-tabulations and inferential statistical analysis. A copy of the survey is included. (Contains 11 references.) (LMI)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

Practical Low Cost On Line Survey Administration

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION office of Educational Robert in and inter-control of Educational Robert in Annual Medical Educational Resources in Frontier and Center (ERIC) of This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originalized in

- ☐ Minor changes have the community
- Points of view or upmons stated in this document do not necessarily impresent official OERI position or pullity.

by

Herbert K. Heger, Ph.D., Professor

Educational Leadership and Foundations
The University of Texas at El Paso
El Paso, Texas 79968
915-747-5300
915-747-7588 voice mail

PERMISSION TO HEPROTUCE AND CONSISTENT AND THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED 8.

HERBERT K. HEGER

a paper presented at the

TO THE FEB ATIONAL RESOURCES

Innovative Practices Symposium:
A Principal's Technology Center to Enable Productive Building Level Assessment:
Prototypes, Possibilities and Needs

Southwest Educational Research Association Annual Conference

New Orleans, Louisiana

January, 1996

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Assertive use of evaluation data for decision making at the school building level has been theoretically appropriate and professionally proper for many years. Sadly, data collection and analysis has been largely ignored in practice. One reason is that data collection has not been easy. This paper will discuss one data collection and analysis procedure which is easy enough to be both practical and feasible. The use of software for survey administration and data analysis will make automated evaluation routines practical. Tasks such as course evaluations, needs analyses, and periodic assessments of students at risk, to mention just a few, no longer need to be laborious.

Such data collection and processing ease come none too soon as the stakes in school accountability escalate. Scharrer (1993) warned of these stakes in graphic terms when he reported to the El Paso community that failure to meet certain achievement test expectations would result in severe consequences. Those consequences became reality in one El Paso middle school in 1995 and are becoming equally real in an El Paso area high school in 1996 as entire school staffs are being rotated out because of unacceptable TAAS (Texas Assessment of Academic Skills) test scores. And, the increase in standards has only begun (texas Education Agency, 1995, appended.). Clearly, anyone who wants to keep ahead of the accountability lynch mob needs to develop appropriate data sources and needs to use these data for decision making.

The point of this paper is that the need to collect and use data is genuine and the technology and opportunity to do so is also real. What kinds of data should be collected and how the data should be used is not within the scope of this paper, although this writer would suggest that data collection for the purpose of diagnosis and needs analysis is likely to be more effective than a teaching only to the test strategy.

With currently available technology, using Winstar software (Anderson-Bell, 1995.), available on site license for \$395 for 12 computers, one can create a survey, let respondents enter the data on line, and print out a report directly. The software will print to a text file. Because the software has macro capability, subsequent administrations of a survey can be completely automated. The data presented in this paper below was entered by teachers in a middle school and simply copied into the text by the author. This example was a needs assessment for a funded project and the report generation took less than 10 minutes. Most of that time was spent on format decisions for the final document.

Published by Anderson-Bell, Arvada, Colorado, the software to be discussed herein is known as Winstar in Windows form and ABstat in DOS form. The two versions are essentially identical. The system requirements for the software are very modest, less than 2 megabytes of storage are required and only 640k ram are required. For example, one of UTEP's stat labs consists of Sandia Lab surplus IBM XTs which are perfectly adequate for small data sets. With larger data sets, a 386SX computer with 8 megabytes of ram of better is, of course, nicer than the XT because of the faster processing speed. This author has comfortably processed a data set of 400 subjects and 300 questions on a 386SX running Windows 3.1.

When the survey is administered, administration does not have to be on the machine with the statistics software. Typically, a module is down loaded to one or more floppy diskettes and taken to other machines for actual administration. Survey administration, i.e. data collection, can occur on a PC-1 with only 64k ram, if needed and the downloaded files will fit on a 360k floppy if that is all that is available. In the example that follows the small survey module was downloaded onto five floppies and taken to the site school library. Teachers completed the survey at their leisure by typing the word survey and answering the questions on the screen. Data saving was automatic. After the data are collected, the files were combined on the master computer.

The file for the present example was set up as c:\winstar\d-view\survey.abd. It had 37 variables and was limited to a maximum of 512 variables. Forty-nine teachers responded although the software could have handled up to 32000 subjects. The file survey abd is really composed of four main data files and four backup files. Survey.abd is the data file, survey.abc includes the choice tables, survey.abs is the data collection system file, and survey.abv includes long variable names, or in this case questions. The survey.abs, system file, can be tailored to include customized paths of questions according to the answers given by respondents.

All four files must have the same name, as is customary, but that name must always be survey. To do several surveys, one must create a directory for each project. Once the data are collected, the file names can be changed to any name and the survey.abs file can be discarded. In the present example, the survey files are in a directory called d-view since the survey was administered at Desert View Middle School (Heger, 1995a). But when the data were used in the statistics laboratory, for convenience, the file was renamed dview.abd with companions dview.abc, and dview.abv.

The structure of the survey abd file in the case looked like this:

512

32000

Command: STRUCTURE

Variables: Records:

File Size:

The Current Data Set is c:\winstar\d-view\survey.abd Rev#25 in use maximum available

Record Size: 639									
Max	Max Records in Memory 166								
Vari	iables	Defined	in Da	ata Set	are:				
Var	Name	Type	Pos	Length	Dec	ChoiceTbl			
1	Q1	C	1	1		Q1			
2	Q2	c	2	30					
3	Q3	c	32	1		Q3			
4	Q4	N	33	1	0				
16	Q16	C	203	1		Y			
3.6	Q36	c	539	8.0					
37	FILLE	R C	619	20					

37

49

47727 20464416

Descrip Welcome to the survey. The next four items are practice items to help you warm up. Some questions will be open ended. They will have a space for you to type your ideas as shown here: Some questions will be multiple choice with letter options like this: Some questions will ask for you to select a number. For example: Please rate the effectiveness of the ruler of Mars on a scale of 5 = most excellent to 1 = most inept. Can Desert View show through student products the result of recent changes? What area(s) should be left unchanged in the

next year?



To save space, some questions were omitted from the above illustration.

The categories of the structure appear as columns and include Var, variable number; Name, variable name; Type, data type; Pos, an automatic listing of the starting position of the variable; Length, the desired length of the variable; Dec, fixed number of decimals desired in the variable; ChoiceTbl, the table of options to be presented with the variable; and Descrip, the long variable name or question.

If an N appears under the type column, numerical data is required to be entered and the software functions as a conventional statistical package on such items. If a C is in the type column, any data form can be entered. One can create a variable with open ended responses by having a C under type and a long length, as in questions 2 and 36. If one has a C for data type and places a code under the choice table column, responses are restricted to the choices in a choice table. The question then become a multiple choice item. The code under choice table column references an entries in the survey.abc file to be shown below. Items listed in the descrip column are the questions and are stored in the survey.abv. The last item FILLER does not appear on the survey administration. If one wants to add questions to the existing structure, FILLER is translated into the new items.

The choice table file, survey.abc, is a simple text file that can be edited within the software or by a text editor. When the survey is administered the person responds with the selected letter. Notice that the respondent typed only a letter, such as a, b, or c for the response on these items.

```
Choice tables are in c:\winstar\d-view\survey.ABC
Choice table: Q1
   a=Let's proceed.
  b=The medium is the message.
  c=Progress is sanity.
  d=Slogans are profitable.
   e=A loop is a loop is a loop is
Choice table: Q3
   a=Dick Clark is 16.
   b=Jack Benny is still 39.
   c=Elvis is Nevada governor.
   d=None of the above.
   e=All of the above.
Choice table: A
   a=Strongly agree
   b=Agree
  c=Neutral or no opinion
   d=Disagree
   e=Strongly disagree
Choice table: Y
   a.Yes
   baNeutral or no opinion
   c=No
```

3

After the data are collected the COUNT command reports all results with choice tables. The actual survey results follow:

```
Welcome to the survey. The next four items are practice items to help you warm up.
                                                                    50
                                         31 63.3 ********
Let's proceed.
                                              2.0 | *
The medium is the message.
Progress is sanity.
                                              6.1 | * *
                                              6.1 | **
Slogans are profitable.
                                           3
A loop is a loop is a loop is
                                              8.2 ***
                                TOTAL
                                          42 85.7 -+- --- --- --- --- --- --- --- ---
```

Note: One can skip a question by pressing enter, which happened in 7 cases on this warm up

```
Some questions will be multiple choice with letter options like this:
                                            % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                           8.2 ***
Dick Clark is 16.
Jack Benny is still 39.
                                       5 10.2 ****
Elvis is Nevada governor.
                                       3
                                           6.1 **
                                      19 38.8 *******
None of the above.
                                       9 18.4 ******
All of the above.
                             TOTAL
Do changing conditions in the world require schools to change?
                                            % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                    Count
Yes
                                       1 2.0 *
Neutral or no opinion
                                       1
                                           2.0 *
                             TOTAL
                                       47 95.9 -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
Have positive changes already begun at Desert View?
                                            8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                       41 83.7 *******
Yes
                                       6 12.2 ****
Neutral or no opinion
                                       2 4.1 **
                              TOTAL
                                       49 100.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
Have improvements been made in student activities?
                                            % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                       27 55.1 ************
                                       7 14.3 *****
Neutral or no opinion
                                       14 28.6 | *******
                              TOTAL.
                                          98.0|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                       48
Are teachers using more and better teaching strategies?
                                            % |-+-|-+-|-+-| +-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                    Count
                                       30 61.2 | *************
                                       13 26.5 ********
Neutral or no opinion
                                       6 12.2 *****
No
```

49 100.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |

TOTAL

```
Are cros surricular efforts being undertaken at Desert View?
                                                        50
                                       8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                Count
                                  30 61.2 ********
Yes
                                  6 12.2 *****
Neutral or no opinion
                                  12 24.5 | *******
                                  TOTAL
Have changes occurred in student assessment?
                                       8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                  18 36.7 | **********
Yes
                                  11 22.4 | *******
Neutral or no opinion
                                  18 36.7 | ********
                                  TOTAL
Have changes been made in scheduling and in the use of instructional time?
                                         0
                                       8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                Count
                                   44 89.8 *********
                                   3 6.1 **
Neutral or no opinion
                                   2 4.1 **
No
                                   49 100.0 | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - | - + - |
                           TOTAL
Have changes been made in the advisory period and process?
                                                                         100
                                        8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   40 81.6 **************
                                   0
                                      0.0
Neutral or no opinion
                                   6 12.2 *****
                                   TOTAL
Do you agree that teachers need to be able to demonstrate to the world that students are
learning? Do you also agree that TAAS and other tests don't tell the story very well?
                                                       50
                                         n
                                        8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                 Count
                                   37 75.5 | *****************
Yея
                                       6.1 **
                                    3
Neutral or no opinion
                                    4 8.2 ***
No
                                   44 89.8|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                           TOTAL
 Can Desert View show through student products the result of recent changes?
                                                         50
                                          0
                                        8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   31 63.3 *************
 Yes
                                    5 10.2 ***
 Neutral or no opinion
                                    9 18.4 ******
                                   TOTAL
 Are Desert View students able to exercise better thinking skills than in the past?
                                                                         100
                                                          50
                                        % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                 Count
                                   16 32.7 | **********
                                   14 28.6 ********
 Neutral or no opinion
                                   17 34.7 | **********
 No
                                       95.9|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                            TOTAL
```



```
Are Desert View students reading more effectively than in the past?
                                           % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                     22
                                     11 22.4
Neutral or no opinion
                                     15 30.6 ********
                                     48 98.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
                             TOTAL
Is the trend in Desert View at risk statistics positive?
                                           8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   Count
                                     17 34.7 **********
Yes
                                     22 44.9 | **********
Neutral or no opinion
                                      8 16.3 ******
No
                                     47 95.9 --- --- --- --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
                             TOTAL
Is student attendance improving?
                                                                               100
                                          8 |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   Count
                                         36.7 | *********
                                     18
Yes
Neutral or no opinion
                                     21
                                      9 18.4
                                      48 98.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
                             TOTAL
Is student academic involvement improving?
                                           8 |-+-|-4-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   Count
                                     14 28.6 ********
                                       9 18.4 ******
Neutral or no opinion
                                      24 49.0 | *******
No
                                      TOTAL
Is student participation in school wide activities improving?
                                                              50
                                             0
                                           % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                     12 24 5 ********
                                      11 22.4 | *******
Neutral or no opinion
                                      24 49.0 | ***********
No
                                      47 95.9 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
                             TOTAL
In the next year our change efforts should focus on improving the curriculum... objectives,
content, organization, etc.
                                           % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   Count
                                      24 49.0 **********
Strongly agree
                                      14 28.6 ********
Agree
                                       5 10.2 ****
Neutral or no opinion
Disagree
                                       3
                                          6.1 | * *
Strongly disagree
                                       1 2.3 *
                                      TOTAL
In the next year our changes should focus on improving instructional materials and lesson
plans.
                                           % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                   Count
                                      12 24.5 ********
Strongly agree
                                      16 32.7 | *********
Agree
                                       7 14.3 *****
Neutral or no opinion
Disagree
                                       9 18.4 ******
                                          4.1 **
Strongly disagree
                                      2
                             TOTAL
                                      46 93.9 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
```

```
In the next year our changes should focus on improving assessment.
                                                                                                                                      50
                                                                                             % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                                                            Count
                                                                                16 32.7 | **********
Strongly agree
                                                                                 13 26.5 ********
                                                                                 12 24.5 ********
Neutral or no opinion
                                                                                 5 10.2 ****
Disagree
                                                                                        4.1 | **
                                                                                  2
Strongly disagree
                                                                                  LATOT
In the next year our changes should focus on developing new kinds of and better quality
student products.
                                                                                             Count
                                                                                  18 36.7 **********
Strongly agree
                                                                                  20 40.8 *********
Agree
                                                                                    8 16.3 | ******
Neutral or no opinion
                                                                                          4.1 | **
Disagree
                                                                                   1 2.0 | *
Strongly disagree
                                                                                  49 100.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+
In the next year we asked to develop new and better scheduling alternatives.
                                                                                              % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                                                            Count
                                                                                  9 18.4 ******
Strongly agree
                                                                                  12 24.5 | ********
Agree
                                                                                  7 14.3 ******
15 30.6 ********
Neutral or no opinion
Disagree
Strongly disagree
                                                                                   5 10.2 ****
                                                                                  48 98.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
                                                               TOTAL
 In the next year we should work on ne ideas for community involvement.
                                                                                              % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                                                             Court
                                                                                 17 34.7 *********
Strongly agree
                                                                                  25 51.0 | *************
                                                                                    5 10.2 ****
Neutral or no opinion
                                                                                   1 2.0 | *
Disagree
 Strongly disagree
                                                                                   1 2.0 *
                                                                TOTAL
                                                                                  49 100.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
 In the next year we need to work on expanded student activities.
                                                                                                                                       5.0
                                                                                              % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                                                             Count
                                                                                  13 26.5 *********
 Strongly agree
                                                                                   16 32.7 *********
 Agree
                                                                                   14 28.6 ********
 Neutral or no opinion
                                                                                   3 6.1 **
 Disagree
                                                                                    2 4.1 **
 Strongly disagree
                                                                TOTAL
                                                                                   48 98.0 | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- | -+- |
 In the next year we need to work on improved advisory processes.
                                                                                                                                        50
                                                                                               % |-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
                                                                                  16 32.7 | **********
 Strongly agree
                                                                                   11 22.4 ********
 Agree
 Neutral or no opinion
                                                                                   10 20.4 ******
                                                                                    6 12.2 ****
 Disagree
 Strongly disagree
                                                                                     5 10.2 ****
                                                                TOTAL
                                                                                   48 98.0|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|-+-|
```

Cross tabulations and sub group analyses are fast and easy. The following analysis cross tabs one of the warm up items with one of the serious items.

			Some Dick Clark is 16.	questions Jack Benny is still 39.	will be mul- Elvis is Nevada governor.	tiple choice None of the above.	All of the above.
TOTAL RESPONDENTS		49	4	5	3	19	9
	C	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Have changes occurr Yes	ed i	n stud 18 37%	ent assessm 3 75%	ent? 1 20%	1 33%	7 37%	1 11%
Neutral or no		11	ĺ	2		4	3
opinion	C	22%	 1	40%		21%	33%
No		18	1	1	2	7	5
	C	37%	25%	20%	67%	37%	56%
Chi Square Chi Deg of freedom Chi Probability	ı		8.1783 8 0.41625	CELL<5			

More sensibly, another cross tabulation might be:

			Have changes Yes	occurred in studen Neutral or no opinion	t assessment No
TOTAL RESPONDENTS		49	18	11	18
	C	100%	100%	100%	100%
Have changes been	made	in the	advisory period	and process?	
Yes		40	15	9	15
	C	82%	83%	82%	83%
Neutral or no		0			
opinion	C	0%			
No		6	 1	2	2
	C	12%	6%	18%	11%
Chi Square			 0.9258	CELL<5	
Chi Deg of freedo	m		2		
Chi Probability			0.62946		

Inferential statistical analysis was not a part of the survey example used above, although it could have been. Using a different database from the UTEP laboratory, one could do the following analysis.

Command: REGR Missing Value Treatment: Listwise

*** Multiple Linear Regression ***

Dependent Variable: T_TAR 90 Valid Records

Coeff of Determ: 0.0280704

Adjusted R Square: 0.0170258 Estimated constant term: 70.3775

Multiple Corr Coeff: 0.167542 Standard Err of Estimate: 6.39183

Analysis of Variance for the Regression:

Degrees of Sum of Mean of

Source of Variance Freedom Squares Squares F Test Prob

Source of Variance	Freedom	Squares	Squares	F Test	Prob
Regression	1	103.836	103.836	2.54154	0.1145
Residuals	88	3595.29	40.8555		
Total	89	3699.12			

	Kedlession	Scandardized	Standard		
Variable	Coefficient	Coefficient	Error	t	Prob
rn	4.245026E-09	0.167542	2.662759E-09	1.59422	0.1145

Here is another example of inferential analysis from the lab.

Dependent Variable: WritingTot

375

Total

Factor	# FeAsts	Variable			
A	3	Grade			
В	2	GenderRev			
		Sum of	Mean of		
Source	DF	Squares	Squares	F	Prob
A	2	2451.56	1225.78	14.9310	0.0000
В	1	3706.66	3706.66	45.1502	0.0000
AB	2	118.497	59.2486	0.721698	0.4866
Residual	370	30375.6	82.0961		

Cell Means / Standard Deviations for Maximum Prob of 0.0500

37037.0

Factor: A			
A	Mean	Std Dev	n
2	21.4322	7.93723	118
3	26.3971	10.9655	136
4	27.8607	9.40574	122
Factor: B			
В	Mean	Std Dev	n
£	28.7391	9.12376	184
m	22.0313	9.59270	192

This author's experience is that anyone can learn to use this software to collect, analyze and report data. In fact, this software would be especially useful in schools which encourage students to participate in research and evaluation activities. Crosby (1993.) has shown that high school student evaluation in research and e aluation is feasible, even without this software..



References

- Anderson-Bell, Corp. (1995) Winstar. A MS-DOS survey administration and analysis software package. Arvada, CO: Anderson-Bell, Corp.
- Clune, W. H. (1993) The best path to systemic educational policy. Standard/centralized or differentiated/decentralized? Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 15, 233-254.
- Crosby, E. (1994) A proposal to reduce the dropout rate and increase learning through collaborative action research in the community. Detroit, MI: Pershing High School, Detroit Public Schools, mimeo.
- Heger, H. K. (1991, 1992, 1993) Annual project evaluations: Projects Magnet/S.T.A.R. and R.E.A.C.H. El Paso, Texas: Socorro I.S.D. Mimeo.
- Heger, H. K. (1994) Annual project evaluations: Keys Academy. El Paso, Texas: Socorro I.S.D. Mimeo.
- Heger, H. K. (1995a) *The Desert View Middle School needs analysis instrument.* El Paso, TX: The University of Texas at El Paso. A teacher self administered survey instrument written in Winstar software.)
- Heger, H. K. (1995b) The UTEP at risk survey student instrument. El Paso, TX: The University of Texas at El Paso. (A student self administered survey instrument written in Winstar software.)
- Kincheloe, J. (1991) Teachers as researchers: quality inquiry as a path to empowerment. New York, NY: Falmer Press.
- McIsaac, D. (1992) Management Information System for Educational Settings. A MS-DOS customizable data management system for building administrators. Madison, WI: National Center for Effective Schools.
- Scharrer, G. (1993, August 24.) Agency warns: fix low test scores or else. El Paso Times, p.1. Texas Education Agency (1995) 1996 accountability standards memorandum.

